



Stefanie Seelk<sup>1†</sup>, Irene Adrian-Kalchhauser<sup>2†‡</sup>, Balázs Hargitai<sup>2</sup>, Martina Hajduskova<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Gutnik<sup>2</sup>, Baris Tursun<sup>1\*</sup>, Rafal Ciosk<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Berlin Institute for Medical Systems Biology, Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland

**Abstract** Cell-fate reprograming is at the heart of development, yet very little is known about the molecular mechanisms promoting or inhibiting reprograming in intact organisms. In the *C. elegans* germline, reprograming germ cells into somatic cells requires chromatin perturbation. Here, we describe that such reprograming is facilitated by GLP-1/Notch signaling pathway. This is surprising, since this pathway is best known for maintaining undifferentiated germline stem cells/ progenitors. Through a combination of genetics, tissue-specific transcriptome analysis, and functional studies of candidate genes, we uncovered a possible explanation for this unexpected role of GLP-1/Notch. We propose that GLP-1/Notch promotes reprograming by activating specific genes, silenced by the Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), and identify the conserved histone demethylase UTX-1 as a crucial GLP-1/Notch target facilitating reprograming. These findings have wide implications, ranging from development to diseases associated with abnormal Notch signaling.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.001

## Introduction

Cell-fate decisions are controlled, on the one hand, by intercellular signaling and, on the other hand, by intrinsic mechanisms such as epigenetic chromatin modifications. The Notch signaling pathway is a highly conserved and widespread signaling mechanism (*Artavanis-Tsakonas et al., 1999*; *Greenwald and Kovall, 2013*), which has been implicated in key cell-fate decisions such as the decision between proliferation and differentiation (*Liu et al., 2010*). Notch signaling has also been implicated in cellular reprograming. Upon inhibition of Notch signaling, the oncogenic genes KLF4 and cMyc become dispensable for the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from mouse and human keratinocytes (*Ichida et al., 2014*). In this setting, Notch inhibits reprograming. Conversely, Notch signaling promotes transdifferentiation of pancreatic acinar cells to ductal cells (*Sawey et al., 2007*), or the conversion of hepatocytes into biliary cells in liver primary malignancy intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) (*Sekiya and Suzuki, 2012*). Notch signaling can also affect reprograming in normal development. In *C. elegans*, signaling through the GLP-1 and LIN-12 Notch receptors impedes reprograming during embryogenesis and, during larval development, signaling through LIN-12 is required for the conversion of a rectal epithelial cell into a motorneuron (*Jarriault et al., 2008; Djabrayan et al., 2012*).

The role of epigenetic regulators in cell-fate decisions has been studied mostly in pluripotent cells cultured outside of their normal tissue environment (*Meshorer and Misteli, 2006; Spivakov and Fisher, 2007; Lessard and Crabtree, 2010; Orkin and Hochedlinger, 2011*). Therefore, the epigenetic regulation of stem cell identity in intact tissues remains poorly understood.

\*For correspondence: baris. tursun@mdc-berlin.de (BT); rafal. ciosk@fmi.ch (RC)

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

**Present address:** <sup>‡</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, Programm Mensch-Gesellschaft-Umwelt, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 18

Received: 23 February 2016 Accepted: 06 September 2016 Published: 07 September 2016

**Reviewing editor:** Julie Ahringer, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

© Copyright Seelk et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

**eLife digest** The DNA in genes encodes the basic information needed to build an organism or control its day-to-day operations. Most cells in an organism contain the same genetic information, but different types of cell use the information differently. For example, many of the genes that are active in a muscle cell are different from those that are active in a skin cell.

These different patterns of gene activation largely determine a cell's identity and are brought about by DNA-binding proteins or chemical modifications to the DNA (which are both forms of so-called epigenetic regulation). Nevertheless, cells occasionally change their identities – a phenomenon that is referred to as reprograming. This process allows tissues to be regenerated after wounding, but, due to technical difficulties, reprograming has been often studied in isolated cells grown in a dish.

Seelk, Adrian-Kalchhauser et al. set out to understand how being surrounded by intact tissue influences reprograming. The experiments made use of *C. elegans* worms, because disturbing how this worm's DNA is packaged can trigger its cells to undergo reprograming. Seelk, Adrian-Kalchhauser et al. show that a signaling pathway that is found in many different animals enhances this kind of reprograming in *C. elegans*.

On the one hand, these findings help in understanding how epigenetic regulation can be altered by a specific tissue environment. On the other hand, the findings also suggest that abnormal signaling can result in altered epigenetic control of gene expression and lead to cells changing their identity. Indeed, increased signaling is linked to a major epigenetic mechanism seen in specific blood tumors, suggesting that the regulatory principles uncovered using this simple worm model could eventually provide insights into a human disease.

A future challenge will be to determine precisely how the studied signaling pathway interacts with the epigenetic regulator that controls reprograming. Understanding this interaction in molecular detail could help to devise strategies for controlling reprograming. These strategies could in turn lead to treatments for people with conditions that cause specific cells types to be lost, such as Alzheimer's disease or injuries.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.002

Additionally, the impact of external cues, for example signaling from a stem cell niche to the recipient cell's chromatin remains equally unresolved. By contrast, *C. elegans* has been used as a model to study reprograming in an intact organism (*Horner et al., 1998*; *Fukushige et al., 1998*; *Zhu et al., 1998*; *Fukushige and Krause, 2005*; *Ciosk et al., 2006*; *Jarriault et al., 2008*; *Yuzyuk et al., 2009*; *Riddle et al., 2013*). In this model, germ cells can be directly reprogrammed into neurons by depleting specific chromatin modifiers such as LIN-53 (Rbbp4/7) or components of PRC2, and by concomitant overexpression of the transcription factor CHE-1, which induces glutamatergic neuronal fate in a process which we refer to as Germ cell Conversion (GeCo) (*Tursun et al., 2011*; *Patel et al., 2012*).

Here, we identify the Notch signaling pathway as a critical player in this reprograming model. This was unanticipated, since signaling through the Notch receptor GLP-1 (henceforth GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>) from the somatic gonadal niche is known to maintain germline stem cell/progenitor fate (*Kimble and Crittenden, 2007*). To understand this novel, reprograming-promoting role of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>, we combined genetics with tissue-specific expression profiling. We identified genes regulated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>, including genes recently shown to maintain the germline stem/progenitor cells (*Kershner et al., 2014*). Additionally, and unexpectedly, we found that many genes activated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling were also repressed by the cell fate-stabilizing chromatin regulator PRC2. We show that GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 have an antagonistic effect on germ cell-fate decisions and demonstrate co-regulation of their common target, *utx-1*. Importantly, UTX-1 is a histone demethylase known to erase the gene-silencing methylation of histone H3 dependent on PRC2 (*Maures et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2011; Vandamme et al., 2012*). Thus, we propose that the GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>–dependent induction of UTX-1 facilitates reprograming by alleviating PRC2-mediated repression of alternative cell fates.

### Results

# GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> enhances conversion of germ cells into neuron-like cells

Germ cells can be converted into neuronal cells in intact C. elegans upon overexpression of the neuronal transcription factor CHE-1, simply by depleting the chromatin modifier LIN-53 (Tursun et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012). This GeCo phenotype can be followed in living animals by monitoring a reporter GFP expressed from the gcy-5 promoter, which otherwise is induced in glutamatergic ASE neurons (Altun-Gultekin et al., 2001). In contrast to the spontaneous teratomatous differentiation of meiotic germ cells, observed in the absence of specific RNA-binding proteins (Ciosk et al., 2006; Biedermann et al., 2009; Tocchini et al., 2014), GeCo is preferentially observed in the pre-meiotic, proliferating germ cells (Tursun et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012). Consistently, removing the proliferating germ cells, by inhibiting GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling, prevents GeCo (Tursun et al., 2011). However, because the proliferating germ cells were eliminated, these experiments did not address a possible direct effect of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling on GeCo. We began addressing this issue by examining the gonads of animals carrying the gain-of-function glp-1 allele (ar202) (Pepper et al., 2003). These gonads are filled with proliferating germ cells and, upon depleting LIN-53 and overexpressing CHE-1, we observed that significantly more germ cells converted to ASE neurons (Figure 1A, Figure 1 source data 1). We refer to this enhanced GeCo as 'GeCo+'. Detailed quantification revealed that the GeCo+ gonads contained more than twice the number of converted cells (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A, Figure 1-source data 1). The nuclei of these converted cells were reminiscent of neuronal nuclei and the cells displayed axo-dendritic projection (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B), as previously described (Tursun et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012). To confirm that the GeCo enhancement depends on the canonical Notch signaling pathway, rather than an independent function of the GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> receptor, we RNAi-depleted the transcriptional effector of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling, LAG-1 (Christensen et al., 1996). We exposed animals only after hatching to lag-1 RNAi in order to avoid sterility, which is caused when animals are subjected to lag-1 RNAi earlier (Supplemental file 1). RNAi-mediated knock-down of lag-1 strongly inhibited GeCo (Figure 1B, Figure 1—source data 1). Importantly, under these experimental conditions, we did not observe any obvious reduction of germ cell numbers (Figure 1C, Figure 1-source data 1), suggesting a proliferation-independent effect of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling on cell-fate conversion. To investigate this further, we tested GeCo efficiency on germ cells proliferating independently of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling. We took advantage of mutants in which, in the absence of two meiosis/differentiation-promoting factors GLD-1 and GLD-2, germ cells proliferate independently of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> (Kadyk and Kimble, 1998). Specifically, we examined GeCo in the loss-of-function gld-1(q497) gld-2(q485) mutants, which carried either wildtype glp-1 or the loss-of-function glp-1(g175) allele (Austin and Kimble, 1987). Both mutant combinations have previously been described to have tumorous germlines and impaired meiotic entry (Kadyk and Kimble, 1998; Hansen et al., 2004). In contrast to efficient GeCo observed in the gld-1 (q497) gld-2(q485) gonads, GeCo was strongly diminished in the gld-1(q497) gld-2(q485); glp-1 (q175) gonads, despite the ongoing germ cell proliferation (Figure 1D, Figure 1-source data 1). Counting the number of germ cells in these gonads revealed only a slight difference (a 15% increase in the numbers in the double vs. triple mutant gonads), suggesting that the strong enhancement of GeCo by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling cannot be explained by the increased number of germ cells (Figure 1-figure supplement 2, Figure 1-source data 1). Since it has been proposed that dividing cells have a higher propensity for cellular reprograming (Egli et al., 2008; Hanna et al., 2009), we also tested whether blocking the cell cycle would affect the observed GeCo enhancement in glp-1 (gf) gonads. As previously described (Fox et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012), we used hydroxyurea (HU) treatment to block the cell cycle in the S phase. Blocking the cell cycle by HU did not diminish the GeCo+ phenotype (Figure 1-figure supplement 3, Figure 1-source data 1). Combined, these results suggest that GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> enhances GeCo independently from its role in promoting germ cell proliferation.

## GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> activates genes mainly on X chromosomes

To understand the effects of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> on GeCo, we set out to identify genes regulated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling in germ cells. To conduct the analysis in morphologically similar tissue, we again took advantage of the *gld-1 gld-2* double mutants that, combined with either loss-of-function or



**Figure 1.** GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling promotes reprograming of germ cells. (A) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> enhances germ cell conversion (GeCo) into neuronal-like cells. Left: Fluorescent (top) and combined fluorescent/differential interference contrast (DIC) micrographs (bottom) of adult animals. All animals ectopically expressed the pro-neuronal transcription factor CHE-1 from a heat-shock promoter. *glp-1(ar202)* is a temperature-sensitive gain-of-function allele of the Notch receptor. Animals were subjected to either mock (control) or *lin-53* RNAi. Reprogrammed cells expressed a GFP reporter driven from the neuronal *gcy-5* promoter (here an in other figures nGFP) and are outlined here and elsewhere in yellow. Any signal outside the outlined region comes *Figure 1 continued on next page* 



#### Figure 1 continued

from somatic tissues. GeCo+ indicates animals that displayed a strongly enhanced GeCo phenotype. Scale bars = 10  $\mu$ m. The cartoons depicting the GeCo and GeCo+ phenotypes are on the top right. The gonads are shaded in grey and GFP-positive converted germ cells are green. Fractions of animals displaying GeCo and GeCo+ are indicated below. At least 250 animals were guantified per condition. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^1 < 0,0001$ ;  $p^2 = 0,0006$ . Error bars represent SEM. (B) The transcriptional effector of the GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling pathway, LAG-1, is required for the GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>-mediated enhancement of GeCo. Left: Fluorescent micrographs of adults expressing CHE-1-induced nGFP as explained above. GeCo is diminished upon the depletion of LAG-1. White dashed lines outline the animal body. Scale bars = 10 µm. Right: The corresponding quantifications. At least 400 animals were quantified per condition. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^{1}<0,0001$ ;  $p^{2}=0,0018$ . Error bars represent SEM. (C) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling enhances GeCo independently from germ cell proliferation. Shown are DAPI-stained gonads of glp-1(ar202) animals, expressing CHE-1-induced nGFP, treated with either mock or lin-53 RNAi. Germ cells were counted from the DTC (yellow asterisk) to the turn of the gonad arm (dashed yellow line). 15 gonad arms per condition were counted. Scale bars = 10 μm. Quantifications are on the right. While greatly inhibiting GeCo, lag-1 RNAi did not change the number of germ cells. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test: p<sup>1</sup>=0,89. Error bars represent SEM. (D) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> enhances GeCo independently from proliferation. Left: Fluorescent micrographs of adults (with indicated genotypes), expressing CHE-1-induced nGFP. The first panel on the left shows a control, heterozygous (wild-type) gld-1 gld-2/++; glp-1/+ animal. The other panels show the homozygous gld-1(g497) ald-2(q485) mutants, carrying either a loss-of-function (q175, center) or a wild-type (right) allele of alp-1. Despite proliferating, germ cells in the ald-1 gld-2; glp-1 gonads have lost the ability to undergo GeCo. Scale bars = 10 µm. Right: the corresponding quantifications. At least 250 animals were quantified per condition. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test: p<sup>1</sup>=0,0478; p<sup>2</sup>=0,0201. Error bars represent SEM. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.003

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Source data 1. Quantification of GeCo in glp-1(gf) and lag-1 RNAi animals.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.004

**Figure supplement 1.** *glp-1(gf)* gonads contain more than twice the number of converted cells which display neuronal characteristics. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.005

**Figure supplement 2.** Germ cell numbers are similar between *gld-1 gld-2* double and *gld-1 gld-2*; *glp-1* triple mutants. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.006

**Figure supplement 3.** Blocking the cell cycle with hydroxyurea does not inhibit GeCo+. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.007

gain-of-function *glp-1* alleles, have morphologically similar gonads, filled with proliferating, undifferentiated germ cells (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*) (*Kadyk and Kimble, 1998; Hansen et al.,* 2004). We combined *gld-1(q497) gld-2(q485)* mutations with either the temperature-sensitive lossof-function (lf) *glp-1* allele (*e2144*), or the temperature-sensitive gain-of-function (gf) *glp-1* allele (*ar202*) (*Priess et al., 1987; Pepper et al., 2003*). Because GLD-1 and GLD-2 regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level only, we expected that transcriptionally regulated GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> targets could be identified in this background.

To analyze gene expression, gonads were dissected from animals grown at the restrictive temperature in two independent experiments, and transcripts were analyzed with tiling arrays (GEO accession number GSE49395). We identified around 100 transcripts that were differentially expressed between the *gld-1 gld-2*; *glp-1*(lf) (Notch OFF) and *gld-1 gld-2*; *glp-1*(gf) (Notch ON) gonads (*Figure 2A* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2*, *Figure 2—source data 1*). These changes were confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) on selected transcripts (*Figure 2—figure supplement2A*, *Figure 2—source data 1*). Most differentially expressed transcripts were upregulated in the 'Notch ON' gonads, indicating a predominantly activating role of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> in germ cells. For simplicity, we will refer to the transcripts upregulated at least two fold in the Notch ON gonads as 'Notch–activated'. Some Notch-activated genes, such as *sel-8/Mastermind*, *lst-1*, and *epn-1/Epsin*, have been implicated in Notch signaling in other cell types (*Doyle et al., 2000; Yoo, 2004; Tian et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2011; Kershner et al., 2014*). However, it remains possible that, rather than being direct GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> targets, some of the Notch-activated genes were upregulated as an indirect consequence of increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling.

To demonstrate that Notch-activated genes are functionally relevant for germ cell proliferation, we performed RNAi knockdown of Notch-activated genes (n = 64) on animals carrying the gain-of-function *glp-1(ar202)* allele, and screened for enhancement or suppression of the tumorous gonad phenotype (*Supplementary file 1*; for detailed experimental procedure see Materials and Methods). Knocking down some of the Notch-activated genes suppressed the tumorous phenotype, which agrees with predominantly proliferation-promoting role of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>. Interestingly, knocking down a smaller subset of the Notch-activated genes enhanced the tumor (*Supplementary file 1*),

eLIFE Research article



**Figure 2.** GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 regulate common targets and are functionally connected. (A) Notch-activated genes are biased for the sex chromosome linkage. Left: Changes in transcript abundance in the 'Notch ON' versus 'OFF' dissected gonads (genotypes explained in *Figure 2—figure supplement 1A–B*) were analyzed by microarrays. Transcripts upregulated at least 2-fold in the 'Notch ON' gonads are marked in red, those downregulated at least 2-fold in blue. Selected transcripts verified by RT-qPCR in *Figure 2—figure supplement 2A* are additionally circled in black. Right: 5426 genes can be considered expressed in the gonad, based on the bimodal distribution of expression values. Only 3% of those expressed genes are X-linked. In contrast, nearly half (46%) of the expressed and Notch-activated transcripts are X-linked (see *Figure 2—figure supplement 2B* for numbers). (B) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 interact genetically. Left: DAPI-stained gonads from animals of the indicated genotypes. The *mes-2(bn11)* M+Z-single mutant gonads have wild-type appearance at 20°C. The *glp-1(ar202)* gain-of-function mutants have an almost wild-type appearance at this *Figure 2 continued on next page* 



#### Figure 2 continued

temperature, except for an extended proliferative zone in the gonad, referred to as 'distal tumor'. At the same temperature, *mes-2(bn11)* M+Z-; *glp-1* (*ar202*) double mutants developed germline tumors in 32/32 of the examined gonads. The insets show close-ups from the indicated gonadal regions: the distal-most regions contain undifferentiated, proliferative germ cells in all mutants (**a**, **c**, **e**). However, while the single mutants contain oocytes with characteristically condensed chromosomes in the proximal gonads (**b**, **d**), the proximal gonads of the double mutants harbor proliferative germ cells (**f**). Scale bar = 30 μm. Right: quantification of the phenotypes. 'Distal tumor' indicates the presence of an elongated distal proliferative zone (approximately ½ of the distal gonad arm). 'Extended' tumor indicates an extended distal tumor, few oocytes, and frequently also a proximal tumor. 'Fully tumorous' indicates the absence of all differentiated cell types except for sperm produced during larval development. (**C**) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 target the same genes on the X chromosomes. The plots correlate changes in gene expression in M+Z- *mes-2* mutants with changes in gene expression changes in M+Z- mes-6 mutants. Results are shown separately for X-linked (left) and autosomal (right) transcripts. Notch-activated genes (red in *Figure 2A*) are marked in red. Lightly shaded areas indicate transcripts that are at least 2-fold upregulated. The overlap between transcripts upregulated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and transcripts upregulated by the loss of CePRC2 is highly significant, particularly for the X-linked genes. The significance of the correlation was measured by hypergeometric distribution; X-linked Notch-activated vs. *mes-2* derepressed: p=1.31e-31; X-linked Notch-activated vs. *mes-6* de-repressed: p=1.47e-22; autosomal Notch-activated vs. *mes-6* de-repressed: p=1.8e-12.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.008

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Source data 1. Microarray results. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.009

Figure supplement 1. Examining transcriptional effects of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.010

Figure supplement 2. Analysis of Notch-activated genes.

#### DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.011

**Figure supplement 3.** The PRC2 component MES-6 and most enhancers/suppressors of *glp-1(ar202)* induced tumors appear to interact genetically with GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling in a germline-autonomous manner.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.012

**Figure supplement 4.** Global levels of H3K27me3 are unaffected by neither loss-of-function nor gain-of-function mutations in *glp-1*. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.013

suggesting that some of the Notch-activated genes may counteract proliferation. While some of these genes may function autonomously in the germline, others could affect the germline indirectly from the soma. To test this, we RNAi-depleted selected candidates in the *rrf-1* (*pk1417*) mutant background, which is permissive for RNAi in the germline but deficient in RNAi in many (but not all) somatic tissues (*Kumsta and Hansen, 2012*). While depleting most candidates in the *rrf-1* background had similar effects on the germline as in the wild type (suggesting germline-autonomous function), in some cases the effects were abolished, suggesting that these genes function in the soma (*Figure 2—figure supplement 3, Figure 2—source data 1*).

Strikingly, we noticed that Notch-activated genes were enriched on the X-chromosome, the *C. elegans* sex chromosome. 45% of the Notch-activated genes were X-linked, which is four-fold more than expected by chance (p=2.99e<sup>-14</sup>; *Figure 2A* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2B*, *Figure 2—source data 1*). When analyzing only genes with higher than baseline germline expression values, the disproportional X-linkage of Notch-activated genes was even more striking (fifteen times more than expected by chance (p=2,19e<sup>-38</sup>; *Figure 2A* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2B*, *Figure 2—source data 1*). In the *C. elegans* germline, X-linked genes are largely silenced by the *C. elegans* PRC2 (*Fong et al., 2002*). Thus, the X chromosome bias among Notch-activated genes suggested a possible antagonistic relationship between GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2.

#### GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 have antagonistic functions in the germline

The *C. elegans* PRC2 consists of MES-2, -3, and -6 (**Bender et al., 2004**) and levels of the corresponding transcripts were essentially not altered by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling (*mes-2*: absolute fold change (fc) -1.3747; *mes-3*: fc 1.003; *mes-6*: fc 1.037). To test for a functional relationship between GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2, we examined genetic interactions between GLP-1 and PRC2 mutants. At 20°C, both the temperature-sensitive gain-of-function *glp-1(ar202)* and the homozygous loss-of-function *mes-2(bn11)* mutants, derived from heterozygous mothers providing maternal MES-2 (*mes-2* M+Z- mutants), were viable and produced gonads with nearly wild-type appearance. The double *mes-2* M+Z-; *glp-1(ar202)* mutants, however, displayed distal and proximal tumors at the same

temperature (*Figure 2B*; 32/32 examined gonads). PRC2 and GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> thus interact functionally, and they appear to do so in a germ cell autonomous manner (*Figure 2—figure supplement 3, Figure 2—source data 1*).

Given the striking enrichment of Notch-activated genes on the X chromosome, and the genetic interaction between PRC2 and GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>, we speculated that GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 act on similar genes. To determine whether Notch-activated genes are also PRC2-repressed, we first determined putative PRC2 targets by expression analyses on isolated wild-type, M+Z- *mes-2* or *mes-6* mutant gonads (GEO accession number GSE49395). Consistent with the joint function of MES-2 and MES-6 in the PRC2 complex, a very similar set of genes was upregulated upon the loss of either protein (*Figure 2C; Figure 2—source data 1*; henceforth 'PRC2 repressed' genes; also see (*Gaydos et al., 2012*). Indeed, those PRC2-repressed genes overlapped strongly with Notch-activated genes were also derepressed upon the loss of PRC2 (*Figure 2C*). This is consistent with the observed genetic interaction and suggests that increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling can induce expression of specific PRC2-repressed genes. This activation of the PRC2-repressed genes is not due to a global loss of the repressive tri-methylation of Histone H3 at lysine residue 27 (H3K27me3), since, upon examining gonads of different GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> mutants, we observed no global loss of H3K27me3 in the germline (*Figure 2—figure supplement 4*).

# GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling enhances reprograming

Germ cell conversion to neurons can be triggered not only by LIN-53 depletion but also by the depletion of PRC2 (*Patel et al., 2012*). Potentially, the depletion of LIN-53 could facilitate reprograming by inhibiting PRC2, since the depletion of LIN-53 results in a global loss of H3K27me3 in the germline (*Patel et al., 2012*). Considering the antagonistic relation between GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 on cell proliferation and gene regulation, we wondered whether GeCo triggered by the depletion of PRC2 would be sensitive to Notch signaling. Indeed, GeCo was strongly enhanced in PRC2-depleted (*mes-2, mes-3* or *mes-6* RNAi) animals, when they also carried the gain-of-function *glp-1(ar202)* allele (*Figure 3A, Figure 3—source data 1*). Moreover, similar to the LIN-53–depleted *gld-1(q497) gld-2* (*q485*); *glp-1(q175*) gonads (*Figure 1D*), the loss of GLP-1 effectively prevented GeCo in PRC2–depleted *gld-1(q497) gld-2(q485*); *glp-1(q175) gonads* (*Figure 3B, Figure 3—source data 1*). Together, these results suggest that GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> stimulates GeCo in PRC2-compromised gonads.

# UTX-1 is required for GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>-mediated GeCo enhancement

To determine how GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> might counteract PRC2, we depleted candidate Notch-activated genes (*Supplementary file 1*), and examined GeCo efficiency (*Figure 4A*, *Figure 4—source data* 1). The strongest suppression of the GeCo+ and GeCo phenotype was observed upon the depletion of *utx-1*, which also suppressed *mes-3* RNAi-mediated GeCo+ in *glp-1(ar202)* gonads (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1*). Depletion of two other candidates, the uncharacterized C07G1.6 and the aldolase ortholog *aldo-1*, also suppressed GeCo+, albeit less efficiently (*Figure 4A*). Because UTX-1 was suggested to effect gonadal development by functioning in the somatic gonad (*Vandamme et al., 2012*), we re-examined GeCo efficiency upon *utx-1* RNAi in the *rrf-1* (*pk1417*) background. Importantly, in *rrf-1* mutants, RNAi is impaired in the somatic gonad, including the distal tip cell (DTC), which constitutes the germline stem cell niche (*Kumsta and Hansen, 2012*). Because the suppression of GeCo+ upon *utx-1* RNAi was observed also in the *rrf-1* background, UTX-1 does not seem to enhance GeCo by functioning in the somatic gonad (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*). Furthermore, different genetic backgrounds and RNAi agains *utx-1* do not affect the expression levels of CHE-1 in the germline (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1B*).

Importantly, utx-1 encodes a conserved H3K27me3 demethylase, an enzyme erasing the repressive mark deposited by PRC2 (**Agger et al., 2007**; **Jin et al., 2011**; **Maures et al., 2011**), potentially explaining how its depletion impairs GeCo efficiency. However, a number of other H3K27me3 demethylases exist in *C. elegans*, which prompted us to test whether depletion of these demethylases might have an effect on GeCo in the *glp-1(ar202)* gonads. We RNAi-depleted *jmjd-1.2*, encoding a H3K9/27me2 demethylase (**Kleine-Kohlbrecher et al., 2010**), *jmjd-3.1*, *jmjd-3.2*, and *jmjd-3.3*, which were reported to demethylate H3K27me2/3 (**Agger et al., 2007**; **Kleine-Kohlbrecher et al., 2010**; **Zuryn et al., 2014**), and, as a control, *jmjd-2*, encoding a H3K9/36 demethylase (**Whetstine et al.**,



**Figure 3.** GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> enhances reprograming upon the depletion of PRC2. (A) Notch and PRC2 genetically interact in GeCo. Left: Fluorescent micrographs of *glp-1(ar202)* gain-of-function mutants expressing CHE-1–induced neuronal GFP. The animals were subjected to control RNAi or RNAi against PRC2 components (MES-2, 3, and 6), as indicated. Increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling enhanced the GeCo+ phenotype upon PRC2 depletion. Control RNAi (mock) for each genetic background did not result in any GeCo (images not shown – see quantification). Right: The corresponding quantifications. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^1=0,0006$ ;  $p^2<0,0001$ ;  $p^3=0,0536$ ;  $p^4=0,0001$ ;  $p^5=0,4035$ ;  $p^6=0,0003$ . At least 200 animals were scored per condition. Error bars represent SEM. (B) GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> is required for GeCo in PRC2-depleted animals independently from proliferation. Left: Fluorescent micrographs of adults expressing CHE-1–induced nGFP, with the genotypes indicated above the panels. The animals were subjected to RNAi as indicated on the left. The first column shows heterozygous, the other two homozygous animals carrying the loss of function alleles *gld-1(q497)*, *gld-2(q485)* and, in the central panels, *glp-1(q175)*. The animals were subjected to control RNAi or RNAi against PRC2 components (MES-2, 3, and 6). In the absence of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>, depletion of PRC2 components did not induce GeCo. Scale bars = 10 µm. Right: The corresponding *Figure 3 continued on next page* 



#### Figure 3 continued

quantifications. P-values were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^1 < 0,0456$ ;  $p^2 = 0,0337$ ;  $p^3 = 0,0070$ ;  $p^4 = 0,0637$ ;  $p^5 = 0,0080$ ;  $p^6 = 0,1259$ . At least 70 animals were scored per condition. Error bars represent SEM.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.014 The following source data is available for figure 3:

**Source data 1.** Quantification of GeCo upon PRC2 depletion. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.015

**2006**; **Greer et al., 2014**) (*Figure 4B, Figure 4—source data 1*). Only the depletion of JMJD-1.2 suppressed GeCo+ significantly, though to a lesser degree than the depletion of UTX-1 (*Figure 4B, Figure 4—source data 1*). The suppression of GeCo by the depletion of UTX-1 or JMJD-1.2 stresses the importance of counteracting PRC2 in reprograming. However, only the expression of *utx-1* is activated by the GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling, suggesting that it is the activity of UTX-1 which is key for the reprograming dependent on GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling.

# GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2 regulate expression of the H3K27 demethylase UTX-1

The inhibition of GeCo enhancement upon utx-1 RNAi in the rrf-1 background suggested that UTX-1 functions in the germline. To test the potential expression of utx-1 in the germline, we constructed a strain expressing single copy-integrated, FLAG and GFP-tagged, functional UTX-1 (expressed from the endogenous utx-1 promoter under the control of endogenous utx-1 3'UTR). We found that this protein was indeed expressed in the germline (Figure 5-figure supplement 1). To examine the potential transcriptional regulation of utx-1 expression by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2, we also created a strain expressing GFP-tagged histone H2B from the utx-1 promoter (putx-1 reporter), under the control of an unregulated (tbb-2) 3'UTR. This construct was also single-copy integrated into a defined genomic location. With both the UTX-1 fusion protein and the putx-1 reporter, we expected expression patterns similar to that of other reported GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> target genes (Lamont et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2006; Kershner et al., 2014). Among these, lst-1 and sygl-1 account for the role of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> in stem cell maintenance, and both genes are expressed in the distal-most stem cells/progenitors (Kershner et al., 2014). By contrast, both the UTX-1 fusion protein and the putx-1 reporter were little or not expressed in the distal-most, proliferative part of the germline (Figure 5A-C; Figure 5-figure supplement 1). Concomitantly with progression through meiosis, their expression increased toward the proximal end of the gonad (Figure 5A-C). When examining the existing mRNA hybridization patterns of Notch-activated and PRC2-repressed genes (33 genes), we noticed that half of these (18, all X-linked) are similarly expressed in the medial and/or proximal, but not the distal-most, gonads (Supplementary file 2), arguing against direct transcriptional activation of these genes by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> in the wild type. Nevertheless, in agreement with the expression analyses, we observed increased expression of the putx-1 reporter in PRC2-depleted gonads; this increase occurred throughout the gonad, including the distal-most region (Figure 5A). In situ hybridization for the endogenous utx-1 transcript also showed increased expression throughout the gonad in the absence of PRC2 (Figure 5-figure supplement 2). Moreover, expression of the putx-1 reporter was higher upon increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> activity in glp-1(ar202) mutants, including the distal-most region where, in the wild type, utx-1 is little or not expressed (Figure 5B). Importantly, we found that the activation of the utx-1 promoter by Notch signaling was depended on the putative LAG-1/ CSL binding sites within the promoter (Yoo et al., 2004), as deleting those sites reduced reporter expression by approximately one-fourth (Figure 5C). The interaction between LAG-1 and utx-1 was also tested by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), performed on a strain expressing FLAGtagged LAG-1 in either wild-type or glp-1(ar202) background (Figure 5D and Figure 5-figure supplement 3); the previously identified GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> targets, *lst-1* and *sygl-1* (Kershner et al., 2014), served as positive controls for the ChIP. Expectedly, we observed the enhanced binding of LAG-1 to the utx-1 promoter, indicating that utx-1 is a transcriptional target of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling. Summarizing, based on the observations in mutant backgrounds, PRC2 and GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling have antagonistic effects on utx-1 transcription. However, in wild type, the endogenous levels of GLP- $1^{Notch}$  signaling are apparently insufficient to overcome PRC2-mediated repression of utx-1 in the distal-most part of the gonad.



**Figure 4.** The H3K27 demethylase UTX-1 is required for GeCo enhancement. (A) UTX-1 is critical for GeCo enhancement. Candidate Notch-activated genes, selected from *Supplementary file 2* with available RNAi clones, were assayed for a role in GeCo in *glp-1(ar202)* animals, expressing CHE-1– induced nGFP and treated with *lin-53* RNAi. While the additional depletion of *utx-1* had the strongest impact on GeCo+ and GeCo, the depletion of C07G1.6 and *aldo-1* had a weaker effect. Representative fluorescence micrographs are below the quantification chart. White dashed line outline the animal body, yellow lines outline gonadal areas with GeCo. P-values for GeCo+ were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^1=0,00013$ ;  $p^2=0,026$ ;  $p^3=0,021$ ;  $p^4>0,1$ . At least 250 animals were scored per condition. Error bars represent SEM. nGFP = *gcy-5::gfp*. Scale bars = 10 µm. (B) As in A, but RNAi was performed against *jmjd-1.2* (H3K9/27me2 demethylase); *jmjd-3.1, jmjd-3.2,* and *jmjd-3.3,* (H3K27me2/3 demethylase); and *jmjd-2* (H3K9/36 demethylase). Only RNAi against *jmjd-1.2* suppresses GeCo+, though to a lesser degree compared to *utx-1* RNAi. Representative fluorescence *Figure 4 continued on next page* 



#### Figure 4 continued

micrographs are below the quantification chart. P-values for GeCo+ were calculated using Student's t-test:  $p^1=0,0042$ ;  $p^2=0,035$ ;  $p^3>0,2$ . At least 190 animals were scored per condition. Error bars represent SEM. Scale bars = 10  $\mu$ m. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.016

The following source data and figure supplement are available for figure 4:

Source data 1. Quantification of GeCo upon double RNAi against *lin-53* and Notch-activated genes.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.017

**Figure supplement 1.** UTX-1 is required for the GeCo+ enhancement upon the depletion of PRC2. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.018

## Discussion

In the C. elegans germline, GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling is essential for maintaining a pool of undifferentiated stem cells/progenitors. Here, we report an unexpected role of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling in promoting cell fate reprograming. To understand this phenomenon, we identified genes activated upon increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling. While the identified genes include the physiological GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> targets, sygl-1 and lst-1, many other genes, including utx-1, appear to be only weakly or not expressed in the distal-most region of the wild-type gonad, where both sygl-1 and lst-1 are induced by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> (Kershner et al., 2014). Thus, the wild-type levels of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling are either insufficient to induce expression of many potential target genes (see below), or their expression is controlled by additional mechanisms, perhaps similarly to lip-1 mRNA, which, while induced by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>, is post-transcriptionally degraded in the distal-most gonad (Hajnal and Berset, 2002; Lee et al., 2006). In addition to its major proliferation-promoting function, GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> has been suggested to have a lesser role in promoting germ cell differentiation (Hansen et al., 2004). Some of the identified Notch-activated genes appear to promote germ cell differentiation, potentially explaining the proposed differentiation-promoting function of GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>. However, whether these genes are activated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and promote differentiation under physiological conditions remains to be determined.

Many of the Notch-activated genes are repressed by PRC2, suggesting that the expression of these genes is determined by the crosstalk between the extrinsic intercellular signaling pathway, Notch, and the intrinsic chromatin modifier PRC2. Indeed, at least in the case of utx-1, PRC2 appears to prevent its inappropriate expression in the distal-most gonad, which, nevertheless, can be overcome upon increased GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> signaling. Our findings suggest that GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> antagonizes PRC2, at least in part, by stimulating expression of the H3K27 demethylase UTX-1, which is essential for the enhancement of cellular reprograming. Previously, it was suggested that UTX-1 influences the germline by functioning in the somatic gonad (Vandamme et al., 2012). However, by using the rrf-1 background, which displays defective RNAi in the somatic gonad, including the DTC (Kumsta and Hansen, 2012), we found that the reprograming-promoting role of UTX-1 is unlikely to depend on its function in the somatic gonad. Although we cannot fully exclude the possibility that the reprograming-facilitating role of UTX-1 depends on its expression in another somatic tissue (such as the intestine, in which RNAi remains functional in the rrf-1 mutant; Kumsta and Hansen, 2012), the germline expression of UTX-1 reported here suggests a germline-autonomous function. Consistent with this hypothesis, manipulating either PRC2 or GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> affected the germline expression of utx-1.

Although additional factors, such as the uncharacterized C07G1.6 and the ortholog of the human aldolase A (*Kuwabara and O'Neil, 2001; Shaye and Greenwald, 2011*) might contribute to reprograming, they appear to be less critical. In addition to UTX-1, another H3K27/H3K9-demethylating enzyme, JMJD-1.2 (*Kleine-Kohlbrecher et al., 2010*), is required for enhanced reprograming. Similar to UTX-1, JMJD-1.2 is likely to be directly involved in regulating chromatin accessibility, since its depletion results in increased levels of the repressive H3K9me2 and H3K27me2 modifications (*Kleine-Kohlbrecher et al., 2010*). The reprograming-promoting role of JMJD-1.2 might indicate that, besides further demethylation of H3K27me2, perhaps also the removal of H3K9me2 facilitates GeCo. Future studies will shed light on this interesting question.

