

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) **Checklist for Authors**

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting mainly applicable to studies in the life sciences.

eLife asks authors to **provide detailed information within their article** to facilitate the interpretation and replication of their work. Authors can also upload supporting materials to comply with relevant reporting guidelines for health-related research (see <u>EQUATOR Network</u>), life science research (see the BioSharing Information Resource), or animal research (see the ARRIVE Guidelines and the STRANGE Framework; for details, see eLife's Journal Policies). Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards materials in this form.

For all that apply, please note **where in the article** the information is provided. Please note that we also collect information about data availability and ethics in the submission form.

Materials:

Newly created materials	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
The manuscript includes a dedicated "materials availability statement" providing transparent disclosure about availability of newly created materials including details on how materials can be accessed and describing any restrictions on access.	A dedicated materials availability statement is in the "MATERIAL AVAILABLILTY" section.	

Antibodies	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and <u>RRID</u> , if available.	This information is provided in the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section.	

DNA and RNA sequences	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Short novel DNA or RNA including primers, probes: Sequences should be included or deposited in a public repository.		Х

Cell materials	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID.	HEK293T cells are human epithelial-like cells isolated from the kidney. This line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection in Manassas, VA. RRID: CVCL_0063. The HEK Δ 7 cell line was obtained as a gift from Dr.	

	Asuka Inoue (Tohoku University, Japan). This information is provided in the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section.	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		Х

Experimental animals	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Laboratory animals or Model organisms: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID.	This information is provided in the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section.	
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex, and age where possible.		X

Plants and microbes	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Plants: provide species and strain, ecotype and cultivar where relevant, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens).		Х
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source.		Х

Human research participants	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend) or state if these demographics were not collected	N/A
If collected and within the bounds of privacy constraints report on age, sex, gender and ethnicity for all study participants.		Х

Design:

Study protocol	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
If the study protocol has been pre-registered, provide DOI. For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI.		Х

Laboratory protocol	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Provide DOI OR other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		Х

Experimental study design (statistics details) *		
For in vivo studies: State whether and how the following have been done	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend. If it could have been done, but was not, write "not done"	N/A
Sample size determination	No statistical method was used to determine sample size. Sample sizes are similar for those used in the field. At least n=5 mice for tracing. All sample sizes are described in the figure legends.	
Randomisation	Due to the low probability of correctly matching PO lentivirus and adult AAV injections, all animals withing a given litter received the same injection type, and therefore the experiments were not randomized. The above information is included in the materials and methods	
Blinding	Due to the low probability of correctly matching PO lentivirus and adult AAV injections, all animals withing a given litter received the same injection type, therefore the researchers were not blind to condition. Image analysis was performed using only DAPI to identify CA1 and subiculum landmarks and not lentivirus or AAV injections channels. The above information is included in the materials and methods.	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Animal experiments The inclusion/exclusion criteria are stated in the "MATERIALS	

AND METHODS" section.	
-----------------------	--

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
State number of times the experiment was replicated in the laboratory.	At least three biological replicates were done for each cell experiment with three technical replicates per biological replicate. For animal experiments at least 5 biological replicates were performed per experiment. This information is in the figure legends.	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates.	Technical replicates are defined here as repeated measurements of the same sample while biological replicates are defined as measurements of biologically distinct samples. All animal experiments are showing biological replicates. All cell experiments were done at minimum in triplicate with three technical replicates per biological replicate. This information is in the figure legends.	

Ethics	Indicate where provided: section/submission form	N/A
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		Х
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This information is stated in the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section.	

Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Х

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Indicate where provided: section/submission form	N/A
If study is subject to dual use research of concern regulations, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval.		Х

Analysis:

Attrition	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Describe whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Report if sample or data points were omitted from analysis. If yes, report if this was due to attrition or intentional exclusion and provide justification.	Animal experiments Exclusion criteria was pre- established as described in the "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section. All data points that passed these criteria were included.	
	<u>Cell experiments</u> No data points were excluded from analysis	

Statistics	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	All statistical tests used are described in the figure legends and "MATERIALS AND METHODS" section.	
	For statistical tests on datasets in Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 3—figure supplement 2 which contain two variables (genotype and anatomical location) two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test was used. This was chosen as multiple independent comparisons were being performed.	
	For statistical tests on datasets in Figure 5 which contain one variable