**Table 3.** **Deconditioning-updating weakens fear memory in different behavioral tasks**.

|  |
| --- |
| **Figure 3** |
| Figure 3B. Reactivations |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) | *P* value |
| Two-way RM ANOVA | InteractionF(3,54) = 3.516TimeF(3,54) = 37.87GroupF(1,18) = 9.109 | 0.030.320.17 | 0.020.00010.007 | Day 3Day 4Day 5Day 6 | 0.990.120.0030.004 |
| Figure 3C. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,25) = 19.76 | 0.61 | 0.0001 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0020.0020.9 |
| Figure 3D. Spontaneous Recovery |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,25) = 6.370 | 0.34 | 0.005 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.00010.060.001 |
| *N per group:*Control = 8; Footshock = 10; No-footshock = 10 |
| Figure 3F. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post hoc (Dunn) | *P* value |
| Kruskal-Wallis | H = 13.96 | 0.48 | 0.0009 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0010.730.02 |
| Figure 3G. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Dunn) | *P* value |
| Kruskal-Wallis | H = 17.03 | 0.60 | 0.0002 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. no-footshockfootshock vs. no-footshock | 0.0010.990.0009 |
| *N per group:*Control = 8; Footshock = 10; No-footshock = 10 |