Tonic inhibition of the chloride/proton antiporter ClC-7 by PI(3,5)P2 is crucial for lysosomal pH maintenance
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ABSTRACT

The acidic luminal pH of lysosomes, maintained within a narrow range, is essential for proper degradative function of the organelle and is generated by the action of a V-type H⁺ ATPase, but other pathways for ion movement are required to dissipate the voltage generated by this process. CIC-7, a Cl⁻/H⁺ antiporter responsible for lysosomal Cl⁻ permeability, is a candidate to contribute to the acidification process as part of this “counterion pathway”. The signaling lipid PI(3,5)P2 modulates lysosomal dynamics, including by regulating lysosomal ion channels, raising the possibility that it could contribute to lysosomal pH regulation. Here we demonstrate that depleting PI(3,5)P2 by inhibiting the PIKfyve kinase causes lysosomal hyperacidification, primarily via an effect on CIC-7. We further show that PI(3,5)P2 directly inhibits CIC-7 transport and that this inhibition is eliminated in a disease-causing gain-of-function CIC-7 mutation. Together these observations suggest an intimate role for CIC-7 in lysosomal pH regulation.
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INTRODUCTION

Lysosomes are acidic organelles that participate in cellular defense and homeostasis by clearing and recycling intra- and extra-cellular components and informing the cell on its stress and metabolic status (Levine et al., 2011; Saftig and Klumperman, 2009; Settembre et al., 2013). Their acidity provides an optimal environment for luminal enzyme activity and is a source of electrochemical energy for lysosomal transporters (Müller et al., 2012; Sagné and Gasnier, 2008). Two main systems act in tandem to maintain a set point value ranging between 4.5 and 5.0 pH units: a V-ATPase that pumps and concentrates protons inside the lysosomal lumen using ATP hydrolysis, and a counterion pathway that dissipates the membrane electrical potential generated by V-ATPase activity (Ishida et al., 2013; Mindell, 2012). We currently don’t know if the counterion pathway is a single system or a set of channels and transporters with different ion selectivities. One candidate is the lysosomal transporter CIC-7 of the CLC family (Kornak et al., 2001): it is expressed widely, located predominantly on late-endosome/lysosomal membranes, exports 1H⁺ to import 2Cl⁻ per transport cycle and is the major lysosomal chloride conductance (Graves et al., 2008; Lange et al., 2006; Leisle et al., 2011). Although CIC-7’s role as a counterion pathway is still debated (Graves et al., 2008; Ishida et al., 2013; Jentsch and Pusch, 2018; Kasper et al., 2005; Kornak et al., 2001; Mindell, 2012; Steinberg et al., 2010; Weinert et al., 2014), new
work on patients with a novel ClC-7 disease-causing mutation strongly supports this hypothesis, with a
gain of function of the transporter resulting in lysosomal hyperacidification (Nicoli et al., 2019).
Recent work established a connection between ClC-7 and the phospholipid kinase PIKfyve (Gayle
et al., 2017). PIKfyve synthesizes phosphatidylinositol-3,5-biphosphate (PI(3,5)P2) from PI3P at the
lysosomal membrane and participates in the maturation and trafficking of endosomes and lysosomes
(Hasegawa et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2016). While it is well established that pharmacological inhibition or
gene disruption of PIKfyve depletes PI(3,5)P2, alters endolysosomal trafficking, and leads to generation
of large cytoplasmic vacuoles (Bissig et al., 2017; Chow et al., 2007; Choy et al., 2018; Compton et al.,
2016; Ikonomov et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2019; Yamamoto et al., 1995; Zolov et al., 2012), relatively
few studies have examined the effects of PIKfyve inhibition on lysosomal pH. In these studies, PIKfyve
inhibition had no effect in some cell types but did affect the pH in others, depending on the inhibitor
used and the measurement method (Ho et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2019). In this context it was
surprising that ClCN7 and OSTM1 (ClC-7's β-subunit) were among only four hits in a global CRISPR screen
targeting 19,050 protein coding genes to confer apilimod resistance, and the only two genes that, upon
CRISPR knocked, reduced PIKfyve-inhibitor-induced vacuole formation (Gayle et al., 2017). Given the
potential role of CIC-7 in lysosomal acidification, these results suggest that PIKfyve might regulate
lysosomal pH by modulating ClC-7 activity, and might thereby tune lysosomal traffic. Consistent with this
hypothesis, PI(3,5)P2 inhibits ClC-a, an CLC anion/H+ exchanger that contributes to the vacuolar
acidification of plant cells, equivalent to lysosomes in animal cells (Carpaneto et al., 2017).
Here, using ratiometric measurements of lysosomal pH in cells treated with the PIKfyve inhibitor
apilimod, we first explored the effects of PIKfyve inhibition on lysosomal pH and size. We then assessed
the relationship between lysosomal pH and vacuole formation. Finally, we evaluated the role of CIC-7 in
this process, using both pH measurements of lysosomes from CIC-7 knockout cells and patch-clamp
electrophysiology of CIC-7 trafficked to the plasma membrane. Moreover, we link these effects to a
recently identified rare disease mutation, Y715C, that causes enlarged vacuoles and lysosomal
hyperacidification, similar to the effects of apilimod, providing insight into the mechanism of PI(3,5)P2
action on CIC-7. Altogether, our data reveal that PI(3,5)P2-inhibition of CIC-7 provides a brake for the
lysosomal acidification mechanism, preventing overacidification by the V-type ATPase. Our results
further indicate that vacuole formation is not tightly coupled to lysosomal pH and that vacuoles can
form even when normal lysosomal pH is perturbed. Finally, we demonstrate that the mechanisms of
PI(3,5)P2 inhibition of CIC-7 and of the recently described disease-causing mutation Y715C are tightly intertwined.

RESULTS

Lysosomes swollen by PIKfyve inhibition are hyperacidic.

We initially sought to determine the effect of PIKfyve inhibition on lysosomal pH using the Osteosarcoma Cell line U2OS; U2OS lysosomes are easily loaded with pH-sensing dyes and are distributed in the cells sparsely enough to image quantitatively and robustly. As our pH probe, we used Oregon Green 488-dextran (OG), a ratiometric pH sensitive dye with a pKa of 4.8, well suited for the lysosomal pH range and which is delivered effectively to lysosomes via fluid-phase endocytosis (DiCiccio and Steinberg, 2011).

We loaded endolysosomes and lysosomes, hereafter referred as lysosomes, with OG using standard methods with an overnight pulse of OG488-dextran followed by a 4-hr chase in dye-free media to allow the dye to accumulate in lysosomes. Most OG positive vesicles are lysosome-related compartments, as shown by staining with both Cathepsin-B and Lysotracker (Fig. 1 Supplement 1). After delivering OG fully to lysosomes, we treated cells for 3h with 100nM of the PIKfyve inhibitor apilimod or its vehicle (0.25% DMSO), enough to induce visible cytoplasmic vacuoles (Fig. 1, A and B). The OG-containing vacuoles seen in these cells are enlarged lysosomes (Bissig et al., 2017; Choy et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019). We measured lysosomal pH using excitation-ratio imaging, including full pH calibration curves in every experiment. Though apilimod causes dramatic changes in lysosome size, overlap between pH calibration curves in control and apilimod treated conditions shows that vacuole properties don’t interfere with pH measurement (Fig. 1C). A representative experiment reveals a substantial shift in lysosomal pH in cells treated with apilimod compared with control (Fig 1D), further supported by averaged results from multiple independent experiments (Fig 1F). In control conditions the lysosomal pH is 4.32 ± 0.04 (mean ± SEM). We find that, in addition to its well-known effects on size, apilimod treatment induces robust lysosomal hyperacidification, reaching an average pH of 4.02 ± 0.05. This decrease of 0.31 pH unit corresponds to a doubling of the free proton concentration of the lysosomal lumen compared to control (Fig. 1F). The global shift of the cumulative distribution of individual lysosomal pHs reveals that apilimod-induced lysosomal hyperacidification is homogeneous and not restricted to a subset of lysosomes (Fig. 1E). Similar measurements in neonatal fibroblasts and SUDHL B-cell lymphoma cells confirmed that their lysosomes also hyperacidify when treated with apilimod (Fig 1 Supplement 2). Taken together, these results establish that acute pharmacological
inhibition of PIKfyve indeed affects lysosomal pH, surprisingly causing hyperacidification. Well-documented hyperacidification is rare in lysosomal manipulation or disease, though we recently reported a disease-causing CIC-7 gain-of-function mutation with this effect (Nicoli et al., 2019).

