**Figures 6-8-Source Data 2**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 6b** | **PN17** | **PN24** | **PN80** |  |
| **GSH** | 116.07±4.84%(n=7 rats) | 191.31±11.91%(n=7 rats) | 100±10.99%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 24.92 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **GSSG** | 138.13±16.58%(n=7 rats) | 143.59±7.08%(n=7 rats) | 100±4.79%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 4.864 ; P = 0.0205 |
| **GSH/GSSG** | 90.79±8.93%(n=7 rats) | 135.32±6.09%(n=7 rats) | 100±7.17%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 9.857 ; P = 0.0013 |
| **Glutamate** | 93.37±2.48%(n=7 rats) | 122.39±2.53%(n=7 rats) | 100±2.34%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 38.44 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Cysteine** | 26.97±3.59%(n=7 rats) | 85.19±5.23%(n=7 rats) | 100±9.77%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 32.96 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glycine** | 53.57±1.66%(n=7 rats) | 96.79±4.5%(n=7 rats) | 100±4.53%(n=7 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 18) = 46.36 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 6c** | **PN17** | **PN24** | **PN80** |  |
| **Glutathione reductase** | 76.92±3.59%(n=6 rats) | 84.57±4.58%(n=4 rats) | 100±4.18%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 11) = 8.344 ; P = 0.0062 |
| **Glutathione peroxidase** | 196.3±9.68%(n=6 rats) | 155.22±9.74%(n=4 rats) | 100±3.25%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 11) = 30.06 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase - catalytic subunit** | 84.27±2.98%(n=6 rats) | 91.49±6.47%(n=4 rats) | 100±5.07%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 11) = 3.046 ; P = 0.0886 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase - regulatory subunit** | 36.62±5.41%(n=6 rats) | 170.67±8.29%(n=5 rats) | 100±3.61%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 13) = 126.8 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutathione synthetase** | 43.52±0.65%(n=6 rats) | 47.95±1.61%(n=4 rats) | 100±3.58%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 11) = 240.0 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 6d** | **PN17** | **PN24** | **PN80** |  |
| **Glutathione reductase activity** | 183.61±10.26%(n=6 rats) | 167.92±8.41%(n=6 rats) | 100±9.38%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 15) = 22.44 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutathione peroxidase activity** | 205.34±11.23%(n=6 rats) | 199.30±10.15%(n=6 rats) | 100±8.11%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 15) = 35.59 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase activity** | 43.88±6.59%(n=6 rats) | 172.65±13.83%(n=6 rats) | 100±10.76%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 15) = 35.69 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutathione synthetase activity** | 46.12±7.79%(n=6 rats) | 86.99±7.69%(n=6 rats) | 100±11.11%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 15) = 9.751 ; P = 0.0019 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Figure 7a** | **PN17-N** | **PN17-Tr** | **PN24-N** | **PN24-Tr** | **PN80-N** | **PN80-Tr** |
| **GSH** | 100±4.17%(n=7 rats) | 86.12±7.15%(n=7 rats) | 100±6.22%(n=7 rats) | 100.34±5.34%(n=7 rats) | 100±10.99%(n=7 rats) | 124.75±12.58%(n=7 rats) |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons testInteraction : F (2, 36) = 2.76022 ; P = 0.0767Age : F (2, 36) = 2.76023 ; P = 0.0767Group : F (1, 36) = 0.302648 ; P = 0.5856 |
| **GSSG** | 100±12.0%(n=7 rats) | 57.92±5.45%(n=7 rats) | 100±4.93%(n=7 rats) | 99.26±2.58%(n=7 rats) | 100±4.79%(n=7 rats) | 111.40±5.79%(n=7 rats) |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test Interaction : F (2, 36) = 9.03248 ; P = 0.0007Age : F (2, 36) = 9.03247 ; P = 0.0007Group : F (1, 36) = 3.78125 ; P = 0.0597 |