UTX-1 orthologs in other species contribute to tissue-specific chromatin signatures, for example during myogenesis or in cardiac development (Seenundun et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012), and have



**Figure 5.** UTX-1 is regulated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> and PRC2. (A–C) Expression of *utx-1* is regulated by PRC2 and GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>. Top: dissected gonads expressing a GFP reporter, driven from the *utx-1* promoter (*putx-1::GFP*, fused to histone 2B for nuclear localization to facilitate quantification), subjected to the indicated RNAi (A), crossed into the indicated genetic background (B) or carrying the indicated mutations in the reporter gene (C). a, b, and c indicate gonadal regions containing germ cells in mitosis (a), and leptotene/zygotene (b) or pachytene (c) stages of meiosis. Below: the corresponding GFP quantifications. The diagrams show GFP intensities relative to the control (indicated by green arrows) in regions a-c. Results are represented as average changes in the GFP intensity *Figure 5 continued on next page* 

#### Figure 5 continued

(relative to mock RNAi-ed or untreated animals). The error bars represent SEM. The numbers of analyzed gonads were as follows: n = 44 for wild-type reporter; n = 36 for glp-1(ar202); n = 55 for wild-type reporter on control RNAi; n = 48 for mes-2 (RNAi); n = 15 for mes-3 (RNAi); n = 29 for mes-6 (RNAi), and n = 20 for the LAG-1 binding sites deleted reporter. (A) The putx-1::GFP reporter is repressed by PRC2. In all mes-depleted gonads, the reporter was de-repressed in proliferating cells (a) as well as in more proximal gonadal regions (b-c). (B) The putx-1::GFP reporter is upregulated by GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup>. In the gain-of-function glp-1(ar202) mutant, the reporter was strongly derepressed in the proliferating cells in the distal-most gonad (a). Its expression was also increased in the more proximal regions (b-c), which, in this mutant background, contain proliferating cells instead of meiotic cells. (C) putx-1::GFP expression depends on the predicted LAG-1/CSL binding sites in the promoter. Upon deletion of putative LAG-1/CSL binding sites, the reporter expression was abolished. The changes in GFP intensities were highly significant (p-values were measured by independent t-tests)  $p^{1}=4.85^{-13}$ ,  $p^{2}=1.38^{-20}$ ,  $p^{3}=1.18^{-7}$ . (D) LAG-1 binds the utx-1 promoter. Lysates of animals expressing FLAG-tagged LAG-1 (strain wgls591; lag-1::TY1:: EGFP::3xFLAG), either in wild-type or qlp-1(ar202) background, were subjected to ChIP-qPCR analysis of the indicated genes. Negative controls and additional tested genes are shown in Figure 5-figure supplement 3. The gPCR amplicons were tested in at least three independent experiments. The results are shown as fold enrichment in anti-FLAG IP compared to IP with unspecific antibody. The 3'UTR of Ist-1 serves as a negative control. Interestingly, LAG-1 binding in the glp-1(ar202) gain-of-function background is stronger to the utx-1 promoter than to the reported GLP-1<sup>Notch</sup> targets *lst-1* and *sygl-1*. The asterisk indicates a p-value < 0.05 (Students t-test). Error bars represent SEM. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.019

The following figure supplements are available for figure 5:

**Figure supplement 1.** The functional *utx-1* transgene is expressed in the same pattern as a GFP reporter coupled to the *utx-1* promoter.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.020

**Figure supplement 2.** The endogenous *utx-1* mRNA is upregulated in the absence of the PRC2-component MES-6.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.021

**Figure supplement 3.** Testing LAG-1 binding to additional genes by ChIP. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.022

been implicated in germ-cell and somatic reprograming (*Mansour et al., 2012*). Together with our data, these findings underscore the importance of UTX-1 in cellular reprograming. Here, we suggest that one way the activity of UTX-1 may be stimulated to promote reprograming is through its Notch signaling-dependent transcriptional activation. Interestingly, an antagonistic relationship between Notch and PRC2 has also been observed in T-cell leukemia (*Ntziachristos et al., 2012*). A fascinating possibility is that a regulatory principle described here could help explain the etiology of this and perhaps other human diseases linked to a pathological increase in Notch signaling.

## **Materials and methods**

#### Nematode culture and mutants

Standard procedures were used to maintain animals. Worms (RRID:WB\_DL226) were grown at 25°C unless stated otherwise. All heat-shock and temperature-sensitive strains were kept at 15°C. The *C. elegans* lines used in this study are listed and described in detail in the **Supplementary file 3A**.

#### **RNA interference experiments**

The enhancer-suppressor screen on Notch targets was performed by feeding the animals with bacteria containing RNAi clones from the Ahringer and Vidal RNAi libraries. The clones not present in either of these libraries were cloned using primers as described in detail in the **Supplementary file 3B**. Experiments were performed at 15°C, 20°C or 25°C using bleached embryos or overnight-synchronized L1 animals as stated in **Supplementary file 1**. The percentage of adult animals with the germline tumor phenotype was scored. To test germ-cell autonomy, RNAi clones that induced significant suppression or enhancement in either setting were re-tested in a strain carrying the *glp-1* (*ar202*) (RRID:WB\_GC833) allele and, additionally the *rrf-1*(*pk1417*) (RRID:WB\_NL2098) mutation, which impairs RNAi primarily in the soma (**Sijen et al., 2001**). For this test, gravid adults were picked to RNAi plates and allowed to lay eggs overnight at the semi-permissive temperature of 20°C. Progeny was scored for enhancement or suppression of the germline tumorous phenotype at the young adult stage by DAPI staining of dissected gonads and scoring gonads as either wild-type, as containing a proximal or distal tumor but still some eggs, or as completely tumorous.

Reprograming experiments were carried out as F1-RNAi. Worms were put on RNAi plates and the following F1 generation was screened for phenotypes. Used RNAi clones are described in the **Supplementary file 3B**. The genotype of the worms used for germ cell reprograming assays is BAT28 (*otls305 ntls1*, RRID:WB\_OH9846, details in Supplemental Materials and methods). Animals were synchronized by bleaching and eggs were put on NGM-agar containing *E. coli* OP50 (RRID: WB\_OP50) as a food source to grow at 15°C until worms reached the L4-stage. At this stage 15–20 worms were put per well of a 6-well plate, containing bacteria expressing dsRNA or carrying an empty RNAi vector, and grown at 15°C until most of the F1 progeny reached the L3/L4 stage. The plates were heat-shocked at 37°C for 30 min followed by an overnight incubation at 25°C. Next day (~16 hrs post heat-shock) the plates were screened for progeny showing ectopic GFP induction in the germline. To induce the Glp phenotype in *glp-1(ar202)*, the animals were shifted to room temperature 9 hrs before the heat-shock. For double RNAi, bacteria were grown as saturated culture. The OD<sub>600</sub> was measured to ensure that the bacteria were mixed in an appropriate 1:1 ratio and subsequently seeded on RNAi 6-well plates. The RNAi screen was performed as described above. The p-values were calculated using Student's t-test.

#### Cell cycle arrest by HU treatment and EdU staining

Hydroxyurea (HU) treatment was carried out as previously described (**Fox et al., 2011; Patel et al., 2012**). HU was added to seeded RNAi-plates at a final concentration of 250  $\mu$ M. L4 worms (strain BAT32, details in **Supplementary file 3A**) grown on RNAi-plates were transferred to HU plates and incubated at room temperature for 5 hrs prior to heat-shock in order to induce CHE-1 expression. To test HU efficiency, control animals were treated with HU for 12 hrs with subsequent staining for DAPI and H3Ser10ph (pH3) antibody (Abcam #ab5176). After overnight incubation, the worms were assessed for GFP induction in the germline as described above. To assess the efficiency of the HU treatment, the *E. coli* strain MG1693 (*E. coli* stock center), with incorporated 5-ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU), was used to feed L3/L4 worms. EdU in combination with DAPI staining was performed similar to the procedure described previously (*Ito and McGhee, 1987*) and according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Europe) of the EdU labeling kit. The Click-iT EdU reaction buffer (Invitrogen, Europe) was mixed with Alexa Fluor azide ('click' reaction) dye to detect cells that were replicating DNA. Staining was performed by freeze cracking worms after fixation with 2% formaldehyde.

#### C. elegans tiling array analysis

Parental animals were raised at 15°C and shifted to 25°C for egg laying. Offspring was dissected after the L4-adult transition. Fifty gonads per analyzed genotype in triplicates were dissected in M9 containing levamisole. RNA was isolated using the PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Total RNA (200 ng for the Notch ON/OFF experiments or 100 ng for the *mes*/wild-type experiments) was amplified using the Affymetrix Gene-Chip WT Amplified Double Stranded cDNA Synthesis Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The Affymetrix GeneChip WT Double-Stranded cDNA Terminal Labeling Kit was used for the fragmentation and labeling of 7.5 µg cDNA. The labeled material was loaded onto Affymetrix Gene-Chip C. elegans Tiling 1.0R Arrays and hybridized at 65°C for 16 hrs. The arrays were washed in an Affymetrix Fluidics station with the protocol FS450\_0001 and scanned in an Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 3000 with autoloader using Affymetrix GCC Scan Control v. 3.0.0.1214 software. All tiling arrays were processed in R (32,33) using Bioconductor (34) and the packages tilingArray and preprocessCore. The arrays were RMA background corrected and log2 transformed on the oligo level using the following command:

expr <- log2 (rma.background.correct (exprs (readCel2eSet (filenames,rotated = TRUE)))).

We mapped the oligos from the tiling array (bpmap file from www.affymetrix.com) to the *C.ele-gans* genome assembly ce6 (www.genome.ucsc.edu) using bowtie (*Langmead et al., 2009*), allowing no error and unique mapping position. Expressions for individual transcripts were calculated by

intersecting the genomic positions of the oligos with transcript annotation (WormBase WS190) and averaging the intensity of the respective oligos. For the *mes-4*/wt experiment, no quantile normalization was performed as the distributions for the *mes-4* mutant and the wt differed substantially. In the case of the Notch ON/OFF dataset quantile normalization was performed on the level of transcripts. The p-values were calculated in R with the hypergeometric distribution function 'phyper'.

