Optogenetics and electron tomography for structure-function analysis of cochlear ribbon synapses
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Abstract

Ribbon synapses of cochlear inner hair cells (IHCs) are specialized to indefatigably transmit sound information at high rates. To understand the underlying mechanisms, structure-function analysis of the active zone (AZ) of these synapses is essential. Previous electron microscopy studies of synaptic vesicle (SV) dynamics at the IHC AZ used potassium stimulation, which limited the temporal resolution to minutes. Here, we established optogenetic IHC stimulation followed by quick freezing within milliseconds and electron tomography to study the ultrastructure of functional synapse states with good temporal resolution in mice. We characterized optogenetic IHC stimulation by patch-clamp recordings from IHCs and postsynaptic boutons revealing robust IHC depolarization and transmitter release. Ultrastructurally, the number of docked SVs increased upon short (17-25 ms) and long (48-76 ms) light stimulation paradigms. We did not observe enlarged SVs or other morphological correlates of homotypic fusion events. Our results indicate a rapid recruitment of SVs to the docked state upon stimulation and suggest that univesicular release prevails as the quantal mechanism of exocytosis at IHC ribbon synapses.

Introduction

Ribbon synapses of cochlear inner hair cells (IHCs) are specialized to maintain high release rates over prolonged periods of time. Their landmark structure, the synaptic ribbon, tethers several dozens of synaptic vesicles (SVs) and keeps them close to the active zone (AZ) membrane (Moser et al., 2019; Rutherford et al., 2021; Safieddine et al., 2012; Wichmann and Moser, 2015). Deciphering the mechanisms of SV release and replenishment in IHCs is required to understand their efficient and indefatigable glutamate release. Ultrastructural analysis of SV pools in defined functional states, such as during phasic or sustained transmitter release, is an important approach to investigate presynaptic mechanisms in general.

Numerous studies based on electron tomography (ET) describe the presence of morphologically docked SVs at central synapses (e.g. Hintze et al., 2021; Imig et al., 2014; Imig et al., 2020; Kusick et al., 2020; Maus et al., 2020; Siksou et al., 2007). At
such conventional synapses, docked SVs are thought to constitute the readily-
releasable pool (RRP) (Schikorski and Stevens, 1997), while SVs tethered to the AZ
might represent morphological correlates for SV recruitment to the release sites (Cole et
al., 2016; Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2010; Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2013;
Maus et al., 2020; Siksou et al., 2007). Recruitment appears to involve a first step
mediated by long tethers of up to 45 nm, followed by the formation of shorter tethers
which might correspond to the soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive-factor attachment
receptor (SNARE) complex (Cole et al., 2016; Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2010; Imig
et al., 2014). Therefore, morphological features like tethering or docking might reflect
different functional states of SVs en route to fusion.

Correlating function and structure ideally employs rapid immobilization of the synapses
in defined functional states. Recently, SV dynamics were investigated by combining
optogenetics with immobilization within milliseconds by high-pressure freezing (Opto-
HPF). Optogenetics grants short, precise stimulation of neurons expressing the light-
sensitive ion channel channelrhodopsin (ChR) (Nagel et al., 2002; Nagel et al., 2003).
Such precise stimulation allowed to ultrastructurally resolve transient events of
exo/endocytosis at several conventional synapses such as C. elegans neuromuscular
junctions (Kittelmann et al., 2013; Watanabe et al., 2013a) and murine hippocampal
synapses (Borges-Merjane et al., 2020; Imig et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2013b).

Until now, structure-function analysis of hair cell ribbon synapses relied on seconds to
minutes range depolarization by high K⁺ (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2015b;
Kroll et al., 2020; Lenzi et al., 2002; Pangrsic et al., 2010; Strenzke et al., 2016). SVs
situated close to the AZ membrane — referred to as the membrane-proximal (MP)-SV
pool — are thought to represent the RRP, while SVs around the ribbon — ribbon-
associated (RA)-SVs — seem to be recruited for release in a later phase (Lenzi et al.,
2002). MP-SVs are often connected to the AZ membrane by tethers (Chakrabarti et al.,
2018; Frank et al., 2010; Vogl et al., 2015) and seem to be organized in sub-pools
based on the number of tethers and to which structure these tethers are connected.
These sub-pools might represent different recruitment states of the SVs prior to
docking. However, docked SVs are rare in IHCs at rest but become more frequent upon
prolonged K⁺ depolarization (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). Yet, K⁺ depolarization does not
enable a time-resolved analysis of exocytosis at IHC ribbon synapses, which are undergo synchronous release of many SV at stimulus onset and sustain release SVs at high rates upon continued stimulation.

A time-resolved analysis is also relevant when addressing the long-standing quest on whether the quantal mechanism of IHC exocytosis entails release of several SV in a coordinated manner (multivesicular release, MVR) or the SV fuse independently from each other (univesicular release, UVR) in IHCs. From postsynaptic recordings of the spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs), the high variability in the amplitude and shape of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) was initially interpreted as the release of multiple SVs in a more or less synchronized manner (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002). The alternative, classical model of UVR, was then proposed based on experiments and modeling (Chapochnikov et al., 2014), and further corroborated by direct measurements of single fusion events (Grabner and Moser, 2018). In the UVR framework, amplitude and shape heterogeneity were attributed to glutamate release via a fusion pore with gradual progress towards full collapse fusion (Chapochnikov et al., 2014). Such different scenarios might be mirrored in the number of docked SVs, SVs sizes and the distribution of SV pools. For instance, coordinated multivesicular release by compound and/or cumulative fusion is expected to result in larger vesicles at the AZ.

Here, we implemented Opto-HPF of IHC ribbon synapses to capture the structural correlates of exocytosis. We modified a conventional high-pressure freezing machine (HPM) to control optical stimulation in correlation to freezing on a millisecond time scale. Our study revealed that upon depolarization (i) the number of docked SVs increases, (ii) MP-SVs reside closer to the AZ membrane, (iii) correlates of compound and/or cumulative fusion are lacking and (iii) the total number of RA-SVs remains unchanged. Our results constitute the first report of morphological correlates to exocytosis occurring within milliseconds of stimulation at this highly specialized synapse.
Results

Verification of ChR2 expression in inner hair cells and long-term expression of ChR2

First, we verified the expression of ChR2 in IHCs of both mouse lines, Ai32VC cre+ and Ai32KI cre+ (fl/+ cre+ or fl/fl cre+), using immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1A-C). Alexa-coupled anti-GFP antibodies detected the EYFP-tag of the ChR2 construct and showed a clear expression of the construct at the membrane. For better resolution, we also performed immunogold electron microscopy (Fig. 1D) with pre-embedding gold-coupled anti-GFP nanobodies. Membrane expression of ChR2 was evenly distributed (Fig. 1D, arrow), confirming that ChR2 was efficiently expressed at the plasma membrane of IHCs without any apparent intracellular accumulation. Overall, these results confirmed that the Vglut3 promoter efficiently controlled cre-recombination in ~99% of the analyzed IHCs for both mouse lines similarly to previous studies using Vglut3 cre mice (Jung et al., 2015b; Vogl et al., 2016). Therefore, we decided to pool the results from both genotypes in the following sections, but also analyzed and presented the data for each genotype in the Figure supplements.

Having confirmed proper ChR2 expression in both lines, in a next step, we analyzed potential long-term effects of ChR2 expression on synaptic organization of IHCs in the Ai32KI line. Using confocal microscopy, we compared ribbon synapse numbers of Ai32KI cre+ IHCs with WT littermate controls at three different age intervals: 4-5 months (G1), 6-7 months (G2) and 9-12 months (G3) (Fig. 1, Fig. 1-figure supplement 1, all values can be found in Table 1). WT IHCs showed the characteristic decline in the number of ribbon synapses associated with aging (WT G1 = 9.82 ± 0.87 vs. WT G3 = 6.39 ± 0.56; p = 0.0077) (Parthasarathy and Kujawa, 2018; Sergeyenko et al., 2013). Comparably, ChR2-expressing IHCs showed a significant decline in the number of ribbon synapses at 6-7 months and 9-12 months in comparison to 4-5 months, (Ai32KI cre+ G1 = 8.97 ± 0.48 vs. Ai32KI cre+ G2 = 5.324 ± 0.43; p < 0.0001; vs. Ai32KI cre+ G3 = 5.69 ± 0.42; p = 0.0005). Importantly, there were no differences in the number of ribbons between ChR2-expressing IHCs and WT from the same age groups. We therefore conclude that ChR2 expression does not alter the number of ribbon synapses.
arguing against adverse effects such as through a potential chronic ChR2-mediated depolarization.

Figure 1 Plasma membrane expression of ChR2 in IHCs

(A) IHCs express ChR2 in the plasma membrane. Maximal projection of confocal z-stacks from the apical turn of the anti-GFP labeled organ of Corti from a 4-5 months-old Ai32KI cre+ mice (upper panel) and its littermate control (WT) (lower panel). Myo6 (magenta) was used as
counterstaining and CtBP2 labeling shows ribbons at the basolateral zone of IHCs (examples outlined). ChR2 expression (green) is observed along the surface of \textit{Ai32KI cre} \textsuperscript{+} IHCs (upper panel) but not in WT IHCs (lower panel). Scale bar, 10 \textmu m. (B) Maximal intensity projection of \textit{Ai32VC cre} \textsuperscript{+} IHCs expressing ChR2-EYFP construct. Calretinin immunostaining was used to delineate the IHC cytoplasm. Scale bar, 10 \textmu m. (C) Pre-embedding immunogold labeling for electron microscopy was performed with a gold-coupled anti-GFP nanobody recognizing the EYFP of the ChR2 construct. A clear localization at the plasma membrane of IHCs is visible (arrow, upper panel). Scale bar, 2 \textmu m. The magnified image of the membrane labeling is shown in the lower panel. Scale bar, 1 \textmu m. (D) The average number of ribbons per IHC is similar between ChR2-expressing IHCs and WT IHCs. Both \textit{Ai32KI cre} \textsuperscript{+} and WT mice showed a comparable decrease in the number of ribbons with age (* \textit{p} < 0.05; ** \textit{p} < 0.01; **** \textit{p} < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons). N = Number of animals. Violin plots show median and quartiles with data points overlaid.
Figure 1-figure supplement 1 Long term expression of ChR2 at IHC plasma membrane

Maximal projection of confocal z-stacks of (A) G2 (6-7 months-old mice) and (B) G3 (9-12 months-old mice) IHCs from the apical turn of organs of Corti from ChR2-expressing mice (Ai32KI cre⁺; upper panels) and their respective controls (WT; lower panels). Some IHCs are outlined with a dotted line. ChR2 expression at the membrane is visualized by GPF labeling (green). Myo6 (magenta) immunostaining was used to delineate the IHC and CtBP2 to visualize the ribbons (white). Ectopic GFP expression (A, upper panel) in spiral ganglion fibers is indicative of unspecific cre recombination (see Materials & Methods). Scale bars, 10 µm.
Table 1 Ribbon counts in three different age groups of ChR2-expressing IHCs and their WT controls

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. P-values are calculated by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Results of the comparisons between age groups of the same genotype and between genotypes of the same age group are reported. Significant results are indicated with * p< 0.05; ** p<0.05; and **** p< 0.0001.

