Machine learning-assisted elucidation of CD81-CD44 interactions in promoting cancer stemness and extracellular vesicle integrity
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Abstract
Tumor-initiating cells with reprogramming plasticity or stem-progenitor cell properties (stemness) are thought to be essential for cancer development and metastatic regeneration in many cancers; however, elucidation of the underlying molecular network and pathways remains demanding. Combining machine learning and experimental investigation, here we report CD81, a tetraspanin transmembrane protein known to be enriched in extracellular vesicles (EVs), as a newly identified driver of breast cancer stemness and metastasis. Using protein structure modeling and interface prediction-guided mutagenesis, we demonstrate that membrane CD81 interacts with CD44 through their extracellular regions in promoting tumor cell cluster formation and lung metastasis of triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) in human and mouse models. In-depth global and phosphoproteomic analyses of tumor cells deficient with CD81 or CD44 unveils endocytosis-related pathway alterations, leading to further identification of a quality-keeping role of CD44 and CD81 in EV secretion as well as in EV-associated stemness-promoting function. CD81 is co-expressed along with CD44 in human circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and enriched in clustered CTCs that promote cancer stemness and metastasis, supporting the clinical significance of CD81 in association with patient outcomes. Our study highlights machine learning as a powerful tool in facilitating the molecular understanding of new molecular targets in regulating stemness and metastasis of TNBC.
Introduction

Breast cancer killed nearly 0.7 million people worldwide in 2020 with metastasis accounting for 90% of deaths (1). Negative for estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and HER2 amplification, triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) comprises 10-15% of newly diagnosed breast cancer cases and is highly metastatic with low long-term survival (2-5). TNBC preferentially metastasizes to the visceral organs such as the lungs, liver, and brain (6, 7). Growing evidence suggests tumor-initiating cells, or cancer stem cells with self-renewal and regenerative reprogramming capacity, are the underlying cause of cancer relapse, therapy resistance, and distant dissemination (8-20) with measurable clinical impact on patient outcomes (16, 21-31). However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms contributing to tumor cell spreading and regenerative metastasis are yet to be fully understood. Among the biomolecular mechanisms, protein functions and their networks are the backbones directly related to cellular phenotype and performance. Machine learning and deep learning have transformed protein structure modeling and greatly facilitated the understanding of molecular networks and therapeutic development (32-34).

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) with genetic and/or epigenetic alterations (35, 36) are tumor cells that shed from cancer lesions and circulate within the blood or lymphatic vasculature before seeding with a very low frequency to distant organs for metastatic tumor regeneration. Detection of CTC events on the CellSearch platform is associated with patient outcomes in which multicellular CTC clusters predict worse prognosis and mediate metastasis in a 20- to 100-fold higher efficiency than single CTCs (37-39). Our recent work demonstrated that the breast tumor initiating cell marker CD44 is highly enriched in CTC clusters and predicts an unfavorable overall survival of patients with breast cancer, especially TNBC (39). CD44 mediates homophilic interactions for CTC cluster formation as a mechanism to promote self-renewal (mammosphere formation) and polyclonal metastasis in TNBC (39). While most of the previous studies focus on the genome, epigenome, or transcriptome alterations in clustering tumor cells (36, 38, 40), little is directly linked to proteome alterations. We compared mass spectrometry proteomic profiling of clustering and non-clustering TNBC patient-derived xenografts (PDX) tumor cells and identified CD81, a tetraspanin protein enriched in extracellular vesicles (EVs) (41, 42), as one of the altered proteins upon CD44 depletion.
One of the objectives of the study is to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulatory partnership between CD44 and CD81 in self-renewal, CTC clustering, and progression of TNBC. However, the protein structure of CD44 has not been experimentally solved. To facilitate unveiling the molecular basis of CD44 and CD81 protein networks and testing the hypothesis that CD44 and CD81 interact with directly, we employed machine learning-based protein structural modeling approaches such as iTasser (43), ClusPro (44), and Bayesian Active Learning (BAL) (45). To discover CD44- and CD81-regulated downstream pathways, we also performed mass spectrometry-based phosphoproteomic profiling and machine learning-assisted bioinformatic analyses using protein identification platforms (46, 47) and pathway analyses such as DAVID (48), STRING (49) and Cytoscape (50), which revealed endocytosis as one the top pathways regulated by not only CD81, but also CD44, which is unexpected.

Endocytosis is closely related to biogenesis of EV such as exosomes. Most of cell-secreted EVs (30-1,000 nm), if not all, are characterized by presence of membrane structure, enriched protein markers such as CD81, CD63, CD9, and TSG101, and other components like lipids, nucleic acids and metabolites (41, 42, 51, 52). The biogenesis pathway of large EVs (such as microvesicles) via plasma membrane shedding is distinct from that of small EVs (such as exosomes). The latter is generated from the invagination of the plasma membrane to form endosomes that continue to invaginate and mature into multivesicular bodies destined for either lysosome degradation or fusion with the plasma membrane and release as exosomes (51). EVs have emerged as key players in intercellular communications in both physiological and pathological settings, including cancer development (53, 54) and distant organ-specific metastasis (55). However, the role of EVs in cancer development and its association with CD44 and CD81 functions remain unclear. This work aims to determine the role of CD81 in EVs, tumor initiation, and metastasis. Following the widely adopted nomenclature in the EV field (56) and considering the technical limitations in exosome isolation that contains heterogenous EV populations, we therefore utilize “EVs” or small EVs to describe the enriched exosomes in our study.

Here, using the TNBC PDXs established and maintained in mice (16, 39), human cell lines, and mouse tumor models with genetic modulations such as knockdown (KD) and knockout (KO), we examined the importance of CD81 in TNBC progression with a novel mechanistic link to CD44
interactions and self-renewal which is enhanced by uptake of cancer exosomes and coupled with endocytosis and metabolic pathways, and identified previously unknown tumor-intrinsic functions of CD81 in promoting tumor clustering and lung metastasis.

**Results**

**CD81 promotes mammosphere formation and interacts with CD44**

We previously found that TNBC PDXs express splicing variants of CD44 (CD44v), whereas MDA-MB-231 cells predominantly express standard CD44 (CD44s), both contributing to CTC cluster formation and cancer metastasis (39). To better understand the proteomic alterations and mechanistic regulation of self-renewal and tumor cluster formation, we conducted global mass spectrometry analyses of TNBC cells, including clustering and non-clustering PDX tumor cells (CD44+ and CD44- cells) as well as MDA-MB-231 cells of wild-type (WT) and CD44 KO, which pooled populations were generated via multiple guide RNAs and CRISPR-Cas9 technique (39). Based on two paired comparisons with PDX cells freshly isolated from mice, including flow-sorted CD44+ versus CD44- cells (mimicking circulation) and siCD44 mediated KD versus siRNA control cells that clustered ex vivo (39), we identified 38 overlapping proteins differentially expressed in these cells with a more than 2-fold change (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1A, Supplementary file 1**). In addition to PAK2 as a previously reported CD44 target (39), one of the top listed proteins was CD81, an EV marker and tetraspanin family membrane protein, reduced in sorted CD44- or CD44 KD cells. Consistently, CD81 was present in the TNBC PDX (CD44v) cells but decreased in CD44KO PDXs (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1B**). However, in MDA-MB-231 cells that express CD44s, CD81 was only temporarily down-regulated in CD44KO cells in suspension but comparable between adherent CD44 WT and KO cells, as detected by immunoblotting (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1C**), suggesting context dependent alterations of CD81 by CD44 in TNBC cells.

Since CD44 is known to promote tumor initiation and metastasis in breast cancer (39), we first tested the role of CD81 in self-renewal in TNBC by assessing its impact on mammosphere formation, cell growth, and pluripotency-related genes and proteins after gene KO, which was generated as pooled populations with two CD81 gRNAs using the CRISPR-Cas9 approach (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1C**). In addition to CD44KO and CD81KO, a combined CD44/CD81 double KO (dKO) cell line was also made for phenotypic analyses (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1C**).
supplement 1B). In comparison to the WT MDA-MB-231 cells, pooled populations of CD44KO, CD81KO, and dKO cells show similar, diminished capability for mammosphere formation, with fewer and smaller spheres where the dKO did not show much additional effects than single KOs (Figure 1A, B), suggesting both CD81 and CD44 are required for optimal self-renewal with similar functional importance.

Using multiple mouse Cd81 gRNAs and CRISPR-Cas9 approach, we also created pooled Cd81KO populations in mouse 4T1 TNBC cells which displayed fewer mammospheres compared to the WT cells, after being seeded with a low number of cells in stem cell medium in suspension, (Figure 1-figure supplement 1D-F). To assess human CD81 and mouse Cd81 functions in cell growth, we employed IncuCyte time-relapse imaging to monitor the cell confluence in adherent culture. Both CD81KO and Cd81KO cells showed a slightly less confluency compared to respective WT cells (Figure 1-figure supplement 2A-B), indicating that effects of CD81KO on diminished self-renewal (mammosphere formation) are beyond a slightly altered cell growth or proliferation. We then measured the expression levels of stem-cell signature genes and/or proteins in these cells. Like CD44KO cells, CD81 KO populations decreased protein levels of breast tumor-initiating markers including OCT4, NOTCH1, and phosphoSTAT3 (Figure 1-figure supplement 2C).

To determine whether the two membrane proteins CD44 and CD81 influence each other’s cellular localization or distribution, we further performed immunofluorescence staining of human TNBC cells with CD44KO or CD81KO, adherent and in suspension (for clustering activity analysis). CD44 was observed mostly on the cytoplasmic membrane in WT MDA-MB-231 cells, both adherent and in suspension, but the intracellular CD44 accumulated specifically in the cells in suspension (P=0.03) (Figure 1C-D). In the WT group, ~50% of adherent cells and 70% of suspension cells showed an average of 14-16% of partial colocalization between CD44 and CD81 on the cytoplasmic membrane, with CD81 mainly presented at the interface of the clustered tumor cells in suspension (Figure 1C-D, Figure 1-figure supplement 2D). The KO of CD44 or CD81 altered the localization of CD81 or CD44, respectively, with disrupted localization to the membrane in suspension but increased staining at intracellular loci of adherent cells (Figure 1C-D, white arrows in top panels). Meanwhile, CD44KO or CD81KO further weakened the detection of both in either intracellular loci or surface membrane in suspension cells (Figure 1D, white arrows in bottom panels). These data demonstrate that cell detachment
influences the cellular localization of CD81 and CD44 which facilitate each other’s membrane presentation and subsequent co-localization, especially at the interface of neighboring and clustering tumor cells.

**Machine learning-assisted dissection of CD81-CD44 interactions via extracellular regions**

To further examine if CD81 directly interacts with CD44 and to identify possible molecular regions responsible for proposed CD44-CD81 interactions in TNBC cells, we employed machine learning-assisted protein structure modeling (45) and co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) tests. Based on previous structural studies on CD44 (57) and CD81 (58) as well as computational programs iTasser (43), ClusPro (44), and Bayesian Active Learning (BAL) (45), we first analyzed CD44 and CD81 protein sequences and possible dynamics of protein-protein interaction models. As shown in **Figure 1E**, the hotspot residues in warm colors (red and yellow) predicted to be involved in the interactions are located in domains I and II of CD44, which also contribute to CD44-CD44 homophilic interactions (39, 57), and the extracellular loop of CD81, which links its third and fourth transmembrane domains, with an estimated free energy of binding at -12.23 kcal/mol. As expected, after being immunoprecipitated (IP) by anti-CD44 antibody, the endogenous CD81 and CD44 proteins were simultaneously observed within a membrane-fraction protein complex from the lysates of TN1 PDXs (expressing CD44v) and MDA-MB-231 cells (expressing CD44s), but not CD44KO cells (**Figure 1F**). We then overexpressed tagged CD44-Flag and CD81-HA in CD44-HEK293T cells, in which CD44 was shown in multiple forms at distinct molecular weights (**Figure 1-figure supplement 2E**), possibly due to variable glycosylation patterns as we previously reported (57). Both CD44-Flag and CD81-HA were detected in the protein complex after co-IP with anti-Flag magnetic beads (**Figure 1-figure supplement 2E**), demonstrating the interaction of exogenous CD44 and CD81 in these cells.

