Lytic transglycosylases mitigate periplasmic crowding by degrading soluble cell wall turnover products
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Abstract

The peptidoglycan cell wall is a predominant structure of bacteria, determining cell shape and supporting survival in diverse conditions. Peptidoglycan is dynamic and requires regulated synthesis of new material, remodeling, and turnover – or autolysis – of old material. Despite exploitation of peptidoglycan synthesis as an antibiotic target, we lack a fundamental understanding of how peptidoglycan synthesis and autolysis intersect to maintain the cell wall. Here, we uncover a critical physiological role for a widely misunderstood class of autolytic enzymes, lytic transglycosylases (LTGs). We demonstrate that LTG activity is essential to survival by contributing to periplasmic processes upstream and independent of peptidoglycan recycling. Defects accumulate in *Vibrio cholerae* LTG mutants due to generally inadequate LTG activity, rather than absence of specific enzymes, and essential LTG activities are likely independent of protein-protein interactions, as heterologous expression of a non-native LTG rescues growth of a conditionally LTG-null mutant. Lastly, we demonstrate that soluble,
uncrosslinked, endopeptidase-dependent peptidoglycan chains, also detected in the wild-type, are enriched in LTG mutants, and that LTG mutants are hypersusceptible to the production of diverse periplasmic polymers. Collectively, our results suggest that LTGs prevent toxic crowding of the periplasm with synthesis-derived peptidoglycan polymers and contrary to prevailing models, that this autolytic function can be temporally separate from peptidoglycan synthesis.

**Introduction**

The bacterial cell wall is a nearly universal feature of the bacterial cell envelope. Made primarily of the strong and elastic polymer peptidoglycan (PG), the cell wall preserves bacterial shape while protecting the cell from its high internal turgor pressure and external environmental challenges\(^1\)-\(^5\). PG synthesis begins in the cytoplasm with the generation of lipid II, consisting of the disaccharide [N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc)-N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)] that is modified with a pentapeptide side stem and attached to the lipid carrier undecaprenol. Lipid II is flipped across the cytoplasmic membrane where the cell wall is assembled in two reactions: First, lipid II is polymerized into longer glycan strands by glycosyltransferases (GTases) followed by crosslinking of the elongating PG strands via their peptide side stems by transpeptidases (TPases)\(^3\)-\(^6\). Ultimately, the combined result of GTase and TPase activities is a covalently closed mesh-like macromolecular network called the PG sacculus.

The strength of the PG sacculus is a double-edged sword. On the one hand, the covalent network provides a mechanical structure strong enough to withstand the high
cellular turgor pressure and stresses of a changing environment. On the other hand, it acts as a macromolecular cage that might inhibit cellular expansion and division as well as the insertion of crucial trans-envelope machinery (i.e., flagella and pili). Bacteria therefore need to couple new PG synthesis with degradation of bonds within the PG network to simultaneously maintain the integrity of the sacculus while also making space for the insertion of new PG.

PG degradation is accomplished by several divergent enzyme classes that are often subsumed under the term “autolysins”, i.e., enzymes that cleave various bonds within PG. Endopeptidases (EPs), for example, cleave the peptide crosslinks and are particularly integral to the “space-making” autolytic function that permits sacculus expansion during cell elongation; without EP activity, PG synthesis results in a thicker cell wall or integrity failure and lysis. Another major class of autolysins, the lytic transglycosylases (LTGs), cleave the glycosidic linkages between disaccharide subunits within PG strands. Their biochemistry has been exquisitely well-studied and the diversity of their structures and mechanisms of action well characterized. Unlike the other autolysins, the primary cleavage mechanism of LTGs is non-hydrolytic. Rather, LTGs perform an intramolecular cyclization of MurNac residues to generate a unique and readily identifiable signature of their activity, anhydro-MurNac (anhMurNac).

Early characterization of PG in the bacterial sacculus suggested that every PG strand terminates in an anhMurNac cap, long implicating LTGs as potential “terminases” of GTase glycan elongation. This was only recently confirmed empirically with the novel discovery and characterization of MltG and its functional analogs. E. coli MltG
associates with active PG synthetic complexes to release new strands from the cytoplasmic membrane (to which they are initially tethered via undecaprenyl pyrophosphate), presumably as they emerge from GTase activity; consequently, MltG is a strong determinant of PG strand length. \(^{26-29}\) Whether association with active GTases is a conserved characteristic of MltG functional analogues (including some hydrolytic glycosidases\(^{30}\)) has not been established, so these enzymes may be more broadly referred to as "PG release factors" since their cleavage of membrane-bound PG may not directly influence PG elongation. Other physiological roles assigned to LTGs include local PG editing for insertion of PG-spanning protein complexes\(^{10,12,31}\) and PG recycling. PG recycling, the re-incorporation of PG breakdown products into the biosynthesis cycle, starts with re-uptake of PG turnover products by the importer AmpG.\(^{32}\) AmpG specifically imports LTG breakdown products (anhMurNAc-containing fragments) and this process can theoretically be supported by any active LTG that produces monomeric anhydromuropeptides. Despite being well-conserved throughout many bacterial phyla, PG recycling is not an essential process under standard growth conditions.\(^{33}\) Another underappreciated function of LTGs has emerged through the work of our group and others wherein certain individual or combinatorial LTG mutations, including septal LTG RlpA, results in a daughter cell separation defect.\(^{34-37}\) Intriguingly, the roles of LTGs in all these functions – PG release from the membrane, PG recycling, insertion of PG-spanning complexes, and daughter cell separation – do not appear to be essential to bacterial growth in the contexts studied to date.
The apparent non-essentiality of lytic transglycosylase activity would seem contradictory to this enzyme class’s broad conservation across bacterial phyla (and chloroplasts) as well as genetic and functional redundancy within many individual species – a trait indicative of an important, conserved function.\textsuperscript{27} Yet answering the most general physiological questions about LTGs has been severely encumbered by LTG redundancy as rarely does a single LTG mutation yield a significant, readily investigable phenotype. We recently showed that collectively, LTG activity indeed seems to be essential for growth and division.\textsuperscript{36} The actual physiological function for the majority of LTGs, however, and the reason for their collective essentiality, has remained elusive. Here, we sought to comprehensively illuminate physiological roles for LTGs by extensively characterizing mutants of the Gram-negative pathogen \textit{Vibrio cholerae} that are defective for most or all of the species’ eight currently annotated LTGs. We find that the vast majority of LTGs is dispensable for growth in laboratory media. Minimal LTG strains are defective for growth in low-salt media and hypersensitive to accumulation of periplasmic sugar polymers. Through analysis of PG turnover products, we show that soluble PG strands accumulate in the wild type and that this is exacerbated in \textit{ΔLTG} mutants and alleviated by inactivating a major PG endopeptidase. Taken together, our data suggest that lytic transglycosylase activity downstream of PG synthesis mitigates toxic periplasmic accumulation of uncrosslinked, polymeric peptidoglycan turnover products released by endopeptidases.

\section*{Results}

\textit{A single LTG is necessary and sufficient for V. cholerae growth}
We recently reported that a ∆6 LTG mutant (rlpA⁺ mltG⁺ ∆mltA ∆mltB ∆mltC ∆mltD ∆mltF ∆slt70) was viable under standard laboratory conditions and exhibited only slight morphological defects, including an increase in cell length. Depletion of RlpA from this background resulted in a lethal chaining defect, suggesting that collectively, some degree of LTG activity is essential for V. cholerae growth. Since we were previously unable to delete MltG or observe its depletion from a ∆6 LTG background, we asked whether MltG exhibited a synthetic-lethal relationship with the other LTGs using a quantitative insertion/disruption assay. Briefly, we conjugated a suicide vector targeting mltG (or positive or negative control loci) into WT and ∆6 LTG and quantified viable recombinants. Surprisingly, the WT and ∆6 LTG strains both tolerated inactivation of mltG by the suicide vector so long as essential DNA synthesis genes downstream of mltG were expressed in trans to ameliorate polar effects of mltG disruption (Figure 1A, Figure 1 – Figure supplement 1AB). The resulting ∆6 LTG mltG::kan mutant was viable in LB but failed to grow in low-salt LB (LB without added NaCl, hereafter designated LB0N) (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 1C), which could explain why previous attempts to inactivate mltG in this background using SacB-based allelic exchange (requiring selection on LB0N+sucrose) were unsuccessful. Consistent with these data, we were then able to generate a clean, viable ∆7 LTG mutant (rlpA⁺ mltG::stop ∆mltABCDF ∆slt70) using a MqsR toxin-based allelic exchange system. The ∆7 mutant sacculus contained only a tenth of the AnhMurNAc residues observed in the wild type sacculus (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 1D, Supplemental File 1), suggesting that there is significantly reduced LTG activity in the ∆7 LTG mutant. Additionally, by placing the native copy of rlpA under an arabinose-inducible promoter, we were able to
conditionally deplete RlpA by growing these strains in the absence of arabinose to observe the effects of LTG insufficiency (Figure 1B, Figure 1 – Figure supplement 2). Compared to ∆6 LTG, RlpA depletion was more severe in the ∆7 background, both by morphology and plating efficiency, consistent with ∆7 exhibiting more limited LTG activity than ∆6.

