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Abstract

Zebrafish have made significant contributions to our understanding of the vertebrate brain and the neural basis of behavior, earning a place as one of the most widely used model organisms in neuroscience. Their appeal arises from the marriage of low cost, early life transparency, and ease of genetic manipulation with a behavioral repertoire that becomes more sophisticated as animals transition from larvae to adults. To further enhance the use of adult zebrafish, we created the first fully segmented three-dimensional digital adult zebrafish brain atlas (AZBA). AZBA was built by combining tissue clearing, light-sheet fluorescence microscopy, and three-dimensional image registration of nuclear and antibody stains. These images were used to guide segmentation of the atlas into over 200 neuroanatomical regions comprising the entirety of the adult zebrafish brain. As an open source, online (azba.wayne.edu), updatable digital resource, AZBA will significantly enhance the use of adult zebrafish in furthering our understanding of vertebrate brain function in both health and disease.
Introduction

Uncovering general principles of neuroanatomical function and brain-behavior relationships requires the integration of findings across model organisms that range in complexity, organization, and accessibility (Brenowitz and Zakon, 2015; Marder, 2002; Yartsev, 2017). Amongst vertebrate model organisms in neuroscience, zebrafish are relative newcomers that have grown in popularity in recent years (Kenney, 2020; Orger and de Polavieja, 2017).

Originally established as a model organism for developmental biology due to ease of domestication, high fecundity, and early life transparency (Parichy, 2015), the increased popularity of zebrafish is driven by recent advancements in brain imaging, molecular genetic manipulation, and behavior. To further enhance the use of zebrafish as an animal model in neuroscience, we created a digital three-dimensional brain atlas (AZBA: adult zebrafish brain atlas). Although several digital atlases exist for larval zebrafish (Kunst et al., 2019; Randlett et al., 2015; Ronneberger et al., 2012; Tabor et al., 2019), no atlas of equivalent detail has been created for adult zebrafish. Adult zebrafish provide several important advantages over larval zebrafish such as a mature and histologically differentiated neuroanatomy and a rich behavioral repertoire that includes long-term associative memory, complex social interactions, and goal-driven behaviors (Gerlai, 2016; Kalueff et al., 2013; Kenney et al., 2017; Nakajo et al., 2020).

Three-dimensional digital brain atlases are essential tools for modern neuroscience because they facilitate lines of inquiry that are not possible with two-dimensional book-based atlases. For example, visualization of the three-dimensional structure of the brain and incorporation of new data or discoveries are difficult, if not impossible, with a print atlas. In contrast, digital atlases enable exploration of brain structures in any arbitrary three-dimensional perspective and can be readily updated to incorporate new information such as patterns of gene expression and anatomical connectivity, as has been done for the mouse (Wang et al., 2020). Such features are important for fields like neurodevelopment and comparative neuroanatomy.
that rely on three-dimensional topologies to understand how specific brain regions develop and relate across species. A digital atlas would also enhance the use of adult zebrafish in disease modeling by enabling a more comprehensive understanding of how the brain changes in response to insults that occur in neurodevelopmental disorders (Sakai et al., 2018), traumatic brain injury (McCutcheon et al., 2017), neurodegeneration (Xi et al., 2011) and the formation and spreading of gliomas (Idilli et al., 2017). Finally, digital atlases enable automated segmentation of new images, which is essential for whole brain mapping approaches that can lead to unexpected discoveries of regional function (Bahl and Engert, 2020; Kim et al., 2015; Pantoja et al., 2020; Randlett et al., 2019). Whole brain activity mapping also facilitates powerful network approaches to understanding brain (Bassett and Sporns, 2017; Coelho et al., 2018; Vetere et al., 2017; Wheeler et al., 2013), yielding insight into how coordinated brain-wide activity gives rise to behavior.

The generation of an adult zebrafish brain atlas presents several challenges in comparison to the larval brain because the mature brain is opaque and an order of magnitude larger; this makes traditional whole mount approaches impossible and confocal or wide-field microscopy infeasible. We overcome these roadblocks by exploiting recent developments in histology and microscopy. To enable whole-mount imaging, we rendered adult brains transparent using a tissue clearing approach (iDISCO+) that is compatible with different stains such as small molecules, antibodies, and in situ probes (Kramer et al., 2018; Renier et al., 2016). High resolution imaging of samples as large as intact adult brains is not amenable to conventional microscopic techniques, so we turned to light-sheet fluorescence microscopy for rapid large volume imaging with minimal photobleaching (Pitrone et al., 2013; Reynaud et al., 2014). To generate an atlas that minimizes individual variations in neuroanatomy, we registered three-dimensional volumes from multiple animals into the same anatomical space prior to averaging (Lerch et al., 2011). To help guide segmentation and generate insight into the
neurochemical organization of the brain, images from ten different antibody stains were also
registered into the same anatomical space. Finally, we performed manual segmentation,
delineating the atlas into over 200 neuroanatomical regions, including nuclei and white matter
tracts.