According to predicted protein structures for CD81 and CD44 interactions, we further designed a deletion mutant of CD81d by removing the loop region of amino acids 159-187 (CD81d), which expression was stable and presented on the cell membrane (**Figure 1-figure supplement 2F**), and predicted to dramatically impair the interaction, with an estimated free energy of binding altered to -9.41 kcal/mol (**Figure 1G**). We then assessed the effects of CD81d on its interaction with CD44, and the effects of CD44 mutants CD44.m1 and CD44.m2, with
point mutations in domains I and II (57), respectively, on their interaction with CD81 (Figure 1-figure supplement 2G). By three independent co-IP tests using the CD44-Flag (WT or mutant) and CD81-HA (WT or mutant) co-transfected cells, we found that CD81d and CD44.m2 mutant partially lost their capability to interact with CD44 and CD81, respectively (Figure 1H), indicating the specific regions required for CD81-CD44 interactions.

To determine if CD81 reintroduction rescues mammosphere formation, CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells were overexpressed with CD81 (HA/GFP tagged) and assessed for mammosphere formation which yielded comparable capacity to WT cells (Figure 1-figure supplement 2H-I). Notably, when HA-CD81d mutant was overexpressed in CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells, mammosphere formation capacity was significantly compromised (Figure 1-figure supplement 2H), suggesting CD81 binding with CD44 is important for functions of self-renewal as measured by mammosphere formation.

Bioinformatic analyses reveal endocytosis pathway regulated by CD81 and CD44

To elucidate the membrane protein CD81-regulated molecular networks and pathways in self-renewal, we analyzed mass spectrometry-based global proteomes and phosphoproteomes as well as RNA sequencing-based transcriptomes of TNBC cells (adherent or in suspension) with CD81 and CD44 depletion.

We first performed RNA sequencing to examine the CD81 KD effect on transcriptome in adherent MDA-MB-231 cells after siCD81 transfections (Figure 2-figure supplement 1A). The Metascape pathway (59) analysis identified CD81 KD-influenced transcriptome in the pathways of protein modification, cell proliferation, and differentiation (Figure 2-figure supplement 1B). However, among a few hundreds of significantly altered transcripts, most of them had very low baseline detection, and only a few genes with robust expression were up-regulated over 2-fold (such as SEMA7A, HMGA2, ACVR2B, YOD1, and FUT4) or down-regulated more than half, including CDC34, UBE2R2, SLC7A11, and ADIRF (Figure 2-figure supplement 1C, Supplementary files 2-3). Among the siCD81-upregulated genes, SEMA7A, a glycosylphosphatidylinositol membrane anchor promoting osteoclast and blood cell differentiation (60, 61), was depleted in CD81KO cells and siSEMA7a partially rescued or restored mammosphere formation in these cells (Figure 2-figure supplement 1D-F), suggesting a role of SEMA7A in inhibiting self-renewal of breast cancer cells.
To further explore the possible proteome alterations connecting CD81 with CD44 regulation in TNBC cells, we pursued mass spectrometry analyses of these cells with transient KDs. Our pilot proteomic comparisons between adherent versus clustering MDA-MB-231 cells in-suspension, showed minimal protein level alterations (5 proteins with >2-fold changes) but drastic phosphoproteomic alterations (over 1,300 phosphopeptides with >2-fold changes) (Figure 2-figure supplement 2A, Supplementary file 4), suggesting that posttranslational phosphorylation significantly modulates the signaling pathways of cells in suspension that mimick detached migrating cells and CTCs.

We then collected three sets of control, siCD81 and siCD44-transfected cells in suspension (3 h clustering) with a transient knockdown of CD81 or CD44 (Figure 2-figure supplement 2B) for both global proteome and phosphoproteome analyses. By comparative mass spectrometry analyses of three groups of cell lysates, we identified 6 clusters of altered general proteins (G1-G6) and another 6 clusters of altered phosphoproteins (P1-P6) with top pathways for each cluster annotated by KEGG (62) (Figure 2A-D, Supplementary files 5-7). In comparison to the control cell profiles, Clusters G1 (downregulated) and G6 (upregulated) represent the altered proteins in both siCD81 and siCD44 cells in the same directions, suggesting shared pathway regulation, such as DNA replication and cell cycle in G1 and metabolic pathways in G6, involved in the regulation of self-renewal and cell proliferation. Ribosome pathway was the top pathway in Clusters G3 and G4 with downregulated proteins specific to siCD44 KD and siCD81 KD, respectively, suggesting distinct pathway components but possible contribution to similar ribosome-regulating functions of CD81 and CD44 in TNBC cells.

Most notably, endocytosis, lysosome, and proteosome pathways became part of the top signature components of G2, G4, and G5 clusters distinctly altered in siCD81- and siCD44-transfected cells (Figure 2A-B), indicating one of the central pathways distinctly regulated by CD44 (RAB4A, RAB11A, RAB11B, SNX12, SNX4, and SNX6) and by CD81 (VPS29, SNX3, SNX1, SNX2, CAV2, and RAB7A) (Supplementary files 5-6). It is well known that exosomes are generated through the endocytic pathway connected with lysosomes and exocytosis.

Interestingly, the alterations in endocytosis pathway components became more evident when phosphoproteome data were analyzed among three groups of cell lysates. Endocytosis was the top altered pathway within four out of six phosphoproteome clusters (P2, P3, P5, P6), covering both shared and distinct signaling components altered by siCD44 and siCD81. For
example, both KDs promoted phosphorylation in the same residues of RAB11FP1, RAB11FP5, EPN2, and SNX12; siCD44 specifically downregulated phosphorylation in RAB8A and PLD2 but upregulated phosphorylation in GBF1, VPS26A, SNX4, RAB11FIP1, RAB11FIP5; whereas siCD81 downregulated phosphorylation in CAV2, ARFGAP1, and RAB11FIP5 (Figure 2C-D, Figure 2-figure supplement 2C-D, Supplementary files 5, 7). These phosphoproteins regulate membrane trafficking, endosomal recycling, and caveolar formation, revealing previously unreported signaling components shared and distinguished between CD44 and CD81.

By combining 11 algorithms for machine learning-based kinase prediction, we identified the top candidates of upstream kinases potentially responsible for siCD81 and siCD44-induced alterations in phosphoproteome clusters (P1-P6), especially shared Cluster 4 with decreased phosphopeptides catalyzed by CDK1, CDK2, and EGFR, and Cluster P3 with upregulated phosphorylation by CSNK2A1 (Casein Kinase 2 α1 subunit) in (Figure 2-figure supplement 2E). Furthermore, the kinase reactome analyses identified the phosphoproteome kinase networks shared between CD81 and CD44 regulation, including PAK2, one of our previously identified targets of CD44 in tumor cluster formation (39) (Figure 2-figure supplement 3A-B, Supplementary file 7). These data are consistent with our previous discoveries on EGFR and PAK2 which are two representative components of the CD44 signaling and functional regulation in tumor cell clustering and metastasis (39, 63). RAB11FIP1, RAB7A, RAB8A, and RAB10 proteins were also validated via immunoblot analysis as targets from the proteomic screens and confirmed at elevated levels in CD81KO and CD44KO MDA-MB-231 cells compared to WT cells (Figure 2-figure supplement 3C).

From an independent proteome study using the LC/MS/MS profiles of adherent MDA-MB-231 cells, the GO Processes analysis of upregulated proteins in CD44KO cells indicates regulation of proteolysis and exocytosis (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A, Supplementary files 8), whereas the GO Localization analysis of the downregulated proteins in CD44KO cells revealed the top hits of altered proteins in extracellular exosome/vesicle/organelle, previously unknown to be associated with CD44 functions (Figure 3-figure supplement 1B), validating the phosphoproteome pathway regulations by CD44 and CD81 in membrane trafficking, endocytosis, lysosomes, and exocytosis in Figure 2. Thus, we hypothesized that CD44 and CD81 regulate EV/exosome biogenesis production.
CD44 and CD81 are required for EV integrity and EV-promoted mammosphere formation

CD81 is one of the most classical markers enriched in large and small EVs (41, 42, 51, 52); however, its functions in EVs are relatively unknown. Based on our findings that CD81 and CD44 share many signaling components regulating endocytosis and membrane trafficking, we continued to investigate whether CD44 or CD81 regulates EV biogenesis and/or functions.

Using ultra-high resolution transmission electron microscopy, we discovered enlarged multivesicular bodies (accumulated endosomes), increased vacuoles (early endosomes or endocytic vesicles), and altered lysosomes in both CD44KO and CD81KO cells in comparison to WT control of MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 3A-B, Figure 3-figure supplement 1C). CD81KO and CD44KO cells secreted a higher number of EVs (particles per cell) to the culture supernatants than the WT cells, as directly measured by the micro flow vesicelometry (MFV) (64, 65) or by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) on NanoSight after EV purification via 100,000 xg ultracentrifugation (Figure 3C, Figure 3-figure supplement 1D-E). The purified EVs (ev44KO and ev81KO) were deficient for both CD44 and CD81 while they showed similar sizes to the WT control with similar EV protein markers such as TSG101 and LAMP2b (Figure 3D-F). However, when examined by cryo-EM, ev81KO displayed impaired membrane integrity (Figure 3G-H), indicating an essential role for CD81 in modulating EV biogenesis and packaging of membrane proteins.

A mass spectrometry analysis of the ev44WT and ev44KO identified 26 out of 416 exosomal proteins differentially expressed in ev44KO, including relatively decreased CD81 and syntenin-1 as well as upregulation of RAB-11B in association with exosome biogenesis (Supplementary file 9). Proteomic pathway and network analyses identified the top altered signaling pathways related to cell adhesion, integrin-mediated matrix adhesion, cell cycle, and cytoskeleton regulation and rearrangement, and a network linking to integrins and focal adhesion (Figure 3-figure supplement 2A-F), which may contribute to CD44-mediated functions in tumor metastasis (39, 63).

Next, we investigated whether, upon cellular uptake, cancer exosomes could rescue any self-renewal defects of CD81KO recipient cells, such as mammosphere formation. To do this, CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells were educated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or exosome-enriched EVs (evWT, ev44KO, and ev81KO) for 2 weeks (Figure 3I). Following education, the cells were evaluated for self-renewal related properties. The cells educated by evWT formed...
larger mammospheres with elevated protein levels of OCT4, pSTAT3, and FAK than the cells treated with PBS, ev44KO, or ev81KO (Figure 3J-K, Figure 3-figure supplement 3A), demonstrating that EV-presented proteins CD44 and CD81 are required to promote self-renewal of recipient cells. Consistently, the Cd81KO 4T1 cells restored mammosphere formation after exosome education with evWT whereas no rescue effects were observed from ev81KO isolated from 4T1 cells (Figure 3-figure supplement 3B-C), demonstrating Cd81-dependent regulation of self-renewal induced by mouse TNBC-secreted EVs. These data might indicate a non-cell autonomous mechanism of CD44 and CD81 promoted self-renewal.