Importantly, we confirmed that growth of ∆7 LTG depended on RlpA LTG activity, as RlpA<sup>Δ145A</sup>, a predicted active site mutant<sup>35</sup> (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3AD) was unable to promote growth despite being stably produced (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 4) and maintaining septal recruitment as indicated by the septal localization of an RlpA<sup>Δ145A</sup>-mCherry fusion (Figure 1C, Figure 1 – Figure supplement 4). Conversely, we found that a truncated RlpA mutant lacking the conserved SPOR domain (which is essential for septal localization in <i>P. aeruginosa</i><sup>35</sup>) no longer localized to the division septum in <i>V. cholerae</i> as an mCherry fusion (Figure 1 – Figure supplement 3C) yet still fully complemented native RlpA depletion in both ∆6 and ∆7 (Figure 1C, Figure 1 – Figure supplement 4), suggesting that RlpA LTG activity, but not septal localization, are essential in these backgrounds. Taken together, these results demonstrate that at least during growth in standard laboratory conditions, <i>V. cholerae</i> requires at least one active LTG of the 8 currently annotated in its genome.

**Only a subset of LTGs can independently fulfill all essential LTG functions**

Since RlpA LTG activity, but not septal localization, was essential for ∆6 and ∆7 growth, we asked whether growth required specialized LTG function, or just PG cleavage
function in general. To test this, we assessed the ability of other LTGs to complement ∆6 and ∆7 (Figure 1C, Figure 1 – Figure supplement 4). Only two native LTGs, MltD and Slt70, could fully (MltD) or partially (Slt70) substitute for RlpA in the ∆7 LTG background, demonstrating that RlpA, MltD, and (to a lesser degree) Slt70 are the only V. cholerae LTGs capable of fulfilling all required LTG roles. Additional LTGs, MltA, MltB, and MltC, were able to rescue RlpA depletion in the ∆6 LTG background (where MltG is present), but not the ∆7 LTG background (where MltG is absent). These observations suggest that LTGs perform at least two separable essential functions for viability, where MltG can perform one function but requires MltA, MltB, or MltC to perform another, and vice versa, as none of these LTGs are independently capable of supporting growth. Intriguingly, the E. coli LTG MltE, for which V. cholerae has no known homologue, was also capable of fully supporting V. cholerae growth as the sole functioning LTG, suggesting that LTG essential functions may not depend on specific protein-protein interactions. Collectively, these data suggest that LTGs are partially redundant; but some LTGs also exhibit varying degrees of functional specificity.

**LTGs are required during vegetative growth**

We were surprised that our strains lacking major LTG activity (even ∆7) were viable. We thus sought to characterize growth and morphology of these backgrounds in more detail. While the ∆6 and ∆7 mutants grew at wild-type growth rates in LB medium when cultures were started from a 100-fold dilution of saturated overnight cultures, we noticed a dilution-dependent exacerbation of growth and morphology defects (Figure 2, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 1, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 2). When ∆7 LTG cultures were
highly diluted from overnight cultures to increase the number of generations spent in exponential growth, we started to observe a marked growth defect (Figure 2AB, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 2A). Conversely, the mltG::stop single mutant and Δ6 LTG mutant grew at wild-type growth rates independent of initial dilution factor (Figure 2B, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 2A). These data suggest an essential or near-essential role for MltG during sustained exponential growth, albeit only when other LTGs are also inactivated. We also observed diverse aberrant morphologies within the LTG-deficient mutants (but not a ΔmltG::stop single mutant) under these conditions (Figure 2C, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 1). Interestingly, despite lacking most members of an entire class of PG enzymes, Δ6 and Δ7 only exhibited only mild defects in length and width homeostasis (Figure 2DE, Figure 2 – Figure supplement 2), which were only dilution-factor dependent for cell length (suggesting a cumulative division defect). When we followed a time-course after back-dilution from stationary phase, we observed morphological defects accumulating in exponential phase and then largely disappearing in stationary phase (Figure 2 – Figure supplement 3) in both Δ6 and Δ7. Collectively, these observations suggest that LTG-deficient mutants suffer from cumulative damage during exponential growth, which is partially alleviated in stationary phase.

**LTG activity is required for survival in hypo-osmotic conditions**

Our results detailed above suggested that the majority of LTGs are dispensable for growth, catalyzing renewed interest in the question of what their physiological roles are. To dissect potential roles for LTGs in cell envelope integrity maintenance, we subjected the Δ6 and Δ7 mutants to growth in low osmolarity medium. Similar to the morphology
and growth defects in LB, both mutants were sensitive to low salt conditions in a dilution-dependent manner (Figure 3A, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1). Interestingly, the ∆7 LTG mutant grew at wild-type rate during the initial growth period before a rapid decrease in OD_{600}, indicative of lysis, suggesting that it is not the initial shock of changing osmotic conditions that kills this mutant, but rather some cumulative damage during growth in low-salt conditions (Figure 3A, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1A). Importantly, this rules out a simple cell envelope defect as a cause of LTG-deficient mutant osmo-sensitivity. We then sought to dissect the contributions of individual LTGs to salt sensitivity. Other than RlpA, which has an established role in LB0N growth^{35,36} and is still present in the ∆6 and ∆7 mutants, no other single LTG mutant exhibited a strong growth defect in LB0N (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 2A), all further suggesting that this defect is an accumulative function of collective LTG insufficiency. Several LTGs (MltA, MltB, Slt70, and EcMltE) were able to rescue ∆6 LTG growth in LB0N at higher dilutions (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 2C), but none could rescue ∆7 growth in LB0N except MltG, which only partially restored growth to ∆6 mutant levels (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 2D). This suggests that no single LTG can fully support growth in LB0N, and that MltG, despite not exhibiting a defect as a single mutant, is particularly important in this environment when other LTGs are inactivated. Intriguingly, overexpression of mlfF was toxic for both the ∆6 (LB0N only) and the ∆7 mutant (LB) (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 2BCD), preventing us from assessing its potential for LTG-deficient mutant complementation.

**LTG mutants are hypersensitive to accumulation of periplasmic polysaccharides**
To determine the reason for ΔLTG defects in low salt media, we took advantage of the spontaneous appearance of suppressors arising during Δ6 LTG growth in LB0N. (Figure 3B, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1B). Whole genome sequencing of three stable suppressors identified three unique mutations: a deletion in ptsH (vc0966), a frameshift mutation in opgH (vc1287), and a deletion mutation affecting both opgH and a downstream gene (vc1286) (Figure 3C). ptsH encodes HPr, a key regulator of sugar import via the phosphoenolpyruvate-carbohydrate phosphotransferase system (PTS).\(^{39}\)

OpgH is critical to the synthesis of periplasmic glucans (OPGs), which accumulate under conditions of low osmolarity and have been implicated in a variety of cell functions, including steady-state maintenance of osmolarity in the periplasm.\(^{40}\) We were particularly intrigued by the role of periplasmic glucans and validated restoration of Δ6 LTG LB0N growth using clean deletion mutants. Inactivation of opgH completely restored wild-type growth of the Δ6 LTG mutant in LB0N, independent of initial dilution factor (Figure 3D, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1E). In contrast, inactivation of opgH was only able to restore growth of the Δ7 LTG mutant in LB0N from a 10\(^{-2}\) inoculum (Figure 3D, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1E), but not from greater dilutions, nor from a 10\(^{-5}\) inoculum in LB (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 1CD), indicating that the Δ7 LTG mutant has additional exponential growth-dependent defects unique from the Δ6 LTG mutant. Interestingly, OpgH orthologues in E. coli and Y. pseudotuberculosis have also been ascribed a moonlighting function, tying carbon availability with cell length by inhibiting FtsZ filamentation when UDP-glucose levels are high in the cell\(^{41,42}\). The elongated cell phenotype of the Δ6 LTG and Δ7 LTG mutants could in principle be indicative of a similar activity by V. cholerae OpgH; however, deleting opgH from the Δ6


LTG mutant did not restore wild-type cell length in ∆6 (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 3A). Additionally, alignment of *V. cholerae* OpgH with *E. coli* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis* suggests that it lacks much of the N-terminal domain that was shown to interact with FtsZ in *E. coli* (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 3B).

We consequently considered a model where accumulation of periplasmic glucans is detrimental to ∆LTG mutants, e.g., via periplasmic crowding or an increase in periplasmic osmolarity. To test this model, we used an unrelated system to increase periplasmic crowding. The *B. subtilis* sac*B* gene product, which is secreted and functions extracellularly, isomerizes and polymerizes sucrose monomers into levan molecules up to several kD, molecules much too large to escape the gram-negative periplasm through outer membrane porins. This is often exploited in allelic exchange methods for mutant generation as a means of counterselection, where, for example, WT *V. cholerae* is sensitive to sac*B* expression in LB0N+sucrose. We hypothesized that the ∆LTG mutants might be hypersensitive to periplasmic levan synthesis even in standard LB salt conditions (1% W/V). To test this, we engineered strains overexpressing sac*B*. Consistent with the use of sac*B* as a counterselection method under low salt conditions, the wild type is sensitive to sac*B* induction on LB0N (Figure 3 – Figure supplement 4), but not LB. In contrast, we observed a sac*B*-dependent plating defect on sucrose in the ∆6 and ∆7 LTG mutant on LB (Figure 3E, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 4). Interestingly, the mltG::stop mutant also exhibited hypersensitivity to SacB activity (Figure 3E, Figure 3 – Figure supplement 4), suggesting that accumulating MltG substrate is a particularly strong direct or indirect contributor to this phenotype.
Collectively, our data suggest that ΔLTG mutants suffer from either hyperosmotic stress or excessive molecular crowding in the periplasm, which can be exacerbated through the induction of long-chain polysaccharides.