Taken together, AZBA is the most comprehensive, detailed, and up to date atlas of the
adult zebrafish brain. We have made all averaged images freely available
(https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dfn2z351g; azba.wayne.edu) to enable their use in exploring the
organization of the zebrafish brain and automated segmentation for activity mapping. By
generating this resource using readily available techniques, AZBA can be continuously updated
to reflect the latest findings in zebrafish neuroanatomy. We anticipate this becoming an
indispensable resource as adult zebrafish continue to gain traction as a model organism in
understanding the intricacies of the vertebrate brain.
Results

Overall Strategy

To create an averaged three-dimensional atlas, we developed a sample preparation and analysis pipeline for whole-mount 3D image acquisition and registration (Figure 1). We used a whole-mount preparation to avoid issues with slice-based techniques such as tissue loss, tearing, and distortion. To circumvent the challenge of tissue opacity, we used a rapid organic solvent based tissue clearing technique, iDISCO+ (Renier et al., 2016), that renders brains optically transparent. Because conventional microscopic techniques are not suitable for large volume imaging, we used light-sheet microscopy. Image stacks from individual fish brains were converted to 3D volumes and registered into the same anatomical space prior to averaging. Finally, average 3D images were manually segmented into their constituent brain regions.

Light-sheet Imaging

Tissue clearing using iDISCO+ resulted in transparent brains (Figure 2A). iDISCO+ is compatible with a variety of stains, such as a nuclear stain (TO-PRO), that allowed us to approximate the Nissl stain in the print atlas (Wulliman et al., 1996). Cleared and stained brains were imaged in the horizontal plane with an in-plane resolution of 3.25 μm and an axial step-size of 4 μm (Figure 2B) yielding near-isotropic signals at sufficient resolution to clearly distinguish regional boundaries. From this collection of images, we generated three-dimensional volumes (Figure 2C) that enabled viewing at any arbitrary angle including the coronal and sagittal planes (Figure 2D). Images were subject to quality-control so that those damaged during dissection were discarded. We retained seventeen sets of nuclear stained and associated autofluorescence images from both male and female fish (8 female), each of which were transformed into 3D volumes for registration.
The atlas was generated by registering images from individual animals into the same space, thereby creating an anatomical average. This approach has been previously used in humans (Klein et al., 2009), mice (Dorr et al., 2008; Steadman et al., 2014), macaques (McLaren et al., 2009) and zebrafish larvae (Kunst et al., 2019; Randlett et al., 2015; Ronneberger et al., 2012; Tabor et al., 2019). We used the contrast from the TO-PRO signal and an image registration pipeline toolkit (Friedel et al., 2014) to perform iterative registration to generate a consensus image (Figure 3). This method begins with a 6-parameter linear registration to rotate and translate the initial image dataset followed by a 12-parameter affine registration to scale, translate, rotate, and shear the dataset with a pair-wise approach to avoid bias by outlier images (Figure 3A). Lastly, an iterative non-linear registration with 6 iterations at subsequently higher resolutions was performed using minctracc (Collins and Evans, 1997). This resulted in a set of linear and non-linear transformations for each TO-PRO image in our dataset from native space to a consensus space and orientation. These transformations were then applied to corresponding autofluorescence images, thereby creating an atlas with averaged images containing TO-PRO and autofluorescence signals (Figure 3B).

Antibody stains

To provide additional guidance for segmentation and generate insight into the neurochemical organization of the adult zebrafish brain, we also acquired images using ten different antibody stains (Figure 4A). We sought stains that would identify different cell types in the brain, such as neurons (HuC/D), radial glial cells (glial fibrillary associated protein; GFAP), and proliferating cells (proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PCNA), markers for different
neurotransmitters (tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), and choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)) and calcium binding proteins (parvalbumin (PV), calbindin, and calretinin). Some of these stains, such as TH, 5-HT, ChAT, and calretinin have already been subject to brain-wide analysis, making them useful for guiding segmentation.

Fully realizing the utility of different stains requires images to be brought into the same anatomical space as the previously generated TO-PRO average. To achieve this, during imaging of antibody stains we also acquired autofluorescence images, thereby providing a bridge between the antibody images and the TO-PRO images. Autofluorescence images from antibody stains were registered with the autofluorescence channel of the TO-PRO images, yielding a set of transformations that were used to bring antibody stains into the same anatomical space as the TO-PRO stain (Figure 4B). To generate a representative image for each antibody, we averaged together at least five independent brains. Our approach resulted in strong correspondence between antibody images and the TO-PRO stain (Figure 4C). The utility of this approach is apparent from examining structures known to express high levels of specific enzymes, like TH in the locus coeruleus (Figure 4D; green arrows).