CD81 is enriched in human CTCs and promotes CTC cluster formation

We further explored the functions of CD81 in metastasis which requires self-renewal. Our previous work demonstrated that CD44 mediates tumor cell aggregation and CTC cluster formation that promotes stemness and metastasis (39, 63), and is associated with reduced progression-free survival (37, 38). To determine if CD81 regulates breast cancer metastasis like CD44, we assessed the clinical relevance of CD81 expression in human breast tumors and CTCs. Public database analyses revealed that high expression of CD81 protein in breast tumors was associated with an unfavorable overall survival, relapse-free survival, and distant metastasis-free survival in patients with TNBC (Liu_2014 cohort) (66) (Figure 4A-C, Figure 4-figure supplement 1). We also conducted a primary breast tumor TMA study and observed a correlation of CD44 and CD81 expression across tumor subtypes (TNBC, HER2, luminal A/B) as well as an upregulated expression of CD44/CD81 in TNBC in comparison to luminal A/B (Figure 4-figure supplement 2A-D).

We then utilized three methods, immunofluorescence staining via CellSearch, flow cytometry, and RNA sequencing data analysis to further examine the CD81 expression in the CTCs isolated from patients with breast cancer. First, the FDA-approved CellSearch platform was employed to enrich EpCAM+ cells via anti-EpCAM beads and conduct immunofluorescence staining for validation of CD45−cytokerin+DAPI+ CTCs. CellSearch-based analyses of patient blood samples revealed CD81 expression in over 90% of CTC events (N=6 patients with 381 CTC events) (Figure 4D-E) and 100% of CTC clusters (N= two patients with 10 clusters). To expand the CD81 analysis in EpCAM+− putative CTCs, we established a flow cytometry approach to gate single cells and clusters based on size channels (forward scatter and side
scatter) as validated on clustering WT and non-clustering CD44KO tumor cells (Figure 4-figure supplement 3A). Consistently, flow cytometry-based analyses of putative CTCs (lineage\textsuperscript{CD45\textsuperscript{+}} EpCAM\textsuperscript{+/-}) and CTC clusters showed a significant higher expression of CD81 and CD81/CD44 double-positive expression on the clusters compared to single cells (N=50 patients, P=0.0005) (Figure 4F-H, Figure 4-figure supplement 3B), similar to the CD44 expression enriched in CTC clusters (Figure 4-figure supplement 3C) (39), suggesting a possible positive feedback loop between CD44 and CD81. Using publicly available datasets on single-cell RNA sequencing of Parsotix-filtered CTCs from the blood of patients with breast cancer (36, 38), we also found elevated CD81 expression in CTC clusters compared to single CTCs, confirming the clinical relevance of CD81 as a possible biomarker in CTC clusters and breast cancer metastasis (Figure 4-figure supplement 3D).

To further determine the role of CD81 in CTC clustering, we utilized TN1 and TN2 PDX tumor cells, MDA-MB-231 cells, and 4T1 cells to analyze the phenotypic changes caused by siCD81/siCd81-mediated down-regulation or CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene depletion. CD81 depletion or down-regulation resulted in compromised cluster formation in all 4 tested human and mouse TNBC models (Figure 4I-J, Figure 4-figure supplement 4A-C), suggesting an important role of CD81 in promoting tumor cell aggregation. To determine if CD81 reintroduction rescues tumor cluster formation, we also assessed the clustering efficiency of CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells when overexpressed with CD81 (HA tagged) which restored tumor clustering close to WT cells, whereas HACD81d mutant failed to do so (Figure 4-figure supplement 4D), suggesting CD81 binding with CD44 is important for functions of tumor cluster formation.

Consistently, an anti-CD81 activating agonist promoted breast tumor cell clustering in a CD81- and CD44-dependent manner as the cluster-enhancing effects of the antibody diminished in both CD81KO and CD44KO cells (Figure 4-figure supplement 4E), further demonstrating the interplay and cross-dependence between CD81 and CD44 for optimal cluster formation. In addition, we performed a scratch wound assay with and without Matrigel coverage to evaluate cell invasion and migration, respectively. While CD81KO tumor cells closed the wound at a slightly slower migration speed in the absence of Matrigel compared to that of the WT control, both CD81KO cells and CD44KO cells showed much more similar and more dramatic reduction
in cell invasion (Figure 4-figure supplement 5A-B). We proposed to determine the role of CD81 in tumorigenesis and metastasis in the next experiment.

**CD81 promotes tumorigenesis and lung metastasis of TNBC**

We first examined the importance of human CD81 and mouse Cd81 in tumorigenesis of TNBC. After dissociation, CD81\(^+\) and CD81\(^-\) TN1 PDX tumor cells were sorted on a fluorescence-activated cell sorter and then injected at dilutions of 1000- and 100-cells per injection into the mammary fat pads of NSG mice (n=4 injections/group). Up to 45 days after injection, we observed compromised tumor initiation and growth in the CD81\(^-\) cells, especially at the 100-cell dilution, compared to the CD81\(^+\) cells that grew tumors at both dilutions (Figure 5A-C). Similar tumorigenesis data were observed in Cd81KO 4T1 cells following 1000- and 100-cell injections (Figure 5D-E) (n=8 injections/group). Furthermore, CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells had compromised tumor growth (weight) and tumorigenesis compared to WT controls when orthotopically implanted at 100 and 10 cells per mouse mammary fat pad injection (Figure 5F-G) (n=8 injections/group). When CD81 was stably overexpressed in CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells tumorigenesis and tumor growth was rescued (Figure 5F-G). These data demonstrate that CD81 is a newly identified promoter of breast tumor initiation.

We continued to determine if CD81 drives spontaneous lung metastasis *in vivo*. Considering a slightly decreased tumor growth rate in mouse Cd81KO 4T1 cells, we implanted 1,000 WT cells and 6,000 Cd81KO 4T1 cells into the mammary fat pads of Balb/c mice to achieve comparable tumor burden on Day 52 when tumors and lungs were harvested (Figure 6A-B) (n=5 mice/group; 2 injections/mouse). While there were no significant differences in breast tumor weight between the two groups, Cd81KO tumor cells failed to metastasize to the lungs, with a significantly lower number of metastatic colonies than those of WT tumors (Figure 6A-C). Furthermore, the mice bearing Cd81KO tumors had 100% survival compared to 0% survival of the mice with oversized WT tumors by Day 52 (Figure 6D).

Furthermore, when human TNBC cells were implanted orthotopically at 10,000 cells to ensure tumor growth, CD81KO cells phenocopied CD44KO cells with impaired or lost capability to develop spontaneous lung metastases in mice after being normalized by tumor burden (Figure 6E-H) (n=5 mice/group; 2 injections/mouse), resulting in a significantly better survival of the mice bearing KO tumors than those bearing WT tumors (Figure 6I). Consistently,
diminished lung colonization or experimental metastasis were observed in the NSG mice 26 days after receiving CD81KO cells in comparison with that of WT cells via tail vein injection, as measured via bioluminescence imaging, fluorescence microscopy, and HE-staining of lung tissues (Figure 6J-L, Figure 6-figure supplement 1A) (n=3 mice/group). Meanwhile, siCD81 transfection-mediated transient KD of CD81 also reduced the metastatic potential of MDA-MB-231 cells following tail vein injection (Figure 6-figure supplement 1B-C) (n=3 mice/group).

Finally, we measured the CTC events in the blood within one day following tail vein injection of 4T1 tumor cells and found that Cd81 KO cells (singles and clusters) were less detectable than the WT controls, in parallel with reduced seeding for lung colonization (Figure 6M-O) (n=3 mice/group).

In a summary, CD81 is a novel partner interacting with CD44 in breast tumor initiating cells and promotes exosome-induced self-renewal (mammosphere formation and signature markers), tumor cluster formation, and therefore enhancing tumor initiation and lung metastasis of TNBC with an unfavorable overall survival and metastasis-free survival (Figure 6-figure supplement 2).

Discussion

Utilizing machine learning-assisted experimental tests, our study reports a new role of CD81 as a binding partner of CD44 in self-renewal related mammosphere formation, quality control of EV biogenesis, EV-enhanced self-renewal of recipient cells, CTC cluster formation, and lung metastasis in TNBC in close association with clinical outcomes. While CD81 function has primarily been studied in immune cells (67-70), this newly identified function of CD81 in cancer metastasis is tumor cell-intrinsic and can be independent of adaptive immunity, as shown in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised mice. While deep learning (34) and recent release of 200 million protein structures predicted by AlphaFold (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk) have transformed the structural biology and expeditated the protein discovery process, machine learning platforms remain complementary and demanding to facilitate individual protein networking and interaction studies.

Tetraspanin proteins, such as CD81, are best known for making up tetraspanin enriched microdomains (71, 72). These microdomains are crucial in regulating the motility and
interactions of cancer cells with their microenvironment by organizing other transmembrane proteins, such as cell adhesion molecules, growth factors, and proteases (71, 72). A study by Perez-Hernandez et al. also observed CD44 among the EV protein interactome network pulled down by CD81 peptides without exploring their relevance to EV functions (73). Our mass spectrometry-based proteomic and phosphoproteomic profiles provide comprehensive analyses of shared and distinct signaling pathways related to CD81 and CD44 functions, including cell cycle, proliferation, and metabolism in addition to EV-related endocytosis and exocytosis. Nevertheless, other tetraspanin proteins, such as TSPAN8 and CD9, may promote cancer self-renewal in colorectal cancer (74) and glioblastoma (75), respectively. A potential anti-CD81 therapeutic strategy was identified to block the pro-metastatic effect of CD81 in animal studies (76). CD44 can also interact with other transmembrane proteins such as TM4SF5, resulting in elevated properties of self-renewal and circulating capacity in hepatocarcinoma cells (77).

CD44 is a multifunctional class I transmembrane glycoprotein and is widely used as a marker of breast tumor initiating cells, especially in TNBC (24). While our previous work demonstrated that CD44 homophilic binding mediates tumor cell aggregation (39, 57), in this study we propose that CD81 interacts with CD44 to promote intracellular CD44-CD81 heterodimer formation and possibly intercellular tetramer formation between two neighboring cells. CD44 and CD81 interactions on the cell membrane might provide feedback for protein networks and modifications. Notably, a transmembrane ubiquitin ligase family member MARCH8 has been associated with lysosome degradation of both CD44 and CD81 in fibroblast cells (78) and/or TNBC cells (79), suggesting CD44 and CD81 might follow similar fates of protein degradation or recycling in both cancer cells and other cells. Nevertheless, both CD44 and CD81 are required for optimal self-renewal and metastasis, emphasizing the indispensable functions of CD44 and CD81 in cell adhesion and intercellular interactions in metastasis. Our phosphoproteome analyses reveal many shared and unshared components between CD44 and CD81 signaling pathways that regulate endocytosis, endosomes, lysosomes, and exocytosis.