Depolarization of IHCs using optogenetics

To validate optogenetic stimulation of IHCs, we performed perforated patch-clamp recordings of ChR2-expressing IHCs and applied 473 nm light pulses of different durations and intensities. Evoked photocurrents and photopotentials were measured in voltage-clamp and current-clamp mode, respectively. We employed TEA-Cl and Cs⁺ in the bath solution in order to partially block K⁺ channels and facilitate photodepolarization. Compared to other compositions (Jaime Tobón, 2015), we found 20 mM TEA-Cl and 1 mM Cs⁺ to support both sufficient amplitudes and acceptable decay kinetics of photodepolarization but not to obviously alter the resting membrane potential. Under these conditions, strong short light pulses of 5 ms depolarized the cell.
by more than 50 mV (i.e. going from a holding potential of -84 mV up to -30 mV; Fig. 2A). Longer light pulses of 10 and 50 ms caused stronger depolarizations even at low irradiances (Fig. 2B,C,D), even though the peak of photodepolarization was reached with considerable delays (Fig. 2E). On average, the peak was reached within 10-20 ms after the onset of the light pulse for irradiances above 1 mW/mm² (Fig. 2E). Higher irradiances decreased the time to peak of the photodepolarization for all stimulation durations. Light pulses of 10 ms at 7-16 mW/mm², corresponding to the irradiance recorded at the HPM machine (Fig. 4E-F), could depolarize the IHC by 27-65 mV (Fig. 2D). Notably, IHCs were photodepolarized by 20 mV within the first 3 to 10 ms of the light pulse, which presumably suffices to trigger vesicle release on IHCs (assuming a resting potential of -58 mV and based on release thresholds reported (Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Özçete and Moser, 2020). The results obtained from both lines were comparable, as shown in Fig. 2-figure supplement 1.
Figure 2 Optogenetic depolarization of IHCs

IHCs expressing ChR2 (Ai32VC cre+ and Ai32KI cre+) were optogenetically stimulated by 473 nm light pulses of increasing irradiance (mW/mm²). (A-C) Average photocurrents (lower panel) and photodepolarizations (upper panel) of patch-clamped IHCs during 5 ms (A, n_cells = 16, N_animals = 7), 10 ms (B, n_cells = 12, N_animals = 4) and 50 ms (C, n_cells = 15; N_animals = 6) light pulses of increasing irradiances (color coded). Mean is displayed by the continuous line and ± SEM by the shaded area. (D-E) Peak of photodepolarization (D) and time to peak (E) obtained for increasing irradiances of different lengths (light gray 5 ms, black 10 ms, dark gray 50 ms).
Figure 2-figure supplement 1 Comparison of optogenetic stimulation of IHCs from Ai32VC cre⁺ and Ai32KI cre⁺ mice

IHCs expressing ChR2 (Ai32VC cre⁺ left and Ai32KI cre⁺ right) were optogenetically stimulated by 473 nm light pulses of increasing irradiance (mW/mm²). (A-C) Average photocurrents (lower panel) and photodepolarizations (upper panel) of patch-clamped IHCs during 5 ms (A), 10 ms (B) and 50 ms light pulses of increasing irradiances (color coded as in Fig. 2). Mean is displayed by the continuous line and ± SEM by the shaded area. For 5 ms: \(n_{\text{Ai32VC}} = 11\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32VC}} = 6\); \(n_{\text{Ai32KI}} = 5\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32KI}} = 1\). For 10 ms: \(n_{\text{Ai32VC}} = 4\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32VC}} = 1\); \(n_{\text{Ai32KI}} = 8\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32KI}} = 3\). For 50 ms: \(n_{\text{Ai32VC}} = 7\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32VC}} = 3\); \(n_{\text{Ai32KI}} = 8\), \(N_{\text{animals Ai32KI}} = 3\).
To test whether our stimulation paradigms would prompt neurotransmitter release, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from individual afferent boutons contacting ChR2-expressing IHCs. Light pulses of low intensities and short duration were sufficient to trigger release from individual AZs, as proven by excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) recorded from three boutons contacting different IHCs (Fig. 3A). Consistent with previous experiments employing K⁺ or voltage-clamp stimulation of IHCs (e.g. Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007), we found variable amplitudes of individual EPSCs. The maximum amplitude of the evoked EPSCs varied between different boutons (from -200 pA to -700 pA), but remained fairly similar for one individual bouton regardless of the light pulse duration (Fig. 3B). In contrast, light pulse duration had a major impact on the duration of the evoked EPSCs (i.e. the duration of exocytosis; Fig. 3C) and consequently, on the total charge transfer (Fig. 3D). In response to a 50 ms stimulation, the evoked release lasted three times longer than in response to a 10 ms light pulse and could reach up to double the initial charge transfer. In line with the recorded IHC photodepolarization, longer light pulses required lower intensities to trigger a response; 4 to 6 mW/mm² were sufficient for 50 ms light pulses. Moreover, as expected from the photodepolarization, EPSCs latency decreased with increasing irradiances (Fig. 3E). Finally, we quantified the maximal EPSCs charge transfer at 20 ms (Q₂₀ms) and 50 ms (Q₅₀ms) after the onset of the light pulses. These time points reflect phasic RRP release (20 ms) and sustained release (50 ms) of IHC ribbon synapses (Johnson et al., 2017; Michalski et al., 2017; Moser and Beutner, 2000). For light stimulations of 6-7 mW/mm², Q₂₀ms ranged from 236 pC up to 1300 pC while Q₅₀ms ranged from 850 to 2450 pC. Importantly, the first recording of all three boutons showed substantial release exceeding 400 pC at these time points. The return to baseline differed among the 3 boutons and for pulse duration (Fig. 3F), but neurotransmitter release lasted for at least 15 ms. These electrophysiological findings demonstrate that the chosen stimulation paradigms are sufficient to trigger phasic and sustained SV exocytosis in ChR2-expressing IHCs.
Figure 3 Triggered exocytosis at individual ribbon synapses

(A) Excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) upon the optogenetic stimulation of Ai32VC cre⁺ IHCs were recorded using whole-cell patch clamp of the contacting bouton. The response was quantified in terms of amplitude (i), charge (gray area), latency (ii) and return to baseline (iii) (Source code 2). Scale bar as in panel B. (B) Recorded EPSCs from three different postsynaptic boutons (different colors for boutons 1-3) in response to increasing light intensities. (C-F) Amplitude (C), charge (D), latency (E) and return to baseline (F) of the light triggered EPSCs to different pulse durations (5 ms squares; 10 ms circles; 50 ms triangles).

Developing a method to combine optogenetic stimulation with precisely timed freezing

To correlate structure and function, we performed Opto-HPF, followed by freeze-substitution (FS) and subsequent ET (Fig. 4-figure supplement 1). The commercial HPM100 comes with limitations: the light stimulation duration cannot be set precisely and the precise time of freezing is not provided. Therefore, groups had previously already modified the HPM100 with custom-made settings adapted to the needs of
central synapses (Watanabe et al., 2013a). Applying this method to a sensory synapse, which does not operate with all-or-nothing action potential stimulation, we established a more general framework for Opto-HPF using the HPM100.

Setup for stimulation and freezing relay

First, we determined the irradiance that reaches the sample with a re-built chamber-replica equipped with an optical fiber. The radiant flux at the sample was measured to be 37.3 mW with a peak irradiance of 6 mW/mm² where the samples are positioned (Fig. 4F). This irradiance is in accordance with the irradiance values that led to a sufficient depolarization of IHCs to trigger exocytosis in our cell-physiological experiments. With our custom-made setup, we controlled the irradiance, stimulus duration and the coupling of stimulus onset with the freezing of the specimen (Source code 3).
Figure 4 Opto-HPM setup and irradiance calculation in the HPM

(A) Simplified illustration depicting the components of the external setup installed to control the light stimulation (irradiance and duration), to determine the time point of the freezing onset and command relay (blue, control unit) to the sensors (accelerometer (red, outside, AM), microphone (patterned blue, inside, M), pneumatic pressure sensor, green, inside, PS) to initiate the mechanical sensing process of the HPM100 (Source code 3). (B) Fiber – cartridge arrangement in the HPM100 with the fiber at an angle of 60° to the upper half-cylinder: Sample plane: black, fiber: gray, Light rays: red. Mechanical components of HPM100 are not shown. (C)
Sample loading scheme (D) Rebuild chamber to enable irradiance calculation. (E) CCD image of the photodiode in the sample plane. (F) The spatial irradiance distribution with a peak irradiance of ~6 mW/mm$^2$ at 80% intensity of the LED was calculated using a self-written MATLAB routine `intensityprofilcalculator.m` (Source code 4). Depicted are pixel values in irradiance. The complete workflow for Opto HPF is presented in Figure 4-figure supplement 1.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1 Workflow of Opto-HPF

After dissection of the organ of Corti (OC), the sample is mounted and inserted in the HPM. The blue light stimulation occurs in the HPM freezing chamber with subsequent freezing. Finally, freeze-substitution is performed followed by ET and data analysis.

Our three incorporated external sensors, (i) an accelerometer, (ii) a microphone, and (iii) a pneumatic pressure sensor (Fig. 4, Fig. 5) allowed us to calculate for each shot the absolute time scale from the HPM start till the specimen is reaching 0°C ($T_{HPM \text{ delay from START}}$). The pneumatic pressure sensor was located directly at the pneumatically steered needle valve in front of the freezing chamber (Fig. 5A-C). In contrast to the other sensors, the pneumatic pressure sensor provided a reliably signal of the moment when the needle valve opened to allow influx of pressurized LN$_2$ into the freezing chamber.
(Fig. 5C; green curve; the green arrowhead points out the time point when the needle valve opens). We used this point to align the curves obtained from the internal sensors, which show the internal pressure buildup (Fig. 5C, gray curve) and the gradual temperature decline (Fig. 5C, purple curve) inside the freezing chamber after the opening of the needle valve. We set the onset (StimStart) of a 100 ms light stimulation between $HPM_{\text{start}}$ ($t = 0$) and $T_{HPM\_{delay\ from\ START}}$ (calculated for each shot; Fig. 5D).

To obtain short stimulations (ShortStim), StimStart was set at 425 ms. Based on the correlation of the pneumatic pressure sensor curve with the internal pressure and temperature curves, the samples were stimulated during $\sim$17 to $\sim$25 ms before the freezing onset (Fig. 5D, lower panel). To obtain longer stimulations (LongStim), StimStart was set at 390 ms, which resulted in light stimulation durations from $\sim$48 to $\sim$76 ms before the freezing onset (Fig. 5D, upper panel).
Figure 5 Correlating the sensor signals to internal pressure and temperature measured inside the HPM

(A) Pneumatic pressure sensor inside the HPM100. (B) Scheme of the localization of the pneumatic pressure sensor below the pneumatic needle valve, which allows LN$_2$ influx in the chamber for freezing. (C) Depicted are the curves from the different sensors aligned by using the MATLAB GUI (Source code 5). The curves of the pressure-build up start and temperature corresponding decline are aligned to the steep drop in the pneumatic pressure curve (green
The outline of the optical stimulation incorporated with HPF: 100 ms light pulse set to a 390 ms delay from HPM start resulted in a stimulation duration of 48-76 ms before freezing (“LongStim”) and that set to a 425 ms delay from HPM start in a stimulation of 17-25 ms (“ShortStim”).

First ultrastructural analysis of optogenetic stimulated IHC ribbon synapses, coupling structure to function

We analyzed the ultrastructural changes upon precise optical stimulation of ChR2-expressing IHCs from the apical turn (Ai32VC cre+ and Ai32KI cre+). Our two stimulation paradigms (ShortStim and LongStim, Fig. 6C and D) aimed to capture two functional states of exocytosis at IHCs. A ShortStim (~17-25 ms) might reflect the changes after/during RRP release, while a LongStim (~48-76 ms) might reflect sustained exocytosis (Moser and Beutner, 2000; Rutherford and Roberts, 2006). We included two controls (i) B6J under light stimulation (B6J Light; Fig. 6A) to address potential direct effects of light exposure and (ii) ChR2-expressing IHCs (Ai32VC cre+ and Ai32KI cre+) without any light stimulation (ChR2 NoLight; Fig. 6B) as controls with the same genetic background of the stimulated samples. For the light control on B6J, we chose the long stimulation protocol assuming that potential direct light effects are strongest with the longer exposure. Table 2 includes the number of ribbons and animals included from each genotype in the analysis.

We started our ultrastructural analysis by determining the total count of MP-SVs and RA-SVs (Fig. 6-figure supplement 1A). We found no alterations in the size of the MP-SV and RA-SV pools among the various conditions and controls (Fig. 6E), except for a larger MP-SV pool in ChR2 NoLight compared to B6J Light. This difference could be due to differences in their genetic background and/or the expression of ChR2 and highlights the importance of including ChR2 NoLight controls in our experimental design. Our estimates of MP-SVs/tomogram in control conditions compare to previous reports (B6J Light 9.73 ± 0.796; ChR2 NoLight 14.88 ± 0.935; Wt Rest 11.7 ± 0.75 (Chakrabarti et al., 2018); Wt Rest 18.5 ± 1.5 (Kroll et al., 2020)).

