| 1 | A conserved neuropeptide system links head and body motor circuits |
|--|---|
| 2 | to enable adaptive behavior |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Shankar Ramachandran ^{1,#} , Navonil Banerjee ^{1,#,a} , Raja Bhattacharya ^{1,#,b} , Michele L Lemons ² , Jeremy |
| 6 | Florman ¹ , Christopher M. Lambert ¹ , Denis Touroutine ¹ , Kellianne Alexander ¹ , Liliane Schoofs ³ , Mark J |
| 7 | Alkema ¹ , Isabel Beets ³ and Michael M. Francis ¹ * |
| 0 | |
| 0 | |
| 9 | ¹ Department of Neurobiology |
| 10 | 715 Lazare Research Building |
| 11 | University of Massachusetts Medical School |
| 12 | 364 Plantation St. |
| 13 | Worcester, MA 01605 |
| 14 | ² Department of Biological and Physical Sciences |
| 15 | Assumption University |
| 16 | Worcester, MA 01609 |
| 17 | ³ Department of Biology |
| 18 | University of Leuven (KU Leuven) |
| 19 | Leuven, Belgium |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | *corresponding author (<u>michael.francis@umassmed.edu</u>) [#] equal contributions |
| | Current address: ^a Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA ^b Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Kolkata, West Bengal, India |

30 SUMMARY

31 Neuromodulators promote adaptive behaviors that are often complex and involve concerted 32 activity changes across circuits that are often not physically connected. It is not well understood 33 how neuromodulatory systems accomplish these tasks. Here we show that the C. elegans NLP-34 12 neuropeptide system shapes responses to food availability by modulating the activity of head 35 and body wall motor neurons through alternate G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) targets, 36 CKR-1 and CKR-2. We show ckr-2 deletion reduces body bend depth during movement under 37 basal conditions. We demonstrate CKR-1 is a functional NLP-12 receptor and define its 38 expression in the nervous system. In contrast to basal locomotion, biased CKR-1 GPCR 39 stimulation of head motor neurons promotes turning during local searching. Deletion of ckr-1 40 reduces head neuron activity and diminishes turning while specific ckr-1 overexpression or head 41 neuron activation promote turning. Thus, our studies suggest locomotor responses to changing 42 food availability are regulated through conditional NLP-12 stimulation of head or body wall motor 43 circuits.

44

Impact statement: Investigation of neuromodulatory control of ethologically conserved arearestricted food search behavior shows that NLP-12 stimulation of the head motor circuit
promotes food searching through the previously uncharacterized CKR-1 GPCR.

48

49 Key words:

Neuropeptide, neuromodulation, neural circuits, adaptive behavior, area-restricted food search,
 C. elegans, G protein-coupled receptor, cholecystokinin

52

| 33 Acknowledgements | 53 | Acknowledgements |
|----------------------------|----|------------------|
|----------------------------|----|------------------|

- 54 We thank the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which is funded by the National Institutes of
- 55 Health National Center for Research Resources, and the Mitani laboratory (National
- 56 Bioresource Project) for providing *Caenorhabditis elegans* strains. We thank Mei Zhen lab for
- 57 MATLAB script for calcium imaging analysis, Claire Bénard for strains, Michael Gorczyca and
- 58 William Joyce for technical support. We thank Francis lab members for helpful comments on the
- 59 manuscript.
- 60

61 **Funding**

- 62 This work was supported by NIH R21NS093492 (MMF), European Research Council 340318
- 63 and Research Foundation Flanders grant G0C0618N (IB).

64

65 **Disclosure Statement**: The authors have nothing to declare.

67 Introduction

68 Neuromodulators serve critical roles in altering the functions of neurons to elicit alternate behavior. 69 Disruptions in neuromodulatory transmitter systems are associated with a variety of behavioral and 70 neuropsychiatric conditions, including eating disorders, anxiety, stress and mood disorders, 71 depression, and schizophrenia (Bailer and Kaye, 2003; Kormos and Gaszner, 2013; Pomrenze et al., 72 2019). To achieve their effects, neuromodulatory systems may act broadly through projections across 73 many brain regions or have circuit-specific actions, based on the GPCRs involved and their cellular 74 expression. A single neuromodulator may therefore perform vastly different signaling functions across 75 the circuits where it is released. For example, Neuropeptide Y (NPY) coordinates a variety of energy 76 and feeding-related behaviors in mammals through circuit-specific mechanisms. NPY signaling may

increase or decrease food intake depending upon the circuit and GPCR targets involved (West and Roseberry, 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). Due to the varied actions of neuromodulators across cell types and neural circuits, it has remained challenging to define how specific neuromodulatory systems act *in vivo* to elicit alternate behaviors. Addressing this question in the mammalian brain is further complicated by the often widespread and complex projection patterns of neuromodulatory transmitter systems, and our still growing knowledge of brain connectivity.

83

84 The compact neural organization and robust genetics of invertebrate systems such as *Caenorhabditis* 85 elegans are attractive features for studies of neuromodulatory function. Prior work has shown that C. 86 elegans NLP-12 neuropeptides are key modulatory signals in the control of behavioral adaptations to 87 changing environmental conditions, such as food availability or oxygen abundance (Bhattacharya et 88 al., 2014; Hums et al., 2016; Oranth et al., 2018). The NLP-12 system is the closest relative of the 89 mammalian Cholecystokinin (CCK) neuropeptide system and is highly conserved across flies, worms 90 and mammals (Janssen et al., 2009, 2008; Peeters et al., 2012). CCK is abundantly expressed in the 91 mammalian brain, however a clear understanding of the regulatory actions of CCK on the circuits 92 where it is expressed is only now beginning to emerge (Ballaz, 2017; Lee and Soltesz, 2011;

Nishimura et al., 2015; Saito et al., 1980). Like mammals, the *C. elegans* genome encodes two
putative CCK-responsive G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (CKR-1 and CKR-2), though, prior to
the present study, direct activation by NLP-12 peptides had only been demonstrated for the CKR-2
GPCR (Frooninckx et al., 2012; Janssen et al., 2009, 2008; Peeters et al., 2012). The experimental
tractability of *C. elegans*, combined with the highly conserved nature of the NLP-12/CCK system,
offers a complementary approach for uncovering circuit-level actions underlying neuropeptide
modulation, in particular NLP-12/CCK neuropeptide signaling.

100

101 Sudden decreases in food availability or environmental oxygen levels each evoke a characteristic 102 behavioral response in *C. elegans* where animals limit their movement to a restricted area by 103 increasing the frequency of trajectory changes (reorientations), a behavior known as local or area-104 restricted searching (ARS) (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Gray et al., 2005; Hills et al., 2004; Hums et al., 105 2016; Oranth et al., 2018). ARS is a highly conserved adaptive behavior and is evident across diverse 106 animal species (Bailey et al., 2019; Bell, 1990; Margues et al., 2020; Paiva et al., 2010; Sommerfeld et 107 al., 2013; Weimerskirch et al., 2007). ARS responses during food searching in particular are rapid and 108 transient. Trajectory changes increase within a few minutes after food removal, and decrease with 109 prolonged removal from food (>15-20 minutes) as animals transition to global searching (dispersal) 110 (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Calhoun et al., 2014; Gray et al., 2005; Hills et al., 2004; Hums et al., 2016; 111 Oranth et al., 2018; Wakabayashi et al., 2004). The clearly discernible behavioral states during food 112 searching present a highly tractable model for understanding contributions of specific neuromodulatory 113 systems. NLP-12 neuropeptide signaling promotes increases in body bending amplitude and turning 114 during movement (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Hums et al., 2016), motor adaptations that are 115 particularly relevant for ARS. Notably, nlp-12 is strongly expressed in only a single neuron, the 116 interneuron DVA that has synaptic targets in the motor circuit and elsewhere (Bhattacharya et al., 117 2014; White et al., 1976). Despite the restricted expression of *nlp-12*, there remains considerable

uncertainty about the cellular targets of NLP-12 peptides and the circuit-level mechanisms by which
NLP-12 modulation promotes its behavioral effects.



sequence. As expected, we found the *ckr-1* locus encodes a predicted protein containing 7
transmembrane domains and sharing strong similarity to the CCK-like GPCR family (Figure 1 – Figure
Supplement 1).

147

148 To define potential roles for CKR-1 and CKR-2 in local searching, we took advantage of a strain we 149 had previously generated that stably expresses high levels of the NLP-12 precursor [nlp-12(OE)]150 (Bhattacharya et al., 2014). Overexpression of *nlp-12* in this manner elicits exaggerated loopy 151 movement, increased trajectory changes and enhanced body bend amplitude (Figure 1A, 6C, Video 152 1). The average amplitude of bending is increased approximately 3-fold in comparison to wild type 153 (Figure 1B), and body bends are more broadly distributed over steeper angles (Figure 1C-D). These 154 overexpression effects are constitutive, offering experimental advantages for pursuing genetic 155 strategies to identify signaling mechanisms. We investigated the requirement for CKR-1 and CKR-2 in 156 the locomotor changes elicited by nlp-12 overexpression using available strains carrying independent 157 deletions in each of these genes. The ckr-2 deletion (tm3082) has been characterized previously and 158 likely represents a null allele (Hu et al., 2011; Janssen et al., 2008; Peeters et al., 2012). The ckr-1 159 deletion (ok2502) removes 1289 base pairs, including exons 3-7 that encode predicted 160 transmembrane domains 2-5 (Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1B-C) and therefore also likely represents 161 a null allele. ckr-1 and ckr-2 single gene deletions each partially reversed the effects of nlp-12 162 overexpression (Figure 1A,B,D, 6C), indicating that both CKR-1 and CKR-2 GPCRs are active under 163 conditions when NLP-12 peptides are present at high levels. Notably, ckr-1 deletion showed slightly 164 greater suppression of *nlp-12(OE)* phenotypes compared with *ckr-2* deletion (Figure 1B,D, 6C). 165 Combined deletion of *ckr-1* and *ckr-2* largely reversed the locomotor changes produced by NLP-12 166 overexpression (Figure 1A,B,D, 6C), indicating that the GPCRs act in a partially redundant manner. 167 Our genetic analysis of nlp-12 overexpression confirms a role for the CKR-2 GPCR in NLP-12-elicited 168 motor adaptations, and importantly, provides first evidence implicating the previously uncharacterized 169 CKR-1 GPCR in NLP-12 modulation of motor activity.

170

171 NLP-12 activates CKR-1 with high potency

172 To obtain direct evidence for NLP-12 activation of CKR-1, we used an *in vitro* bioluminescence-based 173 approach. CKR-1 was expressed in Chinese hamster ovarian (CHO) cells stably expressing the 174 promiscuous G-protein alpha subunit $G_{\alpha 16}$ and a bioluminescent calcium indicator, aequorin (Caers et 175 al., 2014). The NLP-12 precursor gives rise to 2 distinct mature peptides, NLP-12-1 and NLP-12-2. 176 Application of either NLP-12-1 or NLP-12-2 synthetic peptides produced robust calcium responses in 177 cells expressing CKR-1. These responses were concentration-dependent with EC_{50} values of 3.5 and 178 1.9 nM for NLP-12-1 and NLP-12-2 peptides, respectively (Figure 1E). These EC₅₀ values are 179 comparable to those measured for NLP-12 activation of CKR-2 (8.0 nM and 10.2 nM) (Figure 1F) 180 (Janssen et al., 2008), suggesting NLP-12 peptides act with similar potency across CKR-1 and CKR-2 181 GPCRs. Importantly, no other peptides from a library of over 350 synthetic C. elegans peptides elicited 182 CKR-1 activation, nor did the NLP-12 peptides evoke calcium responses in cells transfected with 183 empty vector (Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2), indicating that CKR-1, like CKR-2, is a highly specific 184 receptor for NLP-12.

185

186 **CKR-1** is a key signaling component for local search behavior

187 To more deeply investigate roles for CKR-1 and CKR-2 in NLP-12 regulation of movement, we 188 quantified body and head bending during basal locomotion (in the presence of food) using single worm 189 tracking analysis. *nlp-12* deletion significantly reduced both body bending and head bending angles in 190 comparison to wild type (Figure 2A-B). Similarly, single deletions in ckr-1 and ckr-2 each produced 191 significant reductions in body bending, and combined deletion produced effects similar to nlp-12 192 deletion (Figure 2A). In contrast, head bending was strikingly affected by ckr-1 deletion, while ckr-2 193 deletion did not produce a significant reduction (Figure 2B). The preferential involvement of CKR-1 in 194 head bending suggested the interesting possibility that CKR-1 and CKR-2 GPCRs differentially 195 regulate specific features of locomotion.