**PG transglycosylase activity causes Δ6 LTG mutant periplasmic stress**

Based on our model that accumulation of polysaccharides in the periplasm is toxic, we predicted that accumulation of uncrosslinked PG strands caused by uninterrupted GT activity during TP inhibition, e.g. by β-lactams (a process termed “futile cycling” when coupled with LTG-mediated degradation) should exacerbate LTG-deficient mutant sickness, and this has indeed been shown with Slt70 in *E. coli*. To test this hypothesis, we assessed susceptibility of ΔLTG mutants to cell wall-acting antibiotics with varying ability to induce futile cycling. In a disk diffusion assay, the Δ6 and Δ7 LTG mutants were hypersensitive to inducers of futile cycling, i.e., general PBP inhibition by Penicillin G as well as to inhibition of specific PBPs including PBP3 (aztreonam), PBP2 (mecillinam), and PBP1b (cefsulodin) (Figure 4A). Conversely, both the Δ6 and the Δ7 mutant exhibited wild-type sensitivity to moenomycin and fosfomycin, both of which inhibit cell wall synthesis without inducing futile cycling. Thus, β-lactam sensitivity of LTG-deficient mutants is not necessarily tied to simple inhibition of cell wall synthesis, but potentially also to periplasmic crowding due to the accumulation of uncrosslinked PG strands. Curiously, the Δ7 LTG mutant was hypersensitive to MreB inhibition by MP265. This suggests that in the absence of other LTGs, MltG contributes to survival upon Rod system insufficiency through an unknown mechanism.
To further dissect PG strand accumulation under these conditions, we visualized PG synthesis and turnover during antibiotic treatment using the cell wall label BADA.\textsuperscript{49,50} The ∆6 and ∆7 LTG mutants were all readily labeled when grown with BADA (Figure 4 – Figure supplement 1, Figure 4 – Figure supplement 2) prior to antibiotic addition. Upon treatment with cell wall-targeting antibiotics, WT *V. cholerae* degrades its structural, rod-shaped sacculus to ultimately yield stable, cell wall-deficient spheroplasts.\textsuperscript{51,52} After up to 3 hours of treatment with Penicillin G (100μg ml\(^{-1}\), 20xMIC) and continued incubation with BADA, the ∆6 and ∆7 LTG mutant spheroplasts accumulated strong periplasmic BADA signal compared to the wild-type spheroplasts in which cell wall material is presumably completely degraded and removed from the periplasm (Figure 4B, Figure 4 – Figure supplement 1). Meanwhile, in the ∆6 and ∆7 LTG mutants, uncrosslinked PG likely accumulates due to aberrant GT activity. Alternatively, degraded PG from the sacculus may be sufficiently retained in the periplasm to be additionally labeled with BADA by L, D-transpeptidases\textsuperscript{53} in the ∆6 and ∆7 LTG but not in the WT. However, inhibition of cell wall synthesis upstream of GT activity using fosfomycin (500μg ml\(^{-1}\)) (which is expected to result in sacculus degradation without induction of futile cycling) did not result in pronounced BADA accumulation in the periplasm, suggesting that the increasing BADA signal observed during penicillin treatment does not solely reflect retention of labeled debris from the degraded sacculus, but indeed is the result of ongoing cell wall synthesis (Figure 4B, Figure 4 – Figure supplement 2). The diffuse nature of the BADA signal additionally suggests that the PG in the ∆6 and ∆7 spheroplasts is solubilized debris trapped in the periplasm, as opposed a thin, intact layer of peripheral PG. At earlier stages of penicillin treatment, it also appeared that the
\[ \Delta6 \text{ and } \Delta7 \text{ LTG mutants were slower to degrade their rod-shaped poles (Figure 4 – Figure supplement 1).} \]

**PG recycling is not required during periplasmic stress**

The roles for LTGs in PG recycling are well characterized. LTGs are required to digest longer glycan strands down to single disaccharide subunits which can be imported into the cytoplasm via AmpG, a permease that selectively recognizes the anhMurNAc residue generated by LTG activity. Mutants lacking AmpG have been demonstrated to accumulate extracellular monomeric disaccharide LTG-turnover products. We therefore asked whether a lack of recycling could account for some of our key phenotypes. However, an \( \Delta ampG \) mutant exhibited wild-type behavior for growth in LB0N, sacB overexpression, beta-lactam resistance, and BADA staining after PenG exposure (Figure 4 – Figure supplement 3), demonstrating that lack of PG recycling does not promote the LTG-deficient phenotypes observed here.

**LTG insufficiency results in periplasmic PG strand accumulation**

Why are LTG mutants sensitive to periplasmic accumulation of polymers? Accumulating PG debris released during PG synthesis could, in principle, increase periplasmic osmolarity and/or crowding, explaining defects associated with low salt conditions and periplasmic polysaccharide accumulation. We therefore sought to quantify soluble, periplasmic PG debris within crude cell lysates (excluding PG released into the growth medium). Canonical PG architecture analysis relies on SDS-boiled sacculi isolated by ultra-centrifugation, which permits sacculus characterization (Figure 1 – Figure
supplement 1D, Supplemental File 1), but ignores solubilized, uncrosslinked (freely-
diffusing) PG fragments associated with PG turnover processes such as those
potentially mediated by LTGs. We thus analyzed fragments that remained soluble after
sedimentation of purified sacculi, i.e., PG material that freely accumulates within the
periplasm (or inside the cell) but is not attached to the cell wall. Since entire periplasmic
PG strands cannot be easily resolved using subsequent LC-MS analysis, we also
digested the soluble PG fraction with muramidase (to generate smaller monomers
suitable for LC-MS detection) and then compared muramidase-treated vs. untreated
traces to determine soluble PG architecture (Figure 5A). We first analyzed soluble
products of LTG activity (Figure 5BC, Supplemental File 2). AnhMurNAc-tetrapeptide
(M4N) was abundant in the wild type during exponential phase, and predictably, M4N
was significantly depleted in the ∆7 LTG soluble muropeptide profile. Surprisingly, M4N
was enriched in the mltG::kan and ∆6 LTG mutants, suggesting that the absence of
some LTGs might cause upregulated activity of others.

Importantly, MurNAc-tetrapeptide species without anhMurNAc (M4) were detectable in
the WT during exponential phase, and significantly enriched in the ∆6/∆7 LTG mutants
(Figure 5D, Supplemental File 2). By comparing the muramidase-treated vs. untreated
samples, we can infer the native state of these M4 and M4N (Figure 5ABD), i.e.,
determine whether these species occur predominantly as monomers or as parts of PG
polymers in vivo. Both species were significantly depleted in the muramidase-untreated
samples, suggesting that in vivo, they are part of polymeric, uncrosslinked
peptidoglycan strands. It is important to note that M4 monomers are not intermediates
of cell wall synthesis (which proceeds from a tripeptide directly to pentapeptide due to
addition of preformed D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptide).\textsuperscript{55} This, in conjunction with a strong
muramidase-treatment-dependence of the abundance of M4 excludes a cytoplasmic
origin of this species. It is also interesting to note that, unlike the WT, \textit{mltG::kan}, and
even Δ6 LTG mutant, the Δ7 LTG appears to have a disproportionately large pool of
polymeric M4 species (muramidase-dependent) compared to M4N species, suggesting
that either the soluble PG strands in the Δ7 LTG mutant are extremely long, or perhaps
do not ubiquitously terminate in anhMurNAc residues. The abundance of soluble PG
strands decreased in stationary phase (Figure 5CE, Supplemental File 2), which
suggests that in the LTG-deficient mutants, these strands are somehow cleared by
RlpA, an unrecognized LTG, or a cryptic PG hydrolase. Reduction of these
uncrosslinked strands in stationary phase is consistent with the alleviation of
morphology defects observed as cells exit exponential growth (Figure 2 – Figure
supplement 3).

