**Table Supplement 2**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group Comparison | Timepoint | T-statistic | df | p-value |
| 85Q vs Buffer | 3m | 2.512 | 9 | 0.017\* |
| 6m | 4.198 | 9 | 0.001\*\* |
| 9m | 5.316 | 9 | 0.0002\*\*\* |
| 14m | 3.057 | 9 | 0.007\*\* |
| 20m | 3.324 | 9 | 0.004\*\* |
|  | 30m | 3.027 | 8 | 0.008\*\*\* |
| 85Q vs 10Q | 3m | 1.589 | 10 | 0.072 |
| 6m | 1.986 | 10 | 0.038\* |
| 9m | 3.179 | 10 | 0.005\*\* |
| 14m | 1.597 | 10 | 0.071 |
| 20m | 1.996 | 10 | 0.037\* |
|  | 30m | 1.587 | 9 | 0.073 |
| Buffer vs 10Q | 3m | 1.631 | 9 | 0.069 |
| 6m | 2.203 | 9 | 0.028\* |
| 9m | 1.609 | 9 | 0.071 |
| 14m | 2.733 | 9 | 0.012\* |
| 20m | 1.833 | 9 | 0.05\* |
|  | 30m | 3.151 | 9 | 0.006\*\* |

**Table S2.** Planned group comparisons for Lifesaver Retrieval Latencies using one-tailed Independent Sample T-tests at each timepoint. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001