Several studies suggest tumor recurrence often occurs due to an increased number of CTCs, some of which display tumor-regenerative plasticity and reprogramming phenotypes (80-82), or transform into tumor-initiating cells (83-86). Our studies demonstrated that cancer exosomes can be part of the transforming factors upon uptake by the CTCs. Here we show that the mammosphere-promoting functions of exosomal CD44 and CD81 illustrate the crosstalk
between tumor-initiating cells and surrounding cancer cells that potentially contributes to their self-renewal and/or plasticity. Still, it’s necessary to consider that higher concentrations of EV than physiological conditions were used and continues to be a limitation of simplified models frequently used in the field. While CD44 remains understudied in exosome biogenesis, a CD44 variant has been reported to be involved in interluminal vesicle loading (87) which may be linked to maintaining tumor-initiating cells and tumor progression. Furthermore, a subset of CTCs and tumor-initiating cells may exhibit dynamic changes in epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenotype (88), which can up-regulate CD81 expression in mesenchymal breast cancer (89). While the role of CD81 displayed on CTCs is largely understudied, previous studies have used CD81+/CD56+/CD45- markers to detect neuroblastoma cells in the peripheral blood of patients (90). Future studies will be needed to investigate the effects of cancer exosomes on tumor stromal cells and immune cells in various microenvironment niches, not only in TNBC, but also in other cancers as well.
**Methods**

**Human specimen analyses**

All human blood and tumor specimen analyses complied with NIH guidelines for human subject studies and were approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Northwestern University (STU00203283). The investigators obtained written informed consent from all subjects whose blood specimens were analyzed.

**Animal studies**

All mice used in this study were kept in specific pathogen-free facilities in the Animal Resources Center at Northwestern University. All animal procedures complied with the NIH Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the respective Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC: IS00014165). 6-12 week female mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (cat# 005557 for NSG mice and cat# 000651 for Balb/c mice), randomized by age and weight. Mice were grouped in a blinded manner and excluded from experiments due to sickness or conditions unrelated to tumors. Sample sizes were determined based on the results of preliminary experiments, and no statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. All PDX tumors were established, and orthotopic tumor implantation was performed as described previously (16, 91). For tumorigenic assays, cells and Matrigel (Corning 354234) were implanted into the mammary fat pad of mice at low density (1000-10 cells). Mice were monitored using the Lago *in vivo* imaging system. For artificial metastasis experiments, (100,000) tumor cells were injected into the mice tail vein and imaged using the Lago *in vivo* imaging system. For spontaneous metastasis experiments using MDA-MB-231 (ATCC) cells, 10,000 cells were implanted orthotopically in NSG mice. For spontaneous metastasis experiments using 4T1 cells, 1,000 WT cells and 6,000 Cd81KO cells were implanted orthotopically in Balb/c mice.

**Blood collection and CTC analysis**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Northwestern University. All participants signed informed consent forms. About 8-10 ml of whole blood was collected from breast cancer patients into a 10 ml CellSave Preservative tube containing a cellular fixative (Janssen Diagnostics, LLC, Raritan, NJ). Blood specimens were maintained at room temperature and processed within 96 h of being drawn. CTC analysis was performed using CellSearch® CTC kits on the FDA-approved CellSearch System (Item 7900001, Menarini Silicon Biosystems, Inc). The kit uses ferrofluid nanoparticles with antibodies that target epithelial cell adhesion molecules (EpCAM) to magnetically separate CTCs from the bulk of other cells in the blood. CTCs were identified by positive staining for both cytokeratins (CK) and DAPI and negative staining for CD45 (CK+/DAPI+/CD45-). CTC clusters were defined as an aggregation of two or more CTCs containing distinct nuclei and intact cytoplasmic membranes. To determine the expression of CD81 on CTCs, the PE-conjugated anti-CD81 antibody (BD 555676, RRID:AB_396029) was also added. CTCs were also analyzed using flowcytometry by gating single cells and cell clusters for CD45 negative (BD 557748, RRID:AB_396854).
and DAPI negative (Sigma D9542). The proportion of CTC events was calculated by dividing the population of interest by the sum of total CTC events. For example, to calculate the percentage of CD44+CD81+ CTCs in a patient, CD44+CD81+ events were divided by the sum of CD44+CD81+, CD44+CD81-, CD44-CD81+, and CD44-CD81- events.

**Cell culture, CRISPR gene knockout, and transfections**

MDA-MB-231 and HEK293f cells were purchased from ATCC, and periodically verified to be Mycoplasma-negative using MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lanza cat #LT07-218). Cell morphology, growth characteristics, and microarray gene-expression analyses were compared with published information to ensure their authenticity. Early passages of cells (<20 passages) were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Sigma-Aldrich D6429) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Fisher Scientific SH30071.03) + 1% penicillin–streptomycin (P/S) (Sigma-Aldrich P4333). Pooled populations of wildtype (WT) control cells, CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells, and Cd81KO 4T1 cells were made using multiple lentiviral gRNAs with a BFP reporter for each gene (Sigma Cat# HS5000016583 and HS5000016584 for human CD81, MM5000007469 and MM5000007470 for mouse Cd81, and gRNA control vector) and CRISPR-Cas9 with a GFP reporter (Sigma Cat# CMV-CAS9-2A-GFP), and flow sorted based on expression of GFP and BFP reporters and absence of CD81/Cd81. CD44KO cells were generated based on the validated lentiviral gRNAs and protocol described previously (39) and then combined with CD81KO for generation of dKO cells (pooled populations). Stable CD81 overexpression CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells were made using pDUAL CD81 GFP lentivirus (addgene #86980; RRID:Addgene_86980). pDUAL-eGFP (addgene #63215; RRID:Addgene_63215) was used as a negative control.

For exosome experiments, FBS was exosome-depleted by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 × g for 16 h at 4 °C. Primary tumor cells were cultured in HuMEC-ready medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific 12752010) plus 5% FBS and 0.5% P/S in collagen type I (Fisher scientific - Corning™ Corning™ 354231) coated plates. Pooled siRNAs (SMART pool with 3 to 4 siRNAs; Dharmacon CD81 Cat# L-017257-00, Dharmacon negative control non-targeting pool Cat# D-001810-10-50) were transfected using Dharmafect (Dharmacon) at 100 nmol/L. For overexpression experiments in HEK293f cells, pCMV6-FLAG-CD44 (OriGene), pCMV3-HA-CD81(Sino Biological HG14244-NY), pCMV3-SP-N-HA (Sino Biological CV021), pDUAL GFP (addgene #86980; RRID:Addgene 86980), pDUAL e-GFP (addgene #63215; RRID:Addgene_63215) plasmids were transfected into cells using Fugene HD (Promega E231A) or jetPRIME (Polyplus 114-01). After 48 h (or 96 h, if double transfection), cells were collected for immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting or other assays.

**Isolation and purification of exosomes or small extracellular vesicles (sEV) from cells**

Exosomes or sEVs were isolated from the cell culture supernatant as described previously (65). Briefly, the cells were cultured as monolayers for 48 h in complete medium under an atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37 °C. When cells reached a confluency of approximately 80%, exosomes were isolated by differential centrifugation. First, the culture supernatant was centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 10 min followed by 30 min centrifugation at 10,000 × g to remove dead cells and
cell debris. The supernatant was ultracentrifuged for 70 min at 100,000 × g using an SW28 rotor to pellet the exosomes/sEVs. Exosomes/sEVs were washed by resuspension in 30 ml of sterile PBS (GE Healthcare SH30256.01), and pelleted by ultracentrifugation for 70 min at 100,000 × g. The final exosome/EV pellet was resuspended in 100 µl PBS and stored at −80 °C.

Mammosphere formation, cell clustering, and migration assays
For mammosphere assays, cells were seeded into 6-well or 12-well plates at a concentration of 2,000 or 1,000 cells per well in replicates of 3 or 4 using Prime-XVTumorsphere Serum Free Media (Irvine Scientific 91130). Cells were monitored for up to 17 days, when spheres were imaged and counted to assess mammosphere formation capacity. For exosome or sEV education experiments, cells were seeded into 12-well plates at a concentration of 50,000 cells and treated with 10-15 µg exosomes/sEVs every other day for 1-2 weeks. The cells were split as necessary and mammosphere formation assay followed (2,000 cells seeded per well). For clustering assays, cells were seeded into polyhema-coated 96-well plates at a concentration of 25,000 cells per well for cell lines and 100,000 cells per well for primary cells. Cells were monitored up to 72 h and analyzed by Incucyte Imaging System software. For migration assays, cells were seeded into 96-well Image-Lock plates at 30,000 cells per well. After 16 h, a scratch wound was introduced into each plate using the Incucyte Wound Maker and then monitored by Incucyte for up to 48 h to visualize wound closure.

EV education
The EV education/reprogramming doses were determined based on literature reports and our own measurements. From published reports (92), high levels of EVs could be detected in human/mouse plasma of the patients/mice with cancer (>1x10⁹/mL higher in patients or mice with cancer than non-cancer controls). In our studies, triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cells do secret large amounts of EVs, with a yield of ~2x10⁸ EVs /mL supernatant (MDA-MB-231 culture medium) or ~1x10⁹ EVs /mL (4T1 cell culture) as measured on NTA as well as Apogee microflow vesiclometer (MFV). Please note the small EV collecting efficiency is only ~10% by ultracentrifugation at 100,000xg for 70 min during the EV purification and we end up obtaining 1-2% EVs after two spins (one or two washes) (200 mL culture to yield 60-75 µg EV protein). Therefore, we utilized an amount of the EVs purified from 50 times larger volume of culture supernatants for EV educating culture. For example, 10-15 µg purified EVs purified from ~50mL supernatant were used for 1 mL educating culture to make 2~5x10⁸ EVs/mL for reprogramming CD81KO cells. The dose is pathophysiological relevant to the EV concentrations in the plasma and culture media.

Immunofluorescence
Cells were seeded onto 4-chamber slide wells at a concentration of 20,000 cells per well. Clustered cells were attached using a cytopin. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeated with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed 3 times for 5 min each with 0.05% PBS and blocked with 10% bovine serum albumin
(BSA) in PBS for 60 min. Cells were then washed again and primary antibody was added overnight at 4 °C (CD81 Millipore HPA007234 (RRID:AB_1846333) 4 µg/ml and CD44 MA513890 (RRID:AB_10986810) 2 µg/ml). After washing, secondary antibody was added for 60 min at room temperature (Texas Red T862 Thermo-Fisher (RRID:AB_2556781) and Alexa-488 A11008 Thermo-Fisher (RRID:AB_1431650)). Finally, the cells were washed, and a cover slide was placed with mounting media. The slides were imaged using Nikon A1R (A) Spectral.

**Structural modeling**

The structure of CD44 (the shortest standard isoform X4) was predicted by the iTasser webserver (43), with abundant homologous structures available to the N-terminal extracellular domains. And the structure of CD81 in a “closed” conformation was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 5TCX), with a missing extracellular loop (residues 38-54) inserted (58). The two structures were first rigidly docked while being biased toward extracellular regions, using the ClusPro webserver (44). The resulting structural models of CD44-CD81 complexes were then flexibly refined, using the software Bayesian Active Learning (BAL) (45), where binding hotspots (in probabilities from 0 to 1) and binding affinity (in kcal/mol) are predicted and weighted-averaged over all structural models. Two short extracellular helices (resid. 160-170 and 181-187) were predicted to be enriched in binding hotspots and their borders include C156 and C190 forming a disulfide bond. Therefore, a form of CD81 with S159-K187 deletion (CD81d) was suggested to impair its interaction with CD44 while maintaining its stability. The structure of CD81d was again predicted with iTasser and the docking and analyses of CD44/CD81d followed the protocol described above.

**Flow cytometry and cell sorting**

To detect cell surface proteins, cells were first blocked with mouse IgG 1 (Cat# l5381, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 10 min on ice. Cells were then incubated with antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) for 20 min on ice, washed, and analyzed using a BD LSR-2 flow cytometer or BD Aria cell sorter (BD Biosciences).