**FIGURE 1:** Lysosomes swollen by PIKfyve inhibition are hyperacidic. (A) Protocol timeline. U2OS cells were “lysosome-loaded” with Oregon Green 488 dextran (OG loading) and treated for 3h with PIKfyve inhibitor apilimod (100nM, red) or its vehicle (0.25% DMSO, control) before imaging. (B - E) A representative experiment. (B) Images of cells acquired by 445nm laser excitation. Bright objects represent OG positive lysosomes in control (left) versus apilimod (right) conditions. Dotted lines delineate cell outlines. (C) pH calibration curves obtained in control (grey empty circle) or apilimod (red triangle) conditions. Each symbol represents the averaged lysosomal 488/445 ratio of one cell (4 cells per condition). (D) Lysosomal pH measured in control (left, grey empty circles, 16 cells, 4.40 ± 0.02) versus 100 µM apilimod (red triangles, 17 cells, 4.12 ± 0.03) for an individual experiment. Dark symbols represent averages over all cells in the experiment. Each pale symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH of one cell. Unpaired t-test: p<0.0001. (E) Cumulative distribution of individual lysosomal pH for control (grey empty circle, 16 cells, 732 lysosomes) versus apilimod (red triangle, 17 cells, 628 lysosomes) conditions from the same experiment as in Figure 1D. Each symbol represents the fraction of lysosomes having a pH value below that represented in the abscissa. (F) Apilimod-induced pH shifts (-0.31 ± 0.04) from multiple independent experiments and corresponding fold changes in proton concentration (2.03 ± 0.17); 10 experiments (8-17 cells per condition in each experiment). Proton concentration change ([H+]_change) was calculated from apilimod-induced pH shift (ΔpH) using the following relation: [H+]_change = 10^{−ΔpH}. Paired t-test: p<0.0001. Dark symbols represent averages over all independent experiments. Each pale symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH from multiple cells in one experiment. The dot delineated by a black box corresponds to the experiment presented in panels B-E. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM.

**Lysosomal swelling and hyperacidification are independent events**

In cultured cells, pharmaceutical inhibition of PIKfyve depletes PI(3,5)P2 on the time scale of minutes (Zolov et al., 2012). Subsequently, lysosomes increase in size steadily over time (Choy et al., 2018), an effect that reverses over several hours after drug removal (Choy et al., 2018; Bissig et al.,...
We analyzed the time course of PIKfyve induced lysosomal swelling and hyperacidification to investigate the relationship between the two effects.

Measuring lysosomal pH and size in OG-loaded U2OS cells treated with 100nM apilimod for 30min, 1h, 3h and 24h, revealed that, as previously reported, initial appearance of vacuoles is rapid (Fig. 2 A, B, and D) (Choy et al., 2018). However, whereas lysosome hyperacidification is complete (stabilizing at 0.27 +/- 0.05 pH below control) during the first hour of treatment, the organelles continue enlarging over the next 24h, (Fig. 2C). Treatment with another recently identified PIKfyve inhibitor, WX8 (1µM)(Sharma et al., 2019), recapitulates the effects of apilimod, ruling out an off-target effect (Fig. 2E). Plotting pH vs size of individual lysosomes during hyperacidification (30min and 1h) revealed no detectable correlation (Fig. 2 Supplement 1). After apilimod removal lysosomes quickly begin to shrink via tubulation events (Fig. 2F, G orange box and Fig. 2I) but lysosomal pH starts to recover only after 2h (when lysosomal size is almost back to normal Fig. 2, H and I), fully recovering more than 6h after apilimod washout (Fig. 2H).

Thus, both the kinetics and extent of the changes in lysosomal size and pH during and after apilimod treatment are quite distinct, suggesting that the processes underlying these changes are at least partially independent.
FIGURE 2: Evolution of lysosomal pH and size during PIKfyve inhibition and recovery. (A) Protocol timeline to analyze the evolution of lysosomal pH and size during PIKfyve inhibition. U2OS cells were “lysosome-loaded” with OG then treated for 30min, 1h, 3h or 24h with apilimod (100nM, red) or its vehicle (0.25% DMSO, control) before imaging. (B) Representative images of cells acquired by 445nm laser excitation. Bright objects represent OG positive lysosomes. Dotted lines delineate cell outlines. (C - D) Comparison of lysosomal pH (C) and size (D) in control (grey empty circle) and after different apilimod treatment times (red triangle). Each symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH of one experiment (4 independent experiments, 10-15 cells per condition per experiment). Red dashed line in (C) represents the average pH value of 1h to 24h apilimod time points. P-values are obtained from one-way ANOVA Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test. (E) Comparison of lysosomal pH in control condition (grey empty circle) and after different treatment times with the PIKfyve inhibitor WX8 (1µM, blue triangle). Each symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH of one experiment (2 experiments, 10-13 cells per condition per experiment).
per experiment). Blue dashed line represents the average value of 1h to 24h WX8 time points. (F) Protocol timeline to analyze the recovery of lysosomal pH and size after washout of apilimod. U2OS cells were “lysosome-loaded” with OG, treated 2h with 100nM apilimod or its vehicle (0.25% DMSO, control) to induce lysosomal hyperacidification and swelling. Apilimod was subsequently washed out with fresh media and imaged 30min, 1h, 3h, 6h and 24h after the washout. (G) Representative images of cells acquired using 445nm laser excitation. Bright objects represent OG positive lysosomes. Dotted lines delineate cell outlines. The orange box at 30min time point highlights lysosomal tubulation events. (H - I) Comparison of lysosomal pH (H) and size (I) in control condition (grey empty circle), after 2h apilimod treatment (black triangle) and at different times after apilimod washout (red triangle). Each symbol represents the average lysosomal pH of one experiment (3-7 experiments, 8-15 cells per condition per experiment).

Lysosomal hyperacidification is not required for vacuole formation.

Our results regarding the kinetics of apilimod-induced lysosomal pH and size changes suggest that these two effects may occur through different mechanisms (Fig. 2 H and I). To test this possibility, we evaluated whether alkalinizing lysosomal compartments could prevent vacuole formation. This question was previously addressed in part using the V-ATPase inhibitor BafA1 and the lysosomotrophic agents chloroquine and NH4Cl in the monkey fibroblast COS7 cell line (Compton et al., 2016). Although V-ATPase inhibition in that study clearly prevents vacuole formation, the pH modifying reagents NH4Cl and chloroquine used in that paper were added 40 minutes after pharmacological inhibition of PIKfyve; given our results, this changes the pH well after the development of lysosomal hyperacidification and probably too late to affect early pH-dependent processes (Fig. 2C).

