Galvanic current activates the NLRP3 inflammasome to promote type I collagen production in tendon
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The NLRP3 inflammasome coordinates inflammation in response to different pathogen- and damage-associated molecular patterns, being implicated in different infectious, chronic inflammatory, metabolic and degenerative diseases. In chronic tendinopathic lesions, different non-resolving mechanisms produce a degenerative condition that impairs tissue healing and which therefore complicates their clinical management. Percutaneous needle electrolysis consists of the application of a galvanic current and is an emerging treatment for tendinopathies. In the present study, we found that galvanic current activates the NLRP3 inflammasome and induces an inflammatory response that promotes a collagen-mediated regeneration of the tendon in mice. This study establishes the molecular mechanism of percutaneous electrolysis that can be used to treat chronic lesions and describes the beneficial effects of an induced inflammasome-related response.
INTRODUCTION

Galvanic current applied using a percutaneous needle is an emerging and minimally invasive technique that seeks to regenerate damaged tissues (Valera-Garrido et al., 2014). The needle used to apply galvanic current is guided, using ultrasound equipment, into affected soft tissues by direct visualization and therefore is able to stimulate locally the cells in the damaged area. Percutaneous needle electrolysis consists of applying a galvanic current through an acupuncture needle and thus combining mechanical and electrical stimulation of the tissue that results in a local controlled microtrauma. This microtrauma in turn generates a local inflammatory response that makes possible and fosters the repair of the affected tissue (Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz, 2019). Galvanic current has been successfully used to repair chronic non-resolving lesions, such as tendinopathies developed after prolonged extreme exercise, which often establish a degenerative condition of the tissue that impairs healing and complicates clinical management (Cook and Purdam, 2009; Regan et al., 1992; Soslowsky et al., 2000). In randomized trials, anti-inflammatory therapies have shown to be ineffectual at treating these types of lesion (Bisset et al., 2006; Coombes et al., 2013) and application of galvanic currents by percutaneous needle alone has been found sufficient when it comes to regenerating the tissue (Bubnov, 2013; Chellini et al., 2019; De-la-Cruz-Torres et al., 2020; Margalef et al., 2020; Valera-Garrido et al., 2020, 2014, 2013).

Resolving inflammation promotes a response that recovers homeostasis by repairing tissues (Medzhitov, 2008). However, chronic non-resolving inflammation can induce continuous tissue regeneration and excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix components, which in turn leads to fibrosis of soft tissues (Alegre et al., 2017; Borthwick et al., 2013; Gaul et al., 2020; Wynn, 2008). Therefore, a balanced inflammatory response is required to recover homeostasis and successfully heal tissue (Borthwick et al., 2013; Eming et al., 2017; Liston and Masters, 2017; Medzhitov, 2008). In response to tissue damage, the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain
with leucine rich repeat and pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome is specifically activated over other inflammatory pathways and coordinates an inflammatory response (Broz and Dixit, 2016; Schroder et al., 2011). NLRP3 inflammasome is a multiprotein complex induced in myeloid cells after the detection of damage- or pathogen-associated molecular patterns, including elevated concentrations of extracellular ATP, changes in extracellular osmolarity or detection of insoluble particles and crystals, such as uric acid crystals or amyloid deposition (Amores-Iniesta et al., 2017; Compan et al., 2012; Heneka et al., 2013; Mayor et al., 2006). These triggers specifically induce NLRP3 activation over other inflammasomes as they decrease intracellular K+ concentration resulting in conformational change of NLRP3 structure and, therefore, active NLRP3 oligomers (Muñoz-Planillo et al., 2013; Tapia-Abellán et al., 2021). Active NLRP3 oligomers recruit the accessory apoptosis-speck-like protein with a caspase recruitment and activation domain (ASC) that favors the activation of the inflammatory caspase-1 (Boucher et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Schmidt et al., 2016). Caspase-1 proteolytically processes immature pro-inflammatory cytokines of the interleukin (IL)-1 family to produce the bioactive form of IL-1β and IL-18 (Broz and Dixit, 2016; Schroder et al., 2011). Caspase-1 also processes gasdermin D protein (GSDMD), and its amino-terminal fragment (GSDMDNT) oligomerizes in the plasma membrane forming pores, thus releasing IL-1β and IL-18 cytokines, as well as other intracellular content, including inflammasome oligomers (Baroja-Mazo et al., 2014; Broz et al., 2020).

Prolonged NLRP3 activation occurs in different chronic inflammatory, metabolic and degenerative diseases such as gout, type 2 diabetes or Alzheimer (Daniels et al., 2016; Heneka et al., 2013; Masters et al., 2010; Mayor et al., 2006), therefore selective small molecules that block NLRP3 are emerging as novel anti-inflammatory therapies (Cocco et al., 2017; Coll et al., 2015; Tapia-Abellán et al., 2019). However, in some pathological circumstances, a boost, rather than an inhibition, of NLRP3 would be more beneficial for reducing clinical complications, such as in immunosuppressed septic
patients, who have high mortality rates due to secondary infections associated with a profound deactivation of the NLRP3 inflammasome (Martínez-García et al., 2019).

The detailed molecular mechanism behind percutaneous needle electrolysis inducing an inflammatory response has not been yet described, so we studied if NLRP3 inflammasome could be activated via galvanic currents and if doing so would lead to tissue regeneration. We found that applying galvanic current via percutaneous needle electrolysis activated the NLRP3 inflammasome and induced the release of IL-1β and IL-18 from macrophages. Mice deficient in NLRP3 failed to increase IL-1β in tendons after percutaneous needle electrolysis and ended up with reduced TGF-β and type I collagen deposition with high dispersion of collagen fibers, which in turn resulted in tendons with decreased resistance. All this indicates that the NLRP3 inflammasome plays an important role in the regenerative response of the tendon after application of therapeutically percutaneous needle electrolysis.
RESULTS

Galvanic current enhances macrophage pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype

We initially designed and produced a device to apply galvanic current to adherent cultured cells in 6-well cell-culture plates (Figure 1—Figure supplement 1), this device allowed us to explore the effect of galvanic currents in mouse macrophages derived from bone marrow. Applying 12 mA of galvanic current twice for 6 seconds each time to LPS stimulated macrophages increase the expression of Cox2 and Il6 genes (Figure 1A). However, it did not affect LPS-induced Il1b or Tnfa pro-inflammatory gene expression (Figure 1A). Interestingly meanwhile Tnfa expression was upregulated with galvanic current alone (Figure 1A), galvanic currents did not induce the expression of Cox2, Il6 or Il1b genes on non-LPS treated macrophages, or over IL-4 treated macrophages (Figure 1A). When macrophages were polarized to M2 by IL-4, galvanic currents decreased the expression of the M2 markers Arg1, Fizz1 and Mrc1 (Figure 1B); however, this decrease was small and non-significant for the M2 marker Ym1 (Figure 1B). These data suggest that galvanic current could enhance the pro-inflammatory signature of M1 macrophages whilst decreasing M2 polarization. We next studied the concentration of released pro-inflammatory cytokines from macrophages, and found that galvanic current did not increase the concentration of IL-6 or TNF-α released after LPS stimulation (Figure 1C), but that it did significantly augment the release of IL-1β in an intensity dependent manner (Figure 1C). These data indicate that the increase in Il6 and Tnfa gene expression detected at mRNA level does not transcribe to higher release of IL-6 and TNF-α over LPS treatment, but that galvanic current could potentially activate an inflammasome that induces the release of IL-1β.