Registration Precision

To compare registration precision using TO-PRO and autofluorescence signals we labelled six different landmarks in the atlas images and corresponding points in acquired images. Transforms derived from the registration process were then applied to the acquired image’s labelled landmarks. We then measured the Euclidean distance between the transformed points and the points in the atlas. Using a mixed model ANOVA (2 × 16; signal (between subject) × landmark (within subject)), we found a main effect of signal (F(1,13) = 1084, P = 6.6 × 10^{-14}) with the TO-PRO signal having greater mean precision (15 ± 10 µm vs 99 ± 53...
μm; Figure 4-figure supplement 1). However, there was also a significant effect of landmark
(F(5, 65) = 98, P < 2 × 10^{-16}), and an interaction between signal and landmark (F(5, 65) = 120, P
< 2 × 10^{-16}). A closer examination of the data revealed that in the autofluorescence images the
average precision of each landmark covers a much wider range (17 to 180 μm) than TO-PRO (9
to 23 μm). In the autofluorescence image, the landmark with the highest precision (point 5; 17 ±
4 μm) has precision on par with the TO-PRO average. This suggests that the larger error
measured using autofluorescence images is likely due to experimenter error in selecting points,
reflecting the paucity of well-defined landmarks in this signal compared to the richer, high
contrast TO-PRO images.

**Segmentation**

Registered images were used to segment the brain into its constituent parts (Figure 5;
see Supplementary File 1 for anatomical abbreviations and colors). Segmentation was primarily
guided by the seminal atlas of Wullimann and colleagues (1996). Regional boundaries and
terminology were updated for parts of the brain that have been subject to more recent analysis
such as the telencephalon, hypothalamic regions, and motor nuclei (Mueller et al., 2004; Porter
and Mueller, 2020; Rink and Wullimann, 2001). Segmenting large, clearly delineated regions,
such as the optic tectum and the cerebellum, was straightforward (Figure 5A). Small nuclei that
only appear in one or two slices in the atlas or in images from only one axis proved more
challenging. For such regions, we primarily made use of the coronal axis due to it being the
most extensively represented in both the atlas and the literature (Figure 5A, bottom). The
horizontal and sagittal planes enabled us to identify the anterior-posterior boundaries (Figure
5A, top and middle). To ensure we captured as many neuronal structures as possible, we also
made extensive use of a neuronal marker (HuC/D) in conjunction with the nuclear stain, which
allowed us to safely identify many boundaries (Figure 5-figure supplement 1). Other challenges
included the fact that the original brain atlas contains a significant amount of unsegmented space. We labelled these regions as “unknown” and according to their lowest anatomical level (e.g. unknown ventral telencephalon (UnkVT), unknown diencephalon (UnkD), etc.). Explicitly labelling these regions distinguishes them from the “clear” label that is used for areas outside the brain to facilitate computational analysis using the atlas. Identification of tracts was largely based on a combination of autofluorescence and lack nuclear and neuronal staining since we were unsuccessful in finding a white matter stain compatible with iDISCO+ (e.g. the MLF: Figure 4D, pink arrowheads). We anticipate future work will aid in filling these unsegmented regions with the potential to discover new neuronal circuits and anatomical structures.

Segmentation was also guided by stains with antibodies that have been used in prior studies of the adult zebrafish brain. This includes amines like TH and 5-HT (Kaslin and Panula, 2001; Rink and Wullimann, 2001), ChAT to identify cholinergic neurons and motor nuclei (Mueller et al., 2004), and calcium binding proteins like calretinin (Castro et al., 2006a, 2006b). All of our immunostainings showed high overlap with prior work, establishing the validity of these antibodies (Figures 5B,C, and Figure 5-figure supplement 1). For example, in the telencephalon we found extensive TH expression in the olfactory bulb (Figure 5B-a), and as a continuous band ventral to the Dc region (Figure 5B-b). As previously reported, TH staining was also elevated in the optic tectum, thalamic, and hypothalamic regions (Figure 5B-c,d), the LC (Figure 5B-e), and in the XLo of the hindbrain (Figure 5B-f). We found 5-HT staining to be more diffuse in regions like the optic tectum and the dorsal part of the telencephalon (Figure 5C-a,b), with pockets of high expression restricted to regions like the PVO (Figure 5C-c), PTN (Figure 5C-d), posterior portion of the Hc (Figure 5C-e), and the SR (Figure 5C-f). Using ChAT, we could clearly discern staining in places such as the RT (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-a), near the TTB (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-b), and in the SRN and NLV (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-c). ChAT staining was also apparent in several motor nuclei such as the OENr...
and VIIm (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-d), OENc (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-e), and IXm (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B-e). Finally, examples of high levels of calretinin staining can be seen in the olfactory bulb (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-a), the Dm (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-b), the PSP and optic tract (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-c), optic tectum (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-c,d,e), the TLa, SG, TGN, and anterior portion of the DIL (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-d) with particularly strong staining in the SGN (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-e) and DON (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C-f).

Our segmentation resulted in a three-dimensional model of the zebrafish brain that can be viewed from any arbitrary angle (Figure 5D). Each nucleus, white matter tract, ventricle, and anatomical space was given a unique abbreviation and color, totaling 203 regions (Supplementary File 1) and associated with an anatomical hierarchy (Supplementary Files 2 & 3). The full extent of the atlas can be appreciated using the website (azba.wayne.edu) or ITK-SNAP (Yushkevich et al., 2019), a freely available software package designed for viewing three-dimensional medical images that allows for the simultaneous viewing of the stains and segmentation in the coronal, sagittal, and horizontal planes (Figure 6). All files for exploring AZBA are freely available for use in ITK-SNAP or other programs (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dfn2z351g).