To determine the influence of lysosomal pH early in the process of apilimod-induced enlargement, we manipulated the pH by adding either 12µM of chloroquine, 100nM of BafA1, or a vehicle (water/DMSO) to OG-loaded U2OS cells 30min prior to and throughout 3h 100nM apilimod treatment (Fig. 3A), then imaged cells to quantify lysosomal pH and size (Fig. 3B). 30min treatment with 12µM chloroquine is long enough to alkalinize lysosomes to ~pH5.5-6.0 (Fig. 3C and Fig. 3 Supplement 1), a range where OG is still sensitive to pH variations (Fig. 1C). As previously reported, inhibition of V-ATPase with BafA1 prevents vacuole formation (Compton et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2019) (Fig. 3D). Conversely, vacuoles still form with chloroquine pre-treatment (Fig. 3D). To distinguish the effect of pH from that of direct V-ATPase inhibition, we added 25 µM chloroquine to raise the lysosomal pH to above the value resulting from Bafilomycin treatment. We still observed the same level of vacuolization upon apilimod treatment, ruling out the pH change due to V-ATPase inhibition as the cause (data not shown). Interestingly, even after alkalinization with chloroquine, apilimod exposure significantly lowered lysosomal pH (Fig. 3C; 5.63 ± 0.13 SEM (untreated) versus 5.22 ± 0.10 SEM (apilimod); Paired t-test, p=0.02). Both hyperacidification and vacuole formation are entirely lost when the V-ATPase is inhibited (Fig. 3C; 6.26 ± 0.20 (untreated) vs 6.25 ± 0.23 (Apilimod)), although OG is poorly sensitive to pH changes at this almost neutral pH (Fig. 1C). Taken together, these results prove that the early hyperacidification
of lysosomes induced by PIKfyve inhibition is not required for vacuole formation but confirm that V-ATPase activity is necessary for the process.

**FIGURE 3: Alkilinazing lysosomal pH does not prevent lysosomal swelling.** (A) Protocol timeline. U2OS cells were “lysosome-loaded” with OG and pre-treated 30min with chloroquine (12µM, red), BafA1 (100nM, blue) or a vehicle (0.31% DMSO, grey), before 3h treatment with apilimod (100nM, bottom images) or a vehicle (control, DMSO 0.31%, upper images). (B) Representative images of cells acquired by 445nm laser excitation. Bright objects represent OG positive lysosomes. Dotted lines delineate cells outlines. (C, D) Comparison of lysosomal pH (C) or size (D) in control (grey), chloroquine (chboro., red) and BafA1 (bafilo., blue) conditions during untreated (api. -, empty symbols) versus apilimod treated (api. +, filled symbols) conditions. Each symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH or size of one experiment (4 experiments; 8-11 cells per condition). In (C) apilimod induces a lysosomal pH shift to more acidic value in chloroquine condition (Paired t-test, p=0.02).

Increasing PI(3,5)P2 levels does not affect lysosomal pH

PIKfyve inhibition, and consequent PI(3,5)P2 depletion, hyperacidifies lysosomes to a new, lower, pH set-point (Fig. 2C). We also tested whether increasing PI(3,5)P2 levels influenced lysosomal pH using a hyperactive mutant form of PIKfyve (PIKfyveKYA) which raises PI(3,5)P2 levels by several fold in mouse hippocampal cultured neurons when overexpressed (McCartney et al., 2014b). As a negative
control we overexpressed WT PIKfyve (which does not change PI(3,5)P2 levels) (McCartney et al., 2014b).

We measured lysosomal pH of OG-loaded U2OS cells 24h after transfection with either PIKfyveKYA or PIKfyve (Fig. 4A, B). Because both PIKfyve versions were untagged, we used cotransfected mCherry as a marker for transfection (Fig. 4C); since co-transfected plasmids usually are delivered to the same cells, the mCherry positive population must also be enriched in cells expressing the PIKfyve constructs. Note that mCherry expression doesn’t impact lysosomal pH (Fig 4D). Cells coexpressing mCherry and PIKfyveKYA show similar lysosomal pH and size compared to controls (Fig. 4, D and E). Thus, while lowering PI(3,5)P2 results in a pH change, raising it does not. Perhaps the effector(s) downstream of the lipid in the pathway that affects pH is already saturated with the lipid under normal cellular conditions in U2OS cells.

**FIGURE 4: Increasing PI(3,5)P2 levels does not affect lysosomal pH.** (A) Protocol timeline. U2OS cells were transfected with either mCherry alone, mCherry and PIKfyve, or mCherry and PIKfyveKYA and subsequently “lysosome-loaded” with OG. Cells were imaged after 24h expression to quantify lysosomal pH and size. (B) Western-blot indicating PIKfyve or PIKfyveKYA expression level for each condition after 24h expression. Note that PIKfyve endogenous level (control) was too low to be detected. (C) Representative cells imaged by 445nm laser excitation (OG channel, left image) and 640nm laser excitation (mCherry channel, right image). The red arrow indicates one cell containing lysosomes loaded with OG (bright dots in OG channel) and expressing mCherry (bright cell in mCherry channel). The white arrow indicates one cell from the same batch...
containing lysosomes filled with OG but not expressing mCherry. Dotted lines delineate cell shape. (D, E) Comparison of lysosomal pH (D) or size (E) in control (circle), PIKfyve-transfected (triangle) and PIKfyve<sup>KYA</sup>-transfected (diamond) conditions. For each condition, cells were separated into two populations based on the presence or absence of mCherry. Each symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH or size of one experiment (6 experiments; 8-11 cells per condition per experiment). P-values: Paired t-test between PIKfyve-mCherry and PIKfyve<sup>KYA</sup>-mCherry conditions.
ClC-7 is involved in both lysosomal hyperacidification and size regulation

Recently, Gayle et al. observed that the loss of either ClC-7 or its obligate β-subunit, Ostm1, provides resistance against vacuole formation induced by apilimod treatment in B-cell Non Hodgkin Lymphoma (Gayle et al., 2017). Since ClC-7 has been hypothesized to facilitate lysosomal acidification (Graves et al., 2008; Ishida et al., 2013; Mindell, 2012), and as apilimod shifts lysosomal pH to a new set point (Fig. 2C), we analyzed the impact of CLCN7 knock out on PIKfyve inhibition, generating a U2OS CLCN7 knock out cell line (ClC-7 KO) using CRISPR/Cas9 (Shalem et al., 2014). Without good available antibodies for ClC-7, we validated CLCN7 allele deletion both at genomic and transcript levels (Fig. 5A and Fig. 5 Supplement 1). This ClC-7 KO cell line has two different CLCN7 alleles with 64bp or 118bp frameshift deletions in EXON1 (Fig. 5B). No wild type allele remains.

To probe for effects of knocking out ClC-7 on apilimod sensitivity, we measured lysosomal pH in WT and ClC-7 KO U2OS cells (100 nM apilimod, 3h; Fig. 5C, D). Overlap of both pH calibration curves and cumulative distribution plots of individual lysosomal pH for WT and KO lysosomes demonstrates that knocking out ClC-7 affects neither lysosomal OG loading nor trans-membrane pH equilibration (Fig. 5 Supplement 2). Consistent with previous reports for several cell types in primary culture (Kasper et al., 2005; Lange et al., 2006; Weinert et al., 2010), knocking out ClC-7 in untreated U2OS cells doesn’t alter lysosomal pH (Fig. 5G). The size of untreated CLC-7 KO lysosomes is also unchanged from WT (Fig. 5F). Strikingly, however, knocking out ClC-7 strongly diminishes apilimod-induced lysosomal hyperacidification: the ∆pH is only about 0.13 units in CLC-7 KO cells compared to 0.31 units in WT cells (Fig. 5, representative experiment in E; average of multiple independent experiments in H; 0.31 pH units ± 0.04 SEM, WT; versus 0.13 pH units ± 0.04 SEM, ClC-7; P=0.0119). Similarly, lysosome swelling still occurs upon apilimod treatment in ClC-7 KO cells, but to a lesser extent (Fig. 5, representative experiment in F; average of multiple independent experiments in I; 4.11 µm² ± 0.37 versus 2.04 µm² ± 0.29 for CLC-7 WT and KO cells, respectively; P=0.0017).