196

197 To explore this possibility further, we investigated the involvement of CKR-1 and CKR-2 GPCRs in 198 local search responses following removal from food. Specifically, we monitored worm movement 199 during a 35-minute period immediately after removal from food and guantified turning behavior during 200 the first (0-5, local searching, Video 2) and last (30-35, dispersal, Video 3) five minutes (Figure 3A). 201 Post-hoc video analysis proved most reliable for measuring turning behavior during local searching. 202 We quantified changes in trajectory (reorientations), that resulted in a change of >50° in the direction 203 of movement, executed either through forward turns or reversal-coupled omega turns [Figure 3B, 204 Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1]. For wild type, we noted an increase in reorientations immediately 205 following removal from food compared to animals maintained on food (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 206 2A). Consistent with our previous findings (Bhattacharya et al., 2014), we found that deletion of *nlp-12* 207 significantly decreased reorientations immediately following removal from food (Figure 3C-D). In 208 particular, we noted a significant reduction in the forward reorientations of *nlp-12* mutants, but no 209 appreciable effect on reversal-coupled omega turns (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2B). Deletion of 210 *ckr*-2 produced no appreciable effect on reorientations (Figure 3C-D)(Bhattacharya et al., 2014); 211 however, single deletion of ckr-1 decreased reorientations to a similar level as observed for nlp-12 212 deletion (Figure 3C-D). Similar to *nlp-12(lf)*, we found that *ckr-1(lf)* significantly impacted forward 213 reorientations, but did not affect reversal-coupled omega turns (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2B). 214 Combined deletion of ckr-1 and ckr-2 provided no additional decrease beyond that observed for single 215 *ckr-1* deletion (Figure 3C-D). In addition, combined deletion of *nlp-12* and *ckr-1* did not further 216 decrease reorientations compared with either of the single mutants (Figure 3C-D). Expression of wild 217 type ckr-1, but not ckr-2, rescued reorientations in ckr-1(lf);ckr-2(lf) double mutants (Figure 3 – Figure 218 Supplement 3A). Expression of wild type ckr-1 also restored normal reorientation behavior in ckr-1(lf) 219 animals when expressed under control of native ckr-1 promoter elements (3.5 kb) (Figure 3C), but not 220 when expressed under the *ckr-2* promoter (Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3B). These findings show 221 that *nlp-12* and *ckr-1* act in the same genetic pathway and point to a selective requirement for NLP-12

signaling through CKR-1 in regulating trajectory changes during local searching. Deletion of *nlp-12* did
not produce significant changes in dispersal behavior, but we noted a modest decrease in
reorientations during dispersal in *ckr-1* mutants (Figure 3E). This may indicate additional roles for
CKR-1 during dispersal. Together, our genetic and behavioral studies implicate CKR-1 and CKR-2
GPCRs as targets of NLP-12 signaling under conditions of overexpression and during basal
locomotion. In contrast, we find that NLP-12 modulation of local searching is primarily achieved
through CKR-1 activation.

229

230 Acute stimulation of DVA promotes reorientation behavior and requires NLP-12 and

231 CKR-1

232 We next addressed the question of how neuronal release of NLP-12 promotes area restricted 233 searching. We measured trajectory changes elicited by acute depolarization of the DVA neuron. We 234 used the *nlp-12* promoter to drive cell-specific expression of Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) (Nagel et al., 235 2003) in DVA and tracked worm movement during a 1-minute period of blue light (470 nm) 236 photostimulation. We found that animals reorient more frequently with depolarization of DVA 237 compared to pre-stimulus control (Figure 3F). Importantly, light exposure did not increase 238 reorientations in the absence of retinal (-ATR) (Figure 3F). Depolarization of the DVA neuron in nlp-12 239 mutants failed to produce a similar enhancement (Figure 3F), offering support for the idea that 240 reorientations primarily arise due to release of NLP-12 peptides. Single ckr-1 deletion or combined ckr-241 1 and ckr-2 deletion also abrogated DVA-elicited increases in reorientation behavior, while single ckr-2 242 deletion produced more variable responses that were not clearly distinguishable from control (Figure 243 3F). Our photostimulation experiments provide direct evidence that NLP-12 release from the DVA 244 neuron promotes reorientation behavior, and, in addition, provide evidence for central involvement of 245 NLP-12 signaling through the CKR-1 GPCR in directing reorientations. While NLP-12 expression has 246 also been recently reported in PVD neurons (Tao et al., 2019), expression of nlp-12 under a PVD

specific promoter (*ser-2prom3*) did not restore reorientations in *nlp-12(lf*) animals (Figure 3 – Figure
Supplement 3C), pointing towards DVA as the primary source of NLP-12 in promoting reorientations.

250 Elevated CKR-1 signaling enhances turning and body bending in a *nlp-12* dependent manner 251 To further define the role of CKR-1, we next asked whether increased CKR-1 signaling would be 252 sufficient to induce local search-like behavior. To address this question, we pursued an 253 overexpression strategy similar to our above approach for *nlp-12*. We generated transgenic lines 254 where the *ckr-1* genomic sequence including native *ckr-1* promoter elements was injected into wild 255 type animals at high concentration. We found that *ckr-1* overexpression produced striking increases in 256 turning and large head to tail body bends (Figure 4A, 6C, Video 4), gualitatively similar to the effects of 257 nlp-12 overexpression (Figure 1A, Video 1). ckr-1(OE) animals made steep bends during runs of 258 forward movement, with angles approaching 200°, whereas bending angles in wild type rarely 259 exceeded 75° (Figure 4B). Notably, these high angle bends often produced spontaneous 260 reorientations during forward movement and sometimes elicited sustained coiling. The amplitude of 261 body bends during movement also increased by approximately 3-fold in *ckr-1*(OE) animals compared 262 to wild type (Figure 4C). These increases in bending angles and body bend depth were returned to 263 wild type levels by nlp-12 deletion (Figure 4A-C), offering support that NLP-12 peptides are the major 264 CKR-1 ligands required to elicit these characteristic changes in movement. Together, our genetic 265 studies define NLP-12/CKR-1 as a novel ligand-GPCR pathway that controls trajectory changes and 266 body bending to produce adaptive behavior.

267

268 *ckr-1* is expressed in many neurons that do not receive direct synaptic inputs from DVA

To identify cells where CKR-1 may act to promote local searching, we generated strains expressing a *ckr-1* reporter transgene that included the complete *ckr-1* genomic locus and ~3.5 kb of upstream regulatory sequence SL2 trans-spliced to sequence encoding GFP (green fluorescent protein) or mCherry. We found that *ckr-1* is broadly expressed in the nervous system, showing expression in a

273 subset of ventral nerve cord motor neurons, amphid and phasmid sensory neurons, premotor 274 interneurons, and motor neurons in the nerve ring (Figure 5A-B). We identified many of these neurons, 275 largely from analysis of *ckr-1* co-expression with previously characterized reporters (Supplementary 276 File 2). In the ventral nerve cord, we found that *ckr-1* is expressed in cholinergic, but not GABAergic, 277 ventral cord motor neurons (Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1A-B, Supplementary File 2). Amongst 278 head neurons, the *ckr-1* reporter is expressed in GABAergic RMEV, RMED, AVL and RIS neurons, 279 cholinergic SMDV, SMDD and RIV head motor neurons, the interneuron RIG, the serotonergic NSM 280 neuron, and in the interneurons AIA and AIB (Figure 5B, Supplementary File 2). Additional studies 281 using Dil uptake indicated that ckr-1 is also expressed in the amphid sensory neurons ASK and ASI 282 and the phasmid sensory neurons PHA and PHB (Supplementary File 2). With the exception of the 283 ventral cord cholinergic neurons, the ckr-1 reporter almost exclusively labeled neurons that do not 284 receive direct synaptic input from DVA, suggesting that NLP-12 acts at least partially through 285 extrasynaptic mechanisms. Notably, ckr-1 and ckr-2 expression showed little overlap (Figure 5 – 286 Figure Supplement 2).

287

288 CKR-1 functions in the SMD head motor neurons to modulate body bending

289 We next pursued cell-specific ckr-1 overexpression to gain insight into which of the ckr-1-expressing 290 neurons defined above may be primary targets for modulation during local searching (Supplementary 291 Files 3-4). We focused our analysis on body bending amplitude because this was the most easily 292 quantifiable aspect of movement to be modified by *ckr-1* overexpression. Transgenic strains where 293 pan-neuronally expressed ckr-1 (rgef-1 promoter) was injected at high concentration displayed 294 increased body bending amplitude, similar to overexpression using the native promoter (Figure 5C). In 295 contrast, ectopic ckr-1 expression in muscles produced no appreciable change, consistent with a 296 primary site of CKR-1 action in neurons (Figure 5C). Surprisingly, *ckr-1* overexpression in cholinergic 297 (unc-17ß promoter) or GABAergic (unc-47 promoter) ventral nerve cord motor neurons did not elicit an 298 appreciable change in body bend depth (Figure 5C). We therefore next targeted the head neurons

299 identified by our *ckr-1* reporter, using several different promoters for *ckr-1* overexpression in subsets of 300 head neurons (Figure 5C, Supplementary Files 3-4). ckr-1 overexpression using either the odr-2(16) or 301 lgc-55 promoters produced a striking (2.5-fold) increase in body bend depth, comparable with ckr-1 302 overexpressed under its endogenous promoter. In contrast, ckr-1 overexpression in GABAergic 303 neurons, including RMED and RMEV (unc-47 promoter), did not produce an appreciable effect. 304 Likewise, ckr-1 overexpression in RIV, RIG, NSM, AIA, AIB or amphid neurons failed to significantly 305 enhance body bend depth. The Igc-55 promoter drives expression in AVB, RMD, SMD and IL1 306 neurons, as well as neck muscles and a few other head neurons (Pirri et al., 2009), while the odr-2(16) 307 promoter primarily labels the RME and SMD head neurons (Chou et al., 2001) (Supplementary Files 308 2-3). The overlapping expression of the odr-2(16) and lgc-55 promoters in SMD neurons suggested 309 that these neurons may be centrally involved. SMD co-labeling by ckr-1::SL2::mCherry and Plad-310 2::GFP (Wang et al., 2008) provided additional evidence for ckr-1 expression in these neurons (Figure 311 5 – Figure Supplement 1C). In contrast to ckr-1, ckr-2 was either absent or more variably expressed in 312 a subset of the SMD neurons, the SMDDs (Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1D). Intriguingly, we noted 313 that NLP-12::Venus clusters in the nerve ring region of the DVA process (Figure 5D) are concentrated 314 in the vicinity of SMD processes (Figure 5E).

315

316 The 4 SMDs (dorsal-projecting SMDDL and SMDDR and ventral-projecting SMDVL and SMDVR) are 317 bilateral motor neuron pairs that innervate dorsal and ventral head/neck musculature, and also form 318 reciprocal connections with one another (White et al., 1976). They have been previously implicated in 319 directional head bending and steering (Gray et al., 2005; Hendricks et al., 2012; Kaplan et al., 2019; 320 Kocabas et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2016; Yeon et al., 2018). To better define the behavioral effects of 321 SMD modulation, we more closely examined body bending in animals overexpressing ckr-1 under 322 control of the odr-2(16) promoter, and also using a second promoter, $f/p-22\Delta 4$, that was recently 323 shown to drive selective expression in the SMD neurons (Yeon et al., 2018). For both overexpression 324 strains, we observed significant increases in body bending amplitude and bending angle compared to

wild type (Figure 5C, 6A-C, Video 5). These increases were dependent on NLP-12 signaling (Figure
6C, Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1A-B) and were similar to those observed for native *ckr-1* (Figure 4,
6C, Video 4) and *nlp-12* overexpression (Figure 1, 6C, Video 1). Thus, the actions of CKR-1 in the
SMD motor neurons recapitulate many of the behavioral effects of NLP-12 overexpression.