**RNA sequencing**

MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded and transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siCon) and siCD81 (pooled, Dharmacon) using Dharmafect. After 48 h, the cells were harvested and submitted for RNA sequencing. Total RNA of MDA-MB-231 cells was isolated using Trizol, phase separated by chloroform, and extracted by alcohol. Samples were sent to Northwestern University’s Center for Genetic Medicine Sequencing core facility for deep sequencing analysis. RNA sequencing was performed on a HiSeq 4000, and a library was made using aTruSeq Total RNA-Seq Library Prepkit. Data were processed and quantified using STAR (93), DESeq2 (94), and HTSeq (95). Analysis of differentially expressed genes was set to a cutoff of false discovery rate < 0.05 and log2 (fold change) > 0.48 or < -0.48. Finally, the pathway analysis of significantly differentially expressed genes was obtained by using Metascape (http://metascape.org) (59). The raw data files
of RNA seq data generated with control and siCD81-transfected MDA-MB-231 cells have been deposited to GEO database with accession number GSE174087.

**Mass spectrometry of tumor cells and exosomes/sEVs**

Exosomes or sEVs were isolated from MDA-MB-231 WT control and CD44KO cell cultures via standard ultracentrifugation as described (65). The cells and exosomes/EVs were lysed with 2% SDS and protease inhibitor cocktail. Proteins were extracted using pulse sonication, and cleaned up by filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) to remove detergents. After LysC/Trypsin digestion, 500 ng proteins were analyzed via 4-h LC/MS/MS method at Case Western Reserve University Proteomics Core facility and the data processed using MetaCore. The fold change was calculated based on total unique spectrum counts.

**Global and phosphoproteome analyses of tumor cells transfected with siCD81 and siCD44**

For protein extraction, cell pellets were resuspended in cell lysis buffer (100 mM NH4HCO3, pH 8.0, 8 M urea, 1% protease and phosphatase inhibitor, pH 8.0) and protein concentrations were measured with a Pierce BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were reduced with 5 mM dithiothreitol for 1 h at 37°C and alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide for 45 min at 25°C in the dark. Protein was digested with Lys-C (Wako) (1:50 enzyme-to-substrate ratio) for 3 h at 25°C and with sequencing-grade modified trypsin (Promega, V5117) at 25°C for 14 h. After digestion, each sample was desalted by C18 SPE extraction and concentrated for BCA assay to evaluate the peptide yield.

The tryptic peptides from bulk samples were dissolved with 50 mM HEPES (pH 8.5) and then mixed with a TMTpro reagent in 100% ACN. A ratio of TMTpro to peptide amount of 4:1 was used. After incubation for 1 h at room temperature, the reaction was terminated by adding 5% hydroxyamine for 15 min. The TMTpro-labeled peptides were then acidified with 0.5% FA. Peptides labeled by different TMTpro reagents were then mixed, dried using Speed-Vac, reconstituted with 3% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid and desalted on C18 SepPak SPE columns.

Peptide fractionation by bRPLC and phosphopeptides enrichment by IMAC were performed as previously reported (96). Lyophilized global and phosphorylated peptides were reconstituted in 12 μL of 0.1% FA with 2% ACN and 5 μL of the resulting sample was analyzed by LC-MS/MS using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tridrid Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) connected to a nanoACQUITY UPLC system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) (buffer A: 0.1% FA with 3% ACN and buffer B: 0.1% FA in 90% ACN) as previously described (97). Peptides were separated by a gradient mixture with an analytical column (75 μm i.d. × 20 cm) packed using 1.9-μm ReproSil C18 and with a column heater set at 50 °C. Peptides were separated by a gradient mixture : 2-6% buffer B in 1 min, 6-30% buffer B in 84 min, 30-60% buffer B in 9 min, 60-90% buffer B in 1 min, and finally 90% buffer B for 5 min at 200 nL/min. Data were acquired in a data dependent mode with a full MS scan (m/z 350-1800) at a resolution of 60K with AGC setting set to 4×10^5 and maximum ion injection period set to 50 ms. The isolation window for MS/MS was set at 0.7 m/z and optimal HCD fragmentation was performed at a normalized collision energy of 30% with AGC set as 1×10^5 and a maximum ion injection time of 105 ms. The MS/MS spectra were
acquired at a resolution of 50K. The dynamic exclusion time was set at 45 s. Raw data sets have been deposited in the Japan ProteOmeSTandard Repository (https://repository.jpostdb.org/) (98). The accession numbers are PXD029529 for ProteomeXchange (99) and JPST001321 for jPOST. The access link is https://repository.jpostdb.org/preview/1370203119618182ba1c0f2 with (access key 7811 for reviewer only until accepted).

The raw MS/MS data were processed with MSFragger via Fragpipe (46, 47) with TMT16 quantitation workflow. The MS/MS spectra were searched against a human UniProt database (fasta file dated July-31, 2021 with 40,840 sequences which contain 20,420 decoys). The intensities of all sixteen TMT reporter ions were extracted from Fragpipe outputs and analyzed by Perseus (100) for statistical analyses. The abundances of TMTpro were firstly log2 transformed. The TMT intensities were normalized based on the column-centering by median values for statistical pairwise comparison. For pathway analysis, the significantly expressed protein or phosphoproteins after Anonva t-test analysis (FDR<0.01) were analyzed by DAVID (48). The protein-protein interaction analysis was performed with STRING (49) and Cytoscape (50).

MaxQuant was used to process the raw MS/MS data with 20,198 sequences recognized against a human UniProt database (fasta file dated April 12, 2017), default setting for mass tolerance for precursor and fragment ions and “Reporter ion MS2” for isobaric label measurements. A peptide search was performed with Trypsin/P and allowed a maximum of two missed cleavages. Carbamidomethyl (C) was set as a fixed modification; acetylation (protein N-term), oxidation (M) and Phospho (STY) were set as variable modifications for phosphoproteome analysis. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 1% at the level of proteins, peptides, and modifications. The Phospho (STY) Sites.txt file was used for further quantitation. The intensities of all ten TMT reporter ions were extracted from MaxQuant outputs and analyzed by Perseus (100) for statistical analyses.

Transmission electron microscopy

Cells were harvested from the medium and washed with Na/K Phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2). Primary fixation was done with 3% glutaraldehyde for two hours at 4 °C. The cells were then post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 h at 4 °C. The cells were resuspended in molten agar (2%). Small blocks of solidified agar (1sq.mm) were cut and passed through series of 30%, 50%, and 90% ethanol v/v for 15 minutes each. The cells were further dehydrated with 100% ethanol (30 min x 3). The dehydrated agar blocks were suspended in propylene oxide for 20 minutes at room temperature and then treated with 1:1 mixture of propylene oxide and Epon-812 for 1 h at room temperature and Epon-812 for 4 h at room temperature. Blocks were embedded with Epon-812 for 48 h at 60 °C. Ultra-thin sections were cut with a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome and examined with a FEI Tecnai Spirit transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro City, OR, USA).

Cryo-electron microscopy
For cryoEM visualization, samples were prepared from freshly isolated exosomes/sEVs at 0.25 µg/µl concentration. For cryo-freezing, 3.5µl of exosome solutions were applied to fresh glow-discharged (10 s, 15 mA; Pelco EasiGlow) lacey carbon TEM grids (Electron Microscopy Services) and vitrified using a FEI Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI, Hillsboro, OR). The sample was applied to the grid and kept at 85% humidity and 10 oC. After a 10 second incubation period the grid was blotted with Whatman 595 filter paper for 3.5 seconds using a blot force of 5 and plunge frozen into liquid ethane. Samples were imaged using a JEOL 3200FS electron microscope equipped with an omega energy filter operated at 300 kV with a K3 direct electron detector (Ametek) using the minimal dose system. The total dose for each movie was ~10 e-/Å2 at a nominal magnification between 8,000 (pixel size 4.1 Å).

**NanoSight particle tracking and rapid microflow cytometer analysis of exosomes or extracellular vesicles (EVs)**

The size and particle count of exosomes/EVs were measured using NanoSight NS3000, a nanoparticle tracking analyzer (NanoSight Ltd, Malvern, United Kingdom). Exosomes/EVs (5 µg) were diluted in 1 ml PBS and then processed. Similarly, direct supernatants after removal of cell debris or purified exosomes/EVs diluted in 300 µL of PBS were loaded to the Apogee microflow vesiclometer for EV count analysis and normalized based on the cell numbers to compare the EV secretion efficiency or yield.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Cells and exosomes/EVs were lysed using RIPA lysis buffer (cell signaling 9806S). Protein-containing lysates of exosomes/EVs (5 µg) were run on a 4–20% Mini-PROTEIN TGX gel (Bio-Rad 4561096) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The blots were incubated separately either with mouse monoclonal anti-human CD44 (156-3C11) antibody (Cat# MA513890, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA, RRID:AB_10986810), mouse monoclonal anti-human CD63 antibody (Cat# ab8219, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA, RRID:AB_306364) at a dilution of 1:1000, Rabbit polyclonal anti-human CD81 (Cat# GTX101766, Genetex, Irvine, CA, USA, RRID:AB_10618892) at a dilution of 1:1000, rabbit polyclonal anti-human Grp94 (Cat# 2104P, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) at a dilution of 1:1000, or mouse monoclonal anti-human β-actin (Cat# ab8224, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA; RRID: AB_449644) at a dilution of 1:1000 (in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 2% BSA) at room temperature for 1 h, followed by washing with TBS buffer. The blots were incubated with secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Cat# W402B) or goat anti-rabbit IgG (W401B) from Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at a dilution of 1:10,000 (in 2% BSA containing TBS) for 1 h at room temperature. The blots were treated with an enhanced chemiluminescence kit according to the user manual and developed using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad).

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

For endogenous co-immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed and preincubated with Protein A/B PLUS agarose beads (Cat# sc-2003, Santa Cruz Biotech, Dallas, TX, USA) for 2 h. The
supernatant was removed, and the protein concentration was measured. Then 100 ug of cell lysate was incubated with CD44 anti-body or bead control overnight and then Protein A/B PLUS agarose beads overnight. The beads were washed 5 times and denatured with 4x Laemmli sample buffer (Cat: 161-0747, BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 100 C for 5 min. For exogenous co-immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed, and protein concentration was measured. Then 200 ug of cell lysate was incubated with Anti-FLAG® M2 Magnetic Beads, Sigma-Aldrich (Cat# M8823, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) overnight. The next day the beads were washed, and the beads were eluted using glycine. The elution was then combined with 4x Laemmli sample buffer and denatured at 100 C for 5 min.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Mouse xenograft lung tissues or patient primary tumors were paraffin-embedded and sectioned by routine techniques. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was achieved using Decloaker solution for 15-20 min (Biocare Medical, RD913L). Tissue sections were blocked with TBS/10% NGS, then incubated with CD81 (Cat # HPA007234 Millipore Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA; RRID:AB_1846333) or CD44 (Cat# MA513890, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; RRID:AB_10986810) primary antibody overnight, followed by Dako envision plus kit and DAB staining (Dako K4010). All samples were counterstained with hematoxylin.

**RNA extraction and real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen), and RNA was precipitated with isopropanol and glycogen (Invitrogen). After reverse transcription reactions, real-time PCR for genes was performed using individual gene Taqman primers (Applied Biosystems) with an ABI 7500 real-time PCR system. GAPDH was used as a control.

**Kaplan-Meier plots**

Kaplan-Meier overall survival, relapse free survival, and distant metastasis free survival plots for protein expression of CD81 were made using Kaplan_Meier Plotter (kmplot.com) (101). The dataset Liu_2014 (n=126) was used. All patients had triple negative breast cancer.