Overall, these results indicate that ClC-7 is involved in two important mechanisms downstream of PIKfyve. First, it contributes to setting the lysosomal pH, at least under some circumstances. Second, ClC-7 participates in the regulation of lysosomal size and/or lysosomal trafficking through a yet unknown mechanism.
FIGURE 5: ClC-7 KO cells display both lysosomal hyperacidification and swelling reduction. (A) Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR product amplified from ClC7 deletion site of wild type (ClC7 Wt, left) and ClC7 knock-out (ClC-7 KO, right) U2OS cells. (B) EXON1 sequence from ClC7 WT (black) and KO (purple and teal) alleles. (C) Protocol timeline. Cells were “lysosome-loaded” with OG and treated for 3h with apilimod (100nM, red) or its vehicle (0.25% DMSO, control) before imaging. (D) Images from a representative experiment: ClC-7 WT (left) or ClC-7 KO (right) cells acquired by 445nm laser excitation. Bright objects represent OG positive lysosomes in control (top) versus apilimod (bottom) conditions. Dotted lines delineate cell outlines. (E, F) Lysosomal pH (E) or size (F) from ClC-7 WT (grey circle) or ClC-7 KO (red triangle) cells in apilimod (filled symbols) versus control (empty symbols) in a representative experiment. Dark symbols are averages over all cells; each pale symbol represents the average lysosomal pH or size from one cell. P-values for apilimod effects are obtained from two-way ANOVA. (G) There is no significant difference in pH between untreated WT and untreated KO cells (P=0.5675, unpaired t-test). For G, H, I, dark symbols are averages over all experiments; each pale symbol represents the averaged lysosomal pH or size from one experiment (10 and 6 independent experiments for WT and KO conditions, respectively; each experiment represents 8-18 cells per condition). (H, I) Comparison of lysosomal pH-shift (H) or size-shift (I) induced by apilimod treatment in ClC-7 WT (grey)
Proton concentration change ($[H^+]_{change}$) was calculated from apilimod-induced pH shift ($\Delta pH$) using the following relation: $[H^+]_{change} = 10^{-\Delta pH}$. P-values from unpaired t-test.

**PI(3,5)P2 inhibits CIC-7 transporter currents**

In the simplest model for our observations, the effect of PI(3,5)P2 on CIC-7 would be via a direct interaction with the protein, thereby modulating its activity and its contribution to lysosomal acidification. Our results so far are consistent with a hypothesis in which PI(3,5)P2 inhibits CIC-7 transport activity: relief of this inhibition, as by PI(3,5)P2 removal, would activate CIC-7, allow excess Cl$^-$ to enter the lysosome, and thereby provide excess counterions to facilitate further (and excessive) acidification by the V-type ATPase. A recently published cryo-EM structure of the human CIC-7/Ostm1 complex demonstrates that a direct interaction is indeed possible, revealing electron density compatible with a phosphoinositide bound to the protein (Fig. 6A, B) (Schrecker et al., 2020). With the head group located at the interface between the cytosolic and transmembrane domains (Schrecker et al., 2020), the positioning of the bound lipid is intriguing, especially given that a large body of evidence that CLC cytoplasmic domains play important roles in the slow voltage-dependent gating observed in these proteins (Bykova et al., 2006; Ludwig et al., 2013). In this context, we next sought to directly test for a functional effect of PI(3,5)P2 on CIC-7 activity by measuring transport currents using patch clamp electrophysiology. We transfected HEK-293 cells with a CIC-7 construct containing mutations to the N-terminal lysosome-targeting motif that enable functional transporters to be trafficked to the plasma membrane (CIC-7PM), allowing measurement of whole-cell currents using patch clamp (Leisle et al., 2011; Stauber and Jentsch, 2010). In lysosomes, PI(3,5)P2 is found in the cytosolic leaflet of the membrane; thus, to introduce the phospholipid, we added 50 µM short-chain PI(3,5)P2 to the pipette solution (Collins and Gordon, 2013). We expected that after initial break-in, the lipid would gradually diffuse into the cell and partition into the membrane over the span of a few minutes.

In the absence of PI(3,5)P2, repeated pulse families demonstrate the stability of the currents, with nearly 100% of the initial current remaining after 4 minutes. (Figure 6 Supplement 1). In contrast, with the signaling lipid in the pipette we observe substantial inhibition which develops over the first several minutes after break-in and reaches steady-state by approximately three minutes, our chosen time point for measurement (Figure 6 Supplement 1). Current families recorded in the absence (30 sec after break-in) and presence (3 min after break-in) of PI(3,5)P2 reflect the effect of the signaling lipid on the transporter current. Recordings made without PI(3,5)P2 in the pipette showed little change between the two timepoints; activation and deactivation kinetics and current magnitudes remained mostly constant, again demonstrating that the CIC-7 currents are stable over time (Figure 6E). However,
including 50 µM PI(3,5)P2 in the pipette resulted in a ~39% decrease in maximum voltage-activated
currents and 66% decrease in the amplitude of tail currents following repolarization (Fig 6C, E). The
deactivation rate was also significantly faster in the presence of PI(3,5)P2 (Fig. 6 Supplement 2A). The
effects of PI(3,5)P2 on maximal current and tail current amplitude were dose-dependent with increasing
inhibition by PI(3,5)P2 over concentrations between 25-100 µM (Fig 6 Supplement 2E). PI(3,5)P2-
inhibition of CIC-7 appears to be specific: neither PI3P or PI(4,5)P2 yielded detectable inhibition of the
CIC-7 currents (Fig 6G, H, Fig 6 Supplement 2C, D). Because the lipid bound to CIC-7 in the structure was
modeled as a PI3P (due to steric clashes between the 5-phosphate and adjacent residues when PI(3,5)P2
was modeled), its lack of effect on CIC-7 currents was surprising. Since the lipid in the CryoEM structure
was not added during the purification, it must have been carried through the preparation bound to CIC-
7, and should be tightly bound, but the lack of effect in our experiments suggests, at best, a low affinity.

To further test for an interaction with PI3P, we therefore attempted competition experiments with
equimolar mixes of PI(3,5)2 and PI3P; the presence of PI3P in these experiments did not detectably
affect the inhibition by PI(3,5)P2, suggesting a specific effect of the doubly phosphorylated lipid (Fig 6G,
H, Fig 6 Supplement 2C, D). We conclude that PI(3,5)P2 specifically inhibits CIC-7 transport currents.