Galvanic current activates the NLRP3 inflammasome
Given that IL-1β release is increased by the activation of caspase-1 after the canonical or non-canonical formation of inflammasome (Broz and Dixit, 2016), we next studied the release of IL-1β induced by galvanic current in macrophages deficient in caspase-1 and -11 to avoid both the canonical and non-canonical inflammasome signaling. We found that Casp1/11−/− macrophages fail to release IL-1β induced by galvanic current (Figure 2A). We then found that applying galvanic current to Pycard−/− macrophages also failed to induce the release of IL-1β, which indicated that the inflammasome adaptor protein ASC is also needed to activate the inflammasome (Figure 2A). Since applying current could be considered a sterile danger signal, we next assessed the role of NLRP3 as an inflammasome sensor important for eliciting an immune response in sterile dangerous situations (Broz and Dixit, 2016; Liston and Masters, 2017). Nlrp3−/− and the use of the specific NLRP3 inhibitor MCC950 (Coll et al., 2015; Tapia-Abellán et al., 2019) impaired the release of IL-1β induced by galvanic current (Figure 2A,B), demonstrating that the NLRP3 inflammasome is activated when galvanic current is applied. Similarly, galvanic current was also able to induce the release of IL-18 (Figure 2C), another cytokine dependent on the activation of the inflammasome. The use of MCC950 or macrophages deficient in NLRP3 failed to release IL-18 after galvanic current was applied (Figure 2C), which confirms that galvanic current stimulates NLRP3 to induce the release of both IL-1β and IL-18. Similar results were obtained with controls using the specific NLRP3 activator nigericin (Figure 2B and Figure 2—Figure supplement 1A). Mechanistically, the use of an extracellular buffer with 40 mM of KCl decreased IL-1β release induced by nigericin and galvanic current application, but not the release of IL-1β induced by Clostridium difficile toxin B, which activates the Pyrin inflammasome, which is a K⁺-efflux independent inflammasome (Figure 2D). However, two pulses of 12 mA of galvanic current for 6 seconds failed to decrease intracellular K⁺ (Figure 2E, Figure 2—Figure supplement 1B), but increasing the number of pulses of galvanic current to eight did result in a significant decrease of intracellular K⁺ (Figure
2E). These data suggest that galvanic current slightly decreases intracellular K+ when compared to the application of the K+ ionophore nigericin (Figure 2E) and this explains the smaller concentration of IL-1β that is released by galvanic current compared to nigericin application (Figure 2B). After applying galvanic current we were able to detect the generation of the active p20 caspase-1 fragment, and processed IL-1β and GSDMDNT (Figure 2F). MCC950 was able to abrogate caspase-1 activation and the processed forms of IL-1β and GSDMDNT (Figure 2F), which suggests functional activation of caspase-1 and downstream signaling because of the activation of canonical NLRP3 and rules out the non-canonical NLRP3 activation that would result in GSDMD processing in the presence of MCC950. To ensure that the galvanic current really was activating NLRP3, we used HEK293T cells that were stably expressing NLRP3-YFP protein, a method widely used to assess NLRP3 activation (Chen and Chen, 2018; Compan et al., 2012; Tapia-Abellán et al., 2021, 2019). Fluorescence microscopy showed that 2 impacts of 12 mA of galvanic current for 6 seconds induced the formation of an intracellular NLRP3–YFP punctum, which was inhibited by the application of MCC950 (Figure 2G). All these data confirm that galvanic current activates the NLRP3 inflammasome, and since NLRP3 deficient macrophages failed to release IL-1β or IL-18, that no other inflammasome expressed in the macrophages was being activated.

**Galvanic current does not induce inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis**

Given that GSDMD was processed and the N-terminus was detected after the application of galvanic current, we next assessed pyroptosis by means of Yo-Pro-1 uptake in cells to measure plasma membrane pore formation and cell viability, and LDH leakage from the cell to determine plasma membrane damage. Two impacts of galvanic currents of different intensities (3, 6, 12 mA) for a period of 6 seconds (conditions that induce IL-1β release as we show in Figure 1C) only induced a slight
increase in cell death (Figure 3A). This increase in cell death was not associated with the activation of the inflammasome given that it was also present in macrophages deficient in NLRP3, ASC or caspase-1/11 (Figure 3B), which in turn suggests that it occurred independently of inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis. Increasing the number or the length of time of 12 mA impacts of galvanic current on macrophages, resulted in a time-dependent increase in cell death (Figure 3A), which correlates with higher concentrations of IL-1β and IL-18 release (Figure 3C). However, whereas IL-1β and IL-18 release was blocked by MCC950 (Figure 3C), LDH release was not dependent on NLRP3 activation (Figure 3D). This further corroborates the fact that the NLRP3 activation is dependent on the intensity and time of galvanic current application. Similarly, two impacts of 12 mA for a period of 6 seconds were unable to induce plasma membrane permeabilization measured in terms of Yo-Pro-1 uptake during a period of 3 h (Figure 3E). Yo-Pro uptake increased over 3 h in an intensity dependent manner (3, 6, 12 mA) when 8 impacts were applied for 6 seconds (Figure 3E). This increase in plasma membrane permeabilization was not reversed after NLRP3 was blocked with MCC950 or when ASC-deficient macrophages were used (Figure 3F). All these results demonstrate that doses of 3 or 6 mA of galvanic current for impacts of 6 seconds do not compromise cell viability but are still able to induce an inflammatory response dependent on NLRP3 activation, in contrast to current intensities of 12 mA which, if prolonged cause significant cell death independently of the inflammasome.

Galvanic current applied in tendon increases inflammation in vivo

When studying the effect of galvanic current in vivo, we found that application of 3 impacts of 3 mA of galvanic current for 3 seconds in the calcaneal tendon of mice increased the number of polymorphonuclear cells after 3 days when compared with tendons treated with needling alone (a puncture without current application, Figure 4A,B). This increase returned to basal after 7 days and stayed low up to 21 days after galvanic current application (Figure 4B). Similarly, the number of F4/80+ macrophages
increased 3 days after the application of galvanic current when compared to needling alone and returned to basal levels after 7 days (Figure 4C,D). Other immune cell types detected in the tendon, such as mastocytes, were not significantly increased by galvanic current when compared to needling alone (Figure 4—Figure supplement 1A). Given that polymorphonuclear cells increased in a similar manner to macrophages, we then aimed to investigate if galvanic current might also induce the release of IL-1β from neutrophils. However, the application of galvanic current to LPS-primed neutrophils failed to release IL-1β or LDH (Figure 4—Figure supplement 1B). Other histological features of the tendon (general structure of the tendon, number of tenocytes, shape and area of tenocyte nuclei or neo-vascularization) were also unaffected by the application of galvanic currents compared to needling alone (Figure 4—Figure supplement 1C-G).