Notably, one limitation of the study is that we cannot tell where the ribbon synapses were located within a given IHC. As synapse properties vary between pillar and modiolar IHC sides (Kantardzhieva et al., 2013; Merchan-Perez and Liberman, 1996;
Michanski et al., 2019; Ohn et al., 2016), we expect this variance to contribute to the variance of synaptic properties observed for each condition. However, ribbon AZ were randomly sampled for ET; therefore, we expect this variability to be similar across conditions.
Figure 6 Functional AZ states differ in their morphologically defined vesicle pools

Representative tomographic 3D reconstructions of (A) B6J Light, (B) ChR2 NoLight, (C) ChR2 ShortStim and (D) ChR2 LongStim displayed in both front view (upper part of the panel) and top view (lower part of the panel). (a-d) Corresponding virtual sections of A-B. The AZ membrane is shown in blue, presynaptic density in pink (and indicated with PD), ribbons in red (and indicated with R), MP-SVs (non-tethered in yellow, tethered in orange and docked in light pink), RA-SVs (green, light green). Magnification 12,000x; scale bars, 100 nm. (E) Total count of SVs per pool (RA- and MP-SV pools), per ribbon. (F) The fraction of non-tethered, tethered and docked MP-SVs per ribbon. Data are presented in mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001. Statistical test: one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) and KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data).

MP-SV pool: B6J Light: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 15$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 2$. ChR2 NoLight: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 17$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 4$. ChR2 ShortStim: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 1$. ChR2 LongStim: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 26$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 4$.

RA-SV pool: B6J Light: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 9$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 1$. ChR2 NoLight: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 17$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 4$. ChR2 ShortStim: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 1$. ChR2 LongStim: $n_{\text{ribbons}} = 21$, $N_{\text{animals}} = 3$.

Enhanced SV docking in correlation to stimulus duration

Next, we performed an in-depth analysis of morphological MP-SV sub-pools among the various conditions (Fig. 6F). Examples for SVs of the morphological sub-pools as well as further representative ribbons from each condition are presented in Fig. 6-figure supplement 2. As the full inclusion of the ribbon is relatively rare in 250-nm sections (Fig. 6A-D), representing a limitation of the study, we compared the fractions of non-tethered, tethered and docked MP-SVs as done previously (Chakrabarti et al., 2018).

The fraction of non-tethered SVs decreased after a short light pulse (B6J Light = 0.28 ± 0.035, ChR2 NoLight = 0.23 ± 0.026, ChR2 ShortStim = 0.14 ± 0.035), while the fraction of tethered SVs decreased upon a long stimulation (B6J Light = 0.72 ± 0.033, ChR2 NoLight = 0.75 ± 0.025, ChR2 ShortStim = 0.77 ± 0.049, ChR2 LongStim = 0.57 ± 0.034, representative top views depicted in Fig. 6B and D). Values and information about statistics can be found in Table 3 and a separate quantification of the used ChR2 mice can be found in Fig. 6-figure supplement 1.

The fraction of morphologically docked SVs increased upon optogenetic stimulation (Fig. 6F), being more prominent upon a long stimulation (B6J Light = 0.01 ± 0.006, ChR2 NoLight = 0.01 ± 0.009, ChR2 ShortStim = 0.10 ± 0.034, ChR2 LongStim = 0.20 ± 0.025; representative top views depicted in Fig. 6B and D). We conclude that optogenetic stimulation changes the sub-pools of MP-SVs, with a notable increase of
docked SVs proportional to the stimulation duration and fewer tethered and non-tethered SVs.

Figure 6-figure supplement 1 Analysis of morphologically defined vesicle pools for each genotype

(A) Schematic illustration of a ribbon synapse (not drawn to scale) showing the parameters taken into account for the analysis of the different vesicle pools. Membrane-proximal (MP)-SVs constitute the first row of vesicles within 50 nm membrane-to-membrane distance from the AZ-membrane (blue) and 100 nm from the presynaptic density (PD, pink). Docked SVs are defined as vesicles in a distance of 0-2 nm to the AZ membrane. Non-tethered SVs are in yellow, tethered in orange and docked in light pink. For ribbon-associated (RA)-SVs, vesicles (green) within 80 nm from the ribbon (R, in red) are included. (B) Total count of SVs per pool (RA- and MP-SV pools), per ribbon. (C) Fraction of non-tethered, tethered and docked MP-SVs per ribbon for the controls as well as for Ai32VC_ShortStim, Ai32VC_LongStim and Ai32KI_LongStim. Data are presented in mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001. Statistical test: one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) and KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data).
**MP-SV pool**: B6J_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 15$, $N_{animals} = 2$. Ai32VC_NoLight: $n_{ribbons} = 9$, $N_{animals} = 2$.

Ai32VC_ShortStim: $n_{ribbons} = 11$, $N_{animals} = 1$. Ai32VC_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 15$, $N_{animals} = 2$.

Ai32KI_NoLight: $n_{ribbons} = 8$, $N_{animals} = 2$. Ai32KI_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 11$, $N_{animals} = 2$.

**RA-SV pool**: B6J_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 9$, $N_{animals} = 1$. Ai32VC_NoLight: $n_{ribbons} = 9$, $N_{animals} = 2$.

Ai32VC_ShortStim: $n_{ribbons} = 11$, $N_{animals} = 1$. Ai32VC_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 10$, $N_{animals} = 1$.

Ai32KI_NoLight: $n_{ribbons} = 8$, $N_{animals} = 2$. Ai32KI_LongStim: $n_{ribbons} = 11$, $N_{animals} = 2$. 
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Figure 6-figure supplement 2 Different morphological sub-pools within the membrane-proximal pool at ribbon synapse active zone

(A) Representative tomogram virtual sections show different morphological SV sub-pools in the MP-SV pool in our control conditions. Docked SVs are rare and in Ai32VC and were not observed in our no light control data set. AZ membrane. (B) Representative examples showing different MP-SV morphological sub-pools upon short (upper panel) and long (middle and lower panel) light stimulation. The non-tethered SVs are in yellow dotted lines, single-tethered to the PD, single-tethered to the AZ-membrane, multiple-tethered SVs are in orange dotted lines, with tethers indicated by arrowheads) and docked SVs with peace dotted lines. (C) Depicted are additional virtual sections for each condition. The virtual section number is indicated in the upper right corner, the presynaptic density with PD and the ribbon with R. Scale bar in A, B and C: 50 nm

SV distances to the PD and AZ membrane decrease upon long stimulation

It was previously proposed that SVs are recruited to the AZ membrane via tethers, a process that occurs rather close to the presynaptic density (PD) (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Frank et al., 2010; Vogl et al., 2015). Therefore, we evaluated the distances of all MP-SVs to the AZ membrane and to the PD. We found that the distances of MP-SVs to the AZ membrane decreased upon stimulation (Fig. 7A), indicating recruitment of SVs to the AZ membrane. However, enhanced docking to the AZ membrane mainly accounted for the decrease of average SV distances to the AZ-membrane, as it was no longer significant when the non-tethered and tethered sub-pools were analyzed independently (Fig. 7Ai, Aii). Moreover, SVs were found closer to the PD upon long light stimulation (Fig. 7Bi), likely bringing them close to the voltage-gated Ca2+ channels that are situated underneath to the PD (Neef et al., 2018; Pangrsic et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2014). When the distance to PD was analyzed for the individual morphological sub-pools, non-tethered SVs of ChR2 LongStim appeared to be in closer proximity to the PD compared to ChR2 NoLight and ChR2 ShortStim (Fig. 7Bii; although non-significant). In addition, the few docked SVs found in the control conditions were located at a greater distance from the PD compared to the ChR2 stimulated conditions (Fig. 7Bii, all average values can be found in Table 3). We conclude that upon stimulation, SVs are rapidly recruited to dock to the AZ membrane potentially closer to the Ca2+ channels. Similar results were obtained for the individual genotypes (Fig. 7-figure supplement 1).
**Figure 7** MP-SVs come closer to the AZ membrane and the presynaptic density upon light stimulation.

(A) MP-SVs distance to the AZ membrane. (Bi) MP-SVs distance to the PD. (Bii) Distance of the sub-pools to the PD. (Ci) Diameter of MP-SVs quantified from the outer rim to the outer rim. (Cii) Frequency distribution of SV diameter of all MP-SVs. (Ciii) SV diameters of the sub-pools.

Data are presented in mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001. Statistical test: one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) and KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data).
While the present study focuses on exocytosis, we also wanted to check if clathrin-coated (CC) endocytic structures were intermixing with the MP-pool at the AZ. Therefore, the number of CC structures within 0 – 500 nm away from the PD was determined (Supplementary file 1). In all conditions, CC structures were not very frequent at these locations. Only once a CCV was observed intermingled with the MP-SV pool and most CC structures were found 200-500 nm away from the PD. The diameter of these structures (excluding the CC) ranged from 63 – 120 nm, which was a slightly broader range than reported previously from fixed IHC ribbon synapses (Neef et al., 2014) and the CCV were 8 – 78 nm away from the AZ membrane. However, due to their low abundance, we refrained from statistical analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVs</th>
<th>Single PM tethered</th>
<th>Single PD tethered</th>
<th>Interconnected</th>
<th>Multiple tethered</th>
<th>Fraction of tethered</th>
<th>Distance to the membrane</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Distance to the PD</th>
<th>Diameter of SVs</th>
<th>Docked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.006</td>
<td>± 0.009</td>
<td>± 0.034</td>
<td>± 0.025</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Dunn's test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.052</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.055</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.036</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.046</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.029</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.029</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.068</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.051</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.048</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.046</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.78 ± 1.08</td>
<td>23.67 ± 0.776</td>
<td>19.41 ± 1.263</td>
<td>19.61 ± 0.876</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn's test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.42 ± 2.138</td>
<td>31.61 ± 1.655</td>
<td>31.32 ± 3.172</td>
<td>30.06 ± 1.481</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.56 ± 1.077</td>
<td>21.36 ± 0.789</td>
<td>19.51 ± 1.292</td>
<td>21.94 ± 0.982</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.1077</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.059</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.053</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.88 ± 2.144</td>
<td>37.61 ± 1.622</td>
<td>32.96 ± 2.192</td>
<td>30.13 ± 1.509</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn's test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.49 ± 4.386</td>
<td>47.09 ± 3.321</td>
<td>45.34 ± 3.654</td>
<td>38.07 ± 3.124</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.12 ± 2.426</td>
<td>34.52 ± 1.808</td>
<td>34.12 ± 2.571</td>
<td>31.49 ± 1.975</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.27 ± 0.0</td>
<td>48.46 ± 35.88</td>
<td>83.27 ± 5.06</td>
<td>5.76 ± 1.876</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not tested: low n of docked SVs in control and NoLight conditions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49.00 ± 0.442</td>
<td>48.67 ± 0.25</td>
<td>49.17 ± 0.352</td>
<td>48.05 ± 0.303</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn's test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50.17 ± 1.061</td>
<td>49.71 ± 0.63</td>
<td>53.27 ± 0.835</td>
<td>48.76 ± 0.485</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn's test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.55 ± 0.465</td>
<td>48.29 ± 0.259</td>
<td>48.06 ± 0.359</td>
<td>47.76 ± 0.419</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.70 ± 0.465</td>
<td>53.70 ± 51.29</td>
<td>51.29 ± 47.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not tested: low n of docked SVs in control and NoLight conditions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 List of MP-SV parameters showing the mean ± SEM values, N, n, p-values and the statistical tests applied
Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Data was tested for significant differences by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) or KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data). Significant results are indicated with * p< 0.05; ** p<0.05; and **** p< 0.0001.

Supplementary file 1 List of clathrin-coated (CC) structures at the active zone
Statistics could not be performed due to the rare abundance of CC structures at the AZ in our tomograms. The grey columns indicate CC structures intermingled with the MP-SV pool.

SV diameters remain largely unchanged in the MP-SV pool
In order to approach possible release mechanisms for IHC ribbon synapses, we determined the SV diameter for all MP-SVs. Previous studies using 15 min K+ stimulation already excluded a large increase of SV sizes upon prolonged stimulation close to the AZ membrane (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Chapochnikov et al., 2014). However, early exocytosis phases could not be monitored at IHC ribbon synapses up to now. If homotypic or compound fusion takes place, one would expect an increase in diameter of SV close to the AZ membrane (He et al., 2009; Lenzi et al., 2002). We found no differences in the diameters of the MP-SVs between the stimulated and non-stimulated conditions (Fig. 7Ci; values and details for statistics in Table 2). When separating the sub-pools in non-tethered, tethered and docked, we found an increase in the diameter of non-tethered SVs upon short stimulation, while all other diameters remained unchanged (Fig. 7Ci,Ciii). Further, we investigated the SV diameter distribution in more detail by sorting all MP-SVs into different bins. We examined small SVs > 40 nm in diameter as well as large SVs ≤ 60 nm, and the frequency distribution between 45 and 60 in 5 nm steps. There were no obvious shifts in the frequency distributions of the SV diameters (Fig. 7Cii and Figure 7-figure supplement 1C). In conclusion, homotypic SV fusion events do not seem to take place among MP-SVs of the IHC synapse under our stimulation paradigms.