The concentrations of PI(3,5)P2 required for effective inhibition in our experiments are relatively
high, with an EC50 of approximately 30 µM. We sought to distinguish the possibility of low-affinity
interaction with CIC-7 from the alternative explanation that the concentration of lipid in the patch pipette
does not deliver a matching level to the plasma membrane by calibrating our system against a protein
with a known dose response to PI(3,5)P2, in this case the lysosomal cation channel TPC1 (She et. al,
2018). PI(3,5)P2 activates currents of a mutant TPC1 expressed in HEK cells when added to the pipette in
conditions similar to those we use. In published work the EC50 of this activation is approximately 145
nM. In our hands PI(3,5)P2 activates TPC1 at higher pipette concentrations, with an EC50 of 7-10 µM
(Figure 6 Supplement 3), suggesting less efficient delivery of the lipid to the plasma membrane in our
system. In this setting our measured EC50, though lower than that of TPC1, is in a similar range. In either
case, we expect that the final concentration of PI(3,5)P2 in the plasma membrane is substantially, likely
more than an order-of-magnitude, lower than that in the pipette solution leaving the actual binding
affinity uncertain.
The pathogenic mutation Y715C abolishes PI(3,5)P2 inhibition of CIC-7

Recently, a novel disease-causing mutation in CIC-7, Y715C, was reported in two patients (Nicoli et al., 2019). At the lysosomal level, this variant is characterized by enlarged vacuoles and hyperacidified lysosomes, a phenotype remarkably similar to that observed in apilimod-treated cells. Consistent with
these features, currents measured from cells expressing CIC-7-Y715C were larger than those expressing wild-type CIC-7, suggesting that enhanced chloride/proton exchange could allow more Cl\textsuperscript{−} to enter the lysosome and facilitate excess acidification, thereby contributing to the observed lower pH levels (Nicoli et al., 2019). Examination of the human CIC-7 structure reveals that the side chain of Tyrosine 715, located on the cytoplasmic domain, is within 10 Å of the inositol ring of the bound PIP, and that it is involved in a hydrogen-bonding network with ring hydroxyls and several TM-domain residues (Figure 6B).

We reasoned that this network might play an important role in coupling the binding of PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} to changes in transport currents. If so, the Y715C mutation might perturb this interaction and limit the inhibitory effect of PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2}, resulting in the more active phenotype we previously described (Nicoli et al., 2019). To test this possibility, we repeated the PIP2 patch-clamp electrophysiology experiments described above after introducing the Y715C mutation. For currents from CIC-7PM Y715C, the inhibitory effect of PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} we observed for WT transporters is no longer detectable; the phosphoinositide had essentially no effect on the mutant CIC-7 currents under these conditions (Fig. 6 D, F, Fig 6 Supplement 1D). This result suggests that the Y715C mutation either weakens the binding of PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} to CIC-7 or disrupts the coupling between lipid binding and the transporter gating. As with wild-type CIC-7, PI3P also had no detectable effect on Y715C mutant currents (Fig 6G,H, Fig 6 Supplement 1 C, D). Even increasing the pipette concentration of PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} to 100 µM did not affect Y715C currents (not shown). These results demonstrate a mechanistic connection between the lysosomal effects observed under PIKfyve inhibition and those observed in patients carrying the Y715C mutation: in the former case, a decrease in available PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} relieves a tonic inhibition of CIC-7 by the lipid, and in the latter, PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2} may be present but it is unable to elicit its usual inhibition of transport.
Figure 7: Y715 is required for proper ClC-7 function. Y715x mutations were introduced to the plasma-membrane targeted ClC-7 and currents measured in Xenopus oocytes under Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp. (A) Representative voltage families recorded from oocytes injected with the indicated construct. (B) Maximum current measured from ClC-7 expressing oocytes at the end of a 2 s +80 mV voltage pulse. (C) Activation time constant at +80mV obtained from a single exponential fit to the current trace. Each dot represents a value measured from an individual oocyte; 2-5 independent batches of oocytes were recorded for each mutant.

Close examination of the human ClC-7 structure reveals that Y715 is engaged in a network of H-bonds with residues on the protein’s transmembrane domain that extends to the 5’ hydroxyl on the PIP inositol ring (Fig 6B). We tested the importance of the hydroxyl group and phenyl ring on this tyrosine by mutating it to a phenylalanine or to a serine, respectively and measured transporter currents using the ClC-7PM expressed in Xenopus oocytes with two-electrode voltage clamp (Fig. 7). Removing either the hydroxyl group (Y715F) or the phenyl ring (Y715S) both increased maximal current at +80 mV and sped current activation. For each mutation we examined, the transporter current had characteristics nearly identical to that of the original Y715C mutant, suggesting that the WT tyrosine is essential at this position. Indeed, multiple other mutations at this position all resulted in currents very similar to that of
Y715C, strongly supporting the importance of the WT tyrosine for proper transporter function (Figs 7, Fig 7 Supplement 1).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that acute pharmacological inhibition of PIKfyve and consequent depletion of PI(3,5)P2, induces a lysosomal hyperacidification of 0.3 to 0.5 pH units, and that the effect is largely due to contributions from ClC-7. Our mechanistic experiments revealing direct inhibition of ClC-7 by PI(3,5)P2 suggest that tonic PI(3,5)P2 inhibition of the ClC-7 is relieved by the lipid depletion caused by apilimod inhibition of PIKfyve. We observed hyperacidification in multiple cell types, including U2OS osteosarcoma cells, human neonatal fibroblasts and SUDHL B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma cells. Our results clearly establish that PIKfyve inhibition can affect lysosomal pH. There are several possible reasons why previous efforts to identify such changes were unsuccessful. First, it is essential to use a dye with sensitivity at the low end of the lysosomal pH range. Ho et al (Ho et al., 2015) used FITC as their pH sensing dye; with a pKa around 6.0 (Fig. 1 Supplement 3) the dye is minimally sensitive to pH below about 4.5 so changes in the range we observe would be difficult to detect. Recently, Sharma et al. measured lysosomal pH with OG and concluded that apilimod or a new PIKfyve inhibitor named WX8 don’t affect lysosomal pH of RAW or U2OS cells (Sharma et al., 2019). However, their experiments yield untreated lysosomal pH values of 5.25 ± 0.05 units in both cell lines; this is above the range we observe and may indicate a problem with calibration or image processing. Nonetheless, that group did observe a (significant) hyperacidification of ~0.2 pH units with one inhibitor (WX8), consistent with our findings. Additional variability may result from cell-type differences in acidification control or in the role of PIKfyve (Sbrissa and Shisheva, 2005).

Apilimod-induced vacuoles originate from endolysosomes and lysosomes through alterations in the tubulation/fission processes that constantly reform these organelles (Bissig et al., 2017; Choy et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019). Although the molecular mechanism for these processes remains unknown, Compton et al. showed (and our results replicated) that the proton pump V-ATPase must be active to produce vacuoles (Compton et al., 2016), though it has been suggested that these effects could result from off-target effects of BafA1 (Mauvezin et al., 2015). Our results using pH-modifying agents early in vacuole development clearly demonstrate that the hyperacidic luminal pH resulting from apilimod treatment is neither responsible for initiation of vacuole formation, nor for the increase in vacuole size, as alkalinizing lysosomes to pH values above about pH 5.5 had no impact on these effects. In further support of a bifurcation of these processes, lysosomal tubulation and fission events (size decrease)
precede the return to normal pH. However lysosomal size and pH are not completely decoupled, as indicated by the observation that CIC-7 knockout dampens both apilimod-induced size and pH changes, as well as by the effects of the disease-causing mutation CIC-7 Y715C (Nicoli et al., 2019). This shift could reflect alteration of lysosomal membrane electrical potential or changes of luminal ion concentrations, in turn affecting the trafficking, and/or inducing osmotic stress (Di Zanni et al., 2021; Freeman et al., 2020; Sartelet et al., 2014).