Sex and brain volume

Because the goal of the atlas was to generate a representative brain, we combined images from both male and female fish. To determine if there was an effect of sex on brain volumes in our TO-PRO image set, we used a mixed model ANOVA (2 × 203; sex (between subjects) × brain region (within subjects)). We found neither an effect of sex (F(1,15) = 0.11, P = 0.75; Figure 5-figure supplement 2) nor an interaction between sex and brain region (F(202,
3030) = 0.14, P = 1; Figure 5-figure supplement 3) suggesting that sex did not affect the overall size of the brain or individual regions. We did find a main effect of region (F(202, 3030) = 495, P < 2 x 10^{-16}), consistent with the wide range of region sizes observed across the brain (0.000026 to 0.53 mm^3; Supplementary File 2).

**Neurochemical organization of the adult zebrafish brain**

We used AZBA to generate insight into the neurochemical organization of the adult zebrafish brain using antibody stains that have not previously been subject to brain-wide examination. Parvalbumin (PV) is a calcium binding protein that labels a class of inhibitory interneurons (Celio, 1986). We found several highly concentrated areas of PV staining such as in the anterior portions of the olfactory bulb (Figure 7A), APN and DAO (Figure 7A-b), the TSc (Figure 7A-c), the DON and VIII of the hindbrain (Figure 7A-f), and very high levels in the ventral portion of the molecular layer of the cerebellum (Figure 7A-d,e) the latter of which likely corresponding with Purkinje and crest cells (Bae et al., 2009).

Prox1 is a homeobox gene critical for regulating neuronal development with widespread expression in larval fish. In juveniles and adult zebrafish, Prox1 expression decreases rapidly and is eventually confined to relatively few regions (Ganz et al., 2012; Pistocchi et al., 2008). In the adult zebrafish pallium, Prox1 was reported to be present in the neuronal layer of the Dl with more diffuse staining in posterior portion of the Dc, which we also observed (Figure 7B-b). We also observe high levels of Prox1 in the olfactory bulb (Figure 7B-a), the habenula (Figure 7B-b), the Val and hypothalamus (Figure 7B-d), and the DIL and the molecular layer of the cerebellum (Figure 7B-e,f).

PCNA is a marker for proliferating cells (Grandel et al., 2006; Wullimann and Puelles, 1999). Consistent with widespread neurogenesis in the adult zebrafish brain, we found PCNA
expressed in many neurogenic niches with the highest expression along the midline (Figure 7C). For example, in the telencephalon we noticed a band of high expression along the midline in the ventral telencephalon that begins near the olfactory bulbs (Figure 7C-a) with a second area in the posterior portion corresponding to the PPa (Figure 7C-b). We also saw high levels of expression in parts of the thalamus (VM; Figure 7C-c), the hypothalamus (Hd and posterior portion of Hc) and the valvula, caudal lobe, and molecular layers of the cerebellum (Figure 7C-d,e,f).

Calbindin is a calcium binding protein important for regulating intracellular signaling that is often used in comparative neurological studies (Schmidt, 2012). We found calbindin to be concentrated in the fiber layers of the olfactory bulbs (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-a), the Dm in the telencephalon (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-b), the VOT (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-c), throughout the optic tectum (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-c,d,e), the TLa (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-d), SGN (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-e), and in the VIII and DON of the hindbrain (Figure 5-figure supplement 1D-f). Notably, calbindin staining patterns largely overlapped with calretinin (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C).

GFAP is a marker for non-neuronal cells like astrocytes, radial glial cells, and ependymal cells (Doetsch et al., 1997; Eng et al., 2000). Accordingly, we found GFAP most concentrated near the midline and ventricles (Figure 7D). Pockets of expression were present that were not adjacent to ventricles such as near the entopeduncular nuclei (Figure 7D-a), the habenula and anterior portions of the thalamus (Figure 7D-b) and nuzzled between the NI and TSvl (Figure 7D-d). Throughout the hindbrain, GFAP expression was largely restricted to the edges of the brain with the exception of the IR and dorsolateral edge of the XLo (Figure 7D-e,f).
Discussion

In the present article, we introduce a new resource for the zebrafish community: AZBA, a three-dimensional adult zebrafish brain atlas that can be downloaded (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dfn2z351g) or explored on the web (azba.wayne.edu). This resource will facilitate a wide variety of neurobiological studies using adult zebrafish aimed at dissecting neural circuits of behavior, understanding brain pathology, and discovering novel and conserved neuroanatomy. We created AZBA by leveraging advances in tissue clearing, light-sheet fluorescent microscopy, and image registration, resulting in the most detailed atlas for adult zebrafish to date. Tissue clearing allowed us to take a whole-mount approach, overcoming the natural opacity of the adult brain and issues associated with slice-based techniques such as tissue loss, tearing, and distortion. Laser fluorescence light-sheet microscopy was used to image the large volume of the zebrafish brain with high resolution and minimal photobleaching. Finally, we used three-dimensional image registration to create images derived from multiple animals and inclusion of ten antibody stains into the same anatomical space. These were then used to guide segmentation of the atlas into over 200 different neuroanatomical regions.