Stimulation might have effects on the SV diameters or SV numbers at the ribbon due to potential SV mobilization, SV reformation or homotypic fusion events. Therefore, we determined RA-SV diameters, as well as SV, counts at the distal and the proximal
ribbon halves, excluding the MP-SVs (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Chapochnikov et al., 2014) (Fig. 7–figure - supplement 2). We found no changes in the SV counts (Fig. 7–figure -supplement 2A) but observed a significant reduction of SV diameters at both ribbon halves upon stimulation (Fig. 7–figure - supplement 2B). Furthermore, we classified the RA-SVs in sub-pools, as done in (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). We analyzed the fractions of RA-SVs without filaments, directly ribbon-attached, only interconnected to other SVs, and ribbon-attached plus interconnected SVs. We found a decreased fraction of ribbon-attached SVs upon long stimulation compared to the B6J Light and an increased fraction of interconnected SVs upon short stimulation compared to ChR2 NoLight and ChR2 LongStim (Fig. 7–figure - supplement 2C, values can be found in Supplementary file 2).

To summarize, we neither found indications for larger SVs at the ribbon nor at the membrane upon stimulation except for the non-tethered SVs of the MP-SV pool. Therefore, our data do not support MVR via compound fusion or cumulative fusion of several SVs to prevail as quantal mechanism of exocytosis at IHC synapses at least under our experimental conditions.
Figure 7-figure supplement 1 Distances of MP-SVs to the AZ membrane and the presynaptic density as well as their diameters

(A) MP-SVs distance to the AZ membrane. (D) MP-SVs distance to the PD. (E) Frequency distribution of SV diameter of all MP-SVs. Data are presented in mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001. Statistical test: one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) and KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data).

B6J_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 15, n_{\text{sv}} = 146, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32VC_NoLight: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 9, n_{\text{sv}} = 142, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32VC_ShortStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11, n_{\text{sv}} = 132, N_{\text{animals}} = 1 \). Ai32VC_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 15, n_{\text{sv}} = 174, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32KI_NoLight: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 8, n_{\text{sv}} = 111, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32KI_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11, n_{\text{sv}} = 137, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \).
Figure 7-figure supplement 2 Analysis of morphologically defined RA-SVs

A) RA-SVs total count when divided into two ribbon halves - proximal vs. distal. The illustration above highlights the congruent group. (B) The diameter of proximal vs. distal RA-SVs. (C) The fraction of RA-SVs per ribbon divided into SVs without filaments, ribbon-attached, interconnected and both ribbon-attached and interconnected. Data are presented in mean ± SEM. Statistical test: one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) and KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data). Significance is indicated by *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001.

RA-SV pool: B6J_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 9, n_{sv} = 238, N_{\text{animals}} = 1 \). Ai32VC_NoLight: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 9, n_{sv} = 327, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32VC_ShortStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11, n_{sv} = 324, N_{\text{animals}} = 1 \). Ai32VC_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 10, n_{sv} = 362, N_{\text{animals}} = 1 \). Ai32KI_NoLight: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 8, n_{sv} = 249, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \). Ai32KI_LongStim: \( n_{\text{ribbons}} = 11, n_{sv} = 338, N_{\text{animals}} = 2 \).

Supplementary file 2 List of RA-SV parameters showing the mean ± SEM values, N, n, p-values and the statistical tests applied

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Data was tested for significant differences by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test (parametric data) or KW test followed by Dunn’s test (non-parametric data). Significant results are indicated with * p< 0.05; ** p<0.05; and **** p< 0.0001.
Discussion

In the current study, we established Opto-HPF with a millisecond range physiological stimulation, followed by FS and ET for structure-function analysis of IHC ribbon synapses. This enabled near-to-native state preservation of the ultrastructure of exocytic steps occurring within milliseconds and offered a closer correlation to cell-physiological stimulation paradigms widely used in the field. Patch-clamp recordings validated the photoresponses of ChR2-expressing IHCs and demonstrated optogenetically triggered glutamate release. Further, we provide a strategy for precise synchronization of HPF with optogenetic stimulation. In summary (Fig. 8), our analysis revealed a stimulation-dependent accumulation of docked SVs at IHC AZs. Moreover, we found a slight reduction of the distance of all MP-SVs to the AZ membrane, even more prominent with longer stimulation duration, which is primarily attributed to enhanced docking. Finally, with this near-physiological stimulation, we did not observe large SVs or other morphological correlates of potential homotypic SV fusion events at IHC ribbon synapses.
Figure 8 Summary
Optogenetic stimulation of IHCs mobilize SVs more tightly to the AZ membrane and potentially closer to the Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. The proportion of docked SVs increased and tethered decreased upon stimulation duration, while the total count of MP-SVs and RA-SVs stayed stable. The distance of MP-SVs to the PD slightly decreased upon stimulation.

Validation of Opto-HPF in IHCs
Using immunofluorescence microscopy, immunogold electron microscopy and patch-clamp recordings, we observed an efficient and functional expression of ChR2 (H134R) in IHCs of mouse lines that employed Vglut3-dependent Cre expression. Blue light pulses evoked photocurrents and depolarizations similar to previous reports in other cell types (Boyden et al., 2005; Cardin et al., 2010; Hernandez et al., 2014; Kittelmann et al., 2013; Nikolic et al., 2009). Our patch-clamp recordings of ChR2-expressing IHCs or the postsynaptic bouton revealed that a 10 ms light pulse of 6-16 mW/mm\(^2\) was sufficient to i) depolarize the IHC by 50 mV within 10 to 20 ms and ii) trigger EPSCs at individual synapses with latencies of 15-20 ms. Longer stimulations of similar irradiance decreased the time to peak of photodepolarization and EPSC latencies and resulted in a sustained release. Part of this sustained release is attributed to the slow repolarization of the IHCs (>40 ms) due to the presence of K\(^+\) channel blockers (TEA-CL and Cs\(^+\) in the present study). Based on the charge of the light-evoked EPSCs, the recorded EPSCs most likely reflect the fusion of several SVs at the individual AZ. Under the premise that an individual SV leads to a charge transfer ranging from 50 to 600 fC (Huang and Moser, 2018; Rutherford et al., 2012), our optogenetic stimulation of IHCs triggers the release of more than 10 SVs on the recorded AZs. This number of released SVs and the presence of an immediate plateau indicates depletion of the RRP even after short and mild light stimulations.

We note that ChR2, in addition to monovalent cations, also permeates Ca\(^{2+}\) ions and poses the question whether optogenetic stimulation of IHCs could trigger release due to direct Ca\(^{2+}\) influx via the ChR2. We do not consider such Ca\(^{2+}\) influx to trigger exocytosis of synaptic vesicles in IHCs. Optogenetic stimulation of HEK293 cells overexpressing ChR2 (wildtype version) only raises the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration up to 90 nM even with an extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration of 90 mM (Kleinlogel et al.,...
IHC exocytosis shows a low Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity (\(\sim 70 \mu M\), Beutner et al., 2001) and there is little if any IHC exocytosis for Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations below 10 \(\mu M\), which is far beyond what could be achieved even by the highly Ca\(^{2+}\) permeable ChR2 mutant (CatCh: Ca\(^{2+}\) translocating channelrhodopsin, Mager et al., 2017). In addition, we reason that the powerful Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering and extrusion by hair cells (e.g., Frank et al., 2009; Issa and Hudspeth, 1996; Pangršič et al., 2015; Tucker and Fettiplace, 1995) will efficiently counter Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through ChR2 and, thereby limit potential effects on Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent replenishment of synaptic vesicles during ongoing stimulation.

In order to arrive at a reliable Opto-HPF operation when using the HPM100, we added further functionalities to the machine. The pneumatic pressure sensor, which was placed in close proximity to the freezing chamber, accurately enabled us to calculate the time point when pressurized LN\(_2\) entered the freezing chamber. This allowed a correlation to the data of internal pressure and temperature sensors, whereas the other sensors provided less reliable signals. To achieve short and long light stimulations in the HPM, we chose a single light pulse with different onset time points. According to the sensor curves, we obtained light stimulations between 17 and 76 ms. Our ShortStim (~20 ms) and LongStim (~50 ms) Opto-HPF paradigms aimed to capture ultrastructural correlates of such phasic and sustained exocytosis and matched stimulus durations widely used in electrophysiology of hair cell exocytosis (e.g. Cho et al., 2011; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Johnson et al., 2017; Michalski et al., 2017; Moser and Beutner, 2000; Parsons et al., 1994; Schnee et al., 2005). IHC patch-clamp indicates that RRP is released within the first 20 ms of step depolarization (Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Moser and Beutner, 2000) while longer depolarizations recruit additional SVs for sustained exocytosis (Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Moser and Beutner, 2000). Furthermore, instead of applying trains of short light pulses as typically used for neuronal cell types (Berndt et al., 2011; Boyden et al., 2005; Imig et al., 2020; Ishizuka et al., 2006; Kleinlogel et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2009), we opted for a continuous light pulse to mimic a step-like receptor potential of IHCs in the high frequency cochlea of the mouse (Russell and Sellick, 1978). The ultrastructural findings upon light stimulation in the HPM undoubtedly reflect snapshots of exocytosis at the IHC synapse.
Significant efforts have been made to address the mechanisms of SV release at different ribbon synapses by studying morphologically defined SV populations using ET. These studies proposed that the SVs situated close to the AZ membrane represent the "ultrafast release pool" (Lenzi and von Gersdorff, 2001; Lenzi et al., 1999), and SVs further away around the ribbon are accessible for slower release (Lenzi et al., 1999). Capacitance measurements (Beutner and Moser, 2001; Johnson et al., 2005; Khimich et al., 2005; Moser and Beutner, 2000; Pangrsic et al., 2010), fluorescence imaging (Griesinger et al., 2005; Özçete and Moser, 2020) and recordings from single spiral ganglion neurons (Buran et al., 2010; Frank et al., 2010; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Jean et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2015a; Peterson et al., 2014) propose a RRP with a size between 4 to 45 vesicles per AZ which partially depletes with a time constant of 3 to 54 ms. The broad range of size and release kinetics estimates results from differences in methods, stimulus paradigms and experimental conditions as well as in assumptions of model-based data analysis. Moreover, heterogeneity of AZs may also play a role. These physiological estimates of RRP size enclose the number of approximately 10 MP-SVs. Yet, docking of SVs, often considered to be the ultrastructural correlate of fusion competence, is very rare at IHC AZs in non-stimulated conditions (present study, see also Table 3 and (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Kroll et al., 2020). This might reflect spontaneous univesicular release (UVR) via a dynamic fusion pore (i.e. 'kiss and run', (Ceccarelli et al., 1979), which was suggested previously for IHC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition/Genotype</th>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Number of tomograms</th>
<th>Average number of docked SVs/AZ</th>
<th>Total number of docked SVs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL6_Light</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChR2_NoLight</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChR2_ShortStim</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChR2_LongStim</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 rest</td>
<td>Chakrabarti et al., 2018</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 potassium stim</td>
<td>Chakrabarti et al., 2018</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 rest</td>
<td>Kroll et al., 2020</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6 potassium stim</td>
<td>Kroll et al., 2020</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Comparison of the number of docked SVs from different studies under different stimulation paradigms
ribbon synapses (Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Grabner and Moser, 2018; Huang and Moser, 2018; Takago et al., 2019) and/or rapid undocking of vesicles (e.g. Dinkelacker et al., 2000; He et al., 2017; Nagy et al., 2004; Smith et al., 1998). In the UVR framework, stimulation by ensuing Ca\(^{2+}\) influx triggers the statistically independent release of several SVs. Coordinated multivesicular release (MVR) has been indicated to occur at hair cell synapses (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Li et al., 2009) and retinal ribbon synapses (Hays et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2004) during both spontaneous and evoked release. We could not observe structures which might hint towards compound or cumulative fusion, neither at the ribbon nor at the AZ membrane under our experimental conditions. Upon short and long stimulation, RA-SVs as well as docked SVs even showed a slightly reduced size compared to controls. However, since some AZs harbored more than one docked SV per AZ in stimulated conditions, we cannot fully exclude the possibility of coordinated release of few SVs upon depolarization.