## Validation of array analysis by RT-qPCR

RNA was isolated as described above. cDNA was synthesized with oligo dT primer using the ImProm II Reverse Transcription System from Promega according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was used for qPCR with the Absolute QPCR SYBR Green ROX Mix (AbGene) on an ABI PRISM 7700 system (Applied Biosystems). qPCR reactions were performed as described previously (*Biedermann et al., 2009*). At least one primer in each pair is specific for an exon-exon junction. Sequences of the used primers are described in detail in the *Supplementary file 3C*. Mouse RNA was added before RNA isolation and reverse transcription, allowing for normalization to *cyt-c* and thereby correcting for variations in RNA isolation and reverse transcription. Standard curves for quantification were generated from a serial dilution of input cDNA for each primer pair. The amount of target present in each replicate was derived from the standard curve; an average was calculated for each of the triplicates. To compare total mRNA levels, the qPCR results were normalized to mouse *cyt-c* and to the *C. elegans* tubulin gene *tbb-2*, and fold enrichments were calculated.

## In situ hybridization for utx-1

RNA in situ hybridization was performed and analyzed as previously described (*Biedermann et al., 2009*). Description of the primer pairs to generate probes from cDNA can be found in the *Supplementary file 3C*. Images were captured with a Zeiss AxioImager Z1 microscope, equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam MRc camera. Images were taken in linear mode of the Axiovision software (Zeiss) and processed with Adobe Photoshop CS4 in an identical manner.

## Chromatin immunoprecipitation and qPCR (ChIP-qPCR)

ChIP was performed as described (Askjaer et al., 2014). In brief, worms (strains OP591, RRID:WB OP591, and BAT890) were washed with M9 buffer and frozen as 'worm popcorn' in liquid nitrogen prior to pulverization with a mortar and pestle. The powder was dissolved in 10 volumes of 1,1% formaldehyde in PBS + 1 mM PMSF and incubated 10 mins with gentle rocking at room temperature with subsequent quenching for 5 mins at room temperature by adding 2,5 molar glycine (final concentration 125 mM). After centrifugation with 4.000 g at 4°C the pellet was washed with ice-cold PBS+1 mM PMSF and resuspended in 50 mM FA buffer (50 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7,5; 1 mM EDTA; 1% Triton-X 100; 0,1% sodium deoxycholate; 150 mM NaCl) + 1% sarkosyl + protease-inhibitors. Samples were sonicated twice using the Biorupter (15 times 30 s ON, 30 s OFF) on high settings at 4°C followed by spinning at 13.000 g, 15 min, 4°C. The supernatant corresponding to 4 mg of protein measured by Bradford assay was used for ChIPs. Samples were incubated with 50 µl of FLAG or HA antibodies coupled to µMACS microbeads (Milteny) (all blocked with 5% milk in FA-buffer). After incubating 1 hr at 4°C, the beads where washed in µMACS matrix columns in a magnetic rack with FA buffer containing 1 M and 0.5 M NaCl and subsequent wash with TE and TEL buffer (0,25 M LiCl; 1% Sodium deoxycholate; 1 mM EDTA; 10 mM Tris pH 8,0). Bound material was eluted with 65°C pre-warmed 125 µl ChIP elution buffer (1% SDS, 250 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA) and fixation was reversed using 2 µl of 10 mg/ml Proteinase K at at 50°C for 1 hr followed by 65°C incubation overnight. DNA was purified using the Quiaguick PCR purification kit in a final volume of 40  $\mu$ l and 1  $\mu$ l was used for qPCR. Negative controls were used to assess the quality of the ChIP and fold enrichment of the target genes: lysates (N2 worms) which do not express the recombinant target protein with specific antibody (anti-FLAG coupled to µMACS beads) and lysates of worms expressing the recombinant target protein with unspecific antibody (anti-HA coupled to µMACS beads) controls, respectively. Primer for gPCRs was designed using Primer3Plus (Untergasser et al., 2007) with the following settings: amplified region min. 100 bp - max. 200 bp; GC content: 50-60%; min. primer length: 18 nt - max. length 24 nt; melting temperature: min. 58°C - max. 63°C; max.; 3' self complementary allowance set to 1; max. allowed length of a mononucleotide repeat (max. poly-x): 3. Sequences of the used primers are listed in in the Supplementary file 3C. The

qPCRs were run on CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System from BioRad using the Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (2X). The data analysis was performed by calculating the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct-values. Differences were assessed using Student's t-test.

## DAPI staining and counting of germ cells

Worms were transferred to a slide and fixed by adding 10-20 µl 95% ethanol and letting evaporate the ethanol. The ethanol fixation was repeated 2 more times before adding the DAPI solution in microscopy mounting media (vectashield from Vector or similar). The samples were sealed with a coverslip and nail polish before microscopy. Fluorescent micrographs were recorded with Zeiss Axiolmager Z1 microscope and the SensicamII camera (PCO) and the micromanager software was used to capture Z-stack images with 0.5 µm slice steps. Images subject to direct comparison were taken at identical exposure times. Counting of germ cells within the range from the DTC to the turn of gonadal arms of glp-1(ar202); hsp::che-1; gcy-5::gfp animals treated with either mock or lin-53 RNAi was performed using the Z-stacks. Micromanager was used to control the Z-stack levels and the ImageJ plugin for cell counting for scoring the number of germ cells. Germ cell counts in gonads of Notch ON: gld-2(q497) gld-1(q485); glp-1(ar202) and Notch OFF: gld-2(a497) gld-1(q485); glp-1 (e2144) (Figure 1-figure supplement 2) and germline tumor phenotype in glp-1(ar202) and glp-1 (ar202); rrf-1(pk1417) were scored after dissection, formaldehyde fixation and DAPI staining. For Notch ON and Notch OFF mutants, the central plane of the gonads was imaged and germ cells in the entire dissected gonad were counted using the CellCounter plugin with ImageJ. For each of the two strains, germ cells in the entire gonad of 15 dissected gonads were counted.

#### Immunostaining and antibodies

Antibody stainings of intact worms were performed using a freeze-crack procedure as described (*Duerr, 2006*). In brief, after washing, worms were resuspended in 0.025% glutaraldehyde, and frozen between two frost-resistant glass slides on dry ice. Separating the glass slides while frozen creates additional cracks in the cuticle. Acetone/methanol or 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 1 hr on ice fixation was used. Worms were washed off the slides in PBS, blocked with 0.2% gelatin + 0.25% Triton in PBS, and stained. Primary antibodies were diluted in PBS with 0.1% gelatin and 0.25% Triton and fixed worms were incubated 4 hrs - overnight at 4°C. After PBS washes secondary antibody was applied for 3 hrs. After PBS washes worms were mounted with DAPI-containing mounting medium (Dianova, #CR-38448) on glass slides. The primary antibodies used were anti-H3K27me3 (1:400; gift from Dr. Hiroshi Kimura); anti-HA (1:100, Roche #12CA5; acetone fixation); anti-H3Ser10ph (1:400, Abcam, #ab14955; acetone fixation). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor dyes applied at 1:1000 dilution.

Stainings for H3K27me3 on dissected gonads were performed using anti-H3K27me3 from Millipore (catalogue number 07–449, Lot 1959680; courtesy of Jan Padeken, Gasser laboratory) on dissected gonads. The adult animals were dissected in M9 containing levamisole, fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS on poly-lysine coated slides, snap-frozen on dry ice, freeze-cracked, incubated for 5 mins in ice-cold DMF at  $-20^{\circ}$ , washed for 5 mins in PBS 0.1% Tween-20 at room temperature, blocked for 20 mins in PBS 0.1% Tween-20 + 5% BSA and incubated with the primary antibody over night at 4°C. Secondary antibodies (Alexa 488, goat anti rabbit, 1:500) were applied for 2 hrs at room temperature. Slides were then washed three times for 5 mins in PBS 0.1% Tween-20 at room temperature and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI.

#### Transgenic animals and reporter GFP quantifications

The transcriptional reporter gene *putx-1::gfp-h2b* (*rrrSi185*) was constructed from the 1302 bp putative promoter region of the gene *utx-1* (*human UTX* (*Ubiquitously transcribed TPR on X*) *homolog -*1) fused to sequences encoding for GFP-H2B and the ubiquitously expressed *tbb-2* 3'UTR using the Gateway Reporter Cloning System (*Merritt et al., 2008*). The reporter gene *putx-1::gfp-h2b* (*rrrSi185* and *rrrSi281*) was constructed with the following primers:

putx-attB4: GGGGACAACTTTGTATAGAAAAGTTGGGATTTTATCTTCATCGGACCTG putx-attB1 : GGGGACTGCTTTTTTGTACAAACTTGTGGCGGTGTGAGAAGCGATAC

The full-length functional transgene putx-1::flag-gfp-utx-1::utx-1 3'UTR (rrrSi189) was constructed with the following primers: utx-1+3UTR+attB2 L

#### ggggacagctttcttgtacaaagtggACGACGAATCAGAACCTCTGCCGGAGGAGCGTCATgtaag utx-1+3UTR+attB3 R ggggacaactttgtataataaagttgaatgcggatactgccttctc

The functional UTX-1 transgene putx-1::flag-gfp-tev::utx-1::utx-1 3'utr(rrrSi189) contains the same promoter as the transcriptional reporter, the full-length utx-1 genomic sequence as well as the endogenous 3'UTR, and was equipped with N-terminal GFP, FLAG, and TEV tags. Transgenic animals were produced as single-copy integrants using the MosSCI direct insertion protocol (Frøkjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). The rrrSi189 transgene is functional, as it rescues the utx-1 mutant (ok3553 allele). For GFP quantification, gonads were dissected from live animals in M9 buffer containing levamisole and mounted to glass microscopy slides (Frøkjaer-Jensen et al., 2008). Fluorescent micrographs were recorded with Zeiss AxioImager Z1 microscope and a Zeiss Axioncam MRm REV 2 CCD camera was used to capture images. Fluorescence intensities were quantified using ImageJ. GFP intensities were normalized to the picture background and corrected with the average autofluorescences measured in wild type (N2) gonads at the corresponding temperatures. Images subject to direct comparison were taken at identical exposure times and were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS4 in an identical manner. The numbers of analyzed gonads were as follows: n = 44 for wild-type reporter; n = 36 for  $glp-1(gf^{ts})$ ; n = 55 for wild-type reporter on control RNAi; n = 48 for mes-2(RNAi); n = 15 for mes-3(RNAi); n = 29 for mes-6(RNAi), and n = 20 for LAG-1 binding sites deleted reporter.