AZBA represents a significant departure from two prior adult zebrafish brain atlases. The seminal book atlas from Wullimann and colleagues (1996) is exceptionally detailed and has guided zebrafish neuroscience research for over two decades. However, being in print, it has not been updated with the latest findings and its two-dimensional visual presentation and lack of chemoarchitectural markers makes identifying regional boundaries across anatomical planes problematic. More recently, Ullmann and colleagues used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to create a three-dimensional atlas for adult zebrafish (Ullmann et al., 2010). Using a 16.4 Tesla magnet, they imaged a single brain at approximately 10 µm resolution and segmented it into 53 regions. Although an important achievement, MRI is limited in its ability to detect neurochemical markers and does not integrate easily with genetic labeling techniques. Furthermore, such
strong magnets are not readily available to most researchers. In contrast, by combining recent advances in widely available tissue clearing techniques, light-sheet microscopy, and image registration, AZBA is highly versatile, detailed, and accessible.

Antibody stains were central to developing AZBA because they validated our approach and improved segmentation through comparison to prior work. Indeed, patterns of our neurotransmitter related stains largely agree with previous reports probing TH (Castro et al., 2006b; Kaslin and Panula, 2001; Ma, 2003; Yamamoto et al., 2010), 5-HT (Kaslin and Panula, 2001; Norton et al., 2008), and ChAT (Castro et al., 2006b; Clemente et al., 2004; Mueller et al., 2004). PCNA also overlaps with earlier findings using both PCNA antibodies and bromoxyuridine labelling (Ampatzis et al., 2012; Byrd and Brunjes, 2001; Grandel et al., 2006; Ito et al., 2010; Makantasi and Dermon, 2014; von Krogh et al., 2010). Likewise, stains against the calcium binding proteins like calretinin, calbindin, and PV, largely overlap with prior work with only minor exceptions. Consistent with previous studies we find calretinin expressed in the olfactory bulb (Kress et al., 2015), telencephalon (Porter and Mueller, 2020) and posterior parts of the brain, with the only notable exceptions being our lack of staining in the torus semicircularis and perilemniscal nucleus (Castro et al., 2006b, 2006a). For calbindin, despite using the same antibody, we find that our staining in the telencephalon looks different than previous work (von Trotha et al., 2014) where we find little staining in the subpallium, and instead see staining in the medial zone of the dorsal telencephalon limited to its posterior extent. For PV, we also note significant overlap with prior work where we see labelling in cell bodies of the cerebellum and olfactory bulbs, but less consistency in the more diffuse staining in the telencephalon (Ampatzis and Dermon, 2007; Bae et al., 2009; Mueller et al., 2011; Porter and Mueller, 2020). Some of these minor discrepancies may be due to changes in antigenicity arising from the use of different methodologies for fixation, loss of sparse signal due to the averaging of multiple images, tissue distortion (Renier et al., 2016; Richardson and Lichtman,
2015), or because prior work reported images from single animals and may be more susceptible
to individual variation in expression patterns compared to the present work where images are
averaged across several subjects. Nonetheless, our high correspondence with the previous
literature suggests the present work accurately represents the adult zebrafish brain.

Of the antibody stains that had not been subject to extensive prior work, the findings of
greatest interest are from Prox1, a homeobox protein critical to the development of an array of
organs and cell types including neurons during embryonic and adult stages (Elsir et al., 2012;
Kaltezioti et al., 2010; Karalay et al., 2011). We found Prox1 staining in the telencephalon,
consistent with prior work (Ganz et al., 2012), as well as the habenula, parts of the
hypothalamus, and the cerebellum (Figure 7B). Hypothalamic Prox1 expression in larval
zebrafish has been found to be important for the development of catecholaminergic neurons
(Pistocchi et al., 2008). However, we do not see overlap between TH and Prox1 in the
hypothalamus, suggesting Prox1 may be important for the development, but not the
maintenance, of hypothalamic catecholaminergic neurons. In adult mice, Prox1 is present in the
dentate gyrus of the hippocampus and GFAP positive cells localized to white matter tracts in the
cerebellum (Karalay et al., 2011; Lavado and Oliver, 2007). Interestingly, we find overlap
between Prox1 and GFAP in the olfactory bulb and the edges of the telencephalon, but not the
cerebellum, where Prox1 has greater overlap with PCNA, a marker for proliferating cells. The
dentate gyrus in mice is notable for being one of the few areas where adult neurogenesis has
been demonstrated (Ming and Song, 2011). Thus, the broader expression of Prox1 in our study
may reflect the presence of more widespread neurogenesis in adult zebrafish compared to mice
(Kizil et al., 2012).