329

330 To ask if the SMD neurons are required for the locomotor changes produced by *ckr-1* overexpression, 331 we expressed the photoactivatable cell ablation agent PH-miniSOG in the SMD neurons (Pflp-22\delta4) of 332 animals overexpressing ckr-1 (native promoter). When activated by blue light (470 nm) PH-miniSOG 333 produces reactive oxygen species and disrupts cellular function (Xu and Chisholm, 2016). Following 334 photoactivation of miniSOG in animals overexpressing *ckr-1*, we observed striking decreases in 335 bending angles (Figure 6D-E) and amplitude (Figure 6F) during movement. We confirmed successful 336 SMD ablation by examining morphological changes in GFP-labeled SMD neurons following 337 photoactivation of miniSOG (Figure 6D). Expression of miniSOG did not have appreciable effects on 338 the body bending of ckr-1(OE) animals under control conditions (without light exposure) (Figure 6 -339 Figure Supplement 1C). In addition, stimulation of control animals without the miniSOG transgene did 340 not appreciably alter body bending (Figure 6E) or SMD neuron morphology (Figure 6 – Figure 341 Supplement 1D). These results indicate that SMD motor neurons are required for the locomotor effects 342 of *ckr-1* overexpression, and, importantly, raise the possibility that the SMD neurons are key targets 343 for NLP-12 neuromodulation during local searching in wild type.

344

345 NLP-12/CKR-1 excitation of the SMD neurons promotes local searching

To further investigate the site of CKR-1 function, we examined rescue of area restricted searching in *ckr-1* mutants by generating additional transgenic lines providing for SMD-specific expression of wild type *ckr-1* (injected at 5-fold lower concentration than used for overexpression above). Injection of wild type animals with the *SMD::ckr-1* transgene at this lower concentration did not appreciably increase bending depth or angle (Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 1A). However, expression in *ckr-1* mutants

restored reorientations during food searching to roughly wild type levels (Figure 7A), indicating that
 CKR-1 function in the SMD neurons is sufficient to support NLP-12 modulation of local searching.

354 To investigate how increased SMD activity may impact movement, we photostimulated the SMDs in 355 animals expressing Podr-2(16)::Chrimson (Klapoetke et al., 2014). Prior to photostimulation, animals 356 demonstrated long forward runs with relatively few changes in trajectory (Figure 7B). Following the 357 onset of photostimulation, Chrimson-expressing animals rapidly increased reorientations (Figure 7B-C, 358 Video 6), while control animals (-Retinal) did not increase trajectory changes during the light 359 stimulation period (Figure 7C). SMD photostimulation also elicited a modest increase in body bending 360 (Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 1B). Conversely, transient and inducible silencing of the SMDs by 361 histamine-gated chloride channel expression significantly reduced reorientations during food searching 362 (Figure 7D). Thus, direct activation or inhibition of SMD neurons alter turning and reorientations, 363 consistent with a potential mechanism for NLP-12/CKR-1 modulation of local searching through 364 signaling onto the SMD neurons.

365

366 To explore the dynamics of SMD neuronal activity during searching, we next measured combined 367 calcium responses from SMD neurons of behaving animals. We simultaneously recorded GCaMP6s 368 and mCherry fluorescence (*flp-22* Δ 4 promoter) during ARS (0-5 minutes off food) and dispersal (30-35) 369 minutes off food) (Video 7). We observed a striking elevation of wild type SMD activity during ARS 370 compared with dispersal (Figure 8A,B,D,E, Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 1). Though overall calcium 371 levels during ARS were positively correlated with reorientation frequency (Figure 8D, Pearson's 372 correlation r=0.54), discrete events where the peak fluorescence ratio was elevated were not well 373 correlated with specific episodes of behavior. This would be predicted for our measurements of 374 combined fluorescence from SMDD and SMDV neurons that themselves have distinct patterns of 375 activation (Kaplan et al., 2019). By comparison, SMD activity of ckr-1(lf) animals remained low

throughout the ARS period (Figure 8C-E), supporting a model (Figure 9) where NLP-12/CKR-1
 signaling promotes local searching by biasing SMD head motor neurons toward increased activation.

378

379 Discussion

380 Neuropeptidergic systems have crucial roles in modulating neuronal function to shape alternate 381 behavioral responses, but we have limited knowledge of the circuit-level mechanisms by which 382 these alternate responses are generated. Here, we show that the C. elegans NLP-12 383 neuropeptide system, closely related to the CCK system in mammals, shapes adaptive behavior 384 through modulation of motor circuits dedicated to control of either head or body wall 385 musculature. We demonstrate that NLP-12 modulation of these circuits occurs through distinct 386 GPCRs, CKR-1 and CKR-2, that primarily act on either head or body wall motor neurons 387 respectively. Under basal conditions, we suggest that NLP-12 modulation of the body wall motor 388 circuit predominates, influencing the depth of body bends during sinusoidal movement through 389 CKR-1 and CKR-2 GPCRs located on body wall motor neurons. NLP-12 activation of head 390 motor neurons through CKR-1 becomes predominant in the absence of food, promoting 391 reorientations. We propose that changes in food availability reconfigure functional connectivity 392 in the NLP-12 system by differentially engaging GPCRs across the head and body wall motor 393 circuits. Intriguingly, the involvement of 2 GPCRs in nematode NLP-12 signaling is reminiscent 394 of the organization of the CCK system in rodents, which relies on signaling through CCK1 and 395 CCK2 GPCRs (Janssen et al., 2009). New details about central CCK signaling and the brain 396 GPCRs involved are continuing to emerge (Ballaz, 2017; Chen et al., 2019; Crosby et al., 2018; 397 Lee and Soltesz, 2011; Li et al., 2014; Miyasaka and Funakoshi, 2003; Nishimura et al., 2015; 398 Saito et al., 1980). Our findings may point towards similar utilization of specific CCK-responsive 399 GPCRs to coordinate activity across mammalian brain circuits.

400

401 NLP-12 neuropeptides act as key modulators in a range of C. elegans behaviors. Local search 402 responses to varying oxygen levels and decreased food availability both involve NLP-12 403 signaling (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Hums et al., 2016). Additionally, NLP-12 signaling has 404 been implicated in various aspects of proprioceptive signaling and postural control (Hu et al., 405 2015, 2011). However, the mechanisms by which NLP-12 peptides exert their influence over 406 these diverse behavioral responses have remained unclear. Our work addresses these 407 mechanistic questions by defining roles for CKR-1 and CKR-2 GPCRs during basal locomotion 408 and area-restricted searching. Area-restricted searching is a complex motor behavior, involving 409 rapid trajectory changes that serve to maintain the animal within a restricted area of their 410 immediate environment (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Calhoun et al., 2014; Gray et al., 2005; 411 Hums et al., 2016). Reorientations during searching are produced through high angle forward 412 turns (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Broekmans et al., 2016; Pierce-Shimomura et al., 1999) and 413 reversal-coupled omega turns (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Gray et al., 2005). We previously 414 demonstrated a requirement for NLP-12 in promoting reorientations during local searching. 415 (Bhattacharya et al., 2014). Our analysis here shows that loss of *nlp-12* also has modest effects 416 on body posture during normal exploratory movement, indicating NLP-12 regulation of motor 417 targets under basal conditions. Intriguingly, the behavioral requirement for NLP-12 is far more 418 apparent during local searching compared with basal locomotion, suggesting enhanced 419 involvement of NLP-12 signaling for performance of local searching. Similar observations about 420 NLP-12 involvement in chemotactic responses to varying oxygen levels suggested a model for 421 graded NLP-12 regulation of movement (Hums et al., 2016). Based on our observations, we 422 speculate that increased engagement of head motor neurons through CKR-1 activation may be 423 a generalizable mechanism for dynamic NLP-12 regulation of behavior over changing external 424 conditions.

425

426 Prior studies had implicated the CKR-2 GPCR in NLP-12 function (Hu et al., 2015, 2011; 427 Janssen et al., 2008), but roles for CKR-1 had not been previously described. Our genetic 428 analyses and heterologous expression studies firmly establish CKR-1 as a functional target for 429 NLP-12 signaling with an activation profile similar to CKR-2. CKR-2 shows slightly broader 430 expression compared with CKR-1, but both GPCRs are expressed across a variety of neuron 431 classes, including many that do not receive direct synaptic inputs from DVA. We noted very little 432 overlap in CKR-1 and CKR-2 expression, consistent with the idea that the two GPCRs serve 433 distinct roles in modulating behavior. NLP-12 activation of CKR-2 stimulates neurotransmission 434 through coupling with egl-30 ($G_{\alpha\alpha}$) and egl-8 (PLC β) likely by DAG interaction with the synaptic 435 vesicle priming factor UNC-13 (Hu et al., 2015, 2011). Given the sequence homology between 436 CKR-1 and CKR-2, it seems likely that CKR-1 also functions to positively regulate neuronal 437 activity through eql-30. In support of this idea, we found that SMD-specific CKR-1 438 overexpression and SMD neuron photostimulation produced qualitatively similar behavioral 439 effects. The DVA neuron makes a single synapse with SMDVL (Worm wiring). While it is 440 possible that this single synapse accounts for NLP-12 elicited behavioral changes during local 441 searching, it seems likely that extrasynaptic signaling to other SMD neurons also contributes. 442

443 Prior studies have indicated SMDs are cholinergic and their stimulation is sufficient to produce Ca²⁺ transients in head/neck muscles, consistent with proposed roles in head bending (Pereira 444 445 et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2016). Prior studies of worms immobilized using microfluidic chips and freely moving animals noted anti-phasic activity between SMDD and SMDV neurons and 446 447 opposing head/neck musculature during head bending (or head casting) (Hendricks et al., 2012; Kaplan et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2016; Yeon et al., 2018). Our Ca²⁺ imaging studies did not offer 448 449 sufficient cellular resolution to directly address this point. However, combined with our silencing, 450 photostimulation and CKR-1 overexpression experiments, our SMD Ca²⁺ imaging provides 451 strong evidence that NLP-12 activation of CKR-1 modulates functional connectivity between

452 SMD neurons and their partners. Physiological regulation of SMD activity is complex and 453 involves reciprocal connections with RIA interneurons, reciprocal signaling with RME motor 454 neurons, as well as proprioceptive feedback (Hendricks et al., 2012; Ouellette et al., 2018; Shen 455 et al., 2016; White, 2018; White et al., 1976; Yeon et al., 2018). In particular, inhibitory signaling 456 from the GABAergic RME neurons onto the SMDs is implicated in modulation of head bending 457 amplitude to optimize head bends for forward movement. While the precise role of NLP-12 458 modulation of SMD activity remains unclear, one intriguing possibility is that NLP-12-elicited 459 increases in SMD activity uncouple the SMDs from RME inhibitory regulation, perhaps 460 promoting large amplitude head swings that couple to forward reorientations during searching. 461 We propose that elevated SMD activity is permissive for reorientations to occur, perhaps acting 462 in concert with SMD proprioceptive functions (Yeon et al., 2018) or other neurons implicated in 463 regulation of head movement and turning, such as SMB (Oranth et al., 2018).

464

465 Surprisingly, selective *ckr-1* overexpression using the *odr-2(16)* or *flp-22* Δ 4 promoters increased 466 body bend depth, raising the question of how altered SMD activity might translate into increased 467 body bending. Recent work suggests an interesting functional coupling between the activity of 468 SMD neurons and ventral cord B-type motor neurons (Kaplan et al., 2019). B-type motor 469 neurons are suggested to act as a distributed central pattern generator for the propagation of 470 body bends (Gao et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). CKR-1 activation of SMDs may therefore 471 influence body depth directly by altering body wall motor neuron excitability through a gap 472 junction connection between VB1 and SMDVR or through neuromuscular synapses located in 473 the sub-lateral processes.