We next assessed the expression of different pro-inflammatory cytokines in the calcaneal tendon 3 days after 3 impacts of 3 mA of galvanic current application for 3 seconds to characterize the molecular inflammatory response in the tendon. Expression of Il6, Il1a and Il1b, as well as the IL-1 receptor antagonist (Il1rn) and the chemokine Cxcl10 all increased after percutaneous electrolysis when compared to needling alone (Figure 5A). However, as shown in Figure 1 the increase in Il6 cytokine gene expression induced by galvanic current in macrophages did not correlate with an increase in IL-6 cytokine secretion. Different NLRP3 inflammasome genes also exhibit an increase in expression (Nlrp3, Pycard, Casp1) when galvanic current was applied, but this increase was not significant when compared to needling (Figure 5B). Gsdmd expression was not upregulated in the tendons after galvanic current application (Figure 5B). These data suggest that galvanic current induces an inflammatory response driven by the infiltration of polymorphonuclear cells and macrophages, together with an increase in the expression of several cytokines and chemokines.
The NLRP3 inflammasome controls the *in vivo* inflammatory response induced by galvanic current

In order to evaluate if the NLRP3 inflammasome mediates the inflammatory response in tendons after percutaneous electrolysis, we applied galvanic currents to the calcaneal tendon of *Nlrp3*−/− mice. Application of 3 impacts of 3 mA of galvanic current for 3 seconds in the calcaneal tendon of *Nlrp3*−/− mice resulted in a significant reduction of *Il1b*, *Il1r* and *Cxcl10* expression after 3 days when compared to wild-type mice (Figure 6A). Specific inflammasome associated genes, such as *Pycard*, *Casp1* or *Gsdmd* (except for *Nlrp3*) did not affect their expression in the calcaneal tendon of *Nlrp3*−/− mice 3 days after the application of galvanic current when compared to wild type mice (Figure 6B). Surprisingly, galvanic current tended to increase both the expression of *Il6* in the tendons of *Nlrp3*−/− after 3 days (Figure 6C) and the number of polymorphonuclear cells (Figure 6D). However, the number of macrophages was not affected in the *Nlrp3*−/− calcaneal tendon when galvanic current was applied (Figure 6D). We also confirmed a decrease in *Il1b* and *Cxcl10* expression in the tendons of *Pycard*−/− mice 3 days after the application of galvanic current (Figure 6—Figure supplement 1A,B), which suggests that the NLRP3 inflammasome is important in modulating part of the inflammatory response after the application of galvanic current.

The NLRP3 inflammasome induces a tissue regenerative response to galvanic current application that increases tendon stiffness

Galvanic current application has been widely used to resolve chronic tendinopathies in different tendons (Abat et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Huguet et al., 2020; Valera-Garrido et al., 2014), and as an example we present here a case where lateral epicondylitis was resolved in six weeks after four sessions of percutaneous electrolysis through the application of 3 mA of galvanic current for 3 periods of 3 seconds (3:3:3) (Figure 7—Figure supplement 1A), in accordance with the clinical protocol previously described by Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz (Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz, 2016).
During tissue regeneration, the production of new extracellular matrix through collagen deposition is a key process (Shook et al., 2018; Wynn, 2008). In order to determine if the inflammatory response mediated by the NLRP3 inflammasome after galvanic current application is important for tissue regeneration, we measured Tgfb1 expression as a key factor in inducing collagen production. We found that in vivo the expression of Tgfb1 3 days after the application of galvanic current in the calcaneal tendon of mice was dependent on NLRP3 (Figure 7A). Likewise, after 7 days of percutaneous electrolysis the levels of type III collagen were decreased, with a parallel increase in type I collagen when compared to needling alone (Figure 7B, Figure 7—Figure supplement 1D). However, percutaneous electrolysis did not affect collagen fiber properties (width or length) when compared to needling alone (Figure 7—Figure supplement 1B,C). The increase in type I collagen 7 days after galvanic current application was reduced in Nlrp3−/− mice (Figure 7C). NLRP3 also controlled the structural dispersion of collagen fibers, which was decreased by galvanic current application in wild type mice, but increased in Nlrp3−/− mice (Figure 7D, Figure 7—Figure supplement 1E). All these results suggest that the NLRP3 inflammasome controls the response of galvanic current thus inducing type I collagen production and arranging the collagen fibers. This controlled deposition of collagen fibers induced by galvanic current increased tendon stiffness and decreased the maximum tension supported by the tendon (Figure 7E). NLRP3 inflammasome was responsible for increased tendon stiffness after galvanic current application (Figure 7E). Overall, we found that the application of galvanic current is able to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and induce the release of IL-1β, thus initiating an inflammatory response that regenerates the tendon by increasing type I collagen, arranging the collagen fibers and increasing the resistance of the tendon to changes in length (Figure 7—Figure supplement 2).
DISCUSSION

In this study we demonstrate how the application of galvanic current induces in macrophages a pro-inflammatory signature, mainly characterized by the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome and the release of mature IL-1β and IL-18. This is in agreement with the fact that the NLRP3 inflammasome is a key pathway for controlling inflammation in the absence of pathogenic microorganisms (in sterile conditions) by executing a pro-inflammatory type of cell death called pyroptosis (Broz et al., 2020; Broz and Dixit, 2016; Liston and Masters, 2017). Here we found that galvanic current application, a technique that has been widely used to resolve chronic lesions in humans (Valera-Garrido et al., 2014), was able to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and induce IL-1β and IL-18 release, but with very little associated pyroptotic cell death. This could be due to two potentially different mechanisms: (i) that after galvanic current application an alternative means of processing GSDMD occurs which is independent of NLRP3 and which could inactivate its N-terminal lytic domain, as has been previously found for caspase-3 processing GSDMD (Taabazuing et al., 2017); and/or (ii) that the small amounts of GSDMDNT found could create a small number of pores in the plasma membrane, thus facilitating their repair by the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport machinery, which in turn leads to an hyperactive state of the macrophage (Evavold et al., 2018; Rühl et al., 2018). During this state of the macrophage, IL-1β is released in the absence of cell death (Evavold et al., 2018). However, an increase in the intensity and the time of galvanic current application leads to an increase in cell death that was independent of the inflammasome and could be related to the technique per se. Therefore, clinical application of current intensities above 6 mA would probably lead to necrosis of the tissue and not to an efficient reparative process. Galvanic currents of 3 and 6 mA for 2 impacts of 6 seconds are both able to induce NLRP3 inflammasome activation in vitro and lead to phenotypic changes in the tendon in vivo. This is in line with the fact that 3 mA of galvanic current is able to induce a clinically
significant regeneration of lesions (García Vidal et al., 2019; Margalef et al., 2019; Medina i Mirapeix et al., 2019; Valera-Garrido et al., 2014). High intensity doses for long periods of time or repeated impacts could induce massive tissue necrosis and are therefore not recommended for clinical practice.

The activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome induced by galvanic currents was found to be dependent on K⁺ efflux, because extracellular high concentrations of K⁺ were able to block IL-1β release, and high intensities of galvanic current decreased intracellular K⁺. This is similar to the effect of the well-studied K⁺ ionophore nigericin, which dramatically decreases intracellular K⁺ and releases IL-1β (Muñoz-Planillo et al., 2013; Petrilli et al., 2007; Próchnicki et al., 2016). In fact, the amount of IL-1β released from galvanic current activated macrophages was lower than when macrophages were activated with nigericin, which suggests that the NLRP3 activation is correlated with the decrease in intracellular K⁺ (Tapia-Abellán et al., 2021). The low NLRP3 activation induced by galvanic current application could result in a moderate inflammatory response in vivo that is beneficial for tissue regeneration. In fact, NLRP3 was important for inducing an inflammatory response in vivo with increased quantities of different cytokines including Il1b or Cxcl10, that conditioned the structure and functions of the treated tendons. However, NLRP3 deficiency does not affect Il6 production or the infiltration of polymorphonuclear cells when galvanic currents are applied in vivo. This shows that NLRP3 induced by galvanic current is able to control a specific inflammatory program in vivo, but it probably do not affect the IL-6-mediated infiltration of polymorphonuclear cells in tendons.

Exacerbated NLRP3 activation could led to fibrosis (Alegre et al., 2017; Gaul et al., 2020), which suggests that NLRP3 could control collagen deposition. The mild activation of NLRP3 found after galvanic current application was associated with increased production of Tgfb1, an increase in collagen type I vs type III in tendons, and reduced structural dispersion of collagen fibers. This is associated with an increase in
tendon stiffness, which is related to the resistance of the tendon to changes in length, with tendon stiffness being reduced during ageing and resulting in weaker tendons (Krupenevich et al., 2021). This could explain previous clinical findings relating to galvanic current therapy, such as the fact that almost all patients treated with galvanic current in tendon lesions had no relapses at long term (Valera-Garrido et al., 2014) and the fact that application of galvanic current in human tendon lesions in combination with exercise therapy achieved greater functional recovery compared to exercise alone (Moreno et al., 2017), and also compared to the combination of exercise with other rehabilitation interventions such as electrotherapy or mechanical intervention (Abat et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Huguet et al., 2020). Thus, our findings could professionals to choose and combine rehabilitative and orthopedic treatments. However, a limitation of our study is that using animals restricts us to employing the ultrasound-guided puncture when applying galvanic current to the calcaneal tendon of mice, because it is the largest accessible tendon, whereas in humans galvanic current has been applied supraspinatus (Rodríguez-Huguet et al., 2020), patellar (Abat et al., 2016) and lateral epicondyle (Valera-Garrido et al., 2014) tendons. In all tendons, applying galvanic current application was found to have a similar clinical benefit, but we cannot rule out the fact that the calcaneal tendon of mice would present a different response to galvanic current. In fact, different species have been reported to have different inflammatory tenocyte responses (Oreff et al., 2021), and although mice and humans present high overall similarities in their tenocyte responses (Oreff et al., 2021), it is necessary to apply galvanic current in the presence of specific NLRP3 blockers, such as MCC950, in additional animal models with species other than mice. Therefore, this study reports how galvanic current is a feasible technique applied in vivo to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome and induce a local inflammatory response to enhance a collagen-mediated regeneration process in the tendon, establishing the molecular mechanism of percutaneous electrolysis for the treatment of chronic lesions.
### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Key Resources Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetic reagent (Mus musculus, male)</td>
<td>NLRP3-deficient mice (Nlrp3&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;) - C57 BL/6 Nlrp3&lt;sup&gt;tm1Vmd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Jackson laboratories</td>
<td>RRID:MGI:5468973</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> mouse models and biological samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic reagent (Mus musculus, male)</td>
<td>ASC-deficient mice (Pycard&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;) - C57 BL/6 Pycard&lt;sup&gt;tm1Vmd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Jackson laboratories</td>
<td>RRID:MGI:3047277</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> mouse models and biological samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic reagent (Mus musculus, male)</td>
<td>Casp1/11-deficient mice (Casp1/11&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;) - B6N.129S 2-Casp1&lt;sup&gt;tm1Flv&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Jackson laboratories</td>
<td>RRID:MGI:5467384</td>
<td>Biological samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-GSDMD (rabbit monoclonal, clone EPR19828)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat#: ab209845, RRID:AB_2783550</td>
<td>WB (1:2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-Caspase 1 p20 (mose monoclonal, clone Casper-1)</td>
<td>Adipogen</td>
<td>casper-1, Cat# AG-20B-0042, RRID:AB_2490248</td>
<td>WB (1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Anti-IL-1β (rabbit polyclonal)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>H-153 Cat#: SC-7884, RRID:AB_2124476</td>
<td>WB (1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence-based reagent</td>
<td>KiCqStart SYBR Green Primers</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Tnfa (NM_013693) Ii6 (NM_031168) Ii1b (NM_008361) Actb (NM_007393) Cox2 (Ptgs2) (NM_011198) Arg1 (NM_007482) Fizz1 (Retnlb) (NM_023881) Mrc1 (NM_008625) Ym1 (Chil1) (NM_007695001080219)</td>
<td>qRT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>ELISA for IL-1β</td>
<td>R&amp;D SystemsThermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 88-7013-88, RRID:AB_257494688-7013-88xxx</td>
<td>ELISA for cell supernatant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>ELISA for TNFα</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 88-7324-88, RRID:AB_257508088-7324-88xxx</td>
<td>ELISA for cell supernatant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>ELISA for IL-6</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
<td>Cat# M6000B, RRID:AB_2877063 M6000Bxxx</td>
<td>ELISA for cell supernatant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>ELISA for IL-18</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# BMS618/3, RRID:AB_2575692BMS 618-3xxx</td>
<td>ELISA for cell supernatant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compound, drug</td>
<td>MCC950</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>PZ0280</td>
<td>Cell culture: 10 µM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animals and percutaneous needle puncture procedure.** All experimental protocols for animal handling were refined and approved by the local animal research ethical committee (references 241/2016 and 541/2019) and the Animal Health Service of the General Directorate of Fishing and Farming of the Council of Murcia (Servicio de Sanidad Animal, Dirección General de Ganadería y Pesca, Consejería de Agricultura y...
Agua de la Región de Murcia, reference A13160702). C57/BL6 mice (wild-type) were obtained from Jackson Laboratories. NLRP3-deficient mice (Nlrp3−/−) and Caspase-1/11-deficient mice (Casp-1/11−/−) in C57/BL6 background were a generous gift of I. Coullin. For all experiments, mice between 8-10 weeks of age were used. Mice were bred in specific pathogen-free conditions with a 12:12 h light-dark cycle and used in accordance with the animal experimentation guidelines of the Hospital Clínico Universitario Vírgen de la Arrixaca, and the Spanish national (RD 1201/2005 and Law 32/2007) and EU (86/609/EEC and 2010/63/EU) legislation. Percutaneous needle puncture was performed with 16G and 13 mm needles (Agupunt) in the calcaneal tendon in isoflurane (Zoetis) anesthetized mice; galvanic current was applied using Physio Invasiva® equipment (Prim) delivering three impacts of 3 mA for 3 seconds and compared to a puncture without current application. Paws without puncture were also used as controls. 3, 7, 14 and 21 days after puncture, animals were euthanized and paws were collected for histopathology or gene expression. Only calcaneal tendon was dissected for gene expression and the zone between gastrocnemius and calcaneus, including tendon, adipose tissue, tibia and peroneus, was dissected for histopathology.