We next asked how these polymeric, uncrosslinked PG strands might be generated.
Since endopeptidases have been suggested to be essential for sacculus expansion
during cell elongation, we hypothesized that these soluble strands may reflect
endopeptidase activity, which would imply that EPs do not simply relax PG crosslinking
(as commonly assumed), but also might excise entire strands that accumulate - at least
transiently - in the periplasm. To test this hypothesis, we depleted the major
housekeeping EP ShyA\textsuperscript{56} from a Δ6 LTG mutant, and found that the most abundant
monomer species, M4, was reduced in a ShyA-dependent manner in both the WT and
Δ6 LTG mutant (Figure 5F, Supplemental File 3). This strongly suggests that ShyA produces the majority of the uncrosslinked strands in the periplasm. Curiously, depletion of ShyA in the Δ6 LTG background resulted in large, irregular cells (Figure 5 – Figure supplement 1), suggesting a cell envelope defect upon EP insufficiency. Additionally, ShyA was required for Δ6 LTG mutant colony formation on LB plates, but Δ6 LTG liquid cultures depleted for ShyA remained viable and could be rescued on plates restoring shyA expression (Figure 5 – Figure supplement 1). Altogether, the data suggest that EPs excise entire PG strands that accumulate in the periplasm until they are later cleared by LTGs.

Discussion

Despite decades of work and a renewed research focus in the last few years, we still lack a fundamental understanding of how bacteria harness both PG synthesis and constant degradation to build and maintain an essential and dynamic wall structure that is able to withstand a high internal turgor pressure. Even the basic physiological function of many cell wall cleavage enzymes ("autolysins") remains unknown. The exact contributions of LTGs to cell growth, for example, have remained elusive, mainly due to the high level of apparent redundancy of these enzymes, which hampered classical genotype-phenotype association analyses. While circumstantial evidence abounds, no collective physiological characterization of essential LTG function has been conducted in any organism, and even cases of demonstrated synthetic lethal relationships are rare and rely on indirect evidence (inability to delete LTG genes). The V. cholerae Δ6
and Δ7 LTG mutants described here provide a vital platform for exploring both the collective and individual contributions of lytic transglycosylases to bacterial viability.

**Conserved LTGs are not functionally equivalent**

In this study we confirm that LTG enzymatic activity is essential for growth and division. We further demonstrate that some functional redundancy exists in *V. cholerae* between diverse LTG families, as has been observed in other bacteria. Members of LTG families 1A (Slt70), 1D (MltD) and 6A (RlpA) can support growth independent of all other natively encoded LTGs from families 1B, 1E, 2A, 3A, and 5A. Certain *V. cholerae* LTGs additionally exhibit complementary genetic relationships in the absence of all other LTGs, implying there are at least two essential roles for LTGs. For example, while neither MltG, MltA, MltB, nor MltC can support growth on their own, strains expressing the MltG+MltA, MltG+MltB, or MltG+MltC pairs are each viable. Surprisingly, and importantly, essential LTG activities may not require conserved protein-protein interactions as suggested by the viability of a *V. cholerae* mutant expressing non-native *E. coli* MltE as its sole LTG. Scheurwater and Clarke unsuccessfully but informatively attempted to inactivate *mltF* in an *E. coli* Δ6 LTG (*ΔmltABCDE Δslt70*), suggesting that the essentiality of LTG activity is conserved between *E. coli* and *V. cholerae*, and that MltF and MltG may not be functionally redundant in *E. coli*. In contrast, *mltF* inactivation is not synthetically lethal with *ΔmltABCD Δslt70* in *V. cholerae*. In fact, restoring MltF expression in the Δ6 and Δ7 LTG background is toxic, indicating that *V. cholerae* and *E. coli* exhibit different genetic relationships between homologs of the same LTGs. This is perhaps most strongly exemplified by the conservation of RlpA in
both species, where *E. coli* RlpA has no detectable LTG activity\textsuperscript{35}, yet *V. cholerae* RlpA can perform essential functions as the sole LTG. Recently, the hydrolytic enzyme DigH in *E. coli* was shown to be functionally similar to LTGs by contributing to the resolution of septal PG during daughter cell separation\textsuperscript{60}. The activity of DigH (of which *V. cholerae* does not possess a strong homolog) may explain why *E. coli* does not appear to require RlpA LTG activity; this LTG-like physiological role for a hydrolytic enzyme also suggests that we may need to look beyond LTGs to fully address the roles for glycosidic bond cleavage within PG. Another recent example of glycosidases serving as functional analogues includes MpgA from *S. aureus* which shares enough homology with MltG to be identified bioinformatically as a YceG-family LTG but harbors a single active site mutation to impart a muramidase mechanism of PG cleavage.\textsuperscript{30}

The functional redundancy of LTGs is also likely the root of an apparent paradox. Release of new PG strands from undecaprenyl pyrophosphate should be an essential function, as anchoring of PG to the inner membrane is toxic\textsuperscript{61} and is likely necessary for lipid carrier recycling. Yet MltG, the highly conserved “PG terminase,” or more generally, “PG release factor,” that associates with PG synthetic complexes to release new strands, is not essential. MltG can be inactivated in wild type *V. cholerae* (and *E. coli*) without significant consequence, but our ∆7 LTG (*mltG*-null) mutant lost viability upon sustained growth in exponential phase in LB. It is therefore likely that other LTGs can partially complement for PG release activity. The dilution-dependent ∆7 LTG growth defect further suggests that either RlpA has at least partial PG release activity and/or that during brief growth in exponential phase, PG release activity is non-essential. MltG
essentiality (or near-essentiality) can thus perhaps only be appreciated in a multiple LTG background under conditions where PG release activity is expected to be most important. This is also evidenced by the increased sensitivity of the Δ7 LTG mutant to hypo-osmotic conditions that cannot be rescued via the same mechanisms that rescue Δ6 LTG mutant, i.e., inactivation of OPG synthesis, as well as hypersensitivity to MreB-inhibitor MP265. All of these defects point to a unique, MltG-dependent, conditionally essential LTG function. While this study has focused on clearance of soluble PG debris, an activity that would perhaps only be critical in organisms with a membrane-confined periplasmic space, PG release factors analogous in function to MltG are broadly conserved even in Gram positive organisms, additionally demonstrating a necessity for further investigation into the role of PG release in bacterial cell wall homeostasis.

**LTGs manage the periplasmic environment**

By analyses of soluble PG, we discovered that even wild-type *V. cholerae* accumulates loose strands of uncrosslinked PG of periplasmic origin (detected as muramidase-dependent, soluble M4 products) and that production of these strands is dependent on the EP ShyA. PG strands appear to accumulate to detrimental levels in the Δ6 and Δ7 mutants and we thus propose that processing soluble PG is a major collective function of LTGs. PG strand accumulation induces hypersensitivity to other polymeric sugars in the periplasm, such as OPGs, SacB-generated levans and β-lactam-induced futile cycling products. Since these hypersensitivities are not shared by a ΔampG mutant, previously demonstrated to accumulate small PG monomers, this polysaccharide
toxicity may arise from the fact that these large polymers (PG, OPGs, or levans) cannot diffuse out through OM porins, accumulating in a growth and/or substrate-dependent manner. This would inappropriately increase the osmolarity and/or cause excessive crowding of the periplasm to interfere with normal growth-related processes, as exemplified by the mild division defect of the *V. cholerae* LTG-deficient mutants.

While periplasmic crowding can clearly occur in Gram-negative bacteria due to their diffusion-limiting OM, it is intriguing to consider implications for Gram-positives, where LTGs are likewise conserved, and the cell wall may not provide a meaningful diffusion barrier to long PG strands. We propose three possible (and testable) scenarios for the role of LTGs in Gram-positive bacteria. For example, only PG release activity might be essential in Gram-positives. It is also conceivable that LTGs are non-essential in Gram-positive bacteria. Lastly, “periplasmic” crowding may in fact be detrimental in Gram-positive bacteria: *B. subtilis* PG, for example, is very long chained and it is conceivable that PG may constitute a reasonable diffusion barrier against such long strands.

*Broader implications for LTGs in PG dynamics*

Our data suggest that the most important roles for LTGs may not include “making space” for new PG insertion, as has been assumed for fundamental models of PG synthesis. More likely, LTGs clear the periplasm of most PG debris generated by endopeptidases during normal growth by facilitating either recycling or release of small fragments into the environment (Figure 6). As such, this collectively essential function of LTGs may not be tied to a direct influence on PG synthesis. Importantly, uncrosslinked
strands are not a deviant feature unique to the LTG-deficient mutants nor β-lactam
treatment (though they become more apparent under these conditions), as they were
also detectable in the wild type during normal growth. Detection of EP-dependent,
uncrosslinked PG strands suggests that EP activity might be temporally separated from
LTG-mediated turnover of those PG strands. This is seemingly contrary to pervasive
models proposing a synchronized synthetic/autolytic complex that digests old PG while
simultaneously building new PG. If such a complex exists, we propose that LTGs
collectively maintain an important role complementary to it, but not inevitably as part it.

Lastly, while high accumulation of PG strands is toxic, maintaining a small pool of
uncrosslinked PG material may actually serve a beneficial purpose to cells under some
conditions; bacteria might thus have an adaptive reason for avoiding tightly coordinating
LTG activity with synthesis. Inactivation of sltY in E. coli, for example, can promote β-
lactam resistance by upregulating L,D-crosslinking activity of L,D-transpeptidase LdtD
(YcbB), presumably due to the increased presence of uncrosslinked glycan strands
under these conditions.\textsuperscript{62} It is possible that one function of L,D-TPs is to patch holes in
the sacculus via readily available soluble PG strands, constituting an innate “bike tire
repair kit” for the bacterial cell wall. Future studies of this LTG-mediated turnover of
uncrosslinked PG could be critical for understanding PG repair mechanisms, as well as
general periplasmic homeostasis, both of which have been historically elusive topics.