Our electrophysiology experiments reveal a direct and specific inhibitory effect of PI(3,5)P2 on CIC-7 activity. Based on the changes caused by PI(3,5)P2 to the kinetics of slow CIC-7 voltage dependent activation and deactivation, we suggest that the lipid tunes this slow gating process rather than affecting the transport cycle itself. Notably, in the human CIC-7 structure the bound lipid is modeled as a PI3P, a lipid which did not perceptibly inhibit CIC-7 transport in our experiments and did not compete with PI(3,5)P2 at concentrations we could achieve. Further work will be necessary to determine the role, if any, of PI3P in CIC-7 function. The headgroup of the bound lipid in the structure participates in a hydrogen bonding network that encompasses residues both on the TM domain and the cytoplasmic domain of the protein, and which includes the Y715 residue that is mutated in the gain-of-function patient. Our observations here that the Y715C mutation abolishes PI(3,5)P2 inhibition, and that the Y715 position is exquisitely sensitive to mutation suggests a model wherein CIC-7 voltage dependent gating comprises substantial conformational changes involving this interface, changes which we infer are modulated by the presence and identity of the bound lipid. Perhaps upon binding PI(3,5)P2 the 5-phosphate revises the H-bond network and induces a gating-controlling conformational change to inhibit the transporter. This model is consistent with a large body of evidence for a role of the cytoplasmic domain in slow gating of CIC-7, including a report that the CIC-7 C-terminal domain is necessary for voltage-dependent gating that corroborates the importance of this interface (Di Zanni et al., 2021; Ludwig et al., 2013; Sartelet et al., 2014).

The work we present here advances our understanding of the role of CIC-7 in lysosomal acidification. Previous work has suggested that CIC-7 forms part of the lysosomal counterion pathway based on increases in lysosomal pH in cells with CIC-7 knocked down using siRNA (Graves et al., 2008) as well as the effect of Cl⁻ removal from the bathing media in isolated lysosomes (Ohkuma et al., 1982). However, cells from multiple tissues in CIC-7 KO mice show normal lysosomal pH (Kasper et al., 2005; Wartosch et al., 2009), a conclusion reinforced by the retention of normal pH in the untreated CIC-7 KO U2OS cells we examined here. Our results suggest a possible explanation for this discrepancy: we
hypothesize that ClC-7 is largely inhibited by PI(3,5)P2 in normal cellular conditions, preventing it from strongly influencing baseline lysosomal pH, but is activated by stimuli that decrease PI(3,5)P2 concentrations, thereby providing additional Cl⁻ as a counterion and facilitating further lysosomal acidification. This model is supported by the hyperacidification in patient-cell lysosomes carrying the Y715C gain-of-function mutation (Nicoli et al., 2019). Such a mechanism could complement that observed in microglia, where trafficking of ClC-7 to the lysosome upon activation by inflammatory stimuli caused acidification (Majumdar et al., 2011). Of course, an alternate explanation is that ClC-7 is indeed the central locus of the counterion pathway, but compensatory changes in expression or regulation of other channels/transporters in the ClC-7 KO accounts for the normal lysosomal pH in those cells and animals. The nature of the physiological stimuli for changes in PI(3,5)P2 to regulate lysosomal pH remains to be determined, though the PIKfyve kinase has been shown to participate in multiple metabolic pathways (McCartney et al., 2014a).

A complementary possibility is that the effects of ClC-7 activity on lysosomal [Cl⁻] are important in some or all of the effects we observe. Due to the lack of a lysosomal pH change in the ClC-7 KO mouse as well as the significant defects in mice carrying an uncoupled ClC-7, Jentsch and colleagues have proposed that ClC-7s primary function could be to regulate luminal [Cl⁻] (Weinert et al., 2010; Weinert et al., 2014). We have not yet been successful in measuring lysosomal Cl⁻ in our system; we leave open the possibility that increased flux through ClC-7 could lead to increased luminal osmotic strength which, in-turn, contributes to vacuole growth. However, the dissociation between vacuole size and hyperacidification and the continued size increase in the ClC-7 KO cells perhaps argue against this hypothesis.

Regulation of lysosomal pH is critical for normal cellular function; the complexity of this regulation is fertile ground for further study. Though the proton-pumping V-Type ATPase is clearly the metabolic driver of acidification, other factors, including the counterion pathway are also critical. In recent years a host of candidates for the counterion pathway have been identified, including ion channels like TRPML1 (Dong et al., 2010), TPC1 and TPC2 (Wang et al., 2012), and TMEM175 (Cang et al., 2015), and ion transporters like ClC-7 (Graves et al., 2008); indeed, several of these channels are also known to be modulated by PI(3,5)P2 (Fine et al., 2018; She et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2012). However, their physiological functions and contributions to different lysosomal processes are still emerging. Interestingly, knockout of FIG4, the phosphatase that degrades PI(3,5)P2, leads to a phenotype that shares many characteristics with the gain-of-function ClC-7 Y715C (Chow et al, 2007; Lenk et al, 2019).
Paradoxically, the FIG4 KO demonstrates reduced levels of PI(3,5)P2; thus its phenotype may also reflect increased activity of CIC-7 due to decreased inhibition by the signaling lipid. Besides pH maintenance, lysosomal ions clearly contribute to multiple other processes, including triggering membrane fusion (Dong et al., 2010), driving the export of lysosomal degradation products (Sagné and Gasnier, 2008), maintaining osmotic balance (Freeman et al., 2020), and mediating lysosomal excitability (Cang et al., 2014), suggesting a need for coordinated control of multiple pathways. The work we present here uncovers a wealth of possibilities to study fundamental processes underlying lysosomal pH control and hints at complex interactions between multiple ion pathways to balance competing physiological requirements. Much work remains to understand the interplay of these factors and their pleiotropic effects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

Apilimod (Medchem Express); WX8 (gift from Juan S. Bonifacino lab) Oregon Green 488-dextran 10000mW (OG; ThermoFisher); Chloroquine, BafA1, Monensin and Nigericin (Sigma-Aldrich); Lysotracker blue DND-22 (Invitrogen); Magic red Cathepsin-B essay (ImmunoChemistry Technologies).

**Cell culture**

U2OS cells (HTB-96™) were purchased from ATCC and cultured in McCoy’s 5A Modified Medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 100U.mL⁻¹ Penicillin-Streptomycin (all from gibco) at 37 °C in 5 % CO₂ and 10 % humidity. Cells were passed 2 times per week using TrypLE (gibco) and were used for experiments with a passage number lower than 40. Human primary neonatal fibroblasts were also purchased from ATCC (PCS-201-010™) and cultured in DMEM/F12 GlutaMAX medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 100U.mL⁻¹ Penicillin-Streptomycin at 37 °C in 5 % CO₂ and 10 % humidity. Cells were passaged twice weekly; cells used in three independent apilimod treatment experiments were at passages 10, 12, and 16. All culture reagents were obtained from Gibco.

**OG Lysosome-loading procedure**

The day before imaging, cells were incubated overnight in a Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ II 8 well chamber (ThermoFisher) in culture medium supplemented with 1 mg/mL OG for 15-16 h. OG was subsequently chased by washing at least 4h before starting a protocol. For fibroblast experiments, cells were incubated with 2 mg/mL OG.
**Cell imaging**

Live cell imaging was performed at room temperature using a Nikon eclipse-Ti microscope with a 60X objective (Apo TIRF, NIKON) and a digital camera (ORCA-flash 4.0, Hamamatsu). Cells were imaged in an imaging media containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, 20 HEPES, 10 Glucose and 30 Sucrose, adjusted pH 7.2-7.4 with NaOH. For pH measurements, images were acquired in epifluorescent mode using a 445 nm laser (OBIS, Coherent), a 488 nm laser (Sapphire, Coherent) and an >500 nm emission filter (ET500lp, Chroma). For each cell, separate images with each laser were obtained within 1 s of each other. For experiments using mCherry, we used the same excitation filterset to perform pH measurements but used a 535 ± 35 nm emission filter (ET535/70m, Chroma) to eliminate crosstalk from the red channel. A SOLA light engine (lumencor) was used with an mCherry cube (49008, Chroma) to image for mCherry fluorescence. Image acquisition was performed using NIS-Element software (Nikon).