We view the current segmentation and image collection that comprises AZBA as a first
version that will be continually updated. To facilitate updating, and encourage input from the
scientific community, we have created a website (azba.wayne.edu) where we invite comments
and suggestions for updates. In addition, we expect future work will incorporate more antibody images and in situ hybridization probes for understanding how patterns of protein and gene expression vary across the brain. Through collaboration with the zebrafish community, we plan to incorporate the wealth of Gal4 and Cre/loxP lines that have been generated to characterize expression patterns in the adult brain. We envision a process where scientists send fixed brain samples to a central lab for tissue clearing, imaging, and registration to the atlas for incorporation into our online resource. A similar approach has been taken with larval fish (Kawakami et al., 2010; Kunst et al., 2019; Randlett et al., 2015; Ronneberger et al., 2012; Tabor et al., 2019). However, images from adult animals are important because transgene expression patterns can change as animals mature (Lal et al., 2018).

AZBA also enables new insight into the functional organization of the zebrafish brain by facilitating whole-brain activity mapping as has been achieved with larval zebrafish (Ahrens et al., 2012; Randlett et al., 2015). New images can be automatically segmented into individual brain regions by registration to our averaged autofluorescence or nuclear stained images (Figure 4). Because adult zebrafish have a mature neuroanatomy and a larger behavioral repertoire than larval fish, this will provide an important new avenue for exploiting the power of the zebrafish model system to yield insight into the functional organization of the vertebrate brain and how it relates to behavior.

AZBA provides an unprecedented view of the adult zebrafish brain, consisting of averaged three-dimensional nuclear stained and antibody images registered into the same space. All files associated with the atlas are available to the community (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.dfn2z351g) and can be viewed online (azba.wayne.edu) or with ITK-SNAP, a freely available software package (Yushkevich et al., 2019). With AZBA, adult zebrafish join the ranks of other vertebrate model organisms in neuroscience that have highly detailed digital atlases such as larval zebrafish (Kunst et al., 2019; Randlett et al., 2015;
Ronneberger et al., 2012; Tabor et al., 2019), mice (Lein et al., 2007), and rhesus macaques (Reveley et al., 2016). We anticipate this resource will contribute to the ascent of adult zebrafish into the upper echelons of model organisms in neuroscience and contribute to our understanding of the evolution, development, and functioning of the vertebrate central nervous system.
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## Methods

### Key Resources Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain, Strain Background (Danio Rerio)</td>
<td>Zebrafish (AB strain)</td>
<td>The Hospital for Sick Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>TO-PRO3 stain</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#: T3605</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-TH (Chicken polyclonal)</td>
<td>Aves</td>
<td>Cat#: TYH RRID:AB_10013440</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-GFAP (Mouse monoclonal)</td>
<td>ZIRC (Zebrafish International Resource Center)</td>
<td>Cat#: Zrf-1</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-ChAT (Goat polyclonal)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#: AB144 RRID:AB_11212843</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-5-HT (Rabbit polyclonal)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#: S5545 RRID:AB_477522</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-Calbindin (Rabbit polyclonal)</td>
<td>SWANT</td>
<td>Cat#: CB38 RRID:AB_10000340</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-Calretinin</td>
<td>SWANT</td>
<td>Cat#: 6B3 RRID:AB_100 00320</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-PCNA</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Cat#: M0879 RRID:AB_216 0651</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-HuC/D</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#: A21271 RRID:AB_221 448</td>
<td>iDISCO (3.75 μg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-Prox1</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#: AB5475 RRID:AB_177 485</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-Parvalbumin</td>
<td>SWANT</td>
<td>Cat#: PV27 RRID:AB_263 1173</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-mouse IgG-Alexa</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A31571 RRID:AB_162 542</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-rabbit IgG-Alexa</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A31573 RRID:AB_253 6183</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-goat IgG-Alexa</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A21447 RRID:AB_253 5864</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-chicken-Alexa</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A21103 RRID:AB_253 5756</td>
<td>iDISCO (1:200)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subjects

Subjects were AB fish (15-16 weeks of age) of both sexes. Fish were housed in 2 L tanks with 8-12 fish per tank. All fish were bred and raised at the Hospital for Sick Children in high density racks with a 14:10 light/dark cycle (lights on at 8:30) and fed twice daily with Artemia salina. All procedures were approved by the Hospital for Sick Children Animal Care and Use Committee.

Sample preparation

Zebrafish were euthanized by anesthetizing in 4% tricaine followed by immersion in ice cold water for five minutes. Animals were then decapitated using a razor blade and heads were placed in ice cold PBS for five minutes to let blood drain. Heads were then fixed in 4% PFA overnight after which brains were then carefully dissected into cold PBS and stored at 4 C until processing for iDISCO+. Brains that were damaged during the dissection process were not used for generating the atlas.

