|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Statistical Comparisons** **for Figure 4 - figure supplement 1** | **Comparison** | **Result** |
| **Panel** | **Description**  | **Test** | **p value** | **n value** |
| **4-1B** | Normalized change in amplitude of spine events following visual deprivationDeprived NetworkvsControl NetworkvsDeprived + TNF-α inhibitor Network | *Two-Way ANOVA with post-hoc test* | Control Network vs Deprived Network | p = 0.005 | Deprived Unclassified= 86 spinesControlUnclassified = 54 spinesDeprivedNetwork =599 spinesControlNetwork =345 spinesDeprived Network +TNF-α inhibitor = 368 spines |
| Deprived Network vs Deprived+TNF-α inhibitor Network | p < 0.001 |
| Control Network vs Deprived+TNF-α inhibitor Network | p = 0.214 |
| -1 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p = 0.044 |
| -1 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.646 |
| -1 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.027 |
| 0 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p = 0.044 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.646 |
| 0 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.027 |
| +48 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p < 0.001 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p < 0.001 |
| +48 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.031 |
| **4-1C** | Normalized change in amplitude of spine events following visual deprivationDeprived UnclassifiedvsControlUnclassifiedvsDeprived Network  | *Two-Way ANOVA with post-hoc test* |  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.925 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.925 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.007 |
|  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.622 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.622 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.008 |
|  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.775 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.775 |
| +48hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.933 |
| **4-1E** | Normalized change in amplitude of spine events following auditory deprivationDeprived NetworkvsControl NetworkvsDeprived + TNF-α inhibitor Network | *Two-Way ANOVA with post-hoc test* | Control Network vs Deprived Network | p = 0.011 | Deprived Unclassified = 62 spinesControl Unclassified = 43 spinesDeprived Network = 464 spinesControl Network = 336 spinesDeprived Network +TNF-α inhibitor = 506 spines |
| Deprived Network vs Deprived+TNF-α inhibitor Network | p < 0.001 |
| Control Network vs Deprived+TNF-α inhibitor Network | p = 0.009 |
| -1 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p = 0.007 |
| -1 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.017 |
| -1 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.460 |
| 0 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p = 0.007 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.017 |
| 0 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p = 0.460 |
| +48 hrs: Con Net vs Dep Net | p < 0.001 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p < 0.001 |
| +48 hrs: Con Net vs Dep+TNF-α inhibitor Net | p < 0.001 |
| **4-1F** | Normalized change in amplitude of spine events following auditory deprivationDeprived UnclassifiedvsControlUnclassifiedvsDeprived Network  | *Two-Way ANOVA with post-hoc test* |  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.602 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.602 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Network vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.004 |
|  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.753 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.753 |
| +48 hrs: Dep Network vs Dep Unclassified | p = 0.003 |
|  |  |
| -1 hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.821 |
| 0 hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.821 |
| +48hrs: Dep Unclassified vs Con Unclassified | p = 0.752 |
|  | Change in OSI at 48 hours for spines & dendritesDeprived vs Control | *t-test* | Spines: Control vs Deprived | p = 0.956 |  |
| Dendrites: Control vs Deprived | p = 0.461 |

**Figure 4-source data 2.** Statistical comparisons for Figure 4 - figure supplement 1.