Materials and Methods

<p>| Key Resources Table |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltA</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_2312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltB</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_1956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltC</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_0450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltD</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_2237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltF</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_0866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>mltG</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>rlpA</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_0948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>slt70</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_0700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>shyA</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_a0079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>opgH</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_1287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>ampG</td>
<td>UniProtKB</td>
<td>vc_2300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>lacZ</td>
<td>Kegg2 Gene DB</td>
<td>vc_2338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, strain background (V. cholerae)</td>
<td>El Tor N16961; wild-type</td>
<td>PMID: 243277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>∆mltABCDF ∆slt70; ∆6 LTG</td>
<td>PMID: 31286580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>∆mltABCDF ∆slt70 mltG::stop; ∆7 LTG</td>
<td>This Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>mltG::stop</td>
<td>This Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>pCVD442</td>
<td>PMID: 15109831</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>pTOX5</td>
<td>PMID: 31201277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>pAM224; pGP704-kanR</td>
<td>PMID: 2836362</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gene Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene (E. coli)</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>UniProtKB</th>
<th>UniProtKB ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EcrpA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b0633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcmltE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b1193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParlpA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pa4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sacB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bsu34450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recombinant DNA Reagents**

- ∆mltABCDF ∆slt70; ∆6 LTG:
  - PMID: 31286580
  - See Supplemental File 4; Request from Doerr lab

- mltG::stop:
  - This Study
  - See Supplemental File 4; Request from Doerr Lab

- pCVD442:
  - PMID: 15109831

- pTOX5:
  - PMID: 31201277
  - Addgene #127450

- pAM224; pGP704-kanR:
  - PMID: 2836362
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>PMID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>pAM299</td>
<td>25631756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>pJL1</td>
<td>24348240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-mCherry (rabbit polyclonal)</td>
<td>GeneTex GTX59788 (1:5,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit IRDye 800CW secondary</td>
<td>Li-cor 926-32211 (1:16,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>anti-RpoA (mouse monoclonal)</td>
<td>BioLegend 663104 (1:10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW secondary</td>
<td>Li-cor 926-32210 (1:16,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Penicillin G potassium salt</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific CAS: 113-98-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific CAS: 78110-38-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Mecillinam</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich CAS: 32887-01-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Cefsulodin sodium salt hydrate</td>
<td>TCI Chemicals CAS: 1426397-23-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>S-(4-Chlorobenzyl) Isothiouronium chloride (MP265)</td>
<td>Chem Impex International CAS: 544-47-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>Phosphomycin disodium salt (Fosfomycin)</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich CAS: 26016-99-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

*V. cholerae* strains in this study are derivatives of *V. cholerae* WT El Tor strain N16961. Construction of plasmids and mutant *V. cholerae* strains is described in the next section along with a table of strains and plasmids used in this work (Supplemental File 4).

Strains were grown at 30°C or 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB-Miller, Fisher Bioreagents#BP97235) with or without 1% NaCl, 1% sucrose, or 10% sucrose, or in M9 minimal media + 0.4% glucose (Cold Spring Harbor Protocols) where indicated in the figure legends. Growth media were supplemented with kanamycin (50 μg ml⁻¹), ampicillin (25 μg ml⁻¹), or chloramphenicol (5 μg ml⁻¹) in plates and overnight cultures when needed to maintain plasmids or chromosomal integration of suicide vectors. Genes under *P_{ara}* and *P_{lac}* regulation were induced with 0.4% L-arabinose or 200 μM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiolgalactopyranoside (IPTG), respectively.
Construction of plasmids and strains

A summary of all strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study can be found in Tables S9-S11. *E. coli* DH5α *λpir* was used for general cloning, while *E. coli* SM10 or MFD *λpir* were used for conjugation into *V. cholerae*. Plasmids were constructed using Gibson assembly with the exception of plasmids expressing *rlpAΔSPOR* or *rlpAD145A*, which were generated by site directed mutagenesis of the parent wild-type sequence *rlpA* plasmids. All Illumina whole genome sequencing and variant calling for ∆6 LTG and ∆7 LTG strain verification or suppressor identification was performed by the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center (MiGS, Pittsburg, PA, USA).

Most chromosomal in-frame deletions (or premature stop codon mutants) were generated using the pCVD442 *ampR/sacB* allelic exchange system. 500bp regions flanking the gene to be deleted were amplified from N16961 genomic DNA by PCR, cloned into suicide vector pCVD442, and conjugated into *V. cholerae*. Conjugation was performed by mixing and pelleting equal volumes of recipient *V. cholerae* and SM10 or MFD *λpir* donor LB overnight cultures, spotting the mixed pellet onto LB (+600 μM diaminopimelic acid [DAP] for MFD *λpir*) followed by incubation at 37°C for 3 hrs. The first round of selection was performed on LB + streptomycin (200 μg ml⁻¹) +ampicillin (100 μg ml⁻¹) at 30°C followed by counterselection on salt-free LB + 10% sucrose + streptomycin at room temperature. Inducers for conditionally essential genes were included in all media during conjugation and selection. Addition of 0.2% glucose was
required for maintenance in *E. coli* of plasmids expressing *Vc mltB*. Deletions were verified by PCR.

Introduction of a premature stop codon to *mltG* at its native locus to yield Δ7 LTG as well as in-frame deletions of *opgH* were constructed using the pTOX5 *cmR/msqR* allelic exchange system\(^{38}\). Flanking regions were cloned into pTOX5 as described for pCVD442. Conjugation was performed by mixing and pelleting equal parts of recipient *V. cholerae* and donor MFD λ\(^{pir}\), and spotting onto LB + 1% glucose + 600μM DAP at 37°C for 5 hrs. The first round of selection was performed on LB + chloramphenicol (5 μg μg ml\(^{-1}\)) + streptomycin + 1% glucose at 30°C. Chloramphenicol resistant colonies were picked into a 96well plate containing 200μL LB + 1% glucose and incubated at 37°C without agitation for 3 hrs, then counter selected on LB + 1% rhamnose at 30°C. Mutations were verified by PCR.

Ectopic chromosomal expression from IPTG-inducible \(P_{\text{tac}}\) was achieved through use of suicide vector pTD101, a pJL1\(^{67}\) derivative carrying the \(P_{\text{tac}}\) promoter, a multiple cloning site, and lacIq and integrates into the native *V. cholerae lacZ* (*vc2338*) locus. Single genes of interest were amplified from N16961 genomic DNA, introducing a strong consensus RBS (AGGAG). Genes downstream of *mltG* (*vc2016, vc2015, vc2014*) were amplified together maintaining their native organization, including 30bp upstream of *vc2016* to retain the native RBS. Selection for double crossover events was performed as described for pCVD442. Due to hypersensitivity of the Δ7 LTG strain to β-lactams, ampicillin was reduced to 25 μg ml\(^{-1}\) for the first selection. Due to the
osmosensitivity of the Δ7 LTG strain, pTD101 in this strain (and control strains) was maintained as an ampR single crossover without counterselection.

Suicide vector pAM299 was used to place rlpA under P ara control at its native locus for RlpA depletion experiments. pAM299 was introduced via conjugation and selection for single crossover events on LB + kanamycin (50 μg ml⁻¹) + streptomycin. IPTG-inducible overexpression of rlpA-mCherry fusions for localization studies and sacB for levan toxicity assays was achieved using pHL100 or its conjugatable derivative pHL100mob. The sacB gene was amplified from pCVD442.

**Gene insertion/disruption assay for gene essentiality**

The suicide vector pAM224 was used to disrupt genes through single crossover integration events. 300bp internal regions in the first third of each respective orf (towards the 5’ end) were cloned into pAM224 using methods described above.

Quantitative conjugation was performed by washing, mixing, and pelleting 500 μL of recipient *V. cholerae* and donor MFD λpir. Pellets were re-suspended in 50 μl of LB, spotted onto a 45 μm filter on LB + 600 μM DAP, and incubated at 37°C for 4 hrs. Cells were recovered from the filters into 1 ml of LB by vigorous vortexing and 20 μl of the suspension was reserved for 10-fold serial dilution and spotting onto LB + streptomycin (200 μg ml⁻¹) incubated at 30°C to calculate total CFU/ml for all viable *V. cholerae*. The remaining suspension was pelleted and plated on LB + kanamycin (50 μg ml⁻¹) + streptomycin incubated at 30°C. Viable CFU/ml were calculated, and kanamycin resistance was verified by patching 50 colonies back onto LB + streptomycin +/-
kanamycin (all kanR colonies were patched if fewer than 50 colonies were recovered).
Transformation efficiency was calculated as a ratio of kanR CFU to all strepR CFU.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Expression of translational mCherry fusions was induced in WT *V. cholerae* with 1 mM IPTG in LB and grown to OD600 ~ 0.6. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (9500xg, 15 min) at room temperature and resuspended in 1% SDS + 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) lysis buffer. Resuspended cells were incubated at 95°C for 3 min, then sonicated 4 x 5 s at 20% amplitude. Standard western blots against mCherry were performed using polyclonal mCherry antibody (Genetex #GTX59788) and detection by IRDye 800CW secondary antibody (Li-cor #926-32211). After imaging for mCherry, the same blots were then re-incubated with monoclonal RpoA antibody (BioLegend # 663104) detected by IRDye 800CW secondary antibody on an Odyssey CLx imaging device (Li-cor).