| **GSH/GSSG** | 100±9.83%(n=7 rats) | 141.09±5.8%(n=7 rats) | 100±4.49%(n=7 rats) | 100.66±4.37%(n=7 rats) | 100±7.17%(n=7 rats) | 112.34±8.06%(n=7 rats) |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test Interaction : F (2, 36) = 4.53042 ; P = 0.0176Age : F (2, 36) = 4.53041 ; P = 0.0176Group : F (1, 36) = 10.2027 ; P = 0.0029 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 7b** | **PN17-N** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |
| **Glutathione reductase** | 100±5.61%(n=6 rats) | 104.82±3.94%(n=6 rats) | 106.34±5.33%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 15) = 0.4365 ; P = 0.6542 |
| **Glutathione peroxidase** | 100±2.45%(n=6 rats) | 103.12±4.69%(n=6 rats) | 103.48±4.92%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 14) = 0.2232 ; P = 0.8028 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase - catalytic subunit** | 100±8.44%(n=6 rats) | 101.02±10.05%(n=6 rats) | 89.17±6.72%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 14) = 0.5274 ; P = 0.6014 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase - regulatory subunit** | 100±3.87%(n=6 rats) | 104.58±3.98%(n=6 rats) | 102.98±4.39%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 14) = 0.3452 ; P = 0.7139 |
| **Glutathione synthetase** | 100±4.17%(n=6 rats) | 107.90±4.32%(n=6 rats) | 107.91±4.08%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (2, 14) = 1.201 ; P = 0.3301 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Figure 7c** | **PN17-N** | **Tr-15min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** | **Tr-7d** | **PN24-N** |
| **Glutathione reductase activity**  | 100±5.59%(n=6 rats) | 150.88±4.06%(n=6 rats) | 125.66±8.7%(n=6 rats) | 124.49±5.99%(n=6 rats) | 77.06±6.95%(n=6 rats) | 70.17±8.51%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (5, 30) = 20.88 ; P < 0.0001 |
| **Glutathione peroxidase activity** | 100±5.47%(n=6 rats) | 98.52±5.49%(n=6 rats) | 101.49±5.64%(n=6 rats) | 103.81±5.49%(n=6 rats) |  |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (3, 20) = 0.1668 ; P = 0.9175 |
| **Glutamate-cysteine ligase activity** | 100±9.91%(n=6 rats) | 97.28±11.31%(n=6 rats) | 104.28±8.16%(n=6 rats) | 100.72±9.08%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (3, 20) = 0.08858 ; P = 0.9655 |
| **Glutathione synthetase activity** | 100±16.89%(n=6 rats) | 99.78±9.65%(n=6 rats) | 111.98±10.85%(n=6 rats) | 101.17±9.48%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (3, 20) = 0.2347 ; P = 0.8711 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 7d** | **PN17-N** | **SO-15min** | **Tr-15min** |
| **Glutathione reductase activity** | 100±9.34%(n=6 rats) | 118.86±8.86%(n=6 rats) | 168.66±14.02%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test F (2, 15) = 10.42 ; P = 0.0015 |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Figure 7e** | **PN24-N** | **Tr-15min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |  |
| **Glutathione reductase activity** | 100±6.13%(n=6 rats) | 105.56±8.59%(n=6 rats) | 96.75±6.24%(n=6 rats) | 101.53±4.14%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (3, 20) = 0.3193 ; P = 0.8113 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Figure 7f** | **PN80-N** | **Tr-15min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |  |
| **Glutathione reductase activity** | 100±9.38%(n=6 rats) | 106.56±11.69%(n=6 rats) | 99.23±14.79%(n=6 rats) | 90.83±11.22%(n=6 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons testF (3, 20) = 0.2922 ; P = 0.8306 |
|  |

 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Figure 7g** | **Neurons** | **Astrocytes** | **Unlabeled** |
| **NeuN** | 100±3.36%(n=4 rats) | 11.26±2.13%(n=4 rats) | 19.26±2.71%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test F (2, 9) = 312.1 ; P < 0.0001 |  |  |
| **GFAP** | 14.83±3.41%(n=4 rats) | 100±6.75%(n=4 rats) | 22.92±2.45%(n=4 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test F (2, 9) = 105.0 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 7g** | **Glutathione reductase activity** (nmol/min/mg prot) |
| **Cell-types** | **Neurons** | **Astrocytes** | **Unlabeled** |