In contrast to the electrophysiological evidence for a partial RRP depletion, IHC ribbon synapses did not display a significant reduction in MP-SVs upon optogenetic stimulation on the ultrastructural level. Prominent SV docking was reported for retinal ribbon synapses (Graydon et al., 2011; Jackman et al., 2009), and previously also for auditory ribbon synapses (Kantardzhieva et al., 2013; Pangrsic et al., 2010). These studies employed chemical fixation, which might alter the number of docked SVs compared to cryo-preserved tissue (Siksou et al., 2009). Since other studies on conventional synapses did not report an alteration in the density of docked SVs using either cryo-fixation or aldehyde fixation (Maus et al., 2020), some discrepancies might also arise from the different synapse types. In contrast to conventional and retinal ribbon synapses (Borges-Merjane et al., 2020; Imig et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2013b), there is a prominent increase in docked SVs when stimulated (present study and (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Kroll et al., 2020).

Finding an accumulation of docked SVs upon strong depolarization seems puzzling given estimated rates of SV replenishment and subsequent fusion of 180-2000 SV/s at IHC ribbon synapses (Buran et al., 2010; Goutman and Glowatzki, 2007; Jean et al., 2018; Pangrsic et al., 2010; Peterson et al., 2014; Schnee et al., 2011; Strenzke et al.,
Indeed, such high speed and indefatigable SV release enable firing up to approximately 100 spikes/s in the quiet and steady state firing of up a few hundred spikes/s upon strong sound stimulation (Buran et al., 2010; Evans, 1972; Huet et al., 2016; Jean et al., 2018; Kiang et al., 1965; Liberman and Kiang, 1978; Schmiedt, 1989; Taberner and Liberman, 2005). Do these docked SVs represent release ready SVs that are more likely detected upon massive turnover? Do they reflect “kiss and stay” release events or limited clearance of vesicles following release? Does the rare observation of docked SVs at resting IHC synapses reflect a rapid undocking process?

SV clearance of the AZ (Neher and Sakaba, 2008) has been suggested as a potentially rate-limiting mechanism of sustained exocytosis in IHCs of mice with mutations in the genes coding for otoferlin (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Pangrsic et al., 2010; Strenzke et al., 2016) or endocytic proteins (Jung et al., 2015b; Kroll et al., 2019; Kroll et al., 2020). While our EPSC recordings suggest the ongoing release of neurotransmitter beyond 20 and 50 ms after light onset, limited clearance of the release sites cannot be excluded. The concept implies full collapse fusion followed by clearance of SV proteolipid from the release site for it to engage a new coming SV. Indeed, full collapse fusion followed by clathrin- and dynamin-dependent endocytosis has been indicated for IHCs (Grabner and Moser, 2018; Neef et al., 2014; Tertrais et al., 2019). Yet, unlike for retinal ribbon synapses (Zampighi et al., 2006; Zampighi et al., 2011) we did not observe omega-profiles or hemifusion states of SVs at IHCs AZ opposing the postsynaptic density.

While we have favored the hypothesis that, eventually, fusion pore initiated release typically proceeds to full collapse fusion (Chapochnikov et al., 2014), there is support for “kiss and run” exocytosis (Alabi and Tsien, 2013) to occur at IHCs from reports of ultrafast endocytosis (time constant ~300 ms) (Beutner et al., 2001; Neef et al., 2014; Tertrais et al., 2019) and cell-attached capacitance measurements (Grabner and Moser, 2018). Could the accumulation of docked SVs during stimulation then represent “kiss and run” or “kiss and stay” (Shin et al., 2018) release events? Unfortunately, cell-attached membrane capacitance recordings from IHCs did not resolve fusion pores (Grabner and Moser, 2018), probably owing to the small SV capacitance (40 aF). Future work including super-resolution imaging (Shin et al., 2018) and/or Opto-HPF on IHCs with genetic or pharmacological interference might shed light on the existence of a
prevalence of “kiss and run” or “kiss and stay” at IHC synapses. Freezing times between 5 to 15 ms after the light onset seem necessary to further address this hypothesis.

Finally, a recent study using electrical stimulation and HPF in hippocampal neurons reported full replenishment of docked SVs within 14 ms, but this docking state was only transient, and SVs could potentially undock during the next 100 ms (Kusick et al., 2020). Indeed, physiological evidence for reversible priming and docking has been reported for various neurosecretory preparations (e.g. Dinkelacker et al., 2000; He et al., 2017; Nagy et al., 2004; Smith et al., 1998). The balance of Ca\(^{2+}\) and otoferlin-dependent replenishment of docked and primed SVs with SV fusion and/or undocking/depriming would then set the “standing RRP” (Pangrsic et al., 2010) and the abundance of docked SVs. Besides increased docking, the decreased distance between SVs and the plasma membrane and presynaptic density upon light stimulation supports the previously proposed sequence of events at IHC ribbon synapses (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). The sequence for SV release involves tethering and subsequent docking, similarly to what was initially described in conventional synapses using cryo-ET (Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2013). Aside from RIMs, which support SV tethering to the AZ membrane at conventional (Fernández-Busnadiego et al., 2013) and IHC ribbon synapses (Jung et al., 2015a), otoferlin (Pangrsic et al., 2010; Vogl et al., 2015) rather than neuronal SNAREs (Nouvian et al., 2011; but see Safieddine and Wenthold, 1999) and members of the Munc-13/CAPS families of priming factors (Vogl et al., 2015) seem to contribute to preparing SVs for fusion. Despite of the distinct molecular composition of the IHC ribbon synapse release machinery, we propose a comparable structural release-sequence of SVs. Therefore, reducing the distance to the AZ membrane as well as to the PD might increase the release competence of a SV. Ca\(^{2+}\) channels were found to be in close proximity to bassoon, which is the main molecule of the PD (Wong et al., 2014). A Ca\(^{2+}\) nanodomain-like coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and release sites was reported for mature IHC ribbon synapses (Brandt et al., 2005; Pangršič et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2014). Therefore, a closer distance to the PD would mean a tighter coupling. Such a topography of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and assemblies of the multidomain AZ proteins RIM2 and bassoon putatively defining SV release sites has recently been
reported by MINFLUX nanoscopy for rod photoreceptor ribbon synapses (Grabner et al., 2022). Similarly, a reduced distance to the AZ membrane, bringing the SV closer might deliver the required energy to make a SV finally release competent. However, the mechanism and molecular key players are still not known at IHC ribbon synapses. It will be interesting for future studies to further decipher the underlying molecular machinery at the hair cell synapses and to test whether and to what extent tethering and docking are reversible processes.

Conclusion

Significant efforts have been made to address the release scenarios at ribbon synapses by EM (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Lenzi et al., 2002; Matthews and Sterling, 2008; von Gersdorff et al., 1996; Zampighi et al., 2011). This study offers an experimental approach for structure-function correlation at IHC ribbon synapses. We conclude that activation of ChR-2 rapidly depolarizes IHCs and triggers release within few milliseconds in response to brief light flashes. This constitutes a non-invasive approach that overcomes the low temporal resolution of the conventional high K\(^+\) depolarization used for electron microscopy of IHC synapses thus far. Combining optogenetic stimulation with high-pressure freezing appears as a promising technique to achieve the temporal and spatial resolution required to study the short-term cellular processes occurring during exocytosis and endocytosis. Notably, we did not observe events that resemble homotypic SV fusion or cumulative fusion close to the AZ membrane of the synaptic ribbon. Further, the RA- as well as the MP-SV pools stayed stable or were rapidly replenished, rather a decrease of the fraction of non-tethered SVs within the MP-SV pool was observed. Finally, the almost complete absence of docked SV in non-stimulated IHCs might reflect the combination of vivid spontaneous release events and fast SV undocking.
## Materials and Methods

### Key Resources Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain, strain background (Mus. musculus)</td>
<td>Vglut3-Cre (VC)</td>
<td>Jung et al., 2015a; Vogl et al., 2016</td>
<td>PMID: 26034270; PMID: 27458190</td>
<td>Coding sequence for Cre inserted in start codon of Vglut3/Slc17a8 gene on BAC RP24-88H21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, strain background (Mus. Musculus)</td>
<td>Vglut3-Ires-Cre-KI (KI)</td>
<td>Lou et al., 2013; Vogl et al., 2016</td>
<td>PMID: 23325226; PMID: 27458190</td>
<td>Knock in of ires-Cre cassette 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, strain background (M. musculus)</td>
<td>B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26S COp4*H134R/EYFP/J</td>
<td>Madisen et al., 2012</td>
<td>Strain #:024109, RRID: IMSR_JAX:024109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, strain background (M. musculus)</td>
<td>C57BL/6J</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>Strain #: 000664, RRID:IMSJR_JAX:000664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
<td>Cat. #</td>
<td>RRID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>chicken anti-GFP (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>ab13970; AB_300798</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>rabbit anti-myosin (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Proteus Biosciences</td>
<td>25-6791; AB_10013626</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>mouse anti-CtBP2 (monoclonal)</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
<td>612044; AB_399431</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>mouse anti-neurofilament 200 (monoclonal)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>N5389; AB_260781</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>rabbit anti-Vglut3 (polyclonal)</td>
<td>SySy</td>
<td>135 203; AB_887886</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488 (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A11039; AB_2534096</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>AbberiorStar 580 goat conjugated anti-rabbit (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Abberior</td>
<td>2-0012-005-8; AB_2810981</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>AbberiorStar 635p goat conjugated anti-mouse (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Abberior</td>
<td>2-0002-007-5; AB_2893232</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (polyclonal)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>A-21236; AB_2535805</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Sequence-based reagent</td>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>Concentrations used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 (unknown)</td>
<td>Ai32 recombinant_ foward</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
<td>IF ; concentrations used: 1:200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ai32 recombinant_ reverse</td>
<td>Patchers Power Tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGCT recombinant_ foward</td>
<td>ImageJ software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CGCT recombinant_ reverse</td>
<td>Fiji software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Imaris</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Excel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GraphPad Prism software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Software, algorithm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>3rd party software</td>
<td>Website/Link</td>
<td>RRID:</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>Igor Pro software package</td>
<td>Wavemetrics (<a href="http://www.wavemetrics.com/products/ig">http://www.wavemetrics.com/products/ig</a> orpro/igorpro.htm)</td>
<td>SCR_000325</td>
<td>For light-evoked EPSCs. Related to Figure 1D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>SerialEM</td>
<td>Mastronarde et al., 2005 (<a href="https://bio3d.colorado.edu/SerialEM/">https://bio3d.colorado.edu/SerialEM/</a>)</td>
<td>16182563</td>
<td>Generating tomograms using the “etomo” GUI of IMOD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>3Dmod</td>
<td>Kremer et al., 1996 (<a href="https://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/doc/3dmodguide.html">https://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod/doc/3dmodguide.html</a>)</td>
<td>8742726</td>
<td>Digital micrograph scripting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>IMOD</td>
<td><a href="http://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod">http://bio3d.colorado.edu/imod</a></td>
<td>SCR_003297</td>
<td>For light-evoked EPSCs. Related to Figure 1D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software, algorithm</td>
<td>Patchmaster or Pulse</td>
<td>HEKA Elektronik, (<a href="http://www.heka.com/products/products">http://www.heka.com/products/products</a> _main.html#soft_pm)</td>
<td>SCR_000034</td>
<td>Digital micrograph scripting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>IMARIS routine: Source code 1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>For light-evoked EPSCs. Related to Figure 1D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>IgorPro routine: OptoEPSCs</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>For light-evoked EPSCs. Related to Figure 1D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MATLAB routines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Source Code</th>
<th>This Paper</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPMacquire</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensityprofile calculator</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPManalyse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3C-F**

- To control light pulse for Opto-HPF in a computer interface. Related to Figure 4A
- For irradiance analysis. Related to Figure 4E
- For sensor data alignment. Related to Figure 5C

---

### Animals

The mice were bred at the animal facility in the University Medical Center Göttingen (UMG). Animal handling and all experimental procedures were in accordance with the national animal care guidelines issued by the animal welfare committees of the University of Göttingen and the Animal Welfare Office of the State of Lower Saxony (AZ 509.42502/01-27.03).

