**Table 7. Deconditioning-update is not due to US devaluation**.

|  |
| --- |
| **Figure 1-figure supplement 3** |
| Figure 1S3B. Test |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,18) = 23.16 | 0.72 | < 0.0001 | control vs. footshockcontrol vs. devaluationfootshock vs. devaluation | < 0.00010.5510.0001 |
| *N per group:*Control = 7; No-footshock = 7; Footshock = 7 |
| Figure 1S3D.Reactivations  |
| Omnibus Test | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) | *P* value |
| Two-way RM ANOVA | InteractionF(3,54) = 0.06901TimeF(3,54) = 21.81GroupF(1,18) = 0.03687 | 0.0010.340.0007 |  0.976< 0.00010.849 | Day 1Day 2Day 3Day 4 | > 0.99> 0.99> 0.99> 0.99 |
| Figure 1S3E. Test |
| Test | R2 | *P* value |
| Student's *t* test | T18 = 0.9815 | 0.05 | 0.33 |
| Figure 1S3E. Reinstatement |
| Test | R2 | *P* value |
| Student's *t* test | T18 = 3.102 | 0.35 | 0.006 |
| *N per group:*No-footshock = 10; Footshock = 10 |