Tissue staining

Tissue staining and clearing was performed using iDISCO+ (Renier et al., 2016). Samples were first washed three times in PBS at room temperature, followed by dehydration in a series of methanol/water mixtures (an hour each in 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, 100% methanol).
Samples were further washed in 100% methanol, chilled on ice, and then incubated in 5% hydrogen peroxide in methanol overnight at 4°C. The next day, samples were rehydrated in a methanol/water series at room temperature (80%, 60%, 40%, 20% methanol) followed by a PBS wash and two one-hour washes in PTx.2 (PBS with 0.2% TritonX-100). Samples were then washed overnight at 37°C in permeabilization solution (PBS with 0.2% TritonX-100, 0.3 M glycine, 20% DMSO) followed by an overnight incubation at 37°C in blocking solution (PBS with 0.2% TritonX-100, 6% normal donkey serum, and 10% DMSO). Samples were then labelled with TO-PRO3 iodide (TO-PRO) (1 night) or primary antibodies (2-3 nights) via incubation at 37°C in PTwH (PTx.2 with 10 µg/mL heparin) with 3% donkey serum and 5% DMSO. Samples were then washed at 37°C for one day with five changes of PTwH. Antibody stained samples were followed by incubation with secondary antibodies at 37°C for 2-3 days in PTwH with 3% donkey serum. For samples labelled with TO-PRO, the secondary antibody labelling step was omitted. Following secondary antibody labelling, samples were again washed at 37°C in PTwH for one day with five solution changes.

**Tissue clearing**

Labelled brains were first dehydrated in a series of methanol water mixtures at room temperature (an hour each in 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, 100% (x2) methanol) and then left overnight in 100% methanol. Samples were then incubated at room temperature in 66% dichloromethane in methanol for three hours followed by two 15-minute washes in dichloromethane. After removal of dichloromethane, samples were incubated and stored in dibenzyl ether until imaging.

**Imaging**
All imaging was done on a LaVision ultramicroscope I. Samples were mounted using an ultraviolet curing resin (adhesive 61 from Norland Optical, Cranbury, NJ) that had a refractive index (1.56) that matched the imaging solution, dibenzyl ether. Images were acquired in the horizontal plane at 4X magnification.

Image processing

Data sets from light sheet imaging were stitched using Fiji’s (NIH) extension for Grid Stitching (Preibisch et al., 2009) and converted to a single stack, corresponding to the z-axis. All image processing steps were run on a Linux-workstation with 64 GB of RAM and 12-core Intel processor.

Each stack was converted to a 4 µm isotropic image as previously described (Vousden et al., 2014; supplementary file 4) with separate files for the autofluorescence channel and a second for the antibody or TO-PRO channels. These images were resampled to 8 µm isotropic due to system constraints during the image registration stages.

Registration

The TO-PRO and autofluorescence signals were acquired on an initial dataset of 17. To create the initial average, we used image registration to align in a parallel group-wise fashion the TO-PRO images. The variability was expected to be less in the TO-PRO because these images contained more contrast than the autofluorescence images.

The creation of an initial average of the adult zebrafish brain was accomplished using 17 samples with the TO-PRO channel. The process was completed using a 3-step registration process, similar to prior work (Lerch et al., 2011) using the pydpiper pipeline framework (Friedel et al., 2014) and the minctracc registration tool (Collins and Evans, 1997). This involved taking a
single sample at random and registering the 17 samples to it using a 6-parameter linear alignment process (LSQ6). This yielded 17 samples in similar orientation to allow a 12-parameter linear registration (LSQ12) to be performed in a pair-wise fashion (each sample is paired with all the other samples, to avoid sample bias) and the final output of these 12-parameter registration was a group average. This represents a linearly registered average adult zebrafish brain. This was then used as the target for non-linear registration with each of the linearly registered 17 TO-PRO samples. This non-linear alignment was repeated successively with smaller step sizes and blurring kernels to allow for an average with minimal bias from any one sample brain. We then took this average and mirrored itself along the long axis of the brain and repeated the registration process described above but instead of using a random brain as the 6-parameter target, we used this mirrored brain. The result of this second pipeline was an average brain where each plane of the brain (coronal, sagittal, horizontal) is parallel with the imaging planes (x,y,z). This final average brain represented the starting point of the atlas. The linear and non-linear transformations created in the registration pipeline were used to resample the 4 \( \mu \text{m} \) isotropic TO-PRO and autofluorescence images to the atlas space, yielding an average signal for each channel. The autofluorescence signal was used to register other sample datasets with the atlas because it is common across all datasets.

To combine the additional cellular markers to better delineate structures and examine their distribution across the brain, we converted all images and their channels to 4 \( \mu \text{m} \) isotropic images as described above. We then converted them to 8 \( \mu \text{m} \) isotropic and used the autofluorescence channel for each set to run the above registration pipeline (LSQ6, LSQ12 and non-linear). The initial target was the autofluorescence average created with the TO-PRO dataset described above. Following each registration pipeline, the transformations were used to resample each autofluorescence and cellular marker channel to the atlas with a resolution of 4 \( \mu \text{m} \) isotropic.
To assess registration precision using TO-PRO or autofluorescence images, for each signal we identified 6 landmarks in the atlas, and their corresponding location on 8 different image sets. These points were then brought into atlas space using the transformations from the registration process. We then computed the Euclidean distance between the points in the atlas image and the transformed images for the TO-PRO and autofluorescence signals. Precision data are presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated.