474

The similar potency of NLP-12 peptides for activating CKR-1 and CKR-2, suggests that
differential contributions of these GPCRs during basal locomotion and search responses do not
arise due to dramatic differences in NLP-12 potency to activate each receptor. This raises

478 important questions about how a bias toward CKR-1 modulation of the head motor circuit during 479 local searching may occur. We envision that NLP-12 regulation of the SMD neurons acts in 480 parallel with other neural pathways previously shown to promote reversals during local 481 searching. For example, olfactory information about food availability is conveyed by sensory 482 neurons such as AWC and ASK to premotor interneurons (AIA, AIB, AIY) and ultimately 483 transformed into patterns of motor neuron activity that drive reversals (Gray et al., 2005; Hills et 484 al., 2004; Ouellette et al., 2018; Sawin et al., 2000). The SMD neurons also receive synaptic 485 information from this circuit (for example, through synaptic connections from the AIB and RIM 486 neurons) (White et al., 1976), raising the possibility that a pathway activated by food removal 487 may enhance SMD sensitivity to CKR-1 activation. In this case, SMD neurons may be a site for 488 integration of information encoding reversals and forward reorientations during local searching. 489 A shift to CKR-1 modulation of head neurons during searching could also be triggered by 490 dopaminergic stimulation of DVA. Prior work implicated dopaminergic signaling from PDE 491 neurons in the regulation of NLP-12 and motor responses (Bhattacharya et al., 2014; Oranth et 492 al., 2018). In this case, elevated levels of NLP-12 secretion, perhaps from release sites in the 493 nerve ring region, would be predicted to bias the system towards enhanced activation of the 494 SMD neurons and elicit increased turning. Notably, PDE also regulates an antagonistic 495 peptidergic circuit, mediated by FLP-1 neuropeptides, through inhibitory connections with AVK 496 interneurons (Oranth et al., 2018), suggesting potentially more distributed behavioral regulation. 497

Our studies of the nematode NLP-12 system offer new mechanistic insights into neuropeptide modulation of behavior. Our findings provide a key first step in defining roles for two NLP-12responsive GPCRs in coordinating motor control across changing conditions. We propose that the NLP-12 system conditionally engages GPCRs expressed in head or body motor neurons to modify specific features of locomotion, most notably reorientations during searching and body bend depth during basal locomotion. Brain CCK has been increasingly implicated as a key

- 504 regulator in diverse aspects of behavior, including feeding, satiety, memory, nociception and
- 505 anxiety (Ballaz, 2017; Chandra and Liddle, 2007; Liddle, 1997; Miyasaka and Funakoshi, 2003;
- 506 Noble and Roques, 2006; Rehfeld, 2017). Thus our studies elucidating mechanisms for NLP-12
- 507 regulation of circuit function in the compact nematode nervous system may have important and
- 508 broadly applicable implications for neuromodulation in more complex systems.

510 Materials and Methods

511 Strains

512 All nematode strains (Supplementary File 1) were maintained on OP50 seeded agar nematode

513 growth media (NGM) at room temperature (22–24°C). N2 Bristol strain was used as wild type.

- 514 Transgenic animals were generated by microinjection into the germ line and transformation
- 515 monitored by co-injection markers. Multiple independent extrachromosomal lines were obtained
- 516 for each transgenic strain and data presented from a single representative transgenic line.
- 517 Stably integrated lines were generated by X-ray integration and outcrossed at least four times to

518 wild type.

519 Molecular Biology

520 All plasmids, unless specified, were generated by Gateway cloning (see Supplementary Files).

521 p-ENTR plasmids were generated for all promoters used (Supplementary File 5). The *ckr-1*

522 minigene construct (pRB12/pRB13) was generated by cloning the *ckr-1* coding sequence (start

523 to stop), with introns 1, 8 and 9. For cell specific overexpression or rescue, the *ckr-1* minigene

524 was recombined with entry vectors containing the relevant cell-specific promoters

525 (Supplementary Files 3-4).

526 Behavioral assays and analyses

527 All behavioral assays were carried out using staged 1-day adult animals on Bacto-agar NGM 528 agar plates seeded with a thin lawn of OP50 bacteria (50 µL) unless otherwise noted. Video

529 recordings for behavioral analyses were obtained using a Firewire camera (Imaging Source)

530 and ICCapture2.2. Animals were allowed to acclimate for 30 seconds prior to video recording.

531 Post hoc locomotor analysis was performed using Wormlab (MBF Bioscience) (Video 8). Videos

532 were thresholded to detect worms, and worm movement was tracked. Body bend amplitude was

533 quantified as the average centroid displacement over the duration of a locomotion track (Figure

- 1B). Body bending angle was measured, at the midbody vertex, as the supplement of the angle
- 535 between the head, mid-body, and tail vertices (Figure 1C). Bending angles were measured,

continuously for each frame tracked, over 30 s (900 frames @ 30 fps). The measured bending
angles were binned to generate a frequency distribution of body bending angles. Kymographs
were generated from worm body curvature data (Wormlab) in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick,
MA).

540 Area restricted search behavior

541 For guantification of local search behavior, single well-fed animals were transferred to an 542 intermediate unseeded plate. After one minute, animals were repicked without bacteria and 543 transferred to an unseeded behavior assay plate. Digital movies were captured over the first 5 544 mins (local search) and after 30 mins (dispersal) following removal from food. Reorientations 545 were manually scored post hoc from monitoring movement direction, over sequential frames 546 (~200 frames for forward reorientations, ~600 frames for reversal-coupled omega turns) from 547 the start of the reorientation (original trajectory) to when the animal completed the reorientation 548 (new trajectory) (Figure 3B, Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1). A forward reorientation was 549 scored after animals moved a minimum of 3 s (~100 Frames @ 30 fps) along a new trajectory. 550 We scored forward trajectory changes >50° and reversal coupled omega turns as reorientations 551 (examples of each in Figure 3B, Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1). Trajectory changes where 552 animals initially performed head bends >50°, but then resumed the original path of movement or 553 altered immediate trajectory <50° were not scored as reorientations. Trajectory changes were 554 quantified (in degrees) using the angle tool (ImageJ, National Institutes of Health) to measure 555 the angle between the original and new trajectory (Figure 3B, Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1). 556 We excluded reversals and post reversal changes in trajectory that did not involve omega turns. 557 Single worm tracking

558 Single worm tracking was carried out using Worm Tracker 2 (Yemini et al., 2011). Animals were 559 allowed to acclimate for 30 seconds prior to tracking. Movement features were extracted from 560 five minutes of continuous locomotion tracking (Video 9). Worm tracker software version 2.0.3.1, 561 created by Eviatar Yemini and Tadas Jucikas (Schafer lab, MRC, Cambridge, UK), was used to

562 analyze movement (Yemini et al., 2013). Worms were segmented into head, neck, midbody, hips and tail. The body bend angle is angle measured at the midbody vertex, between the neck 563 564 and hip skeleton vertices (Figure 2A). Head bend angles were measured as the largest bend 565 angle prior to returning to a straight, unbent position (Figure 2B). Absolute midbody bending 566 (Figure 2A) and head bending (Figure 2B) angles were quantified. Single worm tracking affords 567 higher resolution and allows for rich quantification of relatively subtle postural changes. 568 However, continuous tracking of animals was difficult to achieve using this approach during the 569 numerous steep turns performed during ARS, or with NLP-12 or CKR-1 overexpression. Post 570 hoc analysis of videos to measure body bending (as described above) proved most reliable. 571 SMD ablation 572 Conditions for cell ablation by miniSOG activation were adapted from Xu et. al. 2016 (Xu and 573 Chisholm, 2016). MiniSOG activation was achieved by stimulation with repetitive 2 Hz 250 ms blue light pulses for 12 minutes [200mW/cm², 488 nm 50 W LED (Mightex Systems)]. 574 575 Experiments were performed on unseeded plates using larval stage 4 ckr-1(OE) animals 576 expressing miniSOG and GFP transgenes under the $flp-22\Delta 4$ promoter. Following stimulation, 577 animals were allowed to recover in the dark on NGM OP50 plates for 16 hours prior to 578 behavioral analysis or imaging. 579 Photostimulation experiments 580 All-Trans Retinal (ATR) plates were prepared (100 mM stock in ethanol, final working 2.7 mM in 581 OP50). Plates were stored at 4°C under dark conditions and used within one week. Animals 582 were grown on +ATR OP50 plates in dark and L4 animals were transferred to a fresh +ATR 583 plate prior to the day of experiment. Experiments were performed using one-day adults. For 584 ChR2 photostimulation, experiments were conducted using a fluorescent dissecting microscope

585 (Zeiss stereo Discovery.V12) equipped with a GFP filter set. Behavior was recorded for a 1-

586 minute period prior to photostimulation and during a subsequent 1-minute period during

587 photostimulation. Data are expressed as % change in reorientations across these time intervals.

- 588 Chrimson photostimulation (26 mW/cm²) experiments were conducted using a 625 nm 50 W
- 589 LED (Mightex Systems). Animals were video recorded for 1 minute in the absence of light

590 stimulation (prestimulus) and subsequently for 1 minute with light stimulation. Control

591 experiments (-ATR) were performed in the same manner.

592 SMD silencing

593 ARS assays were performed on unseeded Histamine (10 mM) and control Bacto-agar NGM

594 plates using staged 1 day adults. For SMD silencing, transgenic animals were placed on

595 Histamine plates, seeded with 100 µL OP-50, for 1 hour prior to experiment. ARS was quantified

596 as described previously.

597 Imaging

598 Fluorescent images were acquired using either BX51WI (Olympus) or Yokogawa (Perkin Elmer)

spinning disc confocal microscopes. Data acquisition was performed using Volocity software.

600 Staged one-day adult animals were immobilized using 0.3 M sodium azide on 2% agarose

601 pads. Images were analyzed using ImageJ software.

602 SMD calcium imaging

603 Calcium imaging was performed in behaving transgenic animals, expressing

604 GCaMP6s::SL2::mCherry under *flp-22* Δ 4 promoter, on 5% agarose pads on a glass slide.

Animals were treated as described for ARS and dispersal assays. Animals were tracked and

videos captured, with continuous and simultaneous dual-channel (GCaMP6s and mCherry)

607 fluorescence monitoring, (Video 7) in the time windows of ARS (0-5 minutes) and dispersal (30-

608 35 minutes off food). Imaging was carried out on an Axio Observer A1 inverted microscope

609 (Zeiss) connected to a Sola SE Light Engine (Lumencor) with an Olympus 2.5X air objective,

and a Hamamatsu Orca-Flash 4.0 sCMOS camera. Simultaneous GCaMP and mCherry

611 acquisition were achieved using the optical splitter Optisplit-II (Cairn Research) with filters

612 ET525/50M and ET632/60M, and dichroic T560Iprx-UF2 (Chroma). Image acquisition was

613 performed using Micromanager, at 66 ms exposure (approximately 15 fps).

614 ROIs encompassing cell bodies in the nerve ring, labeled by mCherry, were tracked post-hoc 615 using MATLAB (Neuron Activity Analysis, Mei Zhen, Video 7). Frames where tracking issues 616 were encountered due to stage movement were excluded from analysis. The background 617 subtracted calcium signals were plotted as a ratio (GCaMP6s/mCherry). We encoded 618 corresponding behavior into four categories: forward locomotion, reversals, forward 619 reorientations, and omega turns. Wild type animals that did not perform searching (<4 620 reorientations during ARS) were excluded from the analysis. Correlation analysis, including 621 linear fits and calculation of Pearson's coefficient, was performed in Graphpad Prism. For 622 display, heat maps were plotted in Graphpad Prism (Figure 8) and representative traces (Figure 623 8 – Figure Supplement 1) were interpolated with a smoothing spline in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics, 624 Portland, OR).