For expression of ChR2-H134R-EYFP (Nagel et al., 2003) in IHCs, we crossed the B6.Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sortm32(CAG-COP4*H134R/EYFP)Hze/J mouse line with the common name Ai32 (Madisen et al., 2012; RRID:IMSR_JAX:024109) with two different Vglut3-Cre mouse lines, expressing Cre under the control of the Slc17a8 gene. The ChR2-H134R-EYFP construct is preceded by a STOP codon flanked by loxP sequences such that expression only commences upon Cre recombination (cre+/cre+ or cre+/cre-).
abbreviated cre\(^+\)). The first ChR2 Slc17a8-driven line, termed Ai32VC, used a previously published transgenic Vglut3-Cre line (Jung et al., 2015a; Vogl et al., 2016). In the Ai32VC line, animals expressing ChR2 were either fl/fl cre\(^+\) or fl/+ cre\(^+\), which we will abbreviate Ai32VC cre\(^+\). For the second ChR2 Vglut3-driven line, termed Ai32KI, we used Vglut3-Ires-Cre-KI mice (Lou et al., 2013; Vogl et al., 2016). ChR2 expressing animals were either fl/fl cre\(^+\)/cre\(^-\) or fl/+ cre\(^+\)/cre\(^-\), which we will abbreviate Ai32KI cre\(^+\).

Littermate controls from both lines (fl/fl +/+ ) are nicknamed WT. C57BL/6J mice, are abbreviated “B6J”.

For immunohistochemistry analysis, three age groups of Ai32KI mice were used. The first group (G1) includes 4-5 months-old mice Ai32KI cre\(^+\), \(N_{animals} = 3\), \(n = 170\) cells; and WT, \(N_{animals} = 2\), \(n = 99\) cells. The second group (G2) corresponds to 6-7 months-old mice Ai32KI cre\(^+\), \(N_{animals} = 2\), \(n = 116\) cells; and WT, \(N_{animals} = 2\), \(n = 87\) cells. The third group (G3) includes 9-12 months-old mice Ai32KI cre\(^+\), \(N_{animals} = 2\), \(n = 129\) cells; and WT, \(N_{animals} = 2\), \(n = 49\) cells. For patch-clamp recordings, Ai32VC or Ai32KI mice were used at postnatal days (P) 14-20 (i.e. after the onset of hearing): 7 animals were Ai32VC cre\(^+\) (fl/fl), 5 animals were Ai32VC cre\(^+\) (fl/+ ) and 3 mice were Ai32KI cre\(^+\) (fl/fl).

For pre-embedding immunogold, we used Ai32KI cre\(^+\). For Opto-HPF, Ai32VC cre\(^+\), Ai32KI cre\(^+\) and B6J mice were used as controls at P14-20 at different stimulation durations (see Table 4 below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>genotype</th>
<th>(N_{animals})</th>
<th>(n) (cells/ribbon)</th>
<th>age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Ai32KI cre(^+)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>170 cells</td>
<td>Group 1: 4-5 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ai32KI cre(^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>116 cells</td>
<td>Group 2: 6-7 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ai32KI cre(^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>129 cells</td>
<td>Group 3: 9-12 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99 cells</td>
<td>Group 1: 4-5 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87 cells</td>
<td>Group 2: 6-7 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49 cells</td>
<td>Group 3: 9-12 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-embedding immunogold</td>
<td>Ai32KI cre(^+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>P17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch-clamp</td>
<td>Ai32VC cre(^+) (fl/fl)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P14-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ai32VC cre(^+) (fl/+ )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>P14-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ai32KI cre(^+)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 cells</td>
<td>P16-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron tomography MP</td>
<td>ShortStim</td>
<td>Ai32VC cre(^+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 ribbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVs</td>
<td>LongStim</td>
<td>Ai32VC cre(^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 ribbons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 4 Genotypes, animal numbers as well as the ages of the animals used in the experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Animal Line</th>
<th>Animal Number</th>
<th>Ribbons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LongStim B6J</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LongStim Ai32KI cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoLight Ai32VC cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoLight Ai32KI cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron tomography RA SVs</td>
<td></td>
<td>P14-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShortStim Ai32VC cre+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LongStim Ai32VC cre+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LongStim B6J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LongStim Ai32KI cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoLight Ai32VC cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoLight Ai32KI cre+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8 ribbons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Ai32VC animals showed germline recombination of the EGFP cassette from the CGCT construct (coming from the Vglut3-Cre line) and/or germline recombination of the cassette ChR2-H134R-EYFP due to unspecific Cre-recombinase activity. This resulted in the ectopic expression (e.g. in non-IHCs in the cochlea) of EGFP and/or ChR2-H134R-EYFP in the absence of Cre-recombinase that could be observed using immunohistochemistry. Additional primers were designed to detect mice with general recombination during genotyping: Ai32 recombinant (forward primer 5’ – GTGCTGTC TCATCATTTTGGC – 3’, and reverse primer 5’ – TCCATAATCCATGGTGGCAAG – 3’) and CGCT recombinant (forward primer 5’ – CTGCTAACCATGTTCATGCC – 3’, and reverse primer 5’ – TTCAGGGTCAGCTTGCGTA – 3’). We excluded animals that showed general recombination for our analysis. The genotype of the animals was determined before the onset of hearing and further corroborated post-mortem.

Patch-clamp recordings

Perforated patch-clamp recordings from IHCs expressing ChR2-H134R-EYFP were performed as described previously (Moser and Beutner, 2000). Briefly, the apical coils of the organ of Corti were dissected from euthanized mice at P14-20 in HEPES Hank’s solution containing 5.36 mM KCl, 141.7 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂-6H₂O, 0.5 mM MgSO₄-7H₂O, 10 mM HEPES, 0.5 mg/ml L-glutamine, and 1 mg/ml D-glucose, pH 7.2, osmolarity ~300 mOsm/l. By removing some supporting cells, the basolateral face of the IHCs was exposed and patch-clamp was established using Sylgard™-coated 1.5 mm borosilicate pipettes. The intracellular pipette solution contained: 135 mM KCl, 10
mM HEPES, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, and 300 μg/ml amphotericin B (osmolarity ~290 mOsm/l).
The organ of Corti was bathed in an extracellular solution containing 126 mM NaCl, 20 mM TEA-Cl, 2.8 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 1 mM CsCl, 10 mM HEPES, and 11.1 mM D-glucose, pH 7.2, osmolarity ~300 mOsm/l. All patch-clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (20-25ºC). An EPC-9 amplifier (HEKA electronics) controlled by Pulse or Patchmaster software (HEKA electronics) was used for the measurements in current-clamp or voltage-clamp mode. Currents were leak corrected using a p/10 protocol. IHCs with leak currents exceeding -50 pA at -84 mV holding potential or with a series resistance higher than 30 Ω were excluded from the analysis. A red filter was positioned between the light source and the recording chamber to avoid partial depolarization of the IHCs by the transillumination light.

In order to assess optogenetically evoked IHC exocytosis, postsynaptic recordings from afferent boutons were performed as described previously (Glowatzki and Fuchs, 2002; Huang and Moser, 2018). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from the postsynaptic bouton was established using heat-polished, Sylgard™-coated 1 mm thin-glass borosilicate pipettes. The intracellular solution contained 137 mM KCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM HEPES, 1 mM Na\textsubscript{2}-GTP, 2.5 mM Na\textsubscript{2}-ATP, 3.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O and 0.1 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, pH 7.2 and osmolarity of ~290 mOsm/l. Boutons with leak currents exceeding -100 pA at -94 mV holding potential were excluded from the analysis. The series resistance of the bouton recordings was calculated offline as reported in (Huang and Moser, 2018). Recordings with a bouton series resistance > 80 Ω were discarded.

**Photostimulation for cell-physiology**

Photostimulation of IHCs was achieved using a blue 473 nm laser (MBL 473, CNI Optoelectronics). Irradiance and duration of the light pulses were controlled using the EPC-9 amplifier via a custom controller unit, allowing the transformation of a particular voltage to a particular laser power (i.e. photostimulation during 5, 10 or 50 ms from 2 to 5 V with different increasing steps). A FITC filter set was used to direct the stimulating blue light to the sample. Radiant flux (mW) was measured before each experiment with a laser power meter (LaserCheck; Coherent Inc. or Solo2 Gentec-eo) placed under the 40x objective lens. The diameter of the illumination spot was estimated using a green fluorescent slide and a stage micrometer, and it was used to calculate the irradiance in...
mW/mm². Photocurrents were measured in voltage-clamp mode and the photodepolarization in current-clamp mode. Only the first series of evoked photocurrents and photodepolarizations were analyzed in order to rule out potential changes in the photoresponses due to inactivation of ChR2-H134R-EYFP (Lin et al., 2009).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Freshly dissected apical turns of the organ of Corti (as described above) were fixed on ice for 60 min with 4% formaldehyde in Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS: 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.2 mM KH₂PO₄), followed by 3x10 min wash with PBS. A blocking step was performed for 1 h at room temperature with goat serum dilution buffer (GSDB: 16% goat serum, 450 mM NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). Afterwards, the samples were incubated overnight in a wet chamber at 4°C with the following GSDB-diluted primary antibodies: chicken anti-GFP (1:500, Abcam, ab13970; RRID:AB_300798), rabbit anti-myo6 (1:200, Proteus Biosciences, 25-6791; RRID:AB_10013626), mouse anti-CtBP2 (1:200, BD Biosciences, 612044; RRID:AB_399431), mouse anti-neurofilament 200 (1:400, Sigma, N5389; RRID:AB_260781) and rabbit anti-Vglut3 (1:300, SySy, 135 203; RRID:AB_887886). After 3x10 min wash with wash buffer (450 mM NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4), GSDB-diluted secondary antibodies were applied for 1 h at room temperature: goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200, Invitrogen, A11039; RRID:AB_2534096), AbberiorStar 580 goat conjugated anti-rabbit (1:200, Abberior, 2-0012-005-8; RRID:AB_2810981), AbberiorStar 635p goat conjugated anti-mouse (1:200, Abberior, 2-0002-007-5; RRID:AB_2893232), goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (1:200, Invitrogen, A-21236; RRID:AB_2535805) and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 (1:200, ThermoFisher, RRID:AB_143157). A final washing step was done for 3x10 min with wash buffer and, exclusively in Ai32VC samples, for 1x10 min in 5 mM phosphate buffer. The samples were mounted onto glass slides with a drop of mounting medium (Mowiol® 4-88, Roth) and covered with glass coverslips.

Confocal images were acquired using an Abberior Instruments Expert Line STED microscope with a 1.4 NA 100x oil immersion objective and with excitation lasers at 488,
561, 594 and 633 nm. Images were processed using the FIJI software (Schindelin et al., 2012) and assembled with Adobe Illustrator Software.

**Immunogold pre-embedding**

In order to verify the membrane localization of ChR2 within the IHC, we performed pre-embedding immunogold labeling using nanogold (1.4 nm gold)-coupled nanobodies (information see below) for the line Ai32KI cre\(^+\) \((N = 1)\) on a freshly dissected organ of Corti. The labeling was essentially done as described in (Strenzke et al., 2016) with a few modifications. Samples were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde with 0.06% glutaraldehyde in 1x piperazine-N,N\(^\prime\)-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid)-EGTA-MgSO\(_4\) (PEM) solution (0.1 M PIPES; 2 mM EGTA; 1 mM MgSO\(_4\) \(\times\) 7\(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}\)) for 90 min on ice and subsequently washed twice for 15 min each in 1x PEM at RT. Next, the samples were blocked for 1 h in 2% BSA / 3% normal horse serum (NHS) in 0.2% PBS with Triton X-100 detergent (PBST) at RT. The incubation with the anti-GFP 1.4 nanogold-coupled nanobody was performed overnight at 4°C: anti-GFP in PBS with 0.1% PBST 1:100. On the next day, samples were washed three times for 1 h in PBS at RT and post-fixed for 30 min in 2% glutaraldehyde in PBS at RT. After four washes for 10 min in distilled H\(_2\)O at RT, silver enhancement was performed for 4 min in the dark using the Nanoprobes Silver enhancement Kit (Nanoprobes, USA). After incubation, the solution was quickly removed and washed twice with water for a few seconds. After removal of the enhancement solution, 4x10 min washing steps in distilled H\(_2\)O were performed. Subsequently, samples were fixed for 30 min in 2% OsO\(_4\) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) and washed 1 h in distilled H\(_2\)O. Samples were further washed over night in distilled H\(_2\)O at 4°C. On the next day, dehydration was performed: 5 min 30%; 5 min 50%; 10 min 70%; 2x10 min 95%; 3x12 min 100%; 1x30 min, 1x1.5 h 50% pure EtOH and 50% epoxy resin (Agar100, Plano, Germany) at RT on a shaker. Samples were then incubated overnight in pure epoxy resin at RT on a shaker. On day four, another incubation step took place in pure epoxy resin for 6 h on a shaker and finally samples were transferred to embedding molds with fresh epoxy resin for polymerization for 2 days at 70°C.
Stoichiometric conjugation of an anti-GFP nanobody with 1.4 nm gold particle

Anti-GFP nanobody carrying a single ectopic cysteine at its C-terminus (NanoTag Biotechnologies GmbH, Cat# N0301-1mg) was used for conjugation with 1.4 nm mono-maleimide gold particles (Nanoprobes Inc., Cat# #2020-30NMOL). The ~30 nmol of anti-GFP nanobody was first reduced using 10 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) for 30 minutes on ice. Next, the excess of TCEP was removed using a NAP-5 gravity column and the nanobody immediately mixed with lyophilized 120 nmol of mono-maleimide 1.4 nm gold particles. The mixture was incubated for 4 h on ice with sporadic movement. Finally, the excess of unconjugated gold was removed using an Äkta pure 25 FPLC, equipped with a Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 column.