**Patient.** A male patient, 36 years old with lateral epicondylalgia in the right elbow for 6 months, with pain and functional impairment. Resistant to conventional treatments (physiotherapy, oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory and local corticoid infiltrations). Ultrasound analysis showed extensor joint tendon degeneration correlating with positive orthopedic tests. The patient was subjected to four sessions of percutaneous electrolysis with an intensity of 3 mA of galvanic current for 3 sec, 3 times (3:3:3), according to the protocol by Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz (Valera-Garrido and Minaya-Muñoz, 2016).

**Cell culture and treatments.** Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were obtained from wild-type, Casp1/11−/−, Nlrp3−/− and Pycard−/− mice. Cells were
differentiated for 7 days in DMEM (Lonza) supplemented with 25% L929 medium, 15% fetal bovine serum (FCS, Life Technologies), 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Lonza), and 1% L-glutamine (Lonza). After differentiation, cells were primed for 2 h with 1 µg/ml *E. coli* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) serotype O55:B5 at (Sigma-Aldrich) or for 4 h with 20 ng/ml recombinant mouse IL-4 (BD Pharmigen). Cells were then washed twice with isotonic buffer composed of 147 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, 13 mM glucose, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 2 mM KCl, pH 7.4, and then treated in OptiMEM (Lonza) with different intensities and time of galvanic current (as indicated in the text and Figure legends) using an ad hoc adaptor for 6 well plates (*Figure 1—Figure supplement 1*), and then cultured for 6 h. Alternatively and as a positive control, after LPS-priming macrophages were treated for 6 h in OptiMEM with 1.5 µM nigericin (Sigma-Aldrich) or 1 µg/ml *Clostridium difficile* toxin B (Enzo Life Sciences) to activate NLRP3 and Pyrin inflammasomes respectively. In some experiments, cells were treated with 10 µM of the NLRP3 inflammasome inhibitor MCC950 (CP-456773, Sigma-Aldrich) after LPS priming and during inflammasome activation.

Stable HEK293T cell lines constitutively expressing NLRP3-YFP have already been produced (Tapia-Abellán et al., 2019). The cell line was not authenticated, but was routinely tested with the MycoProbe Mycoplasma Detection Kit following manufacturer instructions (R&D Systems) to ensure that it was free of mycoplasma. Cells were subjected to two pulses of 12 mA of galvanic current during 6 s each and incubated for 6 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ before being analyzed by fluorescence microscopy.

**Fluorescence microscopy.** Stable HEK293T cells were imaged with a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with a 20× S Plan Fluor objective (numerical aperture, 0.45), a digital Sight DS-QiMc camera (Nikon), 472 nm/520 nm, 543 nm/593 nm filter sets (Semrock), and the NIS-Elements AR software (Nikon). Images were analyzed with ImageJ software (NIH).
**LDH release, Yo-Pro uptake assay and K⁺ measurements.** The presence of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in cell culture supernatants was measured using the Cytotoxicity Detection kit (Roche), following manufacturer’s instructions. It was expressed as the percentage of the total amount of LDH present in the cells. For Yo-Pro uptake, macrophages were preincubated for 5 min at 37 °C with 2.5 µM of Yo-Pro-1 iodide (Life Technologies) after galvanic current application or 1% triton X100 (Sigma-Aldrich) application. Yo-Pro-1 fluorescence was measured after the treatments every 5 minutes for the first 30 min and then every 30 min for the following 3 h with an excitation wavelength of 478 ± 20 nm and emission of 519 ± 20 nm in a Synergy neo2 multi-mode plate reader (BioTek). Intracellular K⁺ was quantified using macrophages lysates, as has already been reported (Compan et al., 2012), and measured by indirect potentiometry on a Cobas 6000 with ISE module (Roche).

**Western blot and ELISA.** After cell stimulation, cells extracts were prepared in cold lysis buffer and incubated at 4°C for 30 min and then centrifuged at 12856 xg for 10 min at 4°C. Cells supernatants were centrifuged at 12856 xg for 30 seconds at 4°C and concentrated by centrifugation at 11000 xg for 30 min at 4°C through a column with a 10 kDa cut-off (Merk-Millipore). Cell lysates and concentrated supernatants were mixed with loading buffer (Sigma), boiled at 95°C for 5 min, resolved in 15% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (BioRad). Different primary antibodies were used for the detection of interest proteins: anti-IL-1β rabbit polyclonal (1:1000, H-153, SC-7884, Santa Cruz), anti-caspase-1 (p20) mouse monoclonal (1:1000, casper-1, AG-20B-0042, Adipogen), anti-gasdermin D rabbit monoclonal (1:2000, EPR19828, ab209845, Abcam) and anti-β-Actin mouse monoclonal (1:10000, Santa Cruz). Appropriate secondary antibody conjugated with HRP was used at 1:5000 dilution (Sigma) and developed with ECL plus (Amhershan Biosciences) in a ChemiDoc HDR (BioRad). Uncropped Western blots are shown in Figure 2-source data 1 and 2. The concentration of IL-1β, IL-18, TNF-α and IL-6 in cell supernatants
was determined by ELISA following the manufacturer’s instructions. ELISA were purchased from R&D Systems or Thermo Fisher Scientific (see Key Resources Table). Results were read in a Synergy Mx plate reader (BioTek).

Quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis.

Total RNA extraction was performed using macrophages or mice tendons dissected as described above. Macrophage total RNA extraction was performed using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA extraction from mice tendons was performed using Qiazol lysis reagent (Qiagen) and samples were homogenized using an Omni THQ homogenizer. After homogenization, samples were incubated for 5 min at room temperature and centrifuged at 12000 xg for 15 min at 4ºC. After centrifugation, the upper phase was collected and one volume of 70% ethanol was added. Samples were loaded in RNeasey Mini Kit columns and total RNA isolation was performed following manufacturer’s instructions. In both cases a step with a treatment with 10 U/μl DNase I (Qiagen) was added during 30 min. Reverse transcription was performed using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (BioRad). The mix SYBR Green Premix ExTaq (Takara) was used for quantitative PCR in an iCyclerMyiQ thermocycler (BioRad). Specific primers were purchased from Sigma (KICqStart SYBR Green Primers) for the detection of the different genes. Relative expression of genes was normalized to the housekeeping gene Actb using the $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method and for the expression in tendon then normalized to mean Ct value of non-treated samples using the $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (value shown in Figures). When expression in non-treated samples was below threshold and was non detected (ND), $2^{\Delta Ct}$ values are shown in the Figures. To compare gene expression between wild-type and knock-out mice, the fold change of $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ values of the knock-out mice was calculated with respect to the average of the $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ values of the wild-type mice.
Histopathology. Mice paws were fixed using 4% p-formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for at least 24 h, processed, paraffin-embedded, and sectioned in 4 μm slides. Hematoxylin and eosin stained slices were initially evaluated in a 0 to 3 qualitative scale, with 0 being control (healthy tendon) conditions, 1 mild, 2 medium, and 3 severe, for inflammatory infiltration and tendon cellularity grade (the median value for each of the paws was used as the final value represented in the Figures). The number of polymorphonuclear cells was quantified by counting 3 different fields for each sample, and these cells were identified by their nuclear morphology. The number and area of tenocytes nuclei was evaluated using the FIJI macro based on a manual threshold to select nuclei and evaluating the different parameters measured with the “Analyze particles” tool. Sirius red staining was performed in the slides using the Picro Sirius red stain kit (Abcam) following the manufacturer’s instructions and polarized light pictures (Figure 7—Figure supplement 1D) were used to quantify the type of collagen by converting pictures to SHG color and then using the CT-Fire algorithm to calculate width, length, straightness and angle of collagen fibers in these pictures (Liu et al., 2017). Toluidine blue staining was performed using a toluidine blue polychrome solution (Bio-Optica) for metachromatic staining of acid substances, and this staining was used for the mastocytes count. Immunohistochemistry with anti-F4/80 rat monoclonal antibody (MCA497GA, BioRad) was used to quantify macrophages by counting 3 different fields for each sample. All slides were examined with a Zeiss Axio Scope AX10 microscope with 20x and 40x objectives (Carl Zeiss) and pictures were taken with an AxioCam 506 Color (Carl Zeiss).