625 in vitro GPCR characterization

626 The GPCR activation assay was performed as previously described (Caers et al., 2014; 627 Peymen et al., 2019; Sinay et al., 2017). Briefly, CHO-K1 cells stably expressing the luminescent Ca²⁺ indicator aequorin and the promiscuous $G_{\alpha_{16}}$ protein (ES-000-A24 cell line, 628 629 PerkinElmer) were transiently transfected with *ckr-1*/pcDNA3.1, *ckr-2*/pcDNA3.1 or empty 630 pcDNA3.1 vector. Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine LTX and Plus reagent (Invitrogen) 631 at 60–80% confluency and grown overnight at 37°C. After 24 hours, they were shifted to 28°C 632 overnight. On the day of the assay, transfected cells were collected in bovine serum albumin 633 (BSA) medium (DMEM/F12 without phenol red with L-glutamine and 15 mM HEPES, Gibco, 634 supplemented with 0.1% BSA), at a density of 5 million cells per mL, and loaded with 5 µM 635 coelenterazine h (Invitrogen) for 4 hours at room temperature. Compound plates containing 636 synthetic peptides in DMEM/BSA were placed in a MicroBeta LumiJet luminometer 637 (PerkinElmer). After loading, the transfected cells were added at a density of 25,000 cells/well, 638 and luminescence was measured for 30 seconds at a wavelength of 469 nm. After 30 seconds, 0.1% triton X-100 (Merck) was added to lyse the cells, resulting in a maximal Ca²⁺ response that 639

- 640 was measured for 30 seconds. To constitute concentration-response curves of NLP-12
- 641 peptides, peptide concentrations ranging from 1 pM to 10 μM were tested in triplicate on two
- 642 independent days.
- 643

644

645 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

- 646 Figure 1. NLP-12/CCK induced locomotor responses require functional *ckr-1* signaling
- 647 (A) Representative movement trajectories of wild type (black), *nlp-12(OE)* (red), *nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf)*
- 648 (blue), *nlp-12(OE);ckr-2(lf)* (orange) and *nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf);ckr-2(lf)* (green) animals during forward
- runs (30s) on NGM agar plates seeded with OP50 bacteria. *nlp-12(OE)* refers to the transgenic strain
- 650 (ufls104) stably expressing high levels of wild type *nlp-12* genomic sequence. Note the convoluted *nlp-*
- 651 12(OE) movement tracks are restored to wild type by combined ckr-1 and ckr-2 deletion. Scale bar, 1
- 652 mm. Asterisks (*) indicate position of worm at start of recording.
- 653 (B) Average body bend amplitude (indicated in schematic by blue arrow between orange lines,

midbody centroid (green) of worm) for the genotypes as indicated. Bars represent mean ± SEM. In this

and subsequent figures. ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.001, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type

656 n=19, nlp-12(OE): n=14, nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf): n=27, nlp-12(OE);ckr-2(lf): n=25, nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf);ckr-

657 2(*lf*): n=20

- 658 **(C)** Schematic representation of measured body bending angle, for shallow (top) and deep (bottom)
- body bends. Solid orange circles indicate the vertices (head, midbody and tail) of the body bending
- angle (blue) measured.
- 661 **(D)** Frequency distribution of body bending angle (indicated in blue in 1C) for the genotypes indicated.
- 662 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: wild type vs *nlp-12(OE)* **, wild type vs *nlp-12(OE);ckr-2(lf)* **, *nlp-12(OE)*
- 663 vs nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf);ckr-2(lf)**, ** p<0.01. wild type: n=12, nlp-12(OE): n=10, nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf):

664 n=10, *nlp-12(OE);ckr-2(lf)*: n=12, *nlp-12(OE);ckr-1(lf);ckr-2(lf)*: n=12.

- 665 (E-F) Concentration-response curves of the mean calcium responses (% activation ± SEM) in
- 666 CHO cells expressing either CKR-1 (E) or CKR-2 (F) for different concentrations of synthetic
- 667 peptides NLP-12-1 (solid blue circles) or NLP-12-2 (solid black squares). Solid lines indicate
- 668 curve fits to the data (n=6). 95% confidence intervals (nM), CKR-1: NLP-12-1, 1.79-7.07; NLP-
- 669 12-2, 0.93-3.77 and CKR-2: NLP-12-1, 5.16-12.51; NLP-12-2, 6.43-16.73.

670 Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1

671 (A) Dendrogram (generated using Phylogeny, fr (Dereeper et al., 2008)) showing the predicted

relationship between Drosophila (Dm_CCKLR-1/2), *C. elegans* (Ce_CKR-1/2), mouse (Mm) and

human (Hs) CCK1/2-R GPCRs.

674 (B) Boxshade alignment of *C. elegans* CKR-1 and CKR-2 with Human CCK-1 and CCK-2

675 receptors. Black shading indicates identical amino acids, while grey shading indicates similar

amino acids. Red bar indicates the amino acids removed by *ckr-1(ok2502)* deletion.

677 (C) Schematic representation of CKR-1 GPCR membrane topology and domains affected by the

- 678 *ckr-1(ok2502)* deletion (red shading).
- 679

680 **Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2**

681 NLP-12 peptides activate CKR-1 and CKR-2 *in vitro*. NLP-12-1 and NLP-12-2 elicit Ca²⁺ responses in

cells expressing CKR-1 or CKR-2, but not in cells transfected with an empty pcDNA3.1 vector. Bar

graphs indicate the ratio of total Ca²⁺ response of CHO cells expressing CKR-1, CKR-2 or pcDNA3.1

684 empty vector, challenged with 10 μ M of NLP-12 peptides (n = 7), BSA (negative control, n = 5) or ATP

(positive control, n = 5). Ratio of total Ca²⁺ response is calculated as peptide-evoked response

normalized to the total Ca²⁺ response. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA; **** p<0.0001; ns, not

687 significant (p>0.05).

688

689 Figure 2. CKR-1 and CKR-2 differentially regulate head and body bending during basal

690 **locomotion**

691 Schematics showing body bending (A) and head bending (B) angles (solid orange circles

692 indicate the vertices and measured angle in blue) quantified during single worm track analyses

of movement (5 minutes) in the presence of food. Each data point in the scatterplots represents

- the average body or head bend angle for a single animal from analysis of 5 minutes of
- locomotion. Horizontal red bar indicates mean, shading indicates SEM for wildtype (blue) and

mutants (orange). ****p<0.0001, *** p<0.001, * p<0.05, ns not significant. ANOVA with Holms-
Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=19, *nlp-12(ok335)*: n=16, *ckr-1(ok2502)*: n=16, *ckr-2(tm3082)*:
n=16, *ckr-1(ok2502);ckr-2(tm3082)*: n=8.

699

700 Figure 3. NLP-12/CCK food search responses are mediated through the GPCR CKR-1

(A) Schematic of the food search assay indicating the time intervals when reorientations were
 scored. Wild type animals increase reorientations during the first 5 mins (0-5 mins) after removal
 from food (local search) and reduce reorientations during dispersal (30-35 mins). Asterisks (*)

indicate position of worm at start of recording.

705 **(B)** Frame grabs showing worm position and posture prior to, during and after reorientation.

Angle (blue) between the black (original trajectory) and white (new trajectory) dashed lines

indicates the change in trajectory. Frame numbers and time points indicated are relative to first

image in each sequence, which represents the start point (frame 0, time 0 s) when the

reorientation event began, and the last frame was when the reorientation was completed.

710 Trajectory changes were scored as reorientations if changes in trajectory were greater than 50°.

711 **(C)** Quantification of reorientations during 0-5 minutes following removal from food for the

genotypes indicated. Rescue refers to transgenic expression of wild type *ckr-1* in *ckr-1* mutants.

713 Bars represent mean ± SEM. ****p<0.0001, ** p<0.01, ns not significant, ANOVA with Holms-

714 Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=25, *nlp-12(ok335)*: n=27, *ckr-1(ok2502)*: n=24, *nlp-*

715 12(ok335);ckr-1(ok2502): n=10, ckr-1 rescue: n=18, ckr-2(tm3082): n=10, ckr-1(ok2502);ckr-

716 *2(tm3082)*: n=25.

717 (D) Representative body curvature kymographs for worm locomotion during basal locomotion and area

restricted searching (ARS). Head to tail orientation along the horizontal axis in each kymograph is left

to right as indicated for wild type. Time is indicated along the vertical axis from 0 to 1 minute.

720 (E) Total number of reorientations during an interval of 30-35 minutes following removal from

food for the genotypes as shown. Each bar represents mean ± SEM. *p<0.05, ANOVA with

722 Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=10, *nlp-12(ok335)*: n=10, *ckr-1(ok2502)*: n=10, *ckr-*

723 2(*tm*3082): n=10, *ckr*-1(*ok*2502);*ckr*-2(*tm*3082): n=11.

(F) Trajectory changes (reorientations) scored in response to photostimulation of DVA. Percent
 change in the number of high angle turns elicited during 1 min of blue light exposure compared to
 prestimulus (no blue light). Bars represent mean ± SEM. ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, ns not significant,
 compared to +ATR control, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. ATR: *all-trans* retinal.

728

729 Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1

Sequential snapshots of frames from a representative reorientation, for forward reorientations
(A) and reversal-coupled omega turn mediated reorientations (B). Frame #s and time points are
indicated in each panel. Frame numbers and time points indicated are relative to first image in
each sequence, which represents the start point (frame 0, time 0 s) when the reorientation event
began, and the last frame was when the reorientation was completed. Black dashed line shows
the original trajectory, and white dashed line the new trajectory upon completion of the

reorientation. Blue angle shows the measured change in trajectory (degrees).

737

738 Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2

(A) Quantification of reorientations during ARS (0-5 minutes following removal from food)

compare to animals on food. Note the increased number of forward and reversal coupled

reorientations. Bars represent mean ± SEM. ****p<0.0001, *** p<0.001, Student's t test. wild

742 type on food: n=9, wild type ARS: n=8

743 **(B)** Quantification of reorientations during ARS (0-5 minutes following removal from food) for the

genotypes indicated. Note the number of forward reorientations during ARS are significantly

decreased in *nlp-12(ok335)* and *ckr-1(ok2502)* animals. However, reversal coupled

reorientations are unaffected. Bars represent mean ± SEM. ** p<0.01, ANOVA with Holms-

747 Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=14, *nlp-129(ok335)*: n=13, *ckr-1(ok2502)*: n=9.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3

| 750 | (A) Quantification of reorientations during ARS (0-5 minutes following removal from food) for |
|-----|---|
| 751 | the genotypes indicated. Rescue refers to transgenic expression of wild type ckr-1 or ckr-2 |
| 752 | in <i>ckr-1(ok2502);ckr-2(tm3082)</i> mutants. Bars represent mean ± SEM. ****p<0.0001, *** |
| 753 | p<0.001, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=14, ckr-1(ok2502);ckr- |
| 754 | 2(tm3082): n=25, Pckr-1::ckr-1 rescue: n=18, Podr-2(16)::ckr-1 rescue: n=23, Pckr-2::ckr-2 |
| 755 | rescue: n=16. |
| 756 | (B) Quantification of reorientations during 0-5 minutes following removal from food for the |
| 757 | genotypes indicated. Note expression of <i>ckr-1</i> under the <i>ckr-2</i> promoter does not rescue |
| 758 | reorientations during ARS in <i>ckr-1(ok2502)</i> animals. Bars represent mean \pm SEM. |
| 759 | ***p<0.001, ** p<0.01, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=10, <i>ckr</i> - |
| 760 | 1(ok2502): n=10, Pckr-2::ckr-1 rescue: n=12. |
| 761 | (C) Quantification of reorientations during 0-5 minutes following removal from food for the |
| 762 | genotypes indicated. Note expression of <i>nlp-12</i> under the PVD specific promoter |
| 763 | (ser-2prom3) does not rescue reorientations during ARS in nlp-12(ok335) animals. Bars |
| 764 | represent mean \pm SEM. ****p<0.0001, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: |
| 765 | n=8, <i>nlp-12(ok335)</i> : n=8, <i>Pser-2prom3::nlp-12</i> rescue: n=9. |
| 766 | |
| 767 | Figure 4. Elevated CKR-1 signaling enhances bending angle and amplitude in a <i>nlp-12</i> |
| 768 | dependent manner |
| 769 | (A) Representative movement trajectories of wild type (black), ckr-1(OE) (blue) and ckr-1(OE); |
| 770 | nlp-12(lf) (green) animals for 30 seconds on NGM agar plates seeded with OP50 bacteria. |
| 771 | <i>ckr-1(OE)</i> refers to high copy expression of the wild type <i>ckr-1</i> genomic locus (<i>ufEx802</i>). |
| 772 | Note the increased frequency of high angle turns and convoluted track for ckr-1(OE). These |
| 773 | movement phenotypes are reversed by <i>nlp-12</i> deletion. Scale bar, 1 mm. |