| PN17-N (n=5) | 62.42±4.17 | 38.08±4.17 | 59.54±4.81 |
| Tr-15 min (n=5) | 97.61±8.69 | 46.76±5.17 | 77.85±9.25 |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons TestInteraction: F (2, 24) = 2.197 ; P = 0.1330Group: F (1, 24) = 15.73 ; P = 0.0006Cell-type: F (2, 24) = 18.17 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Figure 8a** | **N-Veh** | **N-2-AAPA 100uM** | **N-2-AAPA 200uM** | **Tr-Veh** | **Tr-2-AAPA 100uM** | **Tr-2-AAPA 200uM** |  |
| **Glutathione reductase activity** | 100±5.05% (n=5 rats) | 60.36±8.11%(n=5 rats) | 22.86±4.77%(n=5 rats) | 146.73±12.92% (n=5 rats) | 109.94±9.62% (n=5 rats) | 25.51±4.41%(n=5 rats) |
| One–way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons testF (5, 24) = 37.66 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8b** | **Mean Latency (s)** |
| **PN17** | **Acq** | **T1** | **T2/Tr** | **T3** |
| Vehicle (n=8) | 20.82±5.22 | 34.77±13.85 | 460.24±59.96 | - |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=6) | 12.81±3.07 | 26.34±14.49 | 113.49±34.59 | 601.04±56.41 |
| 2-AAPA (200 uM) (n=7) | 27.77±8.68 | 88.11±21.01 | 72.94±18.57 | 673.54±71.86 |
| Two–way RM ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons testInteraction: F (4, 36) = 27.66 ; P < 0.0001Timepoint: F (2, 36) = 50.95 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (2, 18) = 13.57 ; P = 0.0003 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8c** | **Mean Latency (s)** |
| **PN24** | **Acq** | **T1** | **T2** |
| Vehicle (n=7) | 15.03±3.68 | 545.82±66.76 | 525.25±55.29 |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=7) | 18.65±6.44 | 491.96±78.04 | 450.23±75.11 |
| Two–way RM ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons TestInteraction: F (2, 24) = 0.3076 ; P = 0.7380Timepoint: F (2, 24) = 58.79 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (1, 12) = 0.6129 ; P = 0.4489 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8d** | **Mean Latency (s)** |
| **PN80** | **Acq** | **T1** | **T2** |
| Vehicle (n=6) | 15.44±4.33 | 420.2±58.62 | 498.27±50.07 |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=6) | 16.44±4.29 | 443.09±72.48 | 449.48±50.76 |
| Two–way RM ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons TestInteraction: F (2, 20) = 0.03153 ; P = 0.9690Timepoint: F (2, 20) = 56.47 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (1, 10) = 0.08992 ; P = 0.7704 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8e** | **GSH** |
| **Timepoint** | **PN17-N** | **Tr-15 min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |
| Vehicle (n=6) | 100±5.16% | 146.41±7.26% | 97.09±3.83% | 94.85±6.58% |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=6) | 46.69±5.22% | 98.83±5.84% | 95.44±5.97% | 104.27±5.08% |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons testInteraction: F (3, 40) = 15.53 ; P < 0.0001Timepoint: F (3, 40) = 25.01 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (1, 40) = 33.33 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8f** | **GSSG** |
| **Timepoint** | **PN17-N** | **Tr-15 min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |
| Vehicle (n=6) | 100±11.27% | 53.52±5.34% | 53.71±5.59% | 54.29±3.82% |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=6) | 161.13±9.72% | 106.25±14.15% | 108.98±10.60% | 99.80±7.21% |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons testInteraction: F (3, 40) = 0.2538 ; P = 0.8582Timepoint: F (3, 40) = 15.91 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (1, 40) = 69.73 ; P < 0.0001 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Figure 8g** | **GSH/GSSG** |
| **Timepoint** | **PN17-N** | **Tr-15 min** | **Tr-1h** | **Tr-24h** |
| Vehicle (n=6) | 100±13.99% | 262.25±21.44% | 172.96±12.34% | 166.44±17.78% |
| 2-AAPA (100 uM) (n=6) | 27.38±3.44% | 94.93±13.94% | 85.39±11.04% | 100.19±11.57% |
| Two–way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons testInteraction: F (3, 40) = 5.520 ; P = 0.0029Timepoint: F (3, 40) = 22.57 ; P < 0.0001Treatment: F (1, 40) = 97.76 ; P < 0.0001 |