#### Genetic interaction of glp-1 and mes-2

Alleles used were *glp-1(ar202)* and *mes-2(bn11)*. Worms were grown at the semi-permissive temperature of 20°C and gonads were dissected and DAPI-stained shortly after the L4-young adult transition.

The experiment was performed twice. In a first round, a low number of gonads were examined to identify whether the double mutant had a phenotype and to define phenotypic categories to score. Based on the observation of a clear and penetrant phenotype, gonads were scored in a second round according to the categories defined in the first round.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Sergej Herzog, Alina El-Khalili, Mei He, Sandra Muehlhaeusser and Iskra Katic for technical assistance, and the following FMI technology platforms: functional genomics, bioinformatics, advanced imaging and microscopy. We also thank the CGC, supported by the NIH, Tim Schedl and Dave Hansen for providing strains. We thank Susan Gasser, James Priess, Dirk Schübeler, Gunter Merdes and members of the Tursun and Ciosk groups for discussion and comments on the manuscript. This work was partly sponsored by the SBFI grant Nr. C15.0038 to RC. The Friedrich Miescher Institute for Biomedical Research is sponsored by the Novartis Research Foundation. BT receives funding from ERC-StG-2014-637530 and ERC CIG PCIG12-GA-2012-333922 and is supported by the Max Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association.

# **Additional information**

#### Funding

<b>-</b>		
Funder	Grant reference number	Author
European Research Council	ERC-2014-STG #637530 - REPROWORM	Baris Tursun
European Research Council	FP7-PEOPLE-2012-CIG #333922- REPROL53U48	Baris Tursun
European Cooperation in Science and Technology	SBFI C15.0038	Rafal Ciosk

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### Author contributions

SS, IA-K, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article; BH, MH, SG, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data; BT, RC, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents

#### **Author ORCIDs**

Baris Tursun, 10 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7293-8629 Rafal Ciosk, 10 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2234-6216

## Additional files

#### **Supplementary files**

• Supplementary file 1. Enhancement or suppression of tumorous phenotypes. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.023

• Supplementary file 2. In situ hybridization patterns of Notch-activated genes. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.024

• Supplementary file 3. Information on used C. elegans strains, RNAi clones and primers. (A) Information on C. elegans strains used in the study. (B) Information on RNAi clones used in this study. (C) Information on primer design and sequences.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15477.025

#### References

- Agger K, Cloos PA, Christensen J, Pasini D, Rose S, Rappsilber J, Issaeva I, Canaani E, Salcini AE, Helin K. 2007. UTX and JMJD3 are histone H3K27 demethylases involved in HOX gene regulation and development. Nature 449:731-734. doi: 10.1038/nature06145
- Altun-Gultekin Z, Andachi Y, Tsalik EL, Pilgrim D, Kohara Y, Hobert O. 2001. A regulatory cascade of three homeobox genes, ceh-10, ttx-3 and ceh-23, controls cell fate specification of a defined interneuron class in C. elegans. Development 128:1951-1969.
- Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Rand MD, Lake RJ. 1999. Notch signaling: cell fate control and signal integration in development. Science 284:770-776. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5415.770
- Askjaer P, Ercan S, Meister P. 2014. Modern techniques for the analysis of chromatin and nuclear organization in C. elegans. WormBook:1-35. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.169.1
- Austin J, Kimble J. 1987. glp-1 is required in the germ line for regulation of the decision between mitosis and meiosis in C. elegans. Cell 51:589-599. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(87)90128-0
- Bender LB, Cao R, Zhang Y, Strome S. 2004. The MES-2/MES-3/MES-6 complex and regulation of histone H3 methylation in C. elegans. Current Biology 14:1639-1643. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2004.08.062
- Biedermann B, Wright J, Senften M, Kalchhauser I, Sarathy G, Lee MH, Ciosk R. 2009. Translational repression of cyclin E prevents precocious mitosis and embryonic gene activation during C. elegans meiosis. Developmental Cell 17:355-364. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.08.003
- Christensen S, Kodoyianni V, Bosenberg M, Friedman L, Kimble J. 1996. lag-1, a gene required for lin-12 and glp-1 signaling in Caenorhabditis elegans, is homologous to human CBF1 and Drosophila Su(H). Development 122:1373-1383.
- Ciosk R, DePalma M, Priess JR. 2006. Translational regulators maintain totipotency in the Caenorhabditis elegans germline. Science 311:851-853. doi: 10.1126/science.1122491
- Djabrayan NJ, Dudley NR, Sommermann EM, Rothman JH. 2012. Essential role for Notch signaling in restricting developmental plasticity. Genes & Development 26:2386-2391. doi: 10.1101/gad.199588.112
- Doyle TG, Wen C, Greenwald I. 2000. SEL-8, a nuclear protein required for LIN-12 and GLP-1 signaling in Caenorhabditis elegans. PNAS 97:7877-7881. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.14.7877
- Duerr JS. 2006. Immunohistochemistry. WormBook:1-61. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.105.1
- Egli D, Birkhoff G, Eggan K. 2008. Mediators of reprogramming: transcription factors and transitions through mitosis. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 9:505–516. doi: 10.1038/nrm2439
- Fong Y, Bender L, Wang W, Strome S. 2002. Regulation of the different chromatin states of autosomes and X chromosomes in the germ line of C. elegans. Science 296:2235-2238. doi: 10.1126/science.1070790
- Fox PM, Vought VE, Hanazawa M, Lee M-H, Maine EM, Schedl T. 2011. Cyclin E and CDK-2 regulate proliferative cell fate and cell cycle progression in the C. elegans germline. Development 138:2223-2234. doi: 10.1242/dev.059535
- Frøkjaer-Jensen C, Davis MW, Hopkins CE, Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen SP, Grunnet M, Jorgensen EM. 2008. Single-copy insertion of transgenes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature Genetics 40:1375-1383. doi: 10. 1038/ng.248

Fukushige T, Hawkins MG, McGhee JD. 1998. The GATA-factor elt-2 is essential for formation of the Caenorhabditis elegans intestine. *Developmental Biology* **198**:286–302. doi: 10.1016/S0012-1606(98)80006-7

Fukushige T, Krause M. 2005. The myogenic potency of HLH-1 reveals wide-spread developmental plasticity in early C. elegans embryos. *Development* **132**:1795–1805. doi: 10.1242/dev.01774

Gartner A, MacQueen AJ, Villeneuve AM. 2004. Methods for analyzing checkpoint responses in Caenorhabditis elegans. Checkpoint Controls and Cancer 280:257–274. doi: 10.1385/1-59259-788-2:257

Gaydos LJ, Rechtsteiner A, Egelhofer TA, Carroll CR, Strome S. 2012. Antagonism between MES-4 and Polycomb repressive complex 2 promotes appropriate gene expression in C. elegans germ cells. *Cell Reports* 2:1169–1177. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.09.019

**Greenwald I**, Kovall R. 2013. Notch signaling: genetics and structure. *WormBook*:1–28. doi: 10.1895/wormbook. 1.10.2

Greer EL, Beese-Sims SE, Brookes E, Spadafora R, Zhu Y, Rothbart SB, Aristizábal-Corrales D, Chen S, Badeaux AI, Jin Q, Wang W, Strahl BD, Colaiácovo MP, Shi Y. 2014. A histone methylation network regulates transgenerational epigenetic memory in C. elegans. *Cell Reports* **7**:113–126. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.02.044

Hajnal A, Berset T. 2002. The C.elegans MAPK phosphatase LIP-1 is required for the G(2)/M meiotic arrest of developing oocytes. The EMBO Journal 21:4317–4326. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf430