774 (B) Frequency distribution of body bending angles (mean ± SEM) during forward runs (30 s) on 775 plates thinly seeded with OP50 bacteria. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: wild type vs ckr-1(OE) 776 **, ckr-1(OE) vs ckr-1(OE); nlp-12(ok335) **, wild type vs ckr-1(OE); nlp-12(ok335) ns. ** 777 p<0.01, ns not significant. wild type: n=8, ckr-1(OE): n=10, and ckr-1(OE);nlp-12(lf): n=10. 778 (C) Comparison of the average body bend amplitude for the indicated genotypes. Bars 779 represent mean ± SEM. ****p<0.0001, ns not significant, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc 780 test. wild type: n=12, ckr-1(OE): n=15, ckr-1(OE);nlp-12(ok335): n=16 781 782 Figure 5. ckr-1 functions in the SMD head motor neurons to modulate body bending 783 (A) Confocal maximum intensity projection of adult expressing the *Pckr-1::ckr-1::SL2::GFP* reporter. 784 Note expression in multiple head neurons (white box) and a subset of ventral nerve cord motor 785 neurons (white arrowheads). 786 (B) Confocal maximum intensity projection of the head region of adult expressing the Pckr-1::ckr-787 1::SL2::GFP reporter. Scale bar, 10 µm. See Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1 and Supplementary 788 File 2 for additional expression information. 789 (C) Quantification of average body bend amplitudes (mean ± SEM) for ckr-1 overexpression in the 790 indicated cell types. Promoters used for listed cell types: pan-neuronal Prgef-1, muscle Pmyo-3, 791 GABA motor neurons Punc-47, cholinergic ventral cord motor neurons Punc-17 β . See 792 Supplementary File 3 for details about cellular expression of promoters used for head neurons. 793 ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.001, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak's post-hoc test. Numbers within bars indicate 794 n for each genotype. 795 (D) Confocal maximum intensity projection of the nerve ring region of a transgenic animal expressing 796 Pnlp-12::NLP-12::Venus. Note the high levels of NLP-12::Venus in the nerve ring. White box 797 indicates approximate nerve ring region where close localization of NLP-12 clusters to SMD 798 processes has been shown in panel E. Scale bar, 5 µm.

- 799 (E) Confocal maximum intensity projection of the nerve ring region of a transgenic animal expressing
- 800 Pnlp-12::NLP-12::Venus (DVA) and Pflp-22\d::mCherry (SMD). Note the close localization of NLP-
- 801 12::Venus dense core vesicle clusters to the SMD process. Scale bar, 1 μm.
- 802

803 Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1

- 804 (A) Confocal maximum intensity projections of a segment of the ventral nerve cord of a transgenic
- 805 animal co-expressing *Pckr-1::ckr-1::SL2::GFP* and the cholinergic reporter *Pacr-2::mCherry. ckr-1*

is expressed in the DA and DB motor neurons in the ventral nerve cord. Anterior is to the left in all

- 807 panels. Scale bar, 10 μm.
- 808 **(B)** Confocal maximum intensity projections of a segment of the ventral nerve cord of a transgenic

animal co-expressing *Pckr-1::ckr-1::SL2::mCherry* and the GABAergic reporter *Punc-47::GFP*.

810 (C) Confocal maximum intensity projections of optical sections with SMD fluorescence (GFP) from the

811 head region of a transgenic animal expressing *ckr-1::SL2::mCherry* (left panel) together with *Plad*-

- 812 2::GFP (middle panel). White arrowheads denote the SMD cell bodies in all cases. Note the
- 813 colocalization of the red and green fluorescence exclusively in the SMD neurons (merge right
- 814 panel).
- 815 (D) Confocal maximum intensity projections of optical sections with SMD fluorescence (mCherry) from
- the head region of a transgenic animal co-expressing *Podr-2(16)::mCherry* (left panel), and *Pckr*-
- 817 2::GFP (middle panel). Note weak *ckr-2* expression in a single SMDD neuron (merge, right panel).
- 818

819 **Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 2**

Confocal maximum intensity projections of transgenic worm expressing *Pckr-1::ckr-1::SL2::mCherry*and *Pckr-2::GFP*. (A) *ckr-1* and *ckr-2* expression in the entire worm. Both *ckr-1* and *ckr-2* are highly
expressed in head neurons and ventral nerve cord motor neurons. However, there is very little overlap
between expression of *ckr-1* and *ckr-2*. (B) Magnified view of *ckr-1* and *ckr-2* expression in the head
region. (C) Magnified view of *ckr-1* and *ckr-2* expression in the ventral nerve cord. Scale bar, 10 µm.

Figure 6. Ablation of SMD motor neurons abolishes the effects of *ckr-1* overexpression

- 826 (A) Representative tracks (1 minute) for indicated genotypes. Asterisks indicate position of
- 827 animal at the beginning of recordings. Note increased reorientations and body bending

depth in the tracks with cell-specific *ckr-1* overexpression. Scale bar, 1mm.

- 829 (B) Average body bending angle distribution (mean ± SEM) for the indicated genotypes. High
- level expression of *ckr-1* in SMDs using the *odr-2(16)* or *flp-22* Δ 4 promoters increases
- 831 bending angle. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: wild type vs *Podr-2(16)::ckr-1(OE)* **, wild type vs

832 *Pflp-22∆4::ckr-1(OE)**, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05. wild type n=9 (black circles), *Podr-2(16)::ckr-*

833 1(OE): n=9 (blue squares), *Pflp-22* $\Delta 4$::*ckr-1(OE*): n=11 (orange triangles).

- 834 (C) Representative body curvature kymographs for worm locomotion during basal locomotion for
- 835 indicated genotypes. Head to tail orientation along the horizontal axis in each kymograph is
- left to right as indicated for wild type. Time is indicated along the vertical axis from 0 to 1
- 837 minute.
- 838 (D) Top, representative fluorescent images of SMD motor neuron in *ckr-1(OE)* animals without
- 839 (left) or with (right) miniSOG expression 16 hours following photoactivation. Bottom,

840 representative 30 s track for control *ckr-1(OE)* (-miniSOG, left) animal or SMD ablated *ckr-*

841 1(OE) (+miniSOG, right) animal 16 hours after photostimulation. Scale bar, 1 μ m.

842 **(E)** Average body bending angle distribution (mean ± SEM) for control *ckr-1(OE)* (green circles,

n=11) and SMD ablated *ckr-1(OE)* (brown squares, n=11) animals. SMD ablation reduces

- the frequency of large bending angles produced by *ckr-1(OE)*. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: *
- 845 p<0.05
- 846 (F) Comparison of average body bending amplitude for control *ckr-1(OE)* (n=11) and SMD
- ablated *ckr-1(OE)* (n=11). SMD ablation significantly reduces the enhanced body bending
- amplitude observed by *ckr-1(OE*). Bars represent mean ± SEM. ***p<0.001, Student's t test.

849

850 Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1

(A) Representative tracks (30 s) for transgenic animals with high levels of cell-specific *ckr-1*

852 overexpression (*Pflp-22* $\Delta 4$::*ckr-1*) in wild type (top) or *nlp-12* deletion background (bottom).

Asterisks indicate position of animals at the beginning of recording. Scale bar, 1 mm.

- **(B)** Average bending angle distribution (mean ± SEM) for SMD-specific *ckr-1(OE)* in wild type (green
- 855 circles) or *nlp-12(lf)* background (orange squares). n=8 for each group. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test **

856 p<0.01.

857 **(C)** Average body bending angle distribution (mean ± SEM) for *pSMD::ckr-1(OE)* animals expressing

858 miniSOG in SMDs (*Pflp-22*\delta4::miniSOG), but not subjected to photoactivation (control, blue

triangles) compared to wild type (black diamonds). n=7 for each group. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

860 ** p<0.01.

(D) Single confocal slices of GFP-labeled SMD neurons, following photoactivation (right) compared to
 control (-photoactivation, left), in transgenic animals without miniSOG expression. Photoactivation

863 protocol does not alter SMD neuron morphology in the absence of miniSOG expression. Scale bar,

864 1 μm.

865

Figure 7. NLP-12/CKR-1 excitation of the SMD neurons promotes reorientations

- 868 (A) Total reorientations measured during 0-5 minutes following removal from food for the genotypes
- 869 indicated. *ckr-1* rescue refers to expression of wild type *ckr-1* (5 ng/µL) in *ckr-1(ok2502)* animals
- using the indicated promoters. Bars represent mean ± SEM. ****p<0.0001, ***p<0.001 ANOVA
- with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. wild type: n=38, *ckr-1(lf)*: n=32, *Podr-2(16)::ckr-1 rescue*: n=12,
- 872 *Plgc-55::ckr-1 rescue*: n=12, *Pflp-22(*∆4)*::ckr-1 rescue*: n=9.

873 (B) Representative tracks (1 minute) on thinly seeded NGM agar plates prior to (left) and during

photostimulation (right) for transgenic animals expressing *Podr-2(16)::Chrimson*. Scale bar, 1 mm.

875 Asterisks (*) indicate position of worm at start of recording.

876 **(C)** Left, quantification of reorientations for individual animals over 1-minute durations prior to

877 (prestimulus) and during photostimulation (+ATR). Right, quantification of reorientations for

individual animals prior to and during photostimulation in control animals (-ATR). Black circles,

879 reorientations during prestimulus. Orange circles, reorientations during photostimulation. Numbers

- adjacent to circles indicate number of overlapping data points. **p<0.01, ns not significant. Paired
- t-test. ATR: *all trans* retinal.
- (D) Quantification of reorientations for wild type and transgenic animals, (*Pflp-22 A*::*His*-

883 Cl1::SL2::GFP), in the presence and absence of histamine. Note reduced reorientations with SMD

silencing in transgenics (+ histamine). **p<0.01, * p<0.05, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test.

- 885 wild type: -Histamine n=8, +Histamine n=7, *pSMD::HisCl1::SL2::GFP*: -Histamine n=8, +Histamine:
- 886
- 887

888 Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 1

n=8

(A) Average body bending angle distribution (mean ± SEM) plotted for wild type control animals (soid

black circles, n=8) and *Pflp-22∆4::ckr-1* (solid orange squares, n=8). Low level (5 ng/µL) cell-

specific expression of *ckr-1* in SMDs in wild type did not alter body bending. Kolmogorov-Smirnov
test not significant.

(B) Photostimulation of SMDs modestly increases body bending amplitude. ** p<0.01, paired Student's

t-test. Black circles, reorientations during prestimulus. Orange circles, reorientations during

895 photostimulation.

896

897 Figure 8. Elevated activity in SMD motor neurons during ARS promotes reorientations

- 898 (A-C) Representative heat maps showing activity of SMD neurons in transgenic animals (Pflp-
- 899 22\d::GCaMP6s::SL2::mCherry) during ARS (A) and dispersal (B) for wild type, and ARS for ckr-
- 900 *1(ok2502)* (C). Each row represents one animal over a duration of 1 minute. Corresponding
- 901 behaviors (forward, reversal, omega turn, forward reorientation) are annotated by color coded (as

- 902 indicated in legend) horizontal bar below each heat map. The SMD GCaMP6s/mCherry
- fluorescence ratio is elevated during wildtype ARS, compared with either *ckr-1(lf)* ARS, and
 wildtype dispersal.
- 905 (D) Number of reorientations plotted against mean SMD GCaMP6s/mCherry ratio for the individuals in
- A-C. Black line indicates linear fit for wild type ARS values, with Pearson's correlation co-efficient
 (r), *p=0.02.
- 908 **(E)** Quantification of mean SMD fluorescence ratio (GCaMP6s/mCherry) during ARS or dispersal for 909 the genotypes indicated. ****p<0.0001, ANOVA with Holms-Sidak post-hoc test. ARS wild type:
- 910 n=18, ARS *ckr-1(ok2502)*: n=7, Dispersal wild type: n=7.
- 911

912 Figure 8 – Supplement 1

- 913 Representative calcium signals (GCaMP6s/mCherry ratio) for wild type ARS, wild type dispersal,
- 914 and *ck-1(lf)* ARS. Corresponding behaviors are annotated by shading as indicated.
- 915

