**Table 2.** **Deconditioning-update approach weakens both remote and strong fear memory**.

|  |
| --- |
| **Figure 2** |
| Figure 2B. Reactivations |
| Omnibus test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) | *P* value |
| Two-way RM ANOVA | InteractionF(3,33) = 4.959TimeF(3,33) = 22.72GroupF(1,11) = 13.08 | 0.100.410.17 | 0.0060.00010,004 | Day 3Day 4Day 5Day 6 | 0.990.330.0010.0009 |
| Figure 2C. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,15) = 11.63 | 0.61 | 0.0009 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0020.0020.9 |
| Figure 2D. Renewal |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,15) = 31.06 | 0.81 | 0.0001 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.00010.0170.0004 |
| Figure 2E. Spontaneous Recovery |
| Omnibus Test | R2 | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,15) = 21.68 | 0.74 | 0.0001 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.00010.060.001 |
| *N per group:*Control = 5; Footshock = 6; No-footshock = 7 |
| Figure 2G. Reactivations |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) | *P* value |
| Two-way RM ANOVA | InteractionF(3,36) = 7.596TimeF(3,36) = 27.23GroupF(1,12) = 4.304 | 0.100.360.10 | 0.00050.00010.06 | Day 3Day 4Day 5Day 6 | 0.990.990.0030.015 |
| Figure 2H. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,17) = 27.83 | 0.77 | 0.0001 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | < 0.00010.0040.004 |
| Figure 2I. Renewal |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,17) = 10.24 | 0.55 | 0.0012 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0020.870.004 |
| Figure 2J. Spontaneous Recovery |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,17) = 11.62 | 0.58 | 0.0007 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0010.80.003 |
| *N per group:*Control = 6; Footshock = 7; No-footshock = 7 |