**Breast tumor tissue microarray (TMA)**

A total of 89 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast tumor tissues were included on the tumor TMA with selected tumor regions guided by hematoxylin-eosin staining images. The demographic and clinical characteristics of these tumors are included in Supplementary Figure S8. To make the TMA that allows microscopic comparison of the staining characteristics of different blocks and prevents exhaustion of pathological material, a core of paraffin was removed from a “recipient” paraffin block (one embedded without tissue) and the remaining empty space is filled with a core of paraffin embedded tissue from a “donor” block. A donor block H&E that is representative of the tissue remaining in the block was used to select the sample core with a color marker corresponding to tumor, benign, etc. Matched blocks were pulled out and a recipient TMA block was made and trimmed well with the face of the block even with a size of 1.5 mm core by using the semi-automatic Veridiam Tissue Microarrayer VTA-100. The created
TMA block was sectioned for staining. In this TMA, 19 cases from NU 16B06, 9 ER negative cases, 30 triple negative cases, 27 ER positive cases and 4 normal breast cases were selected and constructed on the recipient block.

Statistical analysis

For all assays and analyses in vitro, unless otherwise specified, a two-tailed Student’s $t$ test performed using Microsoft Excel was used to evaluate the $P$ values, and $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Material sharing and data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files. Newly created material and cell lines, such as human CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells and mouse Cd81KO 4T1 cells are available upon request. The RNAseq and proteomic datasets have been deposited as specified above. Source Data Files of uncropped full western blots have been provided for all blots.
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Figure legends

Figure 1 with 2 supplements. CD81 interacts with CD44 on the membrane and promotes mammosphere formation of TNBC cells.

A, B. Representative images (A) and bar graphs (B) of the mammospheres of MDA-MB-231 cell groups (WT, CD44KO, CD81KO, dKO pool populations), 17 days after seeded at 2,000 cells per well (6-well plate) in serum-free mammosphere formation media. N=4 replicates. Repeated 3 times. Scale bar = 100 µm. One-tailed student T-test * P < 0.05.

C-D. Bar graphs (C) and representative images (D) of membrane and intracellular CD44 and CD81 localization in WT, CD44KO, and CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells (adherent and in suspension), detected by immunofluorescence staining with anti-CD44-Texas Red, anti-CD81-Alexa488, and DAPI for DNA. Sample size N= 20 (WT), 14 (CD44KO), and 20 (CD81KO) adherent cells and N=31 (WT), 31 (CD44KO), and 28 CD81KO cells in suspension. Scale bar =5 µm. Two-tailed student T-test P= 0.03 for intracellular CD44 levels between adherent and suspension cells (both WT and CD81KO cells). Compared to WT cells, T-test P values for adherent CD44KO/CD81KO cells are. 9.52e-06/0.22 (membrane CD44), 0.81/0.65 (intracellular CD44), 0.009/0.006 (membrane CD81), 0.15/0.002 (intracellular CD81); and P values for cells in suspension are: 1.21e-11/0.003 (membrane CD44), 0.002/0.72 (intracellular CD44), 3.08e-06/2.64e-07 (membrane CD81), and 0.04/0.004 (intracellular CD81). Significant pair comparison differences are marked in the graph.

E. Predictive modeling of CD44 and CD81 interaction with hot spots shown in red and yellow. Top-ranked structural models of predictive interactions between CD44/CD81 (estimated binding energy: -12.23 Kcal/mol) and between CD44/CD81d (deletion mutant) (estimated binding energy: -9.41 Kcal/mol).

F. Immunoblots of endogenous CD44 and CD81 immunoprecipitated by anti-CD44 from the lysates (membrane fraction) of WT/44KO MDA-MB-231 and (total) WT TN1 PDX cells.

G. Predictive modeling of CD44 and CD81d (deletion mutant) interaction with hot spots shown in red and yellow. Top-ranked structural models of predictive interactions between CD44/CD81d (deletion mutant) (estimated binding energy: -9.41 Kcal/mol).

H. Representative immunoblots of CD81-HA (CD81d) immunoprecipitated by anti-CD44-Flag (CD44 mutants CD44.1 and CD44.2) from the lysates of HEK293ft cells (N=3 biological replicates; Con, control). Cells were transfected with either Flag-CD44 or mutants (Flag-CD44.1, Flag-CD44.2) and Con HA, HA-CD81, or HA-CD81d with deletion at amino acids 159-187 (CD81d). 48 hours after transfection the cells were harvested for Flag IP.

Figure 1-source data 1

Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1F
Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Characterization of CD44KO and CD81KO breast cancer cell lines.

A. Schematic of mass spectrometry workflow. TN1 PDX tumor was dissociated and sorted based on CD44 and CD24 expression and analyzed by mass spec. 497 differential proteins were identified. TN1 PDX tumor was dissociated and transfected with siCD44 and siCon and analyzed by mass spec. 344 differential proteins were identified. 38 differential proteins overlapped in both screens, one of which was CD81.

B, C. Immunoblots and quantification of CD44 and CD81 in dissociated PDX (WT and CD44KO) tumor cells in suspension and MDA-MB-231 cells, in suspension and adherent (WT, CD44KO, CD81KO, and dKO). ** P = 0.018, *** P = 0.0026, P = <0.0008.

D. Mammosphere formation and immunoblot of mouse 4T1 cells (WT and Cd81 KO via CRISPR/Cas9). Cells were seeded 2000 cells/ well in 6 well plate in 4 replicate.Two-tailed student T-test was used. Repeated twice.

E-F. Representative images (E) and quantifications (F) of mammospheres derived from 4T1 cells in suspension, including WT cells and Cd81KO cells. 2,000 cells were seeded in 6 cm plates in mammosphere media, and the images were captured on day 4. Two-tailed student T-test was used to calculate P values.

Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1-figure supplement 1B

Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 2
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1-figure supplement 1C

Figure 1-figure supplement 1-source data 3
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1-figure supplement 1D

Figure 1-figure supplement 2. CD81 colocalizes with CD44 on cellular membrane in breast cancer cell line.

A-B. IncuCyte images and curve analyses of cell confluence of human MDA-MB-231 WT, CD44KO, and CD81KO cells (C) and mouse 4T1 WT and Cd81KO cells (D) over time. Scale bar is 300 µm. Two-tailed student T-test ***** P =1.97E-08.

C. Immunoblot analysis of OCT4, Notch1, STAT3, and pSTAT3 expression in WT, CD81KO, and CD44KO MDA-MB-231 cells (pooled KO cells).
D. % of CD44 and CD81 colocalization shown in 50% of adherent (14 out of 26 cells) and 70% of suspension (22 out of 31 cells) MDA-MB-231 cells (WT). Pearson coefficient $r=0.57$ (average from three experimental replicates $r_1=0.52$, $r_2=0.54$, $r_3=0.64$)

E. Immunoblots of exogenously expressed CD44-Flag and CD81-HA immunoprecipitated by anti-Flag (CD44) from the lysates of transfected HEK293t cells. Repeated 3 times.

F. Immunofluorescence of HA tagged CD81d in HEK293t cells showing its membrane localization.

G. Alignment of CD44 and CD81 amino acids showing deletion in CD81 and point mutations in CD44 domains I & II.

H. Representative images and bar graphs of the mammospheres of MDA-MB-231 cell groups (WT HACon, CD81KO HACon, CD81KO HACD81, CD81KO HACD81m), 3 days after seeded at 1,000 cells per well (12-well plate) in serum-free mammosphere formation media. N=4 replicates. Repeated 2 times. Scale bar = 100 µm. Two-tailed student T-test ******* $P = 0.00000001$, *** $P = 0.0004$, ** $P = 0.005$.

I. Representative images and bar graphs of the mammospheres of MDA-MB-231 cell groups (WT, CD81KO, CD81KO GFP CD81 overexpression (OE), CD81KO GFP Con), 3 days after seeded at 1,000 cells per well (12-well plate) in serum-free mammosphere formation media. N=4 replicates. Repeated 2 times. Scale bar = 100 µm. Two-tailed student T-test ******* $P = 0.00000005$, ****** $P = 0.0000009$, **** $P = 0.00004$ ** $P < 0.004$, ** $P = 0.005$.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1-figure supplement 2C

Figure 1-figure supplement 2-source data 2
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 1-figure supplement 2E

Figure 2 with 3 supplements. Global mass spectrometry and phosphoproteomic profiling of MDA-MB-231 cells with siCD81 and siCD44 KDs.

A, B. Global mass spectrometry heatmap (A) and KEGG pathway analysis (B) of altered top pathways with significantly expressed proteome within 6 different clusters (G1-G6) in siControl, siCD81, and siCD44 cells at 3 h in suspension (N=3 replicates, Anova t-test FDR<0.01, $P=<0.05$).

C, D. Phosphoproteomic mass spectrometry heatmap (C) and KEGG pathway analysis (D) of altered top pathways with significantly changed phosphoproteome within 6 different clusters (P1-P6) in siControl, siCD81, and siCD44 cells at 3 h in suspension (N=3 replicates, Anova t-test FDR<0.01, $P=<0.05$)

Figure 2- figure supplement 1. SEMA7A increased in CD81 depleted cells.
A, B. RNA-sequencing based transcriptome analysis show siCD81-altered pathways (A), and heatmap (B), including downregulated and upregulated genes with >=1.5-fold changes) in MDA-MB-231 cells compared to the siRNA control (N=3 replicates).

C. Immunoblots of top target SEMA7A altered by siCD81 in adherent cells. ** P = 0.001.

D-F. Representative images of formed mammospheres (D), quantification (E) of mammospheres, and immunoblots of SEMA7A after siSEMA7A gene KD in CD81KO cells (F), showing downregulation of SEMA7A rescues mammosphere formation of CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells, SEMA7A was knocked down via siRNA transfection and seeded at 2000 cells/well in 12-well plate. After 4 days, SEMA7A KD in CD81KO cells increased mammosphere number and size compared to the control. Scale bar = 50 µm. **** P = 0.00003, ** P = 0.007, * P = 0.03.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 2-figure supplement 1C

Figure 2-figure supplement 1-source data 2
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 2-figure supplement 1F

Figure 2- figure supplement 2. Global and phosphoproteomic analyses in CD81 and CD44 depleted cells
A. The Volcano plots of different abundance in global proteome and phosphoproteome between adherent and clustered tumor cells.
B. Immunoblots and bar graphs of CD44 and CD81 in MDA-MB-231 cells after transient knockdowns after siCD81 and siCD44 transfections.
C. The protein-protein interactions network of altered phosphoproteome in the Endocytosis pathway across four phosphoproteome clusters P2, P3, P5, P6
D. E. The enrichment of KEGG pathway (D) and Kinase Enrichment Analysis (KEA) (E) from expressed phosphopeptides in the different clusters P1-P6 comparing siControl with siCD81 and siCD44 groups.