916 Figure 9. Proposed model for NLP-12 action through CKR-1 and CKR-2

- 917 During basal locomotion, NLP-12 activation of CKR-1 and CKR2 GPCRs in ventral nerve cord
- 918 motor neurons regulates body bending. During local searching, NLP-12 acts primarily through
- 919 CKR-1 in SMD motor neurons to promote increased turning, trajectory changes and enhance
- 920 body bending. Solid arrows indicate known synaptic connections, dotted arrows indicate
- 921 extrasynaptic. Sensory neurons (green), head interneurons (orange), and motor neurons (red).
- 922 Olfactory sensory neurons: AWA, AWB, AWC, ASE.
- 923

| 924 | Supplementary file legends |
|-----|---|
| 925 | |
| 926 | Supplementary File 1 |
| 927 | Strains generated/used in this work |
| 928 | |
| 929 | Supplementary File 2 |
| 930 | Identification (method of ID, marker and strain indicated for each neuron) to determine ckr-1 |
| 931 | expressing neurons. * Indicated strains were crossed into ufls141 (Pckr-1::ckr-1::SL2::GFP) to |
| 932 | generate strains to determine colocalization. #+ or – indicates presence or absence of ckr-1 |
| 933 | expression in identified neuron. * Indicated strains were crossed into ufls141 to generate strains to |
| 934 | determine colocalization, # + indicates ckr-1 expression, - indicates absence |
| 935 | |
| 936 | Supplementary File 3 |
| 937 | Promoters used in <i>ckr-1(OE)</i> screen (Figure 5C) indicating expression pattern. ** Bold indicates |
| 938 | neurons where <i>ckr-1</i> is expressed. |
| 939 | |
| 940 | Supplementary File 4 |
| 941 | Plasmid constructs used in cell specific <i>ckr-1(OE)</i> screen or cell-specific rescue (Figure 5C, 7A). |
| 942 | For cell specific overexpression or rescue of ckr-1, ckr-1 minigene was expressed under |
| 943 | indicated promoters. Entry vectors containing promoters recombined with destination vectors |
| 944 | pRB12 or pRB13 for cell-specific overexpression or rescue of <i>ckr-1</i> . |
| 945 | |
| 946 | Supplementary File 5 |
| 947 | Promoter lengths and primer information for promoters used |
| 948 | |
| 949 | |

951 Supplementary video legends

- 952 Video 1. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion on food of animal overexpressing
- 953 *nlp-12*. Video has been sped up 4X.
- 954 Video 2. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion of wild type animal during area
- 955 restricted search (0-5 minutes off food). Video has been sped up 4X.
- 956 Video 3. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion of wild type animal during
- 957 dispersal (30-35 minutes off food). Video has been sped up 4X.
- 958 Video 4. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion on food of animal overexpressing
- 959 *ckr-1*. Video has been sped up 4X.
- 960 Video 5. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion on food of animal overexpressing
- 961 *ckr-1* in the SMD motor neurons. Video has been sped up 4X.
- 962 Video 6. Representative 20 second video showing locomotion on food of animal in the absence
- 963 (left) and during SMD photostimulation (right). Video has been sped up 4X.
- 964 Video 7. Representative 20 second video showing simultaneous post-hoc tracking of mCherry
- 965 and GCaMP6s fluorescence for ratiometric calcium imaging analysis. Video has been sped up
- 966 4X.
- 967 Video 8. Representative 20 second video showing tracking locomotion of animal overexpressing
- 968 *nlp-12* in Wormlab to analyze body bending. Video has been sped up 4X.
- Video 9. Representative 20 second video showing single worm tracking of wild type animal
- 970 during basal locomotion on food to analyze body bending and head bending. Video has been
- 971 sped up 4X.
- 972
- 973
- 974

- 975 Source data file legends
- 976 Figure 1 Source Data 1
- 977 Source data for body bending amplitude (Figure 1B)
- 978 **Figure 1 Source Data 2**
- 979 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 1D)
- 980 Figure 1 Source Data 3
- 981 Source data for *in vitro* analysis of CKR-1 activation (Figure 1E)
- 982 Figure 1 Source Data 4
- 983 Source data for *in vitro* analysis of CKR-2 activation (Figure 1F)
- 984 Figure 1 Figure Supplement 2 Source Data 1
- 985 Source data for *in vitro* controls (ratio of total calcium response)
- 986 Figure 2 Source Data 1
- 987 Source data for body bending measurements during single worm tracking of basal locomotion
- 988 (Figure 2A)
- 989 Figure 2 Source Data 2
- 990 Source data for head bending measurements during single worm tracking of basal locomotion
- 991 (Figure 2B)
- 992 Figure 3 Source Data 1
- 993 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 994 Figure 3C)
- 995 Figure 3 Source Data 2
- 996 Source data for reorientations quantified during dispersal (30-35 minutes off food, Figure 3E)
- 997 Figure 3 Source Data 3
- 998 Source data for % change in reorientations from mean quantified for DVA photostimulation
- 999 (Figure 3F)
- 1000

1001 Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2 - Source Data 1

- 1002 Source data for reorientations quantified on food and during area restricted search (0-5 minutes
- 1003 off food, Figure 3 Figure Supplement 2A)
- 1004 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 2 Source Data 2
- 1005 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 1006 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 2B)
- 1007 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3 Source Data 1
- 1008 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 1009 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3A)
- 1010 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3 Source Data 2
- 1011 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 1012 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3B)
- 1013 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3 Source Data 3
- 1014 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 1015 Figure 3 Figure Supplement 3C)
- 1016 Figure 4 Source Data 1
- 1017 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 4B)
- 1018 Figure 4 Source Data 2
- 1019 Source data for body bending amplitude (Figure 4C)
- 1020 Figure 5 Source Data 1
- 1021 Source data for body bending amplitude (Figure 5C)
- 1022 Figure 6 Source Data 1
- 1023 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 6B)
- 1024 Figure 6 Source Data 2
- 1025 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 6E)

1027 Figure 6 – Source Data 3

1028 Source data for bending amplitude (Figure 6F)

1029 Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1 - Source Data 1

- 1030 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 6 Figure Supplement 1B)
- 1031 Figure 6 Figure Supplement 1 Source Data 2
- 1032 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 6 Figure Supplement 1C)
- 1033 Figure 7 Source Data 1
- 1034 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search (0-5 minutes off food,
- 1035 Figure 7A)
- 1036 **Figure 7 Source Data 2**
- 1037 Source data for reorientations quantified during SMD photostimulation (Figure 7C)
- 1038 Figure 7 Source Data 3
- 1039 Source data for reorientations quantified during area restricted search upon SMD silencing (0-5
- 1040 minutes off food, Figure 7D)
- 1041 Figure 7 Figure Supplement 1 Source Data 1
- 1042 Source data for frequency of bending angles (Figure 7 Figure Supplement 1A)
- 1043 Figure 7 Figure Supplement 1 Source Data 2
- 1044 Source data for body bending amplitude quantified during SMD photostimulation (Figure 7 –
- 1045 Figure Supplement 1B)
- 1046 Figure 8 Source Data 1
- 1047 Source data for GCaMP6s/mCherry ratio during SMD calcium imaging (Figure 8A-D)
- 1048 **Figure 8 Source Data 2**
- 1049 Source data for mean GCaMP6s/mCherry ratio during SMD calcium imaging (Figure 8E)

1050

1051

- 1053 **References**
- 1054

- Bailer U, Kaye W. 2003. A Review of Neuropeptide and Neuroendocrine Dysregulation in
 Anorexia and Bulimia Nervosa. *Current Drug Targets -CNS and Neurological Disorders* 2:53–59. doi:10.2174/1568007033338689
- Bailey H, Lyubchich V, Wingfield J, Fandel A, Garrod A, Rice AN. 2019. Empirical evidence that
 large marine predator foraging behavior is consistent with area-restricted search theory.
 Ecology 100. doi:10.1002/ecy.2743
- 1062Ballaz S. 2017. The unappreciated roles of the cholecystokinin receptor CCK(1) in brain1063functioning. *Rev Neuroscience* 28:573–585. doi:10.1515/revneuro-2016-0088
- Bell W. 1990. Searching Behavior Patterns In Insects. *Annu Rev Entomol* 35:447–467.
 doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.35.1.447
- Bhattacharya R, Touroutine D, Barbagallo B, Climer J, Lambert CM, Clark CM, Alkema MJ,
 Francis MM. 2014. A Conserved Dopamine-Cholecystokinin Signaling Pathway Shapes
 Context–Dependent Caenorhabditis elegans Behavior. *Plos Genet* 10:e1004584.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004584
- 1070Broekmans OD, Rodgers JB, Ryu WS, Stephens GJ. 2016. Resolving coiled shapes reveals1071new reorientation behaviors in C. elegans. *Elife* **5**:e17227. doi:10.7554/elife.17227
- 1072 Caers J, Peymen K, Suetens N, Temmerman L, Janssen T, Schoofs L, Beets I. 2014.
 1073 Characterization of G Protein-coupled Receptors by a Fluorescence-based Calcium
 1074 Mobilization Assay. J Vis Exp Jove 51516. doi:10.3791/51516
- Calhoun AJ, Chalasani SH, Sharpee TO. 2014. Maximally informative foraging by
 Caenorhabditis elegans. *Elife* 3:e04220. doi:10.7554/elife.04220
- 1077 Chandra R, Liddle RA. 2007. Cholecystokinin. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 14:63–67.
 1078 doi:10.1097/med.0b013e3280122850
- 1079 Chen X, Li X, Wong YT, Zheng X, Wang H, Peng Y, Feng H, Feng J, Baibado JT, Jesky R,
 1080 Wang Z, Xie H, Sun W, Zhang Zicong, Zhang X, He L, Zhang N, Zhang Zhijian, Tang P, Su
 1081 J, Hu L-L, Liu Q, He X, Tan A, Sun X, Li M, Wong K, Wang X, Cheung H-Y, Shum DK-Y,
 1082 Yung KKL, Chan Y-S, Tortorella M, Guo Y, Xu F, He J. 2019. Cholecystokinin release
 1083 triggered by NMDA receptors produces LTP and sound-sound associative memory. *P Natl*1084 Acad Sci Usa 116:6397–6406. doi:10.1073/pnas.1816833116
- 1085 Chou JH, Bargmann CI, Sengupta P. 2001. The Caenorhabditis elegans odr-2 gene encodes a
 1086 novel Ly-6-related protein required for olfaction. *Genetics* 157:211–24.
- 1087 Crosby KM, Murphy-Royal C, Wilson SA, Gordon GR, Bains JS, Pittman QJ. 2018.
 1088 Cholecystokinin Switches the Plasticity of GABA Synapses in the Dorsomedial

- Hypothalamus via Astrocytic ATP Release. *J Neurosci* 38:8515–8525.
 doi:10.1523/ineurosci.0569-18.2018
- 1091 Dereeper A, Guignon V, Blanc G, Audic S, Buffet S, Chevenet F, Dufayard J-F, Guindon S,
 1092 Lefort V, Lescot M, Claverie J-M, Gascuel O. 2008. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic
 1093 analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36:W465–W469. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn180
- 1094 Frooninckx L, Rompay LV, Temmerman L, Sinay EV, Beets I, Janssen T, Husson SJ, Schoofs
 1095 L. 2012. Neuropeptide GPCRs in C. elegans. *Front Endocrinol* 3:167.
 1096 doi:10.3389/fendo.2012.00167
- Gao S, Guan SA, Fouad AD, Meng J, Kawano T, Huang Y-C, Li Y, Alcaire S, Hung W, Lu Y, Qi
 YB, Jin Y, Alkema M, Fang-Yen C, Zhen M. 2018. Excitatory motor neurons are local
 oscillators for backward locomotion. *Elife* 7:e29915. doi:10.7554/elife.29915
- Gray JM, Hill JJ, Bargmann CI. 2005. A circuit for navigation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc National Acad Sci* 102:3184–3191. doi:10.1073/pnas.0409009101
- Hendricks M, Ha H, Maffey N, Zhang Y. 2012. Compartmentalized calcium dynamics in a C.
 elegans interneuron encode head movement. *Nature* 487:99–103. doi:10.1038/nature11081
- Hills T, Brockie PJ, Maricq AV. 2004. Dopamine and Glutamate Control Area-Restricted Search
 Behavior in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Neurosci* 24:1217–1225. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1569 03.2004
- Hu Z, Pym ECG, Babu K, Vashlishan Murray AB, Kaplan JM. 2011. A Neuropeptide-Mediated
 Stretch Response Links Muscle Contraction to Changes in Neurotransmitter Release.
 Neuron 71:92–102. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.04.021
- Hu Z, Vashlishan-Murray AB, Kaplan JM. 2015. NLP-12 Engages Different UNC-13 Proteins to
 Potentiate Tonic and Evoked Release. *J Neurosci* 35:1038–1042.
 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2825-14.2015
- Hums I, Riedl J, Mende F, Kato S, Kaplan HS, Latham R, Sonntag M, Traunmüller L, Zimmer M.
 2016. Regulation of two motor patterns enables the gradual adjustment of locomotion
 strategy in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Elife* 5:e14116. doi:10.7554/elife.14116
- Janssen T, Meelkop E, Lindemans M, Verstraelen K, Husson SJ, Temmerman L, Nachman RJ,
 Schoofs L. 2008. Discovery of a Cholecystokinin-Gastrin-Like Signaling System in
- 1118 Nematodes. *Endocrinology* **149**:2826–2839. doi:10.1210/en.2007-1772
- Janssen T, Meelkop E, Nachman RJ, Schoofs L. 2009. Evolutionary Conservation of the
 Cholecystokinin/Gastrin Signaling System in Nematodes. *Ann Ny Acad Sci* 1163:428–432.
 doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2008.03649.x
- Kaplan HS, Thula OS, Khoss N, Zimmer M. 2019. Nested Neuronal Dynamics Orchestrate a
 Behavioral Hierarchy across Timescales. *Neuron*. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.10.037