Biomechanical testing of tendons. Achilles tendons were dissected following the protocol described in (Rigozzi et al., 2009). In brief, tendons were dissected maintaining intact the calcaneus and the gastrocnemius/soleus muscles. The tendon sheaths were also maintained in order to preserve the natural anatomical structure and relative orientation of the individual tendon bundles (Figure 7—Figure supplement
Gastrocnemius/soleus muscle fibers were then cautiously removed to expose the intramuscular tendon fibers (Figure 7—Figure supplement 3B). All mechanical tests were performed with an Autograph AG-X plus 50N-5KN machine (Shimadzu) with a speed of 0.1 mm/s and 1 kN load head. Specimens were clamped for testing with the calcaneus mounted to approximate a neutral anatomical position (Figure 7—Figure supplement 3C). Tendon area was calculated measuring tendon width in two segments, frontal and lateral. Tendon area and length were then used to calculate stiffness of tendons. Other parameters such as maximum force and maximum tension were also obtained. Elastic module was calculated as the slope of the curve generated representing force vs displacement. The slope was calculated taking into account the curve generated only between 1-2 N of force. All parameters were obtained using Trapezium X software (Shimadzu).

Second harmonic generation microscopy. A detailed description of the second harmonic generation microscope used can be found in (Skorsetz et al., 2016), a non-linear optical tool (Campagnola et al., 2001). Unstained collagen molecules are able to generate second harmonic generation signal due to their natural structure with lack of a center of inversion symmetry (Fine and Hansen, 1971). The imaging instrument used combines a Ti:Sapphire femtosecond laser source and an inverted microscope. The laser system emits light pulses of 800 nm of wavelength at a repetition rate of 76 MHz. An XY scanning unit and a Z-motor attached to the microscope objective allow the sample to be scanned across the plane and depth location of interest. The second harmonic generation signal from the sample propagates back through the same objective used for excitation (dry long-working distance, 20x, 0.8 N.A.), is isolated by a short-wave pass filter (400±5 nm), and finally detected by a photon counting photomultiplier module. A home-made LabView™ software controlled the entire system. The average power at the sample’s plane was always below 100 mW. Second harmonic generation images were acquired at 2 Hz. To analyze the structural
organization of the collagen fibers in the tendon, an algorithm based on the Hough transform was used (Bueno et al., 2020). The Hough transform is a mathematical procedure able to detect aligned segments of collagen fibers (Figure 7—Figure supplement 4) within an image, and provide quantitative information on the degree of organization of the spatially resolved structures. On the basis of a pixel-by-pixel calculation, when a straight line is found, the corresponding polar coordinates are filed in the so-called 2D accumulator matrix. For each new detected straight line, the accumulator increases one unit. The local peaks (i.e. maximum values) in this accumulator space determine the preferential orientations found across the image. The standard deviation of these orientations is defined as the structural dispersion. A previously developed Matlab™ script was used for image processing (Bueno et al., 2020).

Statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 (Graph-Pad Software, Inc). A Shapiro-Wilk normality test was initially performed on all groups to decide the analysis type to be used. For two-group comparisons, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test (without making the assumption that values are normally distributed) or the parametric unpaired t-test (for normal distributed data) were used to determine the statistical significance. For more than two group comparisons, one-way ANOVA test (for normal distributed data) or nonparametric Krustal-Wallis test (without making the assumption that values are normally distributed) were used to determine the statistical significance. Data are shown as mean values and error bars represent standard error from the number of independent assays indicated in the figure legend, which are also overlaid in the histograms as dot-plotting. P value is indicated as *p <0.05; **p <0.01; ***p <0.001; ****p <0.0001; p >0.05 not significant (ns).
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Galvanic current increases the M1 phenotype of macrophages.

(A) Quantitative PCR for M1 genes *Cox2, Il6, Il1b* and *Tnfa* expression from mouse bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) treated for 2 h with LPS (1 µg/ml) or 4 h with IL-4 (20 ng/µl) as indicated and then 2 impacts of 12 mA of galvanic current for 6 seconds. Cells were then further cultured for 6 h before analysis. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n*= 5-10 samples of 5 independent experiments; for *Cox2* unpaired t-test except in the two first columns where Mann-Whitney tests were performed, for *Il6* Mann-Whitney test except in LPS vs LPS+galvanic current comparison where unpaired t-tests were performed, for *Il1b* Mann-Whitney test, for *Tnfa* unpaired t-test except in LPS vs LPS+galvanic current comparison where Mann-Whitney were performed, ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005, *p<0.05, and ns p>0.05.

(B) Quantitative PCR for M2 genes *Arg1, Fizz1, Mrc1 and Ym1* expression from BMDMs treated as in (A). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n*= 3-10 samples of 5 independent experiments; unpaired t-test except LPS vs LPS+galvanic current comparison where Mann-Whitney tests were performed, ***p<0.0005, *p<0.05, and ns p>0.05.

(C) IL-6, TNF-α and IL-1β release from BMDMs treated as in (A) but with different intensities of galvanic current (3, 6, 12 mA). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n*= 2 for untreated cells and *n*= 4-6 for treatment groups from 4 independent experiments; one-way ANOVA were performed comparing treated groups with the control group, ****p<0.0001, *p<0.05, and ns p>0.05.
**Figure 2. IL-1β release induced by galvanic current is dependent on the NLRP3 inflammasome.**

(A) IL-1β release from wild type, Casp1/11−/−, Pycard−/− and Nlrp3−/− mouse bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) treated for 2 h with LPS (1 μg/ml) and then 2 impacts of different intensities of galvanic current (3, 6, 12 mA) for 6 seconds. Cells were then further cultured for 6 h before cytokine were measured in supernatant. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n* = 6-16 samples of 10 independent experiments; LPS vs LPS+galvanic current in wild-type and wild-type vs Nlrp3−/− unpaired *t*-test, wild-type vs Casp1/11−/− and wild-type vs Pycard−/− Mann-Whitney test, **p* < 0.005.