For fibroblast lysosome pH measurements, epifluorescence images were acquired using a CoolLED pE-4000 LED system. Images were acquired by excitation using the 435 nm LED or 490 nm LED with a 488/10 nm BrightLine® single-band bandpass excitation filter (Semrock) and the 435 nm LED.

**Image analysis**

Intensity and size of cell’s OG positive objects were extracted using the software Slicer2D developed by Calvin Johnson and Huey Cheung from the Center for Information Technology from National Institutes of Health (Lau et al., 2013). Briefly, local background of 445 nm and 488 nm images were background subtracted and subsequently threshold using the Otsu method (Otsu, 1979) to select ROI corresponding to individual lysosomes. Each ROI is paired between the two images and a filter comparing paired ROI centroid and intensity is applied to discard single-image ROI or ROI containing low-intensity pixels value. Size, intensity and 488/445 ratio of each ROI are then calculated.

**pH calibration curve**

Just after imaging cells in protocol-based conditions, imaging media was washed out and replaced sequentially by a set of pH calibrated buffers supplemented with Monensin (7.0 µg.mL$^{-1}$, dissolved in ethanol) and Nigericin (7.5 µg.mL$^{-1}$, dissolved in ethanol) (0.29 % ethanol in final solution) to equilibrate pH across cell and organelle membranes. pH calibration buffers composition: pH 7.0: Imaging media (IM) plus 40 mM dibasic Sodium phosphate (NaP) and 10 mM Tris-maleate (TM); pH 6.0: IM plus 25 mM NaP and 25 mM TM; pH 5.0: IM plus 5 mM NaP and 45 mM TM; pH 4.5: IM plus 30 mM NaP and 20 mM citrate; pH 4.0: IM plus 26 mM NaP and 24 mM citrate; pH 3.0: IM plus 20 mM NaP and 30 mM citrate. For each buffer, lysosomal pH measures were obtained on 4-5 different cells. 488/445 nm ratios
were then plotted in function of pH buffers and fitted by a 4-parameter sigmoidal function curve using Sigma plot v12 (Systat Software Inc.).

**CIC-7 KO CRISPR clone engineering**

To knock out CIC-7 at the genomic level in U2OS cells, two guide RNA plasmid constructs were created using lentiCRISPRv2 (Shalem et al., 2014; Sanjana et al., 2014). Target sites for deletion in EXON1 of *CLCN7* were designed using a CRISPR design website (http://crispr.mit.edu/). The resulting target sequences were as follows: 5'-TGTCCTGGTCCGGCCGGGACCGG-3'; 5'-ACGCCGCTGCTGAACGGGGCTGG-3'. Guide oligonucleotide sequences were: T-1F, 5'-CACCGTGTCCTGGTCCGGCCGGAC-3'; T-1R, 5'-AAACGTCCCGGCCGGACCAGGAC-3'; T-2F, 5'-CACCGACGCCGCTGCTGAACGGGGC-3'; T-2R, 5'-AAACGCCGGTTCAAGCAGGCCGTC-3'. As described in Zhang lab protocol (Sanjana et al., 2014), each guide oligo pairs were then annealed, cloned and transformed to Stbl3 bacteria. The positive constructs were identified and confirmed by sequencing. U2OS cells transfection with engineered lentiCRISPRv2 were done using FuGENE 6 transfection reagent (Promega). Positive clones were selected using puromycin and subsequently isolated.

**U2OS cell genotyping and RT-qPCR amplification**

Genotyping of CIC-7 KO U2OS clone was performed using an Extract PCR-Kit (Bioline) with the following primers: GAGAACAAACACGGGGGCA; GCGTTCCCGAGTCCACC. Isolated total RNA from WT and KO U2OS cells (QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit) reverse transcribed into cDNA (BIORAD iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit) and primers (indicated as followed) were used to quantify mRNA expression levels by real-time PCR using iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (BioRad) and CFX96 Touch Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Primers used: GAPDH: 5'-GTCTCCTGTGACTCAACACAGC-3'; 5'-ACCACCTGTGTTGCTGTAGCAA-3'. CIC-7: 5'-CTCAGACTCCAGCTTTGTCAGCCACCTGTGCTGTAGC-3'; 5'-ATAGGACCTGTTGGGGCATG-3'. Relative expression of CIC-7 mRNA was calculated by normalizing the expression values of WT and KO to those of GAPDH.

**mCherry, PIKfyve constructs and transfection procedure**

PIKfyve (sequence id. AY457063.1) and PIKfyve-KYA hyperactive mutant (E1620>K, N1630>Y, S2068>A) were cloned into PCMV-HA-N vector (Clontech) and gifted by Lois Weisman lab. mCherry (sequence id. AIL28759.1) was cloned into PCAGEN vector (addgene 11160) and gifted by Kenton Swartz lab. U2OS cells were transfected in DMEM media with Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher).
PIKfyve Western Blot

~2X10^6 U2OS cells expressing or not PIKfyve or PIKfyveKYA were collected and sonicated to extract total-proteins. 100 µg of total-proteins was then subjected to electrophoresis in 4-12 % Bis-Tris Plus Gels (Novex, NW00125BOX) and transferred onto PVDF membrane using a Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer System (BIORAD). After blocking with 5 % non-fat milk, PVDF membrane was incubated at 4 °C with 1:1000 ratio of PIKFYVE antibody (Invitrogen, PA5-13977) or 1:1000 ratio of α/β-Tubulin antibody (Cell Signaling, 2148). Both primary antibodies were probed using goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) and visualized by chemiluminescence (SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate, ThermoFisher). Images were obtained using an Odyssey FC Imager (Dual-Mode Imaging System; 2-min integration time).

Electrophysiology

HEK-293 (ATCC CRL-1573) cells were cultured in growth medium consisting of 90% Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units ml−1 of penicillin-streptomycin, 4 mM L-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco). Cells were cultured in 35-mm polystyrene dishes (Falcon) at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO₂. Cells were transiently co-transfected with 700 ng each of human wild-type CIC-7 or CIC-7-Y715C in a pIRES2–EGFP vector and human Ostm1 in a pCMV-6 vector. Both CIC-7 constructs also included mutations to two lysosome-targeting motifs in the N terminus to cause trafficking to the plasma membrane (Leisle et al., 2011; Stauber and Jentsch, 2010), allowing for whole-cell measurements. Transfection was accomplished using Lipofectamine LTX with Plus reagent (Invitrogen). Transfection was performed approximately 40 hours prior to experiments.

On the day of patch clamp experiments, cells were released from culture dishes by brief exposure to 0.25% trypsin/EDTA, resuspended in supplemented DMEM, plated on glass coverslips, and allowed to recover for 1–2 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. Whole-cell voltage-clamp current measurements were performed using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments) and pClamp 11.1 software (Axon Instruments). Data were acquired at 10 kHz and filtered at 1 kHz. Patch pipettes were pulled using a P-97 laser puller (Sutter Instruments) from borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments) and heat-polished using a MF-200 microforge (World Precision Instruments). Pipette resistance was 2–5 megohms in the extracellular solution. A reference electrode was placed in a separate chamber containing extracellular solution and connected to with a 2% agar bridge made from extracellular solution. Extracellular solution consisted of (in mM) NaCl 130, 5, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 1, HEPES 20, and glucose 20, with the pH adjusted to 7.4 using NaOH and the osmolality adjusted to 310 mOsmol using sucrose.
Pipette solution contained (in mM) CsCl 110, NaCl 10, MgCl$_2$ 2, EGTA 1, and HEPES 40; pH was adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH, and osmolality was adjusted to 300 mOsmol using sucrose. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma. Osmolality was measured using a Vapro 5600 vapor pressure osmometer (Wescor). For measurements in the presence of PIPs, PI(3,5)P$_2$ diC8 (Echelon Biosciences P-3508), PI3P (Echelon Biosciences P-3008), or PI(4,5)P$_2$ (Echelon Biosciences P-4508) was dissolved in water at a concentration of 2 mM and stored at -80 °C in small aliquots; on the day of experiments, stock PIP2 was diluted into pipette solution to a final concentration of 50 µM and kept on ice during the course of the experiment.