- Klapoetke NC, Murata Y, Kim SS, Pulver SR, Birdsey-Benson A, Cho YK, Morimoto TK,
 Chuong AS, Carpenter EJ, Tian Z, Wang J, Xie Y, Yan Z, Zhang Y, Chow BY, Surek B,
 Melkonian M, Jayaraman V, Constantine-Paton M, Wong GK-S, Boyden ES. 2014.
 Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nat Methods* 11:338–346.
- 1128 doi:10.1038/nmeth.2836
- 1129 Kocabas A, Shen C-H, Guo ZV, Ramanathan S. 2012. Controlling interneuron activity in 1130 Caenorhabditis elegans to evoke chemotactic behaviour. *Nature* **490**:273–277.
- 1131 doi:10.1038/nature11431
- Kormos V, Gaszner B. 2013. Role of neuropeptides in anxiety, stress, and depression: From
 animals to humans. *Neuropeptides* 47:401–419. doi:10.1016/j.npep.2013.10.014
- Lee SY, Soltesz I. 2011. Cholecystokinin: A multi-functional molecular switch of neuronal circuits. *Dev Neurobiol* **71**:83–91. doi:10.1002/dneu.20815
- Li X, Yu K, Zhang Z, Sun W, Yang Z, Feng J, Chen X, Liu C-H, Wang H, Guo YP, He J. 2014.
 Cholecystokinin from the entorhinal cortex enables neural plasticity in the auditory cortex.
 Cell Res 24:307–330. doi:10.1038/cr.2013.164
- Liddle RA. 1997. CHOLECYSTOKININ CELLS. Annu Rev Physiol 59:221–242.
 doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.59.1.221
- Marques JC, Li M, Schaak D, Robson DN, Li JM. 2020. Internal state dynamics shape
 brainwide activity and foraging behaviour. *Nature* 577:239–243. doi:10.1038/s41586-0191858-z
- Miyasaka K, Funakoshi A. 2003. Cholecystokinin and cholecystokinin receptors. *J Gastroenterol* 38:1–13. doi:10.1007/s005350300000
- Nagel G, Szellas T, Huhn W, Kateriya S, Adeishvili N, Berthold P, Ollig D, Hegemann P,
 Bamberg E. 2003. Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane
 channel. *Proc National Acad Sci* **100**:13940–13945. doi:10.1073/pnas.1936192100
- Nishimura S, Bilgüvar K, Ishigame K, Sestan N, Günel M, Louvi A. 2015. Functional Synergy
 between Cholecystokinin Receptors CCKAR and CCKBR in Mammalian Brain Development.
 Plos One 10:e0124295. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124295
- Noble F, Roques BP. 2006. Handbook of Neurochemistry and Molecular Neurobiology,
 Neuroactive Proteins and Peptides 545–571. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-30381-9_24
- Oranth A, Schultheis C, Tolstenkov O, Erbguth K, Nagpal J, Hain D, Brauner M, Wabnig S,
 Costa WS, McWhirter RD, Zels S, Palumbos S, III DMM, Beets I, Gottschalk A. 2018. Food
 Sensation Modulates Locomotion by Dopamine and Neuropeptide Signaling in a Distributed
 Neuronal Network. *Neuron* 100:1414-1428.e10. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.024
- 1158 Ouellette M-H, Desrochers MJ, Gheta I, Ramos R, Hendricks M. 2018. A Gate-and-Switch 1159 Model for Head Orientation Behaviors in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Eneuro* **5**:ENEURO.0121-1160 18 2018. doi:10.1522/angura.0121.18.2018
- 1160 18.2018. doi:10.1523/eneuro.0121-18.2018

- Paiva VH, Geraldes P, Ramírez I, Garthe S, Ramos JA. 2010. How area restricted search of a
 pelagic seabird changes while performing a dual foraging strategy. *Oikos* 119:1423–1434.
 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18294.x
- Peeters L, Janssen T, Haes WD, Beets I, Meelkop E, Grant W, Schoofs L. 2012. A
 pharmacological study of NLP-12 neuropeptide signaling in free-living and parasitic
 nematodes. *Peptides* 34:82–87. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2011.10.014
- Pereira L, Kratsios P, Serrano-Saiz E, Sheftel H, Mayo AE, Hall DH, White JG, LeBoeuf B,
 Garcia LR, Alon U, Hobert O. 2015. A cellular and regulatory map of the cholinergic nervous
 system of C. elegans. *Elife* **4**:e12432. doi:10.7554/elife.12432
- Peymen K, Watteyne J, Borghgraef C, Sinay EV, Beets I, Schoofs L. 2019. Myoinhibitory
 peptide signaling modulates aversive gustatory learning in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Plos Genet* 15:e1007945. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007945
- Pierce-Shimomura JT, Morse TM, Lockery SR. 1999. The Fundamental Role of Pirouettes in
 Caenorhabditis elegans Chemotaxis. *J Neurosci* 19:9557–9569. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.19 21-09557.1999
- Pirri JK, McPherson AD, Donnelly JL, Francis MM, Alkema MJ. 2009. A Tyramine-Gated
 Chloride Channel Coordinates Distinct Motor Programs of a Caenorhabditis elegans Escape
 Response. *Neuron* 62:526–538. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.04.013
- Pomrenze MB, Giovanetti SM, Maiya R, Gordon AG, Kreeger LJ, Messing RO. 2019. Dissecting
 the Roles of GABA and Neuropeptides from Rat Central Amygdala CRF Neurons in Anxiety
 and Fear Learning. *Cell Reports* 29:13-21.e4. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.083
- 1182 Rehfeld JF. 2017. Cholecystokinin—From Local Gut Hormone to Ubiquitous Messenger. Front
 1183 Endocrinol 8:47. doi:10.3389/fendo.2017.00047
- Saito A, Sankaran H, Goldfine I, Williams J. 1980. Cholecystokinin receptors in the brain:
 characterization and distribution. *Science* 208:1155–1156. doi:10.1126/science.6246582
- Sawin ER, Ranganathan R, Horvitz HR. 2000. C. elegans Locomotory Rate Is Modulated by the
 Environment through a Dopaminergic Pathway and by Experience through a Serotonergic
 Pathway. *Neuron* 26:619–631. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81199-x
- Shen Y, Wen Q, Liu H, Zhong C, Qin Y, Harris G, Kawano T, Wu M, Xu T, Samuel AD, Zhang
 Y. 2016. An extrasynaptic GABAergic signal modulates a pattern of forward movement in
 Caenorhabditis elegans. *Elife* 5:e14197. doi:10.7554/elife.14197
- 1192 Sinay EV, Mirabeau O, Depuydt G, Hiel MBV, Peymen K, Watteyne J, Zels S, Schoofs L, Beets
- 1193 I. 2017. Evolutionarily conserved TRH neuropeptide pathway regulates growth in 1194 Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc National Acad Sci* **114**:E4065–E4074.
- 1195 doi:10.1073/pnas.1617392114

Sommerfeld J, Kato A, Ropert-Coudert Y, Garthe S, Hindell MA. 2013. Foraging Parameters
 Influencing the Detection and Interpretation of Area-Restricted Search Behaviour in Marine

- 1198 Predators: A Case Study with the Masked Booby. *Plos One* **8**:e63742.
- 1199 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063742
- Tao L, Porto D, Li Z, Fechner S, Lee SA, Goodman MB, Xu XZS, Lu H, Shen K. 2019. Parallel
 Processing of Two Mechanosensory Modalities by a Single Neuron in C. elegans. *Dev Cell*.
 doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.10.008
- Wakabayashi T, Kitagawa I, Shingai R. 2004. Neurons regulating the duration of forward
 locomotion in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Neurosci Res* 50:103–111.
 doi:10.1016/j.neures.2004.06.005
- Wang X, Zhang W, Cheever T, Schwarz V, Opperman K, Hutter H, Koepp D, Chen L. 2008. The
 C. elegans L1CAM homologue LAD-2 functions as a coreceptor in MAB-20/Sema2 mediated
 axon guidance. *J Cell Biology* 180:233–46. doi:10.1083/jcb.200704178
- Weimerskirch H, Pinaud D, Pawlowski F, Bost C. 2007. Does Prey Capture Induce Area Restricted Search? A Fine-Scale Study Using GPS in a Marine Predator, the Wandering
 Albatross. *Am Nat* 170:734–743. doi:10.1086/522059
- West KS, Roseberry AG. 2017. Neuropeptide-Y alters VTA dopamine neuron activity through
 both pre- and postsynaptic mechanisms. *J Neurophysiol* **118**:625–633.
 doi:10.1152/jn.00879.2016
- White J. 2018. Clues to basis of exploratory behaviour of the C. elegans snout from head
 somatotropy. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc Lond Ser B Biological Sci* **373**:20170367. doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0367
- White JG, Southgate E, Thomson JN, Brenner S. 1976. The structure of the ventral nerve cord
 of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc Lond Ser B Biological Sci* 275:327–48. doi:10.1098/rstb.1976.0086
- 1221 Xu S, Chisholm AD. 2016. Highly efficient optogenetic cell ablation in C. elegans using 1222 membrane-targeted miniSOG. *Sci Rep-uk* **6**:21271. doi:10.1038/srep21271
- Xu T, Huo J, Shao S, Po M, Kawano T, Lu Y, Wu M, Zhen M, Wen Q. 2018. Descending
 pathway facilitates undulatory wave propagation in Caenorhabditis elegans through gap
 junctions. *Proc National Acad Sci* 115:201717022. doi:10.1073/pnas.1717022115
- Yemini E, Jucikas T, Grundy LJ, Brown AEX, Schafer WR. 2013. A database of Caenorhabditis
 elegans behavioral phenotypes. *Nat Methods* 10:877–879. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2560
- 1228Yemini E, Kerr RA, Schafer WR. 2011. Preparation of Samples for Single-Worm Tracking. Cold1229Spring Harb Protoc 2011:pdb.prot066993. doi:10.1101/pdb.prot066993
- Yeon J, Kim Jinmahn, Kim D-Y, Kim H, Kim Jungha, Du EJ, Kang K, Lim H-H, Moon D, Kim K.
 2018. A sensory-motor neuron type mediates proprioceptive coordination of steering in C.
 elegans via two TRPC channels. *Plos Biol* 16:e2004929. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2004929

- 1234 1235 Zhang L, Hernandez-Sanchez D, Herzog H. 2019. Regulation of Feeding-Related Behaviors by Arcuate Neuropeptide Y Neurons. *Endocrinology* **160**:1411–1420. doi:10.1210/en.2019-



Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 1



Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 2







Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 1



B Reversal-coupled omega turn reorientation



Figure 3 - Figure Supplement 2













ckr-1(OE)







SMD::mCherry NLP-12::Venus

nus Merge

μm

Figure 5 - Figure Supplement 1











+photoactivation



[Pflp-22Δ4::miniSOG] (no photoactivation) {**}





wild type ARS

Α