(B) IL-1β release from wild type BMDMs treated as in A but applying the NLRP3 specific inhibitor MCC950 (10 μM) 30 min before the galvanic current application and during the last 6 h of culture. As a control, cells were treated with nigericin (1,5 μM) rather than galvanic current. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n* = 5-10 samples of 5 independent experiments; nigericin vs nigericin+MCC950 Mann-Whitney test, galvanic current vs galvanic current+MCC950 unpaired *t*-test, ****p* < 0.0001 and ***p* < 0.0005.

(C) IL-18 release from BMDMs treated as in A. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n* = 2-7 samples of at least 2 independent experiments.

(D) IL-1β release from wild type BMDMs treated as in A but applying a buffer with 40 mM of KCl (high K+ buffer) during the last 6 h of culture. As controls, cells were treated with nigericin (1,5 μM) or *Clostridium difficile* toxin B (1 μg/ml) rather than galvanic current. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; *n* = 3-12 samples of 4 independent experiments; unpaired *t*-test, ****p* < 0.0001, **p* < 0.005 and *ns* p* >* 0.05.

(E) Intracellular K+ concentration from wild type BMDMs primed with LPS as in A, but then treated for 6 h with nigericin (1.5 μM) or 2 or 8 impacts of 12 mA for 6 or 12 sec as
indicated. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 3-8 \) samples of 3 independent experiments; Mann-Whitney test, **\( p<0.005 \) and *\( p>0.05 \).

(F) Immunoblot of cell extract and supernatants for caspase-1, IL-1\( \beta \), GSDMD and \( \beta \)-actin from wild type BMDMs treated as in B, but with 8 impacts. Representative of \( n = 2 \) independent experiments.

(G) Fluorescence microscopy of HEK293T cells stably expressing NLRP3–YFP after 6 h of application of 2 impacts of 12 mA of galvanic current for 6 seconds, the specific inhibitor MCC950 (10 \( \mu M \)) was added 30 min before the galvanic current; scale bar 10 \( \mu m \); \( n = 3 \) independent experiments.

**Figure 3. Galvanic current does not induce inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis.**

(A) Extracellular amount of LDH determining cell membrane damage from mouse bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) treated for 2 h with LPS (1 \( \mu g/ml \)) and then 2 or 8 impacts of different intensities of galvanic current (3, 6, 12 mA) for 6 or 12 seconds were applied as indicated. Cells were then further cultured for 6 h before LDH determination in supernatant. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 3-4 \) samples of 6 independent experiments; Kruskal-Wallis test to compare LPS with increasing intensities of galvanic current, LPS vs LPS+ galvanic current (12mA-12s)x2 unpaired t-test, LPS vs LPS+ galvanic current (12mA-6s)x8, ****\( p<0.0001 \), ***\( p<0.0005 \) and *\( p<0.05 \).

(B) Extracellular amount of LDH from wild type, \( Nlrp3^- \), \( Pycard^- \) and \( Casp1/11^- \) mouse BMDMs treated as in A. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 6-17 \) samples of 12 independent experiments; unpaired t-test except for \( Casp1/11^- \) comparison, ***\( p<0.0005 \) and *\( p<0.05 \).

(C) IL-1\( \beta \) (left) and IL-18 (right) release from wild type BMDMs treated as in A, but applying the NLRP3 specific inhibitor MCC950 (10 \( \mu M \)) during the last 6 h of culture.
Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 6-10 \) samples of 5 independent experiments; unpaired \( t \)-test, \(* * * p < 0.0001 \) and \( * p < 0.005 \).

(D) Extracellular amount of LDH from wild type BMDMs treated as in C. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 5-10 \) samples of 5 independent experiments; unpaired \( t \)-test, \( ns p > 0.005 \).

(E) Kinetic of Yo-Pro-1 uptake (upper panel) or slope of the uptake (lower panel) in wild type BMDMs treated for 2 h with LPS (1 \( \mu g/ml \)) and then with different intensities of galvanic current (as indicated) or with the detergent triton X-100 (1 %) for 3.5 h. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 3-6 \) of 3 independent experiments; Kruskal-Wallis test, \(* * * p < 0.0005, ** p < 0.005 \) and \( ns p > 0.05 \).

(F) Yo-Pro-1 uptake indicating plasma membrane pore formation and cell viability.

Kinetic of Yo-Pro-1 uptake (upper panel) or slope of the uptake (lower panel) in wild type or \( Pycard^{−/−} \) BMDMs treated as in E but, when indicated, the NLRP3 specific inhibitor MCC950 (10 \( \mu M \)) was added after galvanic current application. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 3-6 \) samples of 3 independent experiments; unpaired \( t \)-test, \( ** p < 0.005, * p < 0.05 \) and \( ns p > 0.05 \).

**Figure 4. Galvanic current induces polymorphonuclear and macrophage infiltrate in the calcaneal tendon of mice.**

(A) Representative hematoxylin and eosin images of wild type mice calcaneal tendon after 3 days' application of 3 punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue). Scale bar: 50 \( \mu m \). Magnification show the presence of polymorphonuclear cells (arrowheads).

(B) Quantification of polymorphonuclear cells per field of view of calcaneal tendon sections treated and stained as described in A. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \( n = 7-8 \) independent animals; unpaired \( t \)-test, \( * p < 0.005 \).
(C) Representative immunostaining images for the macrophage marker F4/80 from the calcaneal tendon of wild type mice treated as described in A. Scale bar: 50 μm. Magnification show the presence of F4/80 positive cells (arrowheads).

(D) Quantification of F4/80 positive cells per field of view of calcaneal tendon sections treated and stained as described in C. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 8 independent animals; Mann-Whitney test, *p<0.005.

**Figure 5. Galvanic current induces proinflammatory cytokine expression in the calcaneal tendon of mice.**

(A,B) Quantitative PCR for the indicated genes normalized to Actb in the calcaneal tendon of wild type mice after 3 days of applying 3 punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue), and compared to the expression of genes in non-treated tendons. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 4-12 independent animals; for Il6, Nlrp3, Pycard and Gsdmd unpaired t-test, for Il1b untreated vs galvanic current Mann-Whitney and puncture vs galvanic current unpaired t-test, for Cxcl10, Il1m and Casp1/11 Mann-Whitney test, for Il1a one sample Wilcoxon test (ND: non detected), ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005, *p<0.05 and ns p>0.05.

**Figure 6. Inflammatory response in the calcaneal tendon of Nlrp3−/− mice after galvanic current application.**

(A-C) Quantitative PCR for the indicated genes in the calcaneal tendons of Nlrp3−/− mice (calculated as 2^ΔΔCt) normalized to the expression in wild type (calculated as 2^ΔΔCt) after 3 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 3-12 independent animals; for Il1b, Nlrp3, Pycard, Casp1/11 and Gsdmd unpaired t-test, for Il1m and Cxcl10 Mann-whitney test, *p<0.05 and ns p>0.05.
(D) Quantification of polymorphonuclear (top) and F4/80 positive cells (bottom) per field of view from wild type and Nlrp3\(^{-/-}\) mice calcaneal tendon treated as in A. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \(n= 6-8\) independent animals; unpaired \(t\)-test, \(^*p<0.05\) and \(ns\) \(p>0.05\). Representative hematoxylin and eosin images (top) and F4/80 immunostaining (bottom) of calcaneal tendon quantified. Scale bar: 50 \(\mu\)m. Magnification shows the presence of polymorphonuclear (top) or F4/80 cells (bottom) denoted by arrowheads.