**Growth rate experiments**

Saturated overnight cultures used for growth curve experiments were washed once and resuspended in final growth media, normalizing to OD$_{600}$ 2.0. Normalized cell suspensions were serially diluted into 200 μL growth media and incubated in a Bioscreen growth plate reader (Growth Curves America) at 37°C with random shaking at maximum amplitude, and OD$_{600}$ recorded at 5-min intervals. Calculations of doublings per hour (DPH) were performed in R as previously described$^{59}$. Briefly, logarithmic regressions were fitted to sections of growth curves with >5 consecutive values corresponding to OD$_{600}$ 0.03-0.1 (for 10$^{-2}$ diluted inocula) or OD$_{600}$ 0.01-0.1 (for
<10^3 diluted inocula). Logarithmic regressions of ≥3 replicates with fit value r^2 > 0.95 were used to estimate mean doublings per hour for each strain in each growth condition. Growth rates were not calculated for samples that did not reach OD_{600} 0.1, either through absence of detectable growth or lysis prior to reaching OD_{600} 0.1. Growth rates were also not calculated for replicates that were subsequently determined to be suppressors or grew due to irreproducible adaptation. Means within strains between dilution factors and between strains within dilution factors were compared using a two-way Anova and Tukey HSD post-hoc test.

*Morphology analysis by microscopy*

Strains were grown as described in the figure legends and imaged without fixation on LB 0.8% agarose using a Leica DMI8 inverted microscope. Phase-contrast images were analyzed using MicrobeJ. Default parameter settings were applied, and features (septa) were defined as 25% constriction of cell width. Cell outlines were manually edited as needed.

*Sacculus composition analysis*

PG composition from insoluble sacculi samples were analyzed as described previously with some modifications^{69,70}. Briefly, cells were harvested and resuspended and in boiled 5% SDS for 1 h. Sacculi were repeatedly washed by ultracentrifugation (110,000 rpm, 10 min, 20ºC) with MilliQ water until SDS was totally removed. Samples were treated with 20 μg Proteinase K (1 h, 37 ºC) for removal of Braun’s lipoprotein, and finally treated with muramidase (100 μg/mL) for 16 hours at 37 ºC. Muramidase
digestion was stopped by boiling and coagulated proteins were removed by centrifugation (14,000 rpm, 15 min). For sample reduction, the pH of the supernatants was adjusted to pH 8.5-9.0 with sodium borate buffer and sodium borohydride was added to a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. After incubating for 30 min at room temperature, the samples pH was adjusted to pH 3.5 with orthophosphoric acid.

UPLC analyses of muropeptides were performed on a Waters UPLC system (Waters Corporation, USA) equipped with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 Column, 130Å, 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm X 150 mm (Waters, USA) and a dual wavelength absorbance detector. Elution of muropeptides was detected at 204 nm. Muropeptides were separated at 45°C using a linear gradient from buffer A (formic acid 0.1% in water) to buffer B (formic acid 0.1% in acetonitrile) in an 18-minute run, with a 0.25 ml/min flow.

Relative total PG amount was calculated by comparison of the total intensities of the chromatograms (total area) from three biological replicas normalized to the same OD600 and extracted with the same volumes. Muropeptide identity was confirmed by MS/MS analysis, using a Xevo G2-XS QTof system (Waters Corporation, USA) (see next section for details). Quantification of muropeptides was based on their relative abundances (relative area of the corresponding peak) normalized to their molar ratio. Analyses were performed in biological triplicates and means were compared with unpaired t-tests.

Soluble peptidoglycan analysis
Sample preparation of soluble PG samples was performed as follows. Bacteria cultures were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm, 20 min, 4°C). Cell pellets were gently resuspended and washed twice with ice-cold 0.9% NaCl solution. After pelleting the cells again by centrifugation, they were resuspended in 1 ml water and boiled for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged again to remove cell debris at 14,000 rpm for 15 min, and soluble fractions were transferred to new tubes. Next, samples were filtered using 0.2 μm pore size filters. Half of the sample was treated with muramidase (100 μg/mL) for 16 hours at 37 ºC. Muramidase digestion was stopped by boiling and coagulated proteins were removed by centrifugation (14,000 rpm, 15 min). Finally, sample pH was adjusted to pH 3.5 with orthophosphoric acid. When needed, samples were diluted or concentrated by speed vacuum.

Soluble muropeptides were detected and characterized by MS/MS analysis, using a Xevo G2-XS QTof system (Waters Corporation, USA) equipped with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 Column (130 Å, 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm x 150 mm (Waters, USA)). Muropeptides were separated at 45 ºC using a linear gradient from buffer A (formic acid 0.1% in water) to buffer B (formic acid 0.1% in acetonitrile) in an 18-min run, with a 0.25 ml/min flow. The QTOF–MS instrument was operated in positive ionization mode. Detection of muropeptides was performed by MS² to allow for the acquisition of precursor and product ion data simultaneously, using the following parameters: capillary voltage at 3.0 kV, source temperature to 120 ºC, desolvation temperature to 350 ºC, sample cone voltage to 40 V, cone gas flow 100 l/h, desolvation gas flow 500 l/h and collision energy (CE): low CE: 6 eV and high CE ramp: 15-40 eV. Mass spectra were
acquired at a speed of 0.25 s/scan. The scan was in a range of 100–2000 m/z. Data acquisition and processing was performed using UNIFI software package (Waters Corp.).

An in-house compound library built in UNIFI was used for detection and identification of muropeptides. Subsequent identification and confirmation of each muropeptide was performed by comparison of the retention-times and mass spectrometric data to known samples. Quantification was performed by integrating peak areas from extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) of the corresponding m/z value of each muropeptide and normalized to their molar ratio. Soluble muropeptide analyses were performed in biological triplicates and means were compared with unpaired t-tests.

**Antibiotic sensitivity**

For zone of inhibition assays, a lawn of saturated overnight culture was spread on an LB plate and allowed to dry for 15 minutes. Filter disks (6 mm) were dropped with 5μL of antibiotic solutions (Table S4) onto the lawns and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs before measurements. Means were compared with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test.

**Fluorescent D-amino acid PG labeling**

Saturated overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 into LB with 100 μM BADA\textsuperscript{49} at 37°C for 1.5 hrs before addition of antibiotic. Labeled samples were washed one time in LB
before imaging on LB 0.8% agarose pads using a Leica DMI8 inverted microscope set for 490 nm excitation.
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** A single LTG is necessary and sufficient for *V. cholerae* growth and envelope homeostasis

(A) *Trans* expression of DNA synthesis genes *vc2016-2014* permitted pAM299 disruption of native *mltG* locus. *lacZ* and *rpoB* were targeted as positive and negative controls for disruption, respectively. TE = Transformation Efficiency. ND = below limit of detection. 3 biological replicates are shown. (B) RlpA was depleted from the WT, Δ6, and Δ7 LTG backgrounds by placing its native promoter under control of arabinose induction and growing from a $10^{-3}$ overnight culture dilution into 5mL LB +/- 0.4% arabinose (ara) at 37 °C with shaking for 3 hrs, back-diluting $10^{-3}$ into fresh media, and
incubating for another 3 hrs. Cells were imaged on LB agarose pads. Scale bars = 5μm. Dotted line indicates $10^{-3}$ back-dilution. (C) Arabinose-dependent RlpA depletion in ∆6 and ∆7 LTG backgrounds was rescued with IPTG-inducible LTGs by growing cultures in LB +/- ara (0.4%) and +/- IPTG (200μM) in 96 well plates at 37 °C without shaking for 3hrs, back-diluting $10^{-3}$ into fresh media, incubating another 3 hrs, and spotting directly onto the same media +kan50. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24hrs before imaging. Complete plating efficiencies associated with panels (B) and (C) can be found in Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2 and Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4, respectively. Images are representative of 3 biological replicates.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1. MltG is dispensable for growth of ∆6 LTG

(A) Transformation efficiencies represent the strep$^R$ and kan$^R$ CFU divided by total viable strep$^R$ CFU recovered from a single mating. Streptomycin$^R$ (Strep$^R$) V. cholerae WT and ∆6 LTG strains were mated with a strep$^S$ E. coli MFD donor strain carrying the pAM299 suicide vector carrying kanamycin resistance (Kan$^R$) and targeting positive control gene lacZ, negative control gene rpoB, rlpA, or mltG. (B) The genetic region downstream of mltG encodes essential DNA synthesis genes. (C) Mean growth curves for mltG::kan strains in WT $P_{lac vc2016-2014}$ and ∆6 LTG $P_{lac vc2016-2014}$ backgrounds diluted 1:100 from overnight cultures into LB or LB0N and grown at 37°C. (D) Relative molar abundance of all AnhMurNAc-containing muropeptide species in sacculus. Overnight cultures of WT, mltG::kan, ∆6 LTG and ∆6 LTG mltG::kan (Δ7 LTG) in lacZ::$P_{lac vc2016-2014}$ backgrounds were diluted 1:100 into LB+200μM IPTG and samples collected for HPLC analysis at OD$_{600}$ 0.3 (Exp, solid bars) and OD$_{600}$ 1.2 (Stat,
striped bars). Complete muropeptide profiles can be found in Supplemental File 1. Error bars represent standard deviation from three biological replicates. Mutants were compared to the WT within each growth phase with an unpaired t-test. ** = \( p<0.01 \), *** = \( p<0.001 \), **** = \( p<0.0001 \).