Figure 2.figure supplement 2-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 2-figure supplement 2B

Figure 2- figure supplement 3. The kinase reactome networks in CD81 and CD44 depleted cells.
The MaxQuant kinase reactome networks based on the downregulated (A) and upregulated (B) phosphorylation sites in both siCD81 and siCD44-transfected cells and known curation of kinase-substrate interactions in the literature. C. Immunoblot validation of mass spectrometry analysis targets including Rab11FIP1, Rab7a, Rab8a, and Rab10. * P = <0.05
Figure 2-figure supplement 3-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 2-figure supplement 3C

Figure 3 with 3 supplements. CD81 and CD44 are required for exosome-induced cancer stemness.
A-B. Transmission electron microscopy images (A) of WT, 44KO, and 81KO MDA-MB-231 cells and number of vacuoles observed per cell (B). Yellow arrows point to vacuoles or early endosomes/endocytic vesicles, purple arrows to multivesicular bodies. Scale bar = 500 nm. Student 2-tailed T-test *P = 0.017.
C. Counts of EVs per cell in crude culture supernatants of WT, 44KO and 81KO cells, measured by Apogee (N=3 or 5). Two-tailed student T-test **** P = 0.0001, ** P = 0.003, one-tailed student T-test * P=0.036.
D. NTA-based size distributions (repeated 3 times) (D) and
E-F. Representative immunoblots (n=3) and quantification for EV proteins in ultracentrifuge-isolated EV particles from the culture media of WT, 44KO and 81KO MDA-MB-231 cells. T test *P=0.04/0.02 (one tailed), ** P = 0.001 (2 tailed), *** P = 0.0002 (2 tailed), ***** P = 8.5e-6 (2 tailed).
G-H. Cryo-EM images (repeated twice) (G) and quantification (H) of membrane integrity in evWT, ev44KO, and ev81KO, taken at 8000x nominal magnification (a pixel size of 4.125 Angstrom). Vesicles assessed included 18 WT, 17 CD44KO, and 63 CD81KO. Scale bar = 200 nm.
I. Schematic of 81KO MDA-MB-231 cells educated with PBS or evWT, ev44KO, and ev81KO every 2 days for 2 weeks and seeded at low density to evaluate mammosphere formation.
J-K. Representative images (J) and bar graph quantification (K) of mammospheres 4 days after seeding of 1,000 cells per well (24-well plate) after education with PBS, WT EVs, CD44KO EVs, and CD81KO EVs. Scale bar = 100 µm. One-tailed student T-test * P = 0.02, ** P = 0.01. Repeated twice times.

Figure 3-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 3E

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Effects of CD44/CD81 depletion on cellular pathways related to extracellular vesicles.
A. GO Localization analysis of downregulated proteins in pooled CD44KO cells compared to WT MDA-MB-231 cells (N=3 replicates, P<0.05).
B. GO Processes analyses of global mass spectrometry-based upregulated proteins in the CD44KO cells in comparison to CD44 WT MDA-MB-231 cells.
C. Transmission electron microscopy image and quantification of lysosomes (red arrows) in MB-MDA-231 cells. Scale bar = 500 nm. Student 2 tailed T test *P=0.02, **P=5e-04,
****P=2e-09. The purple arrow points to a multivesicular body (MVB) which is not significant different among three types of cells (1 or 0 observed per cell).

D. Left panel: NTA counts of EVs per cell in purified EVs (100,000 g x 70 min) from WT, 44KO and 81KO cells, measured by NanoSight (N=3). Two-tailed student T-test **** P = 0.0001, *** P = 0.0003, ** P = 0.006. Right panel: NTA-based size distributions (repeated 3 times) of the three types of EVs.

E. Schematic of EV isolation by ultracentrifugation steps and characterization.

Figure 3- figure supplement 2. Proteomic pathway analysis of evCD44 derived from CD44KO cells.
A. Proteomic pathways altered in the EVs derived from CD44KO cells (ev44KO) compared to evWT from WT cells.
B-F. GO analyses of proteins downregulated or upregulated in the ev44KO versus evWT.

Figure 3- figure supplement 3. CD81 is required for exosome-induced effects on stemness phenotype.
A. Immunoblot analyses for OCT 4, STAT3, phosphoSTAT3 (pSTAT3), FAK, pFAK, CD44 and beta Actin using CD81KO MDA-MB-231 cells educated with PBS or EVs derived from WT, CD81KO, and CD44KO, and CD81KO cells. B-C. Representative images (B) and quantifications of mammospheres derived from 4T1 cells in suspension, including WT cells and Cd81KO cells, the latter of which were educated with PBS or exosomes (10 µg for 1 week). 2,000 cells were seeded in 6 cm plates in mammosphere media, and the images were captured on day 4. Two-tailed student T-test was used.

Figure 3-figure supplement 3-source data 1
Uncropped blots associated with Figure 3-figure supplement 3

Figure 4 with 5 supplements. CD81 is associated with patient survival and enriched in CTCs promoting tumor cell aggregation.
A-C. Kaplan-Mier plots of CD81 protein expression in patients with triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) correlate with an unfavorable overall survival (A), relapse-free survival (B), and distant-metastasis free survival (C).

D, E. Representative images (D) and quantified % (E) of CD81+ and CD81- CTC events in the blood of 6 patients with metastatic breast cancer, analyzed on CellSearch. Scale bar = 5 µm. Two-tailed student T-test ***** P = 9E-11.

F-H. Representative images of flow cytometry gated singlets and clusters (F, scale bar=25 µm) and bar graphs of proportion of CD81+ (G) and CD44+CD81+ (H) in putative Lin-CD45- CTC events (622,509) in the blood (N=50 patients). Two-tailed student T-test ***P= 0.005, ****P=0.00001.
I-J. IncuCyte images (top panels) and quantified tumor cell aggregation curves of TN1 PDX (I),
MDA-MB-231 (J) cells upon CD81 KD (repeated at least 3 times). Scale bar = 300 µm. Two-tailed student T-test ***** P = 1E-12, ****** P = 8E-19.

Figure 4- figure supplement 1. Kaplan-Meier Plots of patient survival based on CD81 protein expression.
Kaplan-Meier plots of patient outcomes with triple negative breast cancer (TNBC), stratified by CD81 expression levels that correlate with an unfavorable overall survival (OS), relapse-free survival (RFS), and distant-metastasis free survival (DMFS). Various cutoffs were made at lower 25%, median, or upper 25%.

Figure 4- figure supplement 2. TMA clinical characteristics.
A. Table of tumor TMA breast cancer patient characteristics.
B. Immunohistochemical staining of CD81 and CD44 expression (in brown) and hemotoxylin (in blue) in tissue microarray (TMA) of breast tumors (N=77 with CD81 IHC and N=75 with CD44 IHC).
C. A scatter plot of CD44 and CD81 expression on tumor microarray. CD81 and CD44 expression levels were quantified using ImageJ and assessed in all subtypes of breast tumors (N=77, N=75, patient tumors respectively).
D. Percentage (%) of tumor regions with overlapped CD81 and CD44 expression within each tumor (N=75 with both CD81 and CD44 IHC). Two-tailed student T-test P=0.03 and 0.001 showing TNBC with a higher overlap between CD81/CD44 than the average level of all subtypes as well as that of the Luminal A/B subtype.

Figure 4- figure supplement 3. Gating strategy of CTCs by flow cytometry and the RNA expression level of CD81 in single versus clustered CTCs.
A. Forward scatter channel (FSC)-gated singles and clusters of WT and CD44KO MDA-MB-231 cells in suspension (n=3 replicates). T test P=0.0001.
B. Gating strategies for patient blood-isolated CD45^- single cells and clusters for CD81 and CD44 analyses.
C. Plots of proportion of putative CD44+ CTC events (EpCAM^+/^- clusters and singles in the blood of 50 patients with metastatic breast cancer, analyzed on flow cytometer). Two-tailed student T-test was used.
D. mRNA expression of CD81 in single/clusters of CTCs from a publicly available dataset (ref 33 and 35). Wilcoxon p for CTC-single vs CTC-cluster = 0.0034.

Figure 4- figure supplement 4. Cluster formation of tumor cells (MDA-MB-231, 4T1 and PDX models) in CD81 and CD44 modified cells.
A-C. IncuCyte images (right) and quantified tumor cell aggregation curves (left) of MDA-MB-231 WT/CD81KO cells (* P = 0.02) (A), 4T1 WT/Cd81KO cells (***** P <5.8E-08) (B), and
TN2 PDX siCon/siCD81 (**** P = 0.0004) (C). Scale bar = 300 µm. Two-tailed student T-test was used. Repeated at least twice.

D. IncuCyte images and quantified tumor cell aggregation curves of WT/81KO MDA-MB-231 cells upon overexpression with either HACon, HACD81, or HACD81m. Scale bar = 300 µm. E. Clustering analysis of MDA-MB-231 WT/CD44KO/CD81KO cells treated with 10 µg/ul IgG or anti-CD81 activating antibody. Two-tailed student T-test was used. P value comparing WT aCD81 to WT IgG *P = 0.022, to CD44KO IgG * P = 0.013, to CD44KO aCD81 * P = 0.011, to CD81KO IgG ** P = 0.008, and to CD81KO aCD81 ** P = 0.006.

Figure 4- figure supplement 5. CD81 KD inhibits breast cancer cell migration and invasion

A. Cell migration of MDA-MB-231 WT, CD44KO, and CD81KO cells to close scratch wound, analyzed by Incucyte time-lapse imaging in every 2 h. CD44KO and CD81KO groups took longer time to fill the wound gap. Two-tailed student T-test was used. Repeated twice.

B. Cell invasion of MDA-MB-231 WT, CD44KO, and CD81KO cells on Matrigel-covered scratch wound was evaluated by Incucyte time-lapse imaging software which tracks wound closure every 2 h. After 30 h the control cell wounds began to close while the CD44KO and CD81KO groups took longer. Two-tailed student T-test was used.

Figure 5. CD81 promotes tumorigenesis of TNBC cells.

A. Table of serial dilutions of tumorigenic results with CD81+ and CD81-TN1 PDX, CD81 WT/KO 4T1 cells, and CD81 WT/KO/KO+CD81 overexpression (OE) MDA-MB-231. One-tailed student T-test.

B-C. Pictures of harvested tumors (B) and graphs of tumor weight comparisons (C) with CD81+ and CD81-TN1 PDX tumor implants. Scale bar=1.3 cm. n=10-16 injections per group. Two-tailed student T-test comparisons between CD81+ and CD81- cells: * P=0.026 (1,000 cells), 0.019 (100 cells)

D-E. Pictures of harvested tumors (D), graphs of tumor weight comparisons (E). Scale bar=1 cm. n= 8 injections (2 injections/mouse). Two-tailed student T-test ** P = 0.002, *** P = 0.001.

F-G. Pictures of harvested tumors (F) and graphs of tumor weight comparisons (G) with CD81 WT/KO/KO+CD81OE MDA-MB-231 cells. Scale bar= 1 cm. n=8 injections (4 injections/mouse). Two-tailed student T-test *** P = 0.0007, ** P = 0.006, * P= 0.026.

Figure 6 with 2 supplements. CD81 deficiency abrogates lung metastasis in breast cancer.

A. Photos of 4T1 orthotopic tumors (left panels) grown from implantations of comparable (1000) WT and (6000) Cd81KO cells into the L4/R4 mammary fat pads (N=5 balb/c mice with 10 injections) and the fixed lungs with overt metastatic colonies. By the terminal day 52, 2 mice from the WT group died and the left 3 were sick and sacrificed. Scale bar = 1 cm.

B. Bar graph of the tumor weights and lung colonies count between the WT tumors and KO tumors.
C. IHC (HE) images of lung colonies from the WT group mice (a higher number of visible lung metastases at a larger size) as compared to the Cd81 KO group. Scale bar = 100 µm. N.S. = not significant, two-tailed student T-test ** P = 0.01 (n=5 mice).

D. Distinct mouse survival between 4T1 WT and Cd81 KO tumor bearing mice with spontaneous lung metastases. Two-tailed student T-test ** P = 0.01 (n=5 mice)

E-F. Photos of WT and 81KO MDA-MB-231 orthotopic tumors (E) grown from 10,000 cell implantations and dissected mouse lungs on day 32 (F) (N=5 NSG mice with 10 injections) Scale bar = 1 cm.

G. BLI images and of spontaneous metastases in the lungs ex vivo following after orthotopic implantation of WT and 81KO MDA-MB-231 cells into NSG mice. * P = 0.011 (n=5 mice).