**Segmentation**

Segmentation was performed using ITK-SNAP, a freely available software package for working with multimodal medical images that enables side-by-side viewing of 3D images registered into the same anatomical space (Yushkevich et al., 2019). Segmentation was primarily guided by comparing TO-PRO nuclear stained images to the cresyl violet stain of the original atlas (Wullimann et al., 1996). Boundaries of nuclei were often determined using the TO-PRO stain in conjunction with a neuronal marker (HuC/D) and other antibody stains as needed. Terminology largely follows that of the original atlas with the exception of motor nuclei (Mueller et al., 2004) and the telencephalon (Porter and Mueller, 2020).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed in R (version 4.0.2) using an independent samples t-test or mixed model ANOVA, as indicated.
**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** Overview of the strategy for generating AZBA. Dissected brain samples were first subject to staining and tissue clearing. This was followed by whole-mount imaging using light-sheet fluorescence microscopy. Three-dimensional volumes were created from individual image sets, and then registered into the same anatomical space prior to averaging to generate a representative image. Finally, volumes were segmented into over 200 neuroanatomical regions.

**Figure 2.** Imaging of nuclear stained tissue-cleared samples. **A)** Image of adult zebrafish brain samples before (top) and after (bottom) clearing using iDISCO+. **B)** Example TO-PRO stained images from a single sample acquired in the horizontal plane during light-sheet imaging. **C)** Three-dimensional volumes generated from a set of light-sheet images from an individual brain visualized using a maximum intensity projection (left), and exterior volume (right). **D)** Coronal (left) and sagittal (right) views of an individual brain generated from a single three-dimensional volume.

**Figure 3.** Image registration pipeline. **A)** Raw TO-PRO images from 17 fish were first aligned using linear transformations (LSQ6 and LSQ12) followed by a final non-linear transformation (right). Deformation grids at each stage are overlaid. Consensus average images at each stage of the pipeline are below. **B)** Raw autofluorescence images (top) acquired at the same time as the TO-PRO images were registered into the same space using the transformations derived from TO-PRO registration (middle). Images were then averaged together to generate a corresponding autofluorescence average in the same anatomical space as the TO-PRO images (bottom).
**Figure 4.** Imaging and registration of antibody stains. **A)** Representative light-sheet images taken in the horizontal plane from individual brains stained with indicated antibodies. **B)** Autofluorescence images acquired during antibody staining (top) were registered into the same space as autofluorescence images acquired during TO-PRO staining (bottom). **C)** Transformations from autofluorescence registration were applied to antibody images to bring antibody stains into the same anatomical space as the TO-PRO stain. Yellow crosshairs are in the same place on each image. **D)** Example of correspondence between TO-PRO and antibody images and how stains can be used to identify the boundaries of specific nuclei (green arrow: locus coeruleus) and white matter tracts by a lack of staining (pink arrowhead: medial longitudinal fascicle).

**Figure 5.** Segmentation of AZBA. **A)** Averaged and registered TO-PRO images alongside the atlas segmentation. For sagittal (top), horizontal (middle), and coronal (bottom) planes numbers are distance (in mm) from the midline, top, and anterior most portion of the brain, respectively. **B** and **C)** Averaged and registered TH and 5-HT stained images where hotter colors indicate a stronger signal. Numbers same as in (A). Slices in each plane were chosen to show regions containing high levels of staining (see results for description). **D)** Three-dimensional representation of the segmentation with a sagittal and horizontal cutaway overlaid with TO-PRO the TO-PRO stain of the atlas.

**Figure 6.** Registered and averaged TO-PRO, HuC/D, TH, and 5-HT images in the coronal plane alongside atlas segmentation at 50% opacity and visualized using ITK-SNAP. Numbers are distance (in mm) from the anterior most portion of the brain.
Figure 7. Averaged and registered antibody stains with corresponding segmentation for A) PV, B) Prox1, C) PCNA, and D) GFAP where hotter colors indicate greater staining. For sagittal (top) and horizontal (left, bottom) numbers represent distance (in mm) from midline or top of the brain, respectively. Slices for each plane were chosen based on the presence of staining (see results for description).
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Supplemental Information

Figure 4 - Figure supplement 1. Registration precision between six different landmarks in the autofluorescence and TO-PRO images and their respective atlas images. Data are mean ± SEM.

Figure 5 - Figure supplement 1. Averaged and registered antibody stains with corresponding segmentation for A) HuC/D, B) ChAT, C) Calretinin (Calr), and D) Calbindin (Calb) where hotter colors indicate greater staining. For sagittal (top) and horizontal (left, bottom) numbers represent distance (in mm) from midline or top of the brain, respectively. Slices for each plane were chosen based on the presence of staining (see results for description).

Figure 5 - Figure supplement 2. Whole-brain volumes of female and male fish used in the TO-PRO registration. Boxes represent interquartile range and whiskers are minimum/maximum.

Figure 5 – Figure supplement 3. Brain structure volumes of female and male fish used in the TO-PRO registration. Boxes represent interquartile range and whiskers are minimum/maximum.

Supplementary File 1. Table of brain region abbreviations, full names, and colors.

Supplementary File 2. Excel file of brain region label numbers, abbreviations, full names, colors, volume, hierarchy, and location.


Supplementary File 4. Python script for converting image stacks to three dimensional volumes.
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