**Table 9. Deconditioning-update weakens strong fear memories in females.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 2-figure supplement 1** | | | | | |
| Figure 2S1B. Reactivations | | | | | |
| Omnibus test | | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Bonferroni) | *P* value |
| Two-way RM ANOVA | Interaction  F(2,22) = 3.835  Time  F(2,22) = 19.63  Group  F(1,11) = 5.256 | 0.04  0.19  0.002 | 0.03  < 0.0001  0.04 | Day 3  Day 4  Day 5 | > 0.99  0.99  0.003 |
| Figure 2S1C. Test | | | | | |
| Omnibus Test | | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,16) = 22.02 | 0.73 | < 0.0001 | control vs. footshock  control vs. no-footshock  footshock vs. no-footshock | < 0.0001  0.01  0.005 |
| Figure 2S1C. Renewal | | | | | |
| Omnibus Test | | η² | *P* value | Post-hoc (Tukey) | *P* value |
| One-way ANOVA | F(2,16) = 19.84 | 0.71 | < 0.0001 | control vs. footshock  control vs. no-footshock  footshock vs. no-footshock | < 0.0001  0.03  0.005 |
| *N per group:*  Control = 6; Footshock = 6; No-footshock = 7 | | | | | |