Figure 7. Galvanic current increase in type I collagen via NLRP3 inflammasome.

(A) Quantitative PCR for Tgfb1 in the calcaneal tendons of Nlrp3\(^{-/-}\) mice (calculated as \(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\)) normalized to the expression in wild type (calculated as \(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\)) after 3 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \(n= 6-12\) independent animals; Mann-Whitney test, \(***p<0.0005\).

(B,C) Quantification of the collagen type I and III in calcaneal tendon sections stained with picrosirius red from wild type (B,C) and Nlrp3\(^{-/-}\) (C) mice after 3, 7 or 14 days (B) or 3 days (C) application of punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue), or in non-treated tendons (white). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \(n= 3-12\) independent animals; for 3 days and panel C unpaired \(t\)-test, for 7 days non-treated \(vs\) needling Mann-Whitney test and non-treated \(vs\) percutaneous electrolysiss unpaired \(t\)-test, for 14 days untreated \(vs\) needling unpaired \(t\)-test and untreated \(vs\) percutaneous electrolysis Mann-Whitney test, \(**p<0.005, *p<0.05\) and \(ns\) \(p>0.05\).

(D) Quantification of collagen structural dispersion in calcaneal tendon sections imaged with second harmonic generation microscopy and calculated with an algorithm based on the Hough transform from wild type and Nlrp3\(^{-/-}\) mice after 7 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue), or in non-treated tendons (white). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; \(n= 3\) independent animals imaged at 2 or 3 different tendon areas, one-way ANOVA \(***p<0.0005\) and \(*p<0.05\).
(E) Biomechanical testing of calcaneal tendon from wild-type and Nlrp3−/− mice after 14 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue) or from non-treated tendons (white). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; $n$= 3-8 independent animals; unpaired $t$-test, *$p<0.05$ and ns $p>0.05$. 
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1—Figure supplement 1. Device designed to apply galvanic current in 6-well plates.

The two poles are separated by plastic spacers and generate a homogeneous and constant galvanic current throughout the well.

Figure 2—Figure supplement 1. Galvanic current does not induce a detectable decrease in intracellular K⁺.

(A) IL-1β release from wild type, Casp1/11⁻/⁻, Pycard⁻/⁻ and Nlrp3⁻/⁻ mouse bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) treated for 2 h with LPS (1 μg/ml) and then with 6 h with nigericin (1 μM). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 2-8 samples of 3 independent experiments; unpaired t-test, **p<0.005.

(B) Intracellular K⁺ concentration from wild type BMDMs primed with LPS as in A, but then treated for 30 min with nigericin (1 μM) or for the indicated time after 2 impacts of 12 mA for 6 sec. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 5-9 samples of 9 independent experiments; LPS vs LPS+nigericin unpaired t-test and LPS vs LPS+galvanic current at different times one-way ANOVA, ***p<0.0005 and ns p>0.05.

Figure 4—Figure supplement 1. Galvanic current does not affect tendon mastocytes, tenocytes or vascularity.

(A) Quantification of mastocytes per field of view of calcaneal tendon sections stained with toluidine blue from wild type mice after 3 days application of 3 punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 6-15 independent animals; Mann-
Whitney test, *p<0.05. Images on the right show representative sections where mastocytes were counted, scale bar 50 µm.

(B) IL-1β and LDH release from mice bone-marrow isolated neutrophils primed for 2 h with LPS (1 µg/ml) and then treated for 30 min with ATP (3 mM) or 6 h after application of 2 impacts of 12 mA of galvanic current for 6 seconds. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 3 independent animals.

(C) Representative hematoxylin and eosin images of wild type mice calcaneal tendon untreated or after 3 days of 3 punctures with needle (needling) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (percutaneous electrolysis), scale bar 200 µm.

(D,E,F) Number, area and circularity of nuclei of tenocytes of calcaneal tendon sections of wild type mice stained with hematoxylin and eosin after 3 days of 3 punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 4-14 independent animals; unpaired t-test, ****p<0.0001, *p<0.05 and ns p>0.05.

(G) Relative neovascularization assessed in calcaneal tendon sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin after different time (as indicated) of the application of 3 punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 8-15 independent animals.

Figure 6—Figure supplement 1. Cytokine expression in the calcaneal tendon of Pycard−/− mice after galvanic current application.

(A,B) Quantitative PCR for Il1b (A) and Cxcl10 (B) in the calcaneal tendons of Pycard−/− mice (calculated as 2−ΔΔCt) normalized to the expression in wild type (calculated as 2−ΔΔCt) after 3 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec. Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 3-12 independent animals; unpaired t-test.
Figure 7—Figure supplement 1. Galvanic current do not change properties of the collagen.

(A) Ultrasound scanning of the right elbow of a patient of with lateral epicondylalgia after 6 months, with pain and functional impairment. Baseline represents the image before galvanic current. Discharge represents the image after 4 sessions of percutaneous electrolysis with an intensity of 3 mA of galvanic current for 3 sec, 3 times (3:3:3). 6 w, represents the image after 6 weeks of the last session of percutaneous electrolysis.

(B,C) Quantification of the collagen fiber properties (width or length) in calcaneal tendon sections stained with picrosirius red from wild type mice after 3 and 7 days of punctures with needle (needling, green) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec (blue), or in untreated tendons (white). Center values represent the mean and error bars represent s.e.m.; n= 6-8 independent animals; (B) Mann-Whitney test, (C) non-treated vs needling Mann-Whitney test and non-treated vs percutaneous electrolysis unpaired t-test, ***p<0.0005, **p<0.005, *p<0.05 and ns p>0.05.

(D) Representative images of calcaneal tendons sections stained with picrosirius red and viewed with polarized light from wild type mice used for quantifying the collagen type I and III in main Figure 7C. Images are after 7 days of punctures with needle (needling) or 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec of percutaneous electrolysis, or non-treated tendons, scale bar 100 μm.

(E) Raw representative images of second harmonic generation microscopy of calcaneal tendons from wild-type and Nlrp3−/− mice untreated or after 7 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec, scale bar 50 μm.

Figure 7—Figure supplement 2. Model summarizing the action of galvanic current in tendon regeneration.
**Figure 7—Figure supplement 3.** Clamps designed to measure tendon tension.

(A) Image illustrating mice paws after dissection, maintaining intact the calcaneus and the gastrocnemius/soleus muscles.

(B) Image illustrating mice paws after muscle fibers were removed to expose the intramuscular tendon fibers of the calcaneal tendon.

(C) Image illustrating the orientation and the position of mice paws in the biochemical testing of the calcaneal tendon before starting the load.

**Figure 7—Figure supplement 4.** Accumulation matrix of the algorithm based on the Hough transform from collagen fibers imaged with second harmonic generation microscopy of calcaneal tendons from wild-type and *Nlrp3*−/− mice after 7 days of 3 impacts of 3 mA for 3 sec; scale bar 50 μm.
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