H. Quantification of tumor weights, lung metastases, and relative metastatic burden normalized by tumor weight. Two-tailed student T-test was used. *** P = 1e-07, * P=0.011.

I. Table of mouse mortality or survival by day 32 after orthotopic implantation. 3 mice from the WT group died and the left 2 were sick and sacrificed whereas the 81KO tumor-bearing mice would have survived. Fisher test was used ** P = 0.01 (n=5).

J. BLI images of lung colonization following the tail vein injections of MDA-MB-231 WT and 81KO cells into NSG mice on days 0 and 26. The bottom row shows dissected lungs ex vivo.

K. Quantified BLI signals (left panel) and normalized metastasis intensity (relative to the Day 0 signals) of MDA-MB-231 cells in the dissected lungs ex vivo on day 26 after tail vein injections. Two-tailed student T-test **P < 0.007. *** P = 0.001 (N=3 mice).

L. Representative fluorescence images (top two panels) of mouse lungs and quantified metastatic colonies (singles and clustered, bottom panel) of WT and CD81KO L2G+ MDA-MB-231 cells (D26). Scale bar = 100 mm. Two-tailed student T-test was used. * P = 0.044

M-O. BLI images of mice (days 0 and 1) and dissected lungs on day 1 (M), blood CTC counts (L2G+ singles and clusters) measured via flow cytometry on day 1 (N), and relative lung metastasis via BLI on day 1 (O, relative to day 0) following the tail vein injections of 4T1-WT and Cd81KO cells into Babl/c mice (N=3) * P = 0.047, ** P = 0.007. Two-tailed student T-test was used.

These experiments were repeated at least twice to show consistent conclusions.

**Figure 6- figure supplement 1. CD81 KD inhibits breast cancer cell metastasis.**

A. HE images of lung metastasis colonies (arrow pointed region) observed in the mice injected with WT cells and absent with 81KO MDA-MB-231 cells, harvested on day 26 after tail vein injection. Scale bar = 200 µm.

B-C. CD81 control and CD81KD MDA-MB-231 cells were evaluated for their metastatic potential. 100K cells were injected into mice by tail vein injection to observe lung colonization. 6 days post tail vein injection lung colonization was observed lower levels in the CD81KD group compared to the control. (n=3) (One-tailed student T-test * P = 0.04)

**Figure 6- figure supplement 2. Schematic summary**
Schematic summary of CD81 in interacting with CD44 on the cytoplasmic membrane of tumor-initiating cells (TIC), facilitating the exosome cargo packaging with CD44 and CD81, promoting exosome-induced self-renewal in recipient cells via phosphoreactome pathways, and strengthening CD44-mediated CTC cluster formation and lung metastasis.
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**Figure 2-figure supplement 1**

**A** RNA seq

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>siCD81</th>
<th>siCon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B** siCD81 downregulated pathways (>=1.5-fold) in adherent cells

- Negative regulation of protein modification process
- Positive regulation of epithelial cell proliferation
- Negative regulation of cell proliferation
- Negative regulation of GTPase activity
- Gliaogenesis
- Negative regulation of cell development
- Cellular response to drug
- Heart development
- Kidney morphogenesis
- Post-translational protein phosphorylation
- Developmental growth
- Regulation to cell adhesion

**C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDA-MB-231</th>
<th>SEMA7A</th>
<th>β-actin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>siCon</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siCD81</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D**

81WT cells

- siCon
- siCD81

81KO cells

- siCon
- siSEMA7A

**E** Mammosphere

- # of spheres >5 cells
-Normalized SEMA7A band density

**F** CD81KO 231 cells

- siCon
- siSEMA7A

- Normalized SEMA7A band density
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A

siCon

siCD81

siCD44

B

siCD81

siCD44

siCon

C

Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>81KO</th>
<th>44KO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAB11FIP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB7A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB8A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B actin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph showing normalized band density for RAB11FIP1, RAB7A, RAB8A, and RAB10.
Figure 3 with 3 supplements

A. Electron micrographs of WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

B. Bar graph showing the number of vacuoles in WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

C. Bar graph showing crude EV counts per cell in WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

D. NTA profiles of ultracentrifuged EVs from WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

E. Immunoblots showing protein expression levels of CD44, CD81, CD63, GRP94, TSG101, LAMP2b, and β-actin in WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

F. Bar graph showing normalized EV protein density in WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

G. Bar graph showing the ratio of abnormal EVs to total EVs in WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

H. Electron micrographs showing EVs from WT, 44KO, and 81KO cells.

I. Diagram illustrating the experimental protocol for EV treatment and mammosphere formation.

J. Mammosphere of EV-treated 81KO cells (Day 4).

K. Bar graph showing the number of mammospheres greater than 25 cells from EV-treated cells.
**Figure 3- figure supplement 1**

### A. CD44KO cells (upregulated)- GO Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Log (p)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Regulation of proteolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Spontaneous exocytosis of neurotransmitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Regulation of calcium ion release into cytosol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Renal water homeostasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Negative regulation of Smoothened signaling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Negative regulation of meiotic cell cycle process: oocyte maturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Spermatid development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Spermatid differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Regulation of Smoothened signaling pathway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Multicellular organismal water homeostasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. CD44KO (downregulated)- GO Localization

- Extracellular exosome
- Extracellular vesicle
- Extracellular organelle
- Extracellular space
- Vesicle
- Extracellular region
- Cytosol
- Intracellular membrane-bounded organelle
- Intracellular organelle
- Mitochondrion
- Membrane organelle
- Golgi apparatus
- Secretory granule
- Focal adhesion
- Cytosol

### C. Lysosome counts

- **WT**
- **44KO**
- **81KO**

### D. EV Counts via NTA (#/Cell)

- **WT**
- **44KO**
- **81KO**

### E. Exosome characterization, proteomics, immunoblots, microflow, education of tumor cells

- **WT**
- **44KO or 81 KO**

- Culture supernatant (EV-free medium)
- 48-72 h
- 10,000 g x 15 min to remove cell debris and microvesicles
- 100,000 g, 70 mins x 2 washes
- Exosome characterization, proteomics, immunoblots, microflow, education of tumor cells
Figure 3- figure supplement 2

A  Proteomic pathways altered in ev44KO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion integrin mediated cell matrix adhesion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoskeleton regulation of cytoskeleton rearrangement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune response phagosome in antigen presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoskeleton actin filaments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune response phagocytosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoskeleton intermediate filaments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion cell functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition translation initiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell adhesion cell-matrix interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development neurogenesis axonal guidance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B  ev44KO (downregulated) Pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cell adhesion molecule binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. LEM domain binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. IL-5R binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Thiamine pyrophosphate transmembrane transporter activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. GDP binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Protein N-terminus binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Choline transmembrane transporter activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. MHC class II protein binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. GDP-dissociation inhibitor binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Growth factor binding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C  ev44KO (downregulated)- GO Processes

1. Protein localization to membrane
2. Protein localization to plasma membrane
3. Export from cell
4. Protein localization to cell periphery
5. Leukocyte mediated immunity
6. Establishment of localization
7. Cellular localization
8. Neutrophil degranulation
9. Neutrophil activation in immune response
10. Neutrophil activation
11. Neutrophil immunity
12. Granulocyte activation
13. Cellular protein localization
14. Transport
15. Cellular macromolecule localization

D  ev44KO (Upregulated)- GO Molecular Functions

1. Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor activity
2. Identical protein binding
3. Cell adhesion molecule binding
4. Carbohydrate derivative binding
5. Cyclic-GMP-AMP hydrolase activity
6. Purine ribonucleoside triphosphate binding
7. Small molecule binding
8. Cadherin binding
9. Purine ribonucleotide binding
10. Purine nucleotide binding
11. Ribonucleotide binding
12. Sulfur compound binding
13. Protein histidine kinase activity
14. GDP binding
15. Enzyme binding

E  ev44KO (down)- GO Localization

1. Extracellular exosome
2. Extracellular vesicle
3. Extracellular organelle
4. Vesicle
5. Extracellular space
6. Tetraspanin-enriched microdomain
7. Secretory granule membrane
8. Plasma membrane
9. Cell periphery
10. Membrane raft
11. Membrane microdomain
12. Secretory granule
13. Extracellular region
14. Secretory vesicle
15. Cytoplasmic vesicle

F  ev44KO (Upregulated)- GO Localization

1. Extracellular exosome
2. Extracellular vesicle
3. Extracellular organelle
4. Extracellular space
5. Extracellular region
6. Focal adhesion
7. Cell-substrate junction
8. Vesicle
9. Anchoring junction
10. Cell junction
11. Secretory vesicle
12. Myelin sheath
13. Tertiary granule lumen
14. Intercalated disc
15. Secretory granule lumen
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C
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Figure 4 with 5 supplements

A) CD81 OS

B) CD81 RFS

C) CD81 DMFS

D) CTCs detected by Cell Search

G) CD81+ cells in Lin-CD45-
singles and clusters

H) CD44+CD81+ cells in Lin-CD45-
singles and clusters

E) N=6 patients

381 CTC events

I) TN1 PDX

J) MDA-MB-231

F) Flow detection

CD81+ CK+
CTC cluster

CD81-
CK+ CTC
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Cutoffs: Lower 25%

**OS**

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 2.63 (1.03 - 6.71)
logrank P = 0.036

**Median**

HR = 1.67 (0.88 - 3.17)
logrank P = 0.11

**Upper 25%**

HR = 1.67 (0.88 - 3.17)
logrank P = 0.11

**RFS**

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 2.08 (0.98 - 4.45)
logrank P = 0.052

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 1.85 (1.04 - 3.39)
logrank P = 0.032

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 1.39 (0.77 - 2.52)
logrank P = 0.29

**DMFS**

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 2.08 (0.98 - 4.45)
logrank P = 0.052

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 1.67 (0.92 - 3.03)
logrank P = 0.085

CD81 (P60033)

HR = 1.2 (0.63 - 2.29)
logrank P = 0.57
**Figure 4 - figure supplement 2**

**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staining</th>
<th>CD81&lt;sub&gt;low&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>CD81&lt;sub&gt;high&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>CD44&lt;sub&gt;low&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>CD44&lt;sub&gt;high&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>56.9 ± 12.9</td>
<td>55 ± 11.1</td>
<td>55.7 ± 12.9</td>
<td>55.4 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (No. of patients)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 or 0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 or 0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

- CD81
- CD44

**C**

- All subtypes

![Graph](R² = 0.0812)

**D**

- CD44/CD81 expression overlap within tumors

- *P = 0.03*
- **P = 0.001**

**Legend**

- All subtypes
- TNBC
- HER2
- Luminal A/B
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A  Migration on scratch wound

WT  44KO  81KO

0 h

42 h

B  Invasion on Matrigel-wound
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P values
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### Figure 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>No. of cells</th>
<th>CD81+ (CD81WT/Cd81WT)</th>
<th>CD81- (CD81KO/Cd81KO)</th>
<th>CD81KO +81OE</th>
<th>P value 81+/WT v 81-/KO</th>
<th>P value 81+/WT v 81OE</th>
<th>P value 81-/KO v 81OE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TN1 PDX</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12/16</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4T1 (WT/KO)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA-MB-231 (WT/KO/OE)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20/20</td>
<td>14/20</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TN1 combined experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tumor weight (g)</th>
<th>Tumor weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81KO</td>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MDA-MB-231 (N=8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tumor weight (g)</th>
<th>Tumor weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81KO</td>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81KO 81OE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional Figures

- **Human TN1 PDX**
- **Mouse 4T1**
- **Human MDA-MB-231**
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