**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2.** RlpA depletion in the \( \Delta 6 \) and \( \Delta 7 \) LTG backgrounds.

Native RlpA was depleted from the WT, \( \Delta 6 \), and \( \Delta 7 \) LTG backgrounds as described in Figure 1B, (A) imaged on LB agarose pads and (B) spot plated in 10-fold serial dilutions \( (10^0 - 10^6) \) onto LB + kan50 +/- 0.4% arabinose and incubated at 30 °C for 24 hrs before imaging.

**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3.** RlpA active site architecture and localization

(A) Clustal Omega alignment of a relevant portion of lyase domain from *V. cholerae* RlpA (vc0948), *P. aeruginosa* RlpA (pa4000), and *E. coli* RlpA (b0633). Two Asp residues are implicated in PaRlpA activity: PaRlpA D156 aligns with VcRlpA D133 and EcRlpA D135, and PaRlpA D168 aligns with VcRlpA D145 and EcRlpA S147. WT *V. cholerae* carrying (B) pHL100 *rlpA-mCherry* (C) *rlpA^{ASPOR}-mCherry* or (D) *rlpA^{D145A}-mCherry* was grown in M9 + 0.2% glucose at 30 °C for 2 hrs, induced with 1mM IPTG, and imaged on M9 + 0.2% glucose agarose pads at \( \text{OD}_{600} \approx 0.2 \). Demographs were generated using Oufti. Panels B-D are representative of 2 biological replicates. Scale bars = 5μm. (E) mCherry (mC) fusion proteins were detected with mCherry antibody, with mCherry fused to a DsbA signal sequence serving as a soluble mCherry size...
standard. RpoA loading control detected with RpoA antibody. Raw and unedited blots can be found in Figure 1 – Source Data 1.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4. LTGs have variable ability to sustain growth
Native RlpA depletion in ∆6 and ∆7 LTG backgrounds was rescued by single LTGs as described in Figure 1B, spot plated in 10-fold serial dilutions (from $10^0$-$10^6$) at 0 hrs and 6 hrs, then incubated at 30 °C for 24hrs before imaging. Images are representative of 3 biological replicates.

Figure 1 – Source Data 1. Raw and uncropped mCherry Western blots

Figure 2. LTG insufficiency causes cumulative growth and morphology defects
(A) Schema describing relationship between dilution factor (DF) of saturated cultures into fresh media and time spent in exponential growth ($t_{exp}$). (B) Mass doubling times (doublings per hour, DPH) from growth curves performed in LB inoculated with 10-fold serial dilutions of saturated overnight cultures. Values were calculated from growth curves shown in Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 2. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean, n≥3. (C) Relative abundance of cell morphologies from cultures at OD$_{600}$ 0.3 from panel C. n>500 cells. Definition criteria and images are shown in Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Mean length (D) and width (E) as a function of dilution factor (DF) of rod cells from panel (C). n>500 rods.

Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Definitions of morphology defect categories
Cell morphology classification criteria. (B) Representative micrographs of cell morphologies where each cell type depicted accounts for >1% of population as sampled from cultures grown in LB to OD$_{600}$ 0.3 from 10-fold serial dilutions of overnight cultures. Scale bars = 5 μm.

**Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 2. Quantitative growth and morphology of LTG-deficient mutants in LB during extended exponential phase**

(A) Growth curves were performed in LB at 37°C inoculated with 10-fold serial dilutions of saturated overnight cultures. Violin plots of raw (B) mean width or (C) length of single rods. n > 500 single rods. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean, n≥3. DF = Dilution Factor

**Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 3. Morphology of LTG-deficient mutants in LB is growth-phase dependent.**

Saturated overnight cultures were diluted 1/1000 into LB at 37 °C and periodically sampled for (A) OD$_{600}$ and imaged on LB agarose pads for (B) mean width, (C) length, and (D) cell morphology as exhibited in (E) representative micrographs. Error bars in (B) and (C) represent standard deviation of the mean, n >1 00 single rods. n > 200 cells for (D). Scale bars = 5μm.

**Figure 3. LTG mutants are hypersensitive to low osmolarity and accumulation of periplasmic polymers**
Mass doublings (doublings per hour, DPH) upon increasing dilutions (DF, dilution factor) during growth in LB0N. Values were calculated from growth curves in Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean, n≥3. NG, no growth (B) Representative growth curve in low-salt LB (LB0N) showing late-growing spontaneous suppressor in ∆6 LTG (C) Whole genome sequencing of ∆6 LB0N suppressor mutations identify a premature stop resulting in a 6% 3’ truncation of opgH; a deletion resulting in a 56% 3’ truncation of opgH and 36% 3’ truncation of vc1286; and a deletion of the 5’ end of ptsH. (D) Validation of low osmolarity growth defect suppression by an opgH mutation. Shown are mass doublings (doublings per hour, DPH) upon increasing dilutions (DF, dilution factor) during growth in LB0N. Values were calculated from growth curves shown in Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1 (E) Saturated overnight cultures harboring IPTG-inducible sacB were 10-fold serially diluted and plated on LB+kan50 +/- 200μM IPTG +/- 10% sucrose, incubated at 30 °C, and imaged 24 hrs before. Representative of 3 biological replicates. Empty vector and LB0N controls are shown in Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Suppression of growth defects of ∆LTG mutants in low salt LB

(A) Mean growth curves in LB0N at 37°C started from 10-fold dilutions of saturated overnight cultures used to generate growth rates in Figure 3A. Error bars = positive standard deviation of the mean, n > 3. Growth plot on the right is reproduced from Figure 3 for comparison (B) Suppressors of the ∆6 LTG LB0N growth defect were isolated on LB and then tested for growth in LB and LB0N from a 10^{-5} inoculum of
saturated overnight (LB) culture. (C) Mass doubling times of ∆opgH mutants calculated from (D) growth curves of ∆opgH mutants in LB at 37°C inoculated with 10-fold serial dilutions of saturated overnight cultures. (E) Mean growth curves in LB0N at 37°C started from 10-fold dilutions of saturated overnight cultures used to generate growth rates in Figure 3D. Error bars = standard deviation of the mean, n=3.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 4. Single LTG contributions to growth in LB and low salt LB.

Mass doubling times of strains diluted 10^3 or 10^-5 into LB and LB0N (+ 200μM IPTG when induction was required) normalized to the appropriate WT controls under the same growth conditions. Solid line = WT mean, Dotted lines = +/- standard deviation of WT mean. (A) Single LTG mutant growth normalized to WT growth. (B) WT, (C) ∆6 LTG and (D) ∆7 LTG carrying chromosomal lacZ::P_tac ltg normalized to WT lacZ::P_tac empty. Experiments in panels (c) and (d) were carried out simultaneously and therefore share the same control replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation of 3 biological replicates. NG = No Growth. Raw curves for (A-D) and uninduced data for (B-D) available in Figure 3 – Source Data 1.

Figure 3 – Source Data 1. Raw growth curve data for single LTG mutants and LTG complementation in LTG-deficient mutants.

Presents raw OD_{600} measurements for growth curves performed in LB and low-salt LB (LB0N) with and without induction of trans-complementation by single LTGs in LTG-
deficient mutant backgrounds, as well as growth curves in LB and LB0N of single LTG-
deficient mutants. n≥3

Figure 4. LTG mutants are hypersensitive to antibiotics promoting periplasmic PG 
accumulation

(A) Sensitivity to Penicillin G (PenG), aztreonam (AZTM), mecillinam (Mec), cefsulodin
(Cef), moenomycin (Moeno), MP265, and Fosfomycin (Fos) measured as Zone of
Inhibition (ZOI) in a disk diffusion assay. ND = No ZOI around disk. Error bars =
standard deviation. Significance determined by one-way Anova. ns = p>0.05, * = p<
0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001, **** = p<0.0001. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100
into LB+BADA (100μM) and grown at 37°C to OD600 0.5 before addition of (B) PenG
(100μg ml−1) or (C) Fosfomycin (500μg ml−1). Resulting spheroplasts were washed and
imaged after 3hrs of antibiotic exposure. Fluorescence was normalized to the same
intensity threshold for visual comparison except where indicated (Exceptionally bright
samples were normalized to a higher intensity threshold denoted by the multiplier).
Representative of 2 biological replicates. Scale bar = 5μm

Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1. Periplasmic cell wall accumulation in response
to Penicillin G.

Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 into LB+BADA (100μM) and grown at 37°C to
OD600 0.5 before addition of PenG (100μg ml−1). Resulting spheroplasts were removed
periodically, washed, and imaged on LB agarose. (A) Fluorescence was normalized to
the same intensity threshold in Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1 and Figure 4 – Figure
Supplement 2 for comparison except where indicated (Exceptionally bright samples were normalized to a higher intensity threshold denoted by the multiplier). Representative of 2 biological replicates. Scale bar = 5μm (B) Mean fluorescence intensity (AU) for >100 cells or spheroplasts were measured in ImageJ. N/A = not applicable due to insufficient intact spheroplasts for measurement.

Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 2. Lack of periplasmic cell wall accumulation in response to Fosfomycin.
Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 into LB+BADA (100μM) and grown at 37°C to OD₆₀₀ 0.5 before addition of Fosfomycin (500μg ml⁻¹). Resulting spheroplasts were removed periodically, washed, and imaged on LB agarose. (A) Fluorescence was normalized to the same intensity threshold in Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1 and Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 2 for comparison. Representative of 2 biological replicates. Scale bar = 5μm. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity (AU) for >100 cells or spheroplasts were measured in ImageJ.

Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 3. Defects of LTG-deficient mutants are independent of PG recycling.
ΔampG data for this figure was collected during the same experiments in Figures 2A and 3A-F, therefore WT data are reproduced from those figures and any statistical tests shown here were performed on the complete data sets for those experiments (including WT, ΔampG, and ΔLTG strains). (A-B) Mass doubling times from growth curves performed in LB or LB0N inoculated with 10-fold serial dilutions (10⁰-10⁻⁶) of saturated
overnight cultures. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean, n≥3. (C) Saturated overnight cultures harboring IPTG-inducible sacB or empty vector were 10-fold serially diluted (10 and plated on LB+kan50 and LB0N+kan50 +/- IPTG (200μM) + sucrose (0, 1, or 10% W/V) and incubated at 30 °C for 24 hrs before imaging. Representative of 3 biological replicates. (D) Sensitivity to Penicillin G (PenG), aztreonam (AZTM), mecillinam (Mec), cefsulodin (Cef), moenomycin (Moeno), MP265, and Fosfomycin (Fos) measured as Zone of Inhibition (ZOI) in a disk diffusion assay. ND = No ZOI around disk. Error bars = standard deviation. Significance determined by one-way Anova. ns = p>0.05. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 into LB+BADA (100μM) and grown at 37°C to OD600 0.5 before addition of (E) PenG (100μg ml⁻¹) or (F) Fosfomycin (500μg ml⁻¹). Samples were washed and imaged after 3hrs of antibiotic exposure. Fluorescence was normalized to the same intensity threshold as Figures S12 and S13 for comparison. Representative of 2 biological replicates. Scale bar = 5μm. (G-H) Mean fluorescence intensity (AU) for >100 BADA-labeled cells or spheroplasts were measured in ImageJ.

Figure 5. Periplasmic uncrosslinked PG strands accumulate in an endopeptidase-dependent manner during normal growth

(A) Schema describing muramidase-treatment dependence of detection of monomeric or polymeric PG fragments. M4N = anhMurNAc-tetrapeptide. M4 = reduced MurNAc-tetrapeptide. (B-E) Overnight cultures of WT and ∆LTG mutants were diluted 1:100 into LB, grown at 37°C, and harvested at OD600 0.3 (Exp, solid bars) and 1.2 (Stat, striped bars) for soluble PG analysis by LC-MS. MS peak areas for M4N and M4 are shown
here and complete muropeptide profiles can be found in Supplemental File 2. Means compared to WT by unpaired t-tests, n=3. (F) WT and ∆6 LTG strains harboring a single chromosomal copy of shyA under an IPTG-inducible promoter were grown from 10^2 inocula for 3hrs (OD_{600} ~1.0) in LB with (ShyA+) or without (ShyA -) 200μM IPTG at 37 °C and harvested for soluble PG analysis by LC-MS. Complete muropeptide profiles can be found in Supplemental File 3. Means compared by unpaired t-test, n=3. All error bars = standard deviation. ns = p>0.01, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001, **** = p<0.0001. ND = Not detected in all replicates.

**Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1. Endopeptidase depletion phenotypes in LTG-deficient mutants.**

WT and ∆6 LTG strains harboring a single chromosomal copy of shyA under an IPTG-inducible promoter were grown from 10^2 inocula for 3hrs (OD_{600} ~1.0) in LB +/- IPTG (200μM) at 37 °C. Samples were (A) imaged on LB + agarose pads and (B) spot plated in 10-fold serial dilutions (10^0-10^-6) onto LB+/IPTG (200μM), then incubated at 30 °C for 24hrs before imaging. Representative of 3 biological replicates.

**Figure 6. Model for LTG-mediated removal of toxic PG debris**

(A) Endopeptidases (EPs, Yellow) excise PG strands (Red) from the sacculus (Blue), permitting sacculus expansion. (B) In wild-type cells, lytic transglycosylases (LTGs, Green) digest excised, uncrosslinked PG strands into smaller fragments that can be recycled by AmpG (Black) or released through porins (Violet) (C) In LTG deficient cells, excised PG debris crowds the periplasm and becomes toxic.
Supplemental File 1. Complete sacculus muropeptide composition profiles of LTG-deficient mutants

Supports Figure 1 – Figure supplement 1D. Presents mean relative muropeptide abundance +/- standard deviation of the mean for all detectable muropeptide species from muramidase-treated sacculi isolated by ultracentrifugation from LTG-deficient mutant cultures during exponential and stationary growth phases. n = 3.

Supplemental File 2. Complete muropeptide detection profiles of soluble PG material from LTG-deficient mutants

Supports Figure 5BCDE. Presents mean mass peak area +/- standard deviation of the mean for all soluble, detectable muropeptide species from muramidase treated and untreated lysates of LTG-deficient mutant cultures during exponential and stationary growth phases. n = 3.

Supplemental File 3. Complete muropeptide detection profiles of soluble PG material from ShyA-depleted mutants

Supports Figure 5F. Presents mean mass peak area +/- standard deviation of the mean for all soluble, detectable muropeptide species from muramidase treated and untreated lysates of cultures grown with and without ShyA induction. n = 3.

Supplemental File 4. Bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study
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Figure A shows the transformation efficiency for various target genes: lacZ, rpoB, rlpA, and mltG with WT and Δ6 LTG genotypes. The graph indicates a significant decrease in efficiency for mltG compared to the other genes.

Figure B depicts a gene expression cascade from vc2017 mltG to vc2016 tmk, vc2015 holB, and vc2014 ycfH, all expressed from the P_{lac} promoter.

Figure C illustrates growth curves in LB and LB0N media for different genotypes: WT, mltG::kan, Δ6 LTG, and Δ7 LTG. The OD_{600} is measured over 12 hours, showing differential growth rates and patterns.

Figure D presents data on the relative molar amount of AnhMurNAc in different genotypes: WT, mltG::kan, Δ6 LTG, and Δ7 LTG. The bar charts indicate statistically significant differences (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001).
### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT $P_{ara}rlpA$</th>
<th>$\Delta 6$ LTG $P_{ara}rlpA$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ Ara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0hr</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3hr</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6hr</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Ara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT $P_{ara}rlpA$</th>
<th>$\Delta 6$ LTG $P_{ara}rlpA$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultured</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Ara</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0hr</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3hr</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6hr</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Ara</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta 7$ LTG $P_{ara}rlpA$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultured</td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Ara</td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0hr</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3hr</td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6hr</td>
<td><img src="image21" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Ara</td>
<td><img src="image22" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### WT $P_{ara}$ rlpA $P_{tac}$ ltg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ara/IPTG</th>
<th>0hr</th>
<th>6hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-/-</td>
<td>-/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Δ6 LTG $P_{ara}$ rlpA $P_{tac}$ ltg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ara/IPTG</th>
<th>0hr</th>
<th>6hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slt70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ec mltE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA$^{\Delta SPO}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA$^{D145A}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Δ7 LTG $P_{ara}$ rlpA $P_{tac}$ ltg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ara/IPTG</th>
<th>0hr</th>
<th>6hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slt70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ec mltE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA$^{\Delta SPO}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rlpA$^{D145A}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Poles</th>
<th>Septa</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spheroplast</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branched</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>0+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>less than 2x the median length of cells with 0 septa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filament</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>greater than 2x the median length of cells with 0 septa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure B

#### OD<sub>600</sub> 0.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t0</th>
<th>10&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>10&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mltG::stop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ6 LTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ7 LTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4

(A) Bar graphs showing ZOI (cm) for various inhibitors:
- PenG
- Aztm
- Mec
- Cef

(B) Micrographs comparing WT, mltG::stop, Δ6 LTG, Δ7 LTG:
- Phase
- BADA
- Merge

(C) Micrographs comparing WT, mltG::stop, Δ6 LTG, Δ7 LTG:
- Phase
- BADA
- Merge