- Hanna J, Saha K, Pando B, van Zon J, Lengner CJ, Creyghton MP, van Oudenaarden A, Jaenisch R. 2009. Direct cell reprogramming is a stochastic process amenable to acceleration. *Nature* **462**:595–601. doi: 10.1038/ nature08592
- Hansen D, Hubbard EJ, Schedl T. 2004. Multi-pathway control of the proliferation versus meiotic development decision in the Caenorhabditis elegans germline. Developmental Biology 268:342–357. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio. 2003.12.023
- Hansen D, Wilson-Berry L, Dang T, Schedl T. 2004. Control of the proliferation versus meiotic development decision in the C. elegans germline through regulation of GLD-1 protein accumulation. *Development* 131:93– 104. doi: 10.1242/dev.00916
- Horner MA, Quintin S, Domeier ME, Kimble J, Labouesse M, Mango SE. 1998. pha-4, an HNF-3 homolog, specifies pharyngeal organ identity in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genes & Development* **12**:1947–1952. doi: 10. 1101/gad.12.13.1947
- Ichida JK, TCW J, Williams LA, Carter AC, Shi Y, Moura MT, Ziller M, Singh S, Amabile G, Bock C, Umezawa A, Rubin LL, Bradner JE, Akutsu H, Meissner A, Eggan K. 2014. Notch inhibition allows oncogene-independent generation of iPS cells. *Nature Chemical Biology* **10**:632–639. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1552
- Ito K, McGhee JD. 1987. Parental DNA strands segregate randomly during embryonic development of Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell 49:329–336. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(87)90285-6
- Jarriault S, Schwab Y, Greenwald I. 2008. A Caenorhabditis elegans model for epithelial-neuronal transdifferentiation. *PNAS* **105**:3790–3795. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0712159105
- Jin C, Li J, Green CD, Yu X, Tang X, Han D, Xian B, Wang D, Huang X, Cao X, Yan Z, Hou L, Liu J, Shukeir N, Khaitovich P, Chen CD, Zhang H, Jenuwein T, Han JD. 2011. Histone demethylase UTX-1 regulates C. elegans life span by targeting the insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathway. *Cell Metabolism* **14**:161–172. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet. 2011.07.001
- Kadyk LC, Kimble J. 1998. Genetic regulation of entry into meiosis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Development* **125**: 1803–1813.
- Kershner AM, Shin H, Hansen TJ, Kimble J. 2014. Discovery of two GLP-1/Notch target genes that account for the role of GLP-1/Notch signaling in stem cell maintenance. *PNAS* **111**:3739–3744. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1401861111
- Kimble J, Crittenden SL. 2007. Controls of germline stem cells, entry into meiosis, and the sperm/oocyte decision in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **23**:405–433. doi: 10. 1146/annurev.cellbio.23.090506.123326
- Kleine-Kohlbrecher D, Christensen J, Vandamme J, Abarrategui I, Bak M, Tommerup N, Shi X, Gozani O, Rappsilber J, Salcini AE, Helin K. 2010. A functional link between the histone demethylase PHF8 and the transcription factor ZNF711 in X-linked mental retardation. *Molecular Cell* **38**:165–178. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel. 2010.03.002
- Kumsta C, Hansen M. 2012. C. elegans rrf-1 mutations maintain RNAi efficiency in the soma in addition to the germline. *PLoS One* **7**:e35428. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035428
- Kuwabara PE, O'Neil N. 2001. The use of functional genomics in C. elegans for studying human development and disease. Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease 24:127–138. doi: 10.1023/A:1010306731764
- Lamont LB, Crittenden SL, Bernstein D, Wickens M, Kimble J. 2004. FBF-1 and FBF-2 regulate the size of the mitotic region in the C. elegans germline. *Developmental Cell* 7:697–707. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2004.09.013
- Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biology* **10**:R25. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

Lee MH, Hook B, Lamont LB, Wickens M, Kimble J. 2006. LIP-1 phosphatase controls the extent of germline proliferation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *The EMBO Journal* **25**:88–96. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600901

Lee S, Lee JW, Lee SK. 2012. UTX, a histone H3-lysine 27 demethylase, acts as a critical switch to activate the cardiac developmental program. *Developmental Cell* **22**:25–37. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.009

Lessard JA, Crabtree GR. 2010. Chromatin regulatory mechanisms in pluripotency. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 26:503–532. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-051809-102012

Liu J, Sato C, Cerletti M, Wagers A. 2010. Notch signaling in the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* **92**:367–409. doi: 10.1016/S0070-2153(10)92012-7

- Mansour AA, Gafni O, Weinberger L, Zviran A, Ayyash M, Rais Y, Krupalnik V, Zerbib M, Amann-Zalcenstein D, Maza I, Geula S, Viukov S, Holtzman L, Pribluda A, Canaani E, Horn-Saban S, Amit I, Novershtern N, Hanna JH. 2012. The H3K27 demethylase Utx regulates somatic and germ cell epigenetic reprogramming. *Nature* **488**: 409–413. doi: 10.1038/nature11272
- Maures TJ, Greer EL, Hauswirth AG, Brunet A. 2011. The H3K27 demethylase UTX-1 regulates C. elegans lifespan in a germline-independent, insulin-dependent manner. *Aging Cell* **10**:980–990. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00738.x
- Merritt C, Rasoloson D, Ko D, Seydoux G. 2008. 3' UTRs are the primary regulators of gene expression in the C. elegans germline. Current Biology 18:1476–1482. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.08.013

Meshorer E, Misteli T. 2006. Chromatin in pluripotent embryonic stem cells and differentiation. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 7:540–546. doi: 10.1038/nrm1938

- Ntziachristos P, Tsirigos A, Van Vlierberghe P, Nedjic J, Trimarchi T, Flaherty MS, Ferres-Marco D, da Ros V, Tang Z, Siegle J, Asp P, Hadler M, Rigo I, De Keersmaecker K, Patel J, Huynh T, Utro F, Poglio S, Samon JB, Paietta E, et al. 2012. Genetic inactivation of the polycomb repressive complex 2 in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Nature Medicine* **18**:298–303. doi: 10.1038/nm.2651
- Orkin SH, Hochedlinger K. 2011. Chromatin connections to pluripotency and cellular reprogramming. *Cell* **145**: 835–850. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.05.019
- Patel T, Tursun B, Rahe DP, Hobert O. 2012. Removal of Polycomb repressive complex 2 makes C. elegans germ cells susceptible to direct conversion into specific somatic cell types. *Cell Reports* 2:1178–1186. doi: 10.1016/j. celrep.2012.09.020

Pepper AS, Killian DJ, Hubbard EJ. 2003. Genetic analysis of Caenorhabditis elegans glp-1 mutants suggests receptor interaction or competition. *Genetics* **163**:115–132.

- Priess JR, Schnabel H, Schnabel R. 1987. The glp-1 locus and cellular interactions in early C. elegans embryos. *Cell* **51**:601–611. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(87)90129-2
- Riddle MR, Weintraub A, Nguyen KC, Hall DH, Rothman JH. 2013. Transdifferentiation and remodeling of postembryonic C. elegans cells by a single transcription factor. *Development* 140:4844–4849. doi: 10.1242/dev. 103010
- Sawey ET, Johnson JA, Crawford HC. 2007. Matrix metalloproteinase 7 controls pancreatic acinar cell transdifferentiation by activating the Notch signaling pathway. PNAS **104**:19327–19332. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0705953104
- Seenundun S, Rampalli S, Liu QC, Aziz A, Palii C, Hong S, Blais A, Brand M, Ge K, Dilworth FJ. 2010. UTX mediates demethylation of H3K27me3 at muscle-specific genes during myogenesis. *The EMBO Journal* 29: 1401–1411. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.37
- Sekiya S, Suzuki A. 2012. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma can arise from Notch-mediated conversion of hepatocytes. Journal of Clinical Investigation 122:3914–3918. doi: 10.1172/JCI63065
- Shaye DD, Greenwald I. 2011. OrthoList: a compendium of C. elegans genes with human orthologs. *PLoS One* 6: e20085. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020085
- Sijen T, Fleenor J, Simmer F, Thijssen KL, Parrish S, Timmons L, Plasterk RH, Fire A. 2001. On the role of RNA amplification in dsRNA-triggered gene silencing. *Cell* 107:465–476. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00576-1
- Singh K, Chao MY, Somers GA, Komatsu H, Corkins ME, Larkins-Ford J, Tucey T, Dionne HM, Walsh MB, Beaumont EK, Hart DP, Lockery SR, Hart AC. 2011. C. elegans Notch signaling regulates adult chemosensory response and larval molting quiescence. Current Biology 21:825–834. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.010
- Spivakov M, Fisher AG. 2007. Epigenetic signatures of stem-cell identity. Nature Reviews Genetics 8:263–271. doi: 10.1038/nrg2046
- Tian X, Hansen D, Schedl T, Skeath JB. 2004. Epsin potentiates Notch pathway activity in Drosophila and C. elegans. *Development* **131**:5807–5815. doi: 10.1242/dev.01459
- **Tocchini C**, Keusch JJ, Miller SB, Finger S, Gut H, Stadler MB, Ciosk R. 2014. The TRIM-NHL protein LIN-41 controls the onset of developmental plasticity in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genetics* **10**:e1004533. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pgen.1004533
- Tursun B, Patel T, Kratsios P, Hobert O. 2011. Direct conversion of C. types. Science **331**:304–308. doi: 10.1126/ science.1199082
- Untergasser A, Nijveen H, Rao X, Bisseling T, Geurts R, Leunissen JA. 2007. Primer3Plus, an enhanced web interface to Primer3. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**:W71–74. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm306
- Vandamme J, Lettier G, Sidoli S, Di Schiavi E, Nørregaard Jensen O, Salcini AE. 2012. The C. elegans H3K27 demethylase UTX-1 is essential for normal development, independent of its enzymatic activity. *PLoS Genetics* 8:e1002647. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002647
- Whetstine JR, Nottke A, Lan F, Huarte M, Smolikov S, Chen Z, Spooner E, Li E, Zhang G, Colaiacovo M, Shi Y, Li E ZG. 2006. Reversal of histone lysine trimethylation by the JMJD2 family of histone demethylases. *Cell* **125**: 467–481. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.028
- Yoo AS, Bais C, Greenwald I. 2004. Crosstalk between the EGFR and LIN-12/Notch pathways in C. elegans vulval development. *Science* **303**:663–666. doi: 10.1126/science.1091639
- Yuzyuk T, Fakhouri TH, Kiefer J, Mango SE. 2009. The polycomb complex protein mes-2/E(z) promotes the transition from developmental plasticity to differentiation in C. elegans embryos. *Developmental Cell* 16:699– 710. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.03.008
- Zhu J, Fukushige T, McGhee JD, Rothman JH. 1998. Reprogramming of early embryonic blastomeres into endodermal progenitors by a Caenorhabditis elegans GATA factor. *Genes & Development* 12:3809–3814. doi: 10.1101/gad.12.24.3809



**Zuryn S**, Ahier A, Portoso M, White ER, Morin MC, Margueron R, Jarriault S. 2014. Transdifferentiation. Sequential histone-modifying activities determine the robustness of transdifferentiation. *Science* **345**:826–829. doi: 10.1126/science.1255885