Sample mounting for Opto-HPF

Dissection and mounting for HPF was performed in the same extracellular solution as used for patch-clamp, containing 126 mM NaCl, 20 mM TEA-Cl, 2.8 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM CsCl, 10 mM HEPES, and 11.1 mM D-glucose, pH 7.2, osmolarity ~300 mOsm/l. After dissection, the samples (Ai32VC $^{cre^+}$ or Ai32KI $^{cre^+}$ (both: $^{fl/+ cre^+}$ or $^{fl/fl cre^+}$)) were mounted upside down (Fig. 4B,C) due to the specific insertion mechanism of the high-pressure freezing machine (HPM)100. The sapphire disc of 6 mm Ø and 0.12 mm thickness (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was placed into a sample holder middle plate with a rim of 0.2 mm (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Thereafter, the first 6 mm Ø and 0.2 mm thick spacer ring (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was placed, forming a cavity. The freshly dissected organ of Corti was then placed into this cavity filled with the above mentioned extracellular solution. The 0.2 mm side of the 6 mm Ø type A aluminum carrier (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was placed onto the sample firmly. Next, the second spacer ring of the same dimensions as the first one was placed over the carrier, making a 1.02 mm sample enclosure. Finally, the samples were sandwiched between two transparent cartridges (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The sample sandwich was then flipped 180° during the insertion process allowing the sample to face towards the light source inside the HPM100 (Fig. 4C).
Setup for stimulation and freezing relay

The HPM100 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) is equipped with a trigger box and an optical fiber that reaches the freezing chamber inside the machine (Fig. 4A). The HPM100 allows immediate initiation of the freezing process after loading the sample on the cartridge mount and pressing the process button of the HPM100. However, the company configuration does not provide a precise temporal control of the freezing process onset immediately after the light stimulation is over. Therefore, we installed an external control for the blue light-emitting diode (LED) stimulation and subsequent freezing process initiation. This setup had three key functionalities: (i) external control of the stimulus onset and duration; (ii) precise control of the time point at which the freezing process initiates by interfacing with the optical trigger box (account for HPM start and HPM delays from the start); (iii) command relay to the accelerometer, the pneumatic pressure sensor and the microphone to detect the mechanical and acoustical processes within the HPM till the end of the freezing process (Fig. 4A, Fig. 5).

To have an external control of the irradiance and duration of the light stimulation used for Opto-HPF (Fig. 4B,C), a LLS-3 LED blue light (A20955; 473 nm) source (Schott and Moritex) was used for stimulation. LLS-3 allowed the distinction between manual (by intensity control knob, which is maintained at 0) and automated intensity control (through RSS-232 input). The latter was used with 80 mV selected as the command voltage (see calibration of irradiance at sample below). A PCI 6221 interface card (37 pins, National Instrument, NI) and a RS-S232 interface were used to communicate the external LED control box to the computer. This allowed controlling of the amplitude and duration of the light pulse via the computer interface (Source code 3). A flexible optical fiber (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) transmitted the blue light from the source to the sample inside the freezing chamber of the HPM.

The START remote port of the optical trigger box was connected to the HPM100 via the J3 cable. This allowed us to START and PAUSE the freezing process externally (either manually or automatically). Light pulse duration could be defined manually or automatically via the computer interface to have different light stimulation durations of the specimen.
Irradiance measurements for the HPM100

In order to be able to determine the light irradiance that reaches the sample, the inside of the HPM freezing chamber was replicated in an in-house workshop. In this chamber replica, includes the sample carriers with the upper half-cylinder, as well as the LED light source. The exact angle and distances of the original machine are fully replicated based on the technical drawing kindly provided by Leica Microsystems.

The radiant flux ($\Phi_e$) measurement involves two main custom-made components, a mechano-optical arrangement and an optical detector. As an optical detector, we used a combination of a bare photodiode (First Sensor, PS100-6 THD) and an operational amplifier circuit (operational amplifier: Burr Brown, OPA 637). It incorporates negative voltage bias over the photodiode and a low noise setting due to relative strong current feedback. The photodiode was covered with a neutral density (ND) filter (5% transmission) and brought as close as possible to the sample plane (Fig. 4D, upper panel). The ND filter was necessary to do not drive the circuit into saturation. The whole detector arrangement was calibrated with a laser light source emitting at 488 nm, which corresponds to the center wavelength of the LED source. In more detail, the calibration was performed with an expanded beam that fits well on the active area of the photodiode and a ND filter with calibrated transmission (active area: 10 x 10 mm). The radiant flux can be calculated from the detector output voltage ($U$) with the linear equation:

$$\Phi_e(U) = 0.67 + 0.64 [mW/V] \times U [V] \times 20$$

The light distribution measurements were performed by imaging scattered excitation light in the sample plane (Fig. 4C). For imaging, we used two Achromates ($f = 50 mm$) in a configuration with a magnification factor of 1:1 and a CCD camera (IDS, UI-3250ML-M-GL) as detector (Fig. 4D, lower panel). The spatial irradiance distribution (Fig. 4F) is derived by transferring the gray values of the image (Fig. 4E; source code 4) into a radiometric magnitude. Here, we determined the intensity of each pixel ($E_e/p$). First, the intensity per gray value ($gv$) increment was calculated by normalizing the sum of the gray values (- background) in the imaged area to the measured radiant flux ($\Phi_e$). By multiplying the $gv$ of each pixel, we determined the intensity per pixel.
The radiant flux at the sample was measured to be 37.3 mW with a peak irradiance of 6 mW/mm² at the center of the chamber (Fig. 4F).

Installing additional sensors at the HPM100

The HPM100 initiates the freezing process directly after loading the sample (for further details, refer to https://www.leica-microsystems.com) but does not precisely trigger and monitor the freezing process on an absolute millisecond time scale regarding the externally initiated HPM start. The internal pressure and temperature sensors of the HPM100 offer a freezing curve for each sample with precise readout for temperature and pressure development inside the freezing chamber. These values are stored on a USB stick and are available as an excel file. This internal recording only starts when the internal pressure measured in the freezing chamber reaches 65 bar, and, therefore, it does not provide the absolute time elapsed between HPM start \((t = 0)\) and the time point when the sample is frozen. We incorporated three external sensors, (i) an accelerometer, (ii) a microphone, and (iii) a pneumatic pressure sensor (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, description see below). These additional sensors allowed us to calculate the absolute time scale from the HPM start till the sample reaches 0°C (assumed as “frozen”) for each shot (Fig. 5).

Accelerometer

The accelerometer from Disynet GmbH (Germany) was externally installed under the HPM100 in order to detect vibration caused during the whole process, from sample insertion till pressure release.

Microphone

A microphone (MKE2, Sennheiser electronic GmbH & Co, Germany) was installed inside the machine close to the freezing chamber in order to detect acoustic signal changes during the process from sample insertion till pressure release.

Pneumatic pressure sensor

The pneumatic pressure sensor (pressure sensor type A-10, WIKA, Germany; different from the HPM100 internal pressure sensor sitting inside the freezing chamber) was installed below the pneumatic needle valve, which opens at 7 bar (pneumatic pressure
valve) to regulate the LN$_2$ entry in the freezing chamber. The pneumatic pressure sensor detects the pneumatic pressure build-up and reveals the exact time point when the valve opens and the pneumatic pressure drops. This sensor is controlled by the same external control unit that also triggers the start of the HPM and is proven to be the most reliable readout to correlate the freezing of the samples to the stimulation. The absolute time scale (in ms) was correlated to the typical pressure curve inside the freezing chamber. This curve shows a pressure build-up (critical pressure of 1700 bar), followed by a plateau during freezing, and finalized by a rapid pressure drop. The temperature curve, detected by the internal thermal sensor, on the other hand, shows a steady drop in the temperature (Fig. 5). These curves obtained from the internal sensors (pressure and temperature inside the freezing chamber) were correlated to the signals obtained from the three external sensors, whereby the pneumatic pressure sensor delivered a clear characteristic signal at the beginning of the pressure build-up (Fig. 5C; source code 5). The recordings from the external sensors start when HPM start (t = 0) (Fig. 5).

**Opto-HPF and freezing procedure**

When the HPM was ready for freezing (showing “ready for freezing” on the HPM display), the freezing process was halted externally by pressing PAUSE on the trigger box (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Subsequently, the sample sandwich was mounted as described above (Fig. 4B,C) and inserted into the HPM. By pressing the START (HPM start: t = 0) command (undoing the PAUSE command), the process was resumed: the sample was stimulated for the duration chosen with the installed computer interface and the freezing proceeded to completion.

Several factors must be considered to precisely calculate the time point when the sample is frozen after the HPM start. This delay, referred as $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$, is a sum of the delays caused by LN$_2$ compression and entry, mechanical processes inside the machine (e.g. placing the cartridge in the freezing chamber, valve openings), and specimen freezing. It is the total time it requires from initiating the freezing process by pressing START till the time point, when the sample is frozen. A good quality of freezing requires a steep pressure-increase and rapid temperature drop inside the freezing chamber. Since these parameters vary for each shot, it is critical to measure them to
accuracy calculate $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$. Furthermore, the time required to build up pressure on LN$_2$, strongly relies on mechanical processes inside the machine and it varied for each freezing. According to the HPM100 manual, the time to pressurize the LN$_2$ ($T_{\text{LN2 pressurized}}$) is around ~400 ms after HPM start. Once LN$_2$ reaches the required pressure, the pneumatic needle valve opens to let LN$_2$ inside the freezing chamber. The external pneumatic pressure sensor detects these changes in pneumatic pressure outside the freezing chamber (Fig. 5A,B). The recorded pneumatic pressure curve shows a small dip (Fig. 5C, inset, asterisk) before the final steady increase and sudden drop. This small dip in the pressure reflects the opening of the valve. This pressure dip recorded by the external sensor can be correlated to the pressure built up recorded by the internal sensor in the freezing chamber. This correlation sets the absolute time axis and determines the duration of the mechanical delays prior to freezing ($T_{\text{mechanics}}$). We also account for the time required for the specimen to reach 0°C ($T_{\text{specimen at 0}}$). The exact temperature of the specimen cannot be monitored (Watanabe et al., 2013b), as the internal thermal sensor only provides information about the temperature in the freezing chamber. In our HPM100 instrument, the freezing chamber reached 0°C ($T_{\text{chamber at 0}}$) at 5.41 ± 0.26 ms (SD) on average. This parameter was calculated from the summation of rise time and shift ($p/T$) from 10 test shots, similar to a previous report (Watanabe et al., 2013b). Rise time corresponds to the time required for the pressure to reach 2100 bar, while shift $p/T$ describes the time required for the temperature to drop below 0°C in relation to the pressure rise. Further delays include the time required for the sapphire disc to cool down ($T_{\text{sapphire at 0}}$) (0.01 ms, as estimated in (Watanabe et al., 2013b)) and for the sample center to reach 0°C ($T_{\text{sample center at 0}}$) (1.1 ms, as estimated in Watanabe et al., 2013b). All together, the specimen reaches 0°C in approximately 6.52 ms ($T_{\text{specimen at 0}} = T_{\text{chamber at 0}} + T_{\text{sapphire at 0}} + T_{\text{sample center at 0}}$). This time might be an overestimation since we assume that the sample does not cool during the first ms after the chamber is filled with LN$_2$, as stated previously (Watanabe et al., 2013b).