Mouse TPC1 was obtained from Origene in a pCMV6 vector (MR210795) and subcloned into the pIRES2-EGFP vector. Mutations Leu11Ala and Ile12Ala have previously been shown to increase channel expression to the plasma membrane and were introduced by standard mutagenesis methods. 1.5 µg of DNA was transfected into HEK293 cells and electrophysiology experiments were carried out as above.

For mTPC1 experiments, extracellular solution contained (in mM) sodium methanesulfonate 145, NaCl 5, MgCl$_2$ 1, CaCl$_2$ 1, and HEPES 10, with the pH adjusted to 7.4 using NaOH and the osmolality adjusted to 310 mOsmol using sucrose. Pipette solution contained (in mM) sodium methanesulfonate 145, NaCl 5, MgCl$_2$ 4, EGTA 1, and HEPES 10; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH, and osmolality was adjusted to 300 mOsmol using sucrose.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are reported as mean ± Standard Error of the Mean (SEM) and were analyzed using Prism 8 (Graphpad). Each statistical test used is indicated in the legend of the corresponding figure.
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Figure 1 Supplement 1: Oregon Green 488-dextran loaded vesicles represent most of the late endocytic and lysosomal compartments. 3 channel colocalization images of a U2OS cell stained with Lysotracker blue, Magic-Red, and Oregon Green 488-dextran. Lysotracker blue and Magic Red stain cell’s acidic compartments and Cathepsin-B positive compartments, respectively.
Figure 1 Supplement 2. PIKfyve inhibition causes hyperacidification in neonatal fibroblasts and SUDHL cells. Plots of the pH change (A) or ratio change (B) caused by 100 µM (A) or 200 µM (B) apilimod on either neonatal fibroblasts or SUDHL cells. Note that the 488/440 ratio is shown for SUDHL cells rather than pH because these cells were poorly adherent to glass coverslips and washed away on attempts to change solutions for pH calibration.
FIGURE 2 Supplement 1: Under PIKfyve inhibition, lysosomal pH does not correlate with lysosomal size. Representative plot of pH versus size of OG loaded lysosomes after 30min (left) or 1h (right) apilimod treatment. We calculated the linear correlation to give a gross estimation of the relation between the two parameters (red dashed line). Populations of each graph represent all lysosomes from the experiment used in Fig. 2, C and D (11 cells, 632 lysosomes and 13 cells, 771 lysosomes for 30min and 1h apilimod treatment, respectively).
**FIGURE 3 Supplement 1:** 30min treatment with chloroquine is sufficient to induce alkalization of endo/lysosomal compartments. Two representative experiments are shown. The level of alkalization after 30min (red) is comparable to the one after 3h chloroquine (blue) treatment. Two independent experiments are represented. “chloro.” is for chloroquine.

![Graph showing averaged lysosomal pH per cell](image)

**FIGURE 5 Supplement 1:** Validation of the U2OS CIC-7 KO clone. (A) RT-qPCR amplification plot obtained from CIC-7 Wt (grey) and CIC-7 KO (red) U2OS cells whole RNA. GAPDH housekeeping RNA level (left-grey and left-red traces) is used as a control of RNA quantity. CICN7 RNA level corresponds to right-grey and right-red traces. ∆ = 3.5 cycles, indicating a 90% decrease in KO CIC-7 RNA level compared to Wt. (B) Agarose gel electrophoresis of qPCR product from CIC-7 Wt (grey) and CIC-7 KO (red) U2OS cells GAPDH or CLCN7 cDNA.

![RT-qPCR and agarose gel electrophoresis](image)
FIGURE 5 Supplement 2: *CLCN7* deletion doesn’t affect “lysosome-loading” with OG and doesn’t alter pH measurements. Left: pH calibration curves of U2OS CIC-7 Wt (WT) or CIC-7 KO (KO) lysosomes loaded with OG in untreated (control) or 3h apilimod-treated conditions (apilimod). Each dot represents the averaged lysosomal 488/445 ratio of one cell (4 cells per condition). Right: Comparison of cumulative distribution of U2OS CIC-7 Wt (grey, control, 9 cells, 427 objects) versus CIC-7 KO (blue, 10 cells, 548 objects) lysosomal pH population. Each symbol represents the proportion of lysosomes having a pH value below the pH value represented in the abscissa axis.
Figure 6 Supplement 1: Time course of PI(3,5)P2 inhibition. Currents were recorded in whole-cell configuration after a 2 second, +140 mV pulse and return to -70 mV holding potential. Maximum and tail currents were measured at the time points indicated with (black) and without (red) 50 µM PI(3,5)P2 included in the pipette solution (n = 3 each). When PI(3,5)P2 was included, currents gradually decreased over time, reaching a maximum effect by ~3 min (dotted line). Dashed red line shows a single exponential fit, error bars represent SEM.
Figure 6 Supplement 2: Effect of phosphoinositides on ClC-7 currents in HEK cells. (A, B) Ratio of time constants obtained from single exponential fits of patch clamp current traces after a +140 mV pulse (activation) and subsequent return to -70 mV holding potential (deactivation). Currents were measured from HEK-293 cells transiently expressing (A) wild type ClC-7 or (B) ClC-7-Y715C. (C, D) Ratio of time constants after exposure to 50 µM of the indicated phosphoinositide. (E) Dose dependence of ClC-7 inhibition by PI(3,5)P2. Each point represents the average value from n ≥ 6 HEK-293 cells transiently transfected with wild-type ClC-7.
Figure 6 Supplement 3: Mouse TPC1 currents evoked by PI(3,5)P2. (A) Representative whole-cell currents measured from mTPC1-transfected HEK293 cells 3 minutes after break-in, with the indicated concentration of PI(3,5)P2 diC8 included in the pipette solution. Voltage pulse protocol is shown at bottom right. (B) Dose-response curve of mouse TPC1 currents in the presence of PI(3,5)P2, with values taken from the time points indicated by arrows in the 100 µM trace and normalized to the average maximum value at 100 µM PI(3,5)P2. Solid lines are fits to the Hill equation, yielding EC_{50} values of 7 ± 4 µM and 10 ± 5 µM for max and tail currents, respectively. For each concentration currents from 3-5 cells were recorded.
Figure 7 Supplement 1: The side chain of Y715 is essential for preserving wild-type function of ClC-7. Representative voltage families recorded from oocytes injected with the indicated construct. All tested mutations resulted in a similar gain-of-function phenotype.
LEGENDS FOR SOURCE DATA FILES

Figure 4B Source Data Raw Blot Tubulin: merged images combining a light image of the blot itself and the chemiluminescent image of the blot probed with antitubulin antibody.

Figure 4B Source Data Raw Blot Tubulin: merged images of the same blot as above, but probed with an anti-PIKfyve antibody.

Figure 4B—Source Data Labeled Gel: the above gel images with labels.

Figure 5A Source Data Raw Gel: Agarose gel of PCR product amplified from CLCN7 deletion site of WT and CLCN7 KO U2OS cells.

Figure 5A source data labeled gel: same gel as above with labels.

Figure 5 supplement 1 Source Data Raw Gel: Agarose gel of RT-PCR products of GAPDH (positive control), ClC-7 WT, and ClC-7 KO U2OS cells.

Figure 5 Supplement 1 Source Data labeled Gel: Labeled gel of above.
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