Overall, we estimated the delay from HPM start as follow:

$$T_{\text{HPM delay from START}} = T_{\text{LN2 pressurized}} + T_{\text{mechanics}} + T_{\text{specimen at 0}}$$
$T_{N2 \ pressurized} = 400$ ms, and $T_{\text{specimen at 0}} = 5.41 (\pm 0.26) + 0.01 + 1.1$ ms.

$T_{\text{mechanics}}$ ranged between 25.4 to 41.6 ms and were individually determined for each freezing round.

The $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$ for our experiments ranged from 431.92 to 448.12 ms. The onset (StimStart) of a 100 ms light stimulus was set after 390 and 425 ms from $\text{HPM start}$. We subtracted StimStart from $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$ to obtain the actual stimulation duration before freezing for each freezing round (Fig. 5D).

Stim = $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$ – StimStart

ShortStim = $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$ – 425 ms

LongStim = $T_{\text{HPM delay from START}}$ – 390 ms

The light stimulation duration ranged between 17-25 ms for ShortStim and 48-76 ms LongStim.

**Sample processing via freeze substitution, ultrathin sectioning and post-staining**

Freeze substitution (FS) was performed in an EM AFS2 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) according to published work (Chapochnikov et al., 2014; Jung et al., 2015a; Siksou et al., 2007; Vogl et al., 2015). Briefly, the samples were incubated for four days in 0.1% tannic acid in acetone at -90°C. Three washing steps with acetone (1 h each) were performed at -90°C. Then 2% osmium tetroxide in acetone was applied to the sample and incubated at -90°C for 7 h. The temperature was raised to -20°C (5°C/h increment) for 14 h in the same solution. The samples were then incubated at -20°C for 17 h in the same solution. The temperature was further increased automatically from -20°C to 4°C for 2.4 h (10°C/h increment). When the temperature reached 4°C, the samples were washed in acetone three times (1 h each) and brought to room temperature by placing them under the fume hood. Finally, the samples were infiltrated in epoxy resin (Agar 100, Plano, Germany). The next day, the samples were embedded in fresh 100% epoxy resin and polymerized at 70°C for 48 h in flat embedding molds.

After trimming, 70 nm ultrathin sections were obtained using a UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with a 35° diamond knife (DiAtome,
Switzerland). These 70 nm sections were used to check the freezing quality and find the
region of interest. Furthermore, 70 nm sections were also used to analyze the samples
from pre-embedding immunogold labeling.

For electron tomography, semithin 250 nm sections were obtained. The embedded
organ of Corti was approached tangentially from the pillar side to ensure that a row of
several IHCs was obtained per section. For each sample, at least 20-30 grids with
approximately 4-6 sections of 250 nm were prepared. Post-staining was performed with
4% uranyl acetate in water or uranyl acetate replacement solution (Science Services,
EMS) for 40 min and briefly (< 1 min) with Reynold’s lead citrate in a closed staining
compartment in the presence of NaOH to exclude atmospheric CO₂ and avoid lead
precipitates. After that, grids were washed two times on water droplets with previously
boiled and cooled distilled water.

Transmission electron microscopy and electron tomography

2D electron micrographs were taken from the 70 nm ultrathin sections at 80 kV using a
JEM1011 TEM (JEOL, Freising, Germany) equipped with a Gatan Orius 1200A camera
(Gatan, Munich, Germany).

We randomly sampled ribbon AZs in the 250 nm sections used for tomograms. This
resulted in differences in the cutting plane of the ribbon synapses represented by either
a cross-section, a longitudinal section, or a section in between both (Supplementary file
3). The grids were systematically screened for ribbons that could be used for ET (0 –
4/5 ribbons per grid, often 0, frequently 1 or 2) to ensure that ribbon synapses from
different IHCs and locations within IHCs were studied. It is difficult to determine the
exact number of analyzed IHCs since we did not consistently acquire serial sections.
Therefore, it is not possible for us to determine where the analyzed ribbon synapses
were located within the IHC.

Supplementary file 3 Cutting planes of the analyzed ribbon synapses for each
condition

For each ribbon, we determined the cutting plane and classified it as either a cross-section, a
longitudinal section or a section in between both.
Electron tomography was performed as described previously (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2014). Briefly, 10 nm gold beads (British Bio Cell/Plano, Germany) were applied to both sides of the stained grids. For 3D, single-tilt series were acquired at 200 kV using a JEM2100 TEM (JEOL, Freising, Germany) mostly from −60° to +60° with a 1° increment at 12,000× using the Serial-EM software package (Mastronarde, 2005) with a Gatan Orius 1200A camera (Gatan, Munich, Germany). We typically acquired 140-170 virtual sections for each tomogram, but the exact number can vary (Supplementary file 4). Tomograms were generated using the IMOD GUI etomo (Kremer et al., 1996).

**Supplementary file 4 The range of virtual sections per condition**

To judge the size of the tomograms for each condition, we determined the number (and percentage) of tomograms with virtual sections in the most frequent range of 140 – 170. This range is usually around 50% for all conditions.

After tomogram generation, we screened for tomograms suitable for further analysis, whereby samples with poor tissue integrity and heavy freezing artifacts at the AZ were excluded. These freezing artifacts were identified by the formation of long filamentous artifacts in the cytoplasm, the nucleus and specifically, in the vicinity of the IHC ribbon synapses. Notably, the organ of Corti is a sensitive tissue, difficulties for the freezing quality arise from the liquid-filled tunnel of Corti, which is in close proximity to the IHCs. Therefore, for our analysis, we only selected tomograms with a continuous presynaptic AZ, a synaptic cleft and round-shaped SVs.

**Model rendering and image analysis**

Tomograms were segmented semi-automatically using 3dmod (Kremer et al., 1996) with a pixel size of 1.18 nm at 12,000x magnification. The presynaptic AZ membrane was defined by the area occupied by a clear postsynaptic density (PSD) as well as a regular synaptic cleft. The AZ membrane of a ribbon synapse was then assigned as a closed object and manually segmented every 15 virtual sections for 5 consecutive virtual sections and then interpolated across the Z-stack. The synaptic ribbons and the presynaptic density were also assigned as closed objects and were manually segmented for the first 10, middle 20 and last 10 virtual sections and then interpolated.
across the Z-stack using the interpolator tool of 3dmod. Interpolation was corrected manually in each virtual section thereafter.

MP-SVs were defined as the vesicles localized in the first row from the AZ-membrane, with a maximum 50 nm distance measured vertically from the vesicle’s outer layer to the AZ membrane (Fig. 6-figure supplement 1A, upper panel) and with a maximum lateral distance of 100 nm to the presynaptic density (PD) (Fig. 6-figure supplement 1A, lower panel) (Jung et al., 2015a). Docked SVs were assigned as SVs in 0-2 nm distance to the AZ membrane, as previously done for HPF/FS tomograms of synapses (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Imig et al., 2014). RA-SVs were defined as the first row of SVs with a maximal distance of 80 nm from the ribbon surface to the vesicle membrane in each tomogram (Fig. 6-figure supplement 1A, upper panel), excluding the SVs already in the MP-pool (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). The distances of the SVs to individual structures like the AZ membrane, PD and ribbon were measured using the Measure drawing tool in 3dmod at the maximum projection of the vesicle in the tomogram as done previously (Chakrabarti et al., 2018). Further, as previously shown in (Chapochnikov et al., 2014) and (Chakrabarti et al., 2018), the ribbons were divided into two halves, the distal and the proximal half (excluding the MP-SVs as well as the PD), for analysis of SV counts and diameters (Fig. 7). Clathrin-coated (CC) structures (Clathrin-coated vesicle (CCV) or CC invagination) were identified 0-500 nm distant to the PD. CCVs were defined as round vesicles with a clear CC, while invaginations were infoldings also clearly decorated with a CC.

All regular vesicles (also including CCVs) were annotated using a spherical scattered object at its maximum projection of the vesicle in the tomogram, marking the outer leaflet (for CCV: outer leaflet, excluding the CC) of the vesicles. Due to excellent ultrastructural preservation obtained from HPF/FS, most of the regular vesicles (also including CCVs) were spherical and were annotated using a spherical Scattered object at its maximum projection of the vesicle in the tomogram (Chakrabarti et al., 2018), marking the outer leaflet (for CCV: outer leaflet, excluding the CC) of the vesicles. The diameter of the sphere was manually adjusted for each vesicle to rule out any possible errors with the cutting plane or compression. The vesicle radius (r) was determined
automatically (Helmprobst et al., 2015) using the program imodinfo option –p of IMOD software package (Kremer et al., 1996). Then the diameter (D) was computed with $D = 2r$. CC structures that did not appear spherical were segmented manually as closed objects by taking the diameter of the longest and shortest axis in the virtual section showing the maximum projection. The average out of these measurements was taken as the diameter. All outputs were obtained in nm.

**Data analysis**

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using the IgorPro 6 software package (Wavemetrics; RRID:SCR_000325), Patchers Power Tools (RRID:SCR_001950) and a custom-written script (source code 2). Evoked photocurrents and photodepolarizations were estimated from the peak of current and depolarization, respectively, following the light pulse. Time to the peak was calculated from the onset of the light stimulus to the peak of the photodepolarization. EPSCs amplitude and charge were computed from the onset of the light pulse until the end of the release. EPSCs latency was calculated from light-pulse$_{onset}$ until EPSC$_{onset}$ (corresponding to avg. baseline ± 4 SD) and the time of return to baseline was estimated by EPSC$_{offset}$-EPSC$_{onset}$.

Confocal sections were visualized using the FIJI software (Schindelin et al., 2012; RRID:SCR_002285). The analysis of ribbon numbers using the z-projections of the stacks was performed in the IMARIS software using custom plug-ins (Source code 1) of IMARIS (RRID:SCR_007370), whereby the number of ribbons within a region of interest (ROI) was obtained using the *Spots function*. The average ribbons per IHC was calculated by dividing the number of spots detected by the number of IHCs for each ROI.

For each data set, sample sizes ($n$) were decided according to typical samples sizes in the respective fields (e.g., electrophysiology, electron tomography, and those used for confocal imaging of cochlear IHCs ribbon synapses). Respective sections, figure legends and tables report the $n$, number of replicates, animal numbers ($N_{animals}$), and the statistical test used.

Model rendering and image analysis criteria for ET are described in the previous section. For statistics, the average SV count and the fraction of SVs in the RA- and MP-pool were calculated per ribbon ($n_{ribbons}$; Figure 6 and Figure 6-figure supplement 1).
The average RA- and MP-SV diameters and the average distance of MP-SVs to the AZ membrane and PD were calculated per SV ($n_{SV}$; Fig 7, Figure 7-figure supplement 1 and Figure 7-figure supplement 2).

All data sets were tested for normal distribution (Sapiro-Wilk test) and equality of variances (Brown-Forsythe test). For data sets following a normal distribution and with equality of variances, we used parametric statistical tests (one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA), followed by a post-hoc test for multiple comparisons (Tukey’s test). For non-parametric data sets, we performed Kruskal-Wallis (KW) tests, followed by Dunn’s test.

For the SV diameter quantification (Fig. 7Cii and Fig. 7-figure supplement 1, panel 7C), we also categorized SV diameters into bins similar to previous studies (Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Hintze et al., 2021). All statistical analyses and graphs were done using IGOR Pro software 6, GraphPad Prism (RRID:SCR_002798) version 9 and/or R software (version 4.0.3).

**Materials availability statement**

All research materials and biological reagents used in this paper are reported in the Materials and Method section. The custom routines and scripts used in the manuscript are provided as Source Codes:

Source Code 1: IMARIS custom plug-ins for the analysis of Figure 1D

Source code 2: Igor Pro custom-written analysis (OptoEPSCs) of light-evoked EPSCs related to Figure 3C-F.

Source code 3: MATLAB scripts (HPMacquire) for the computer interface to control the light pulse for Opto-HPF. Related to Figure 4A

Source code 4: MATLAB script (Intensityprofilecalculator) for the analysis of the irradiance in Figure 4E.

Source code 5: MATLAB scripts (HPManalyse) for the alignment of the data obtained from the Opto-HPF sensors. Related to Figure 5C.

The data files, including the numerical data associated with the figures, will be made available in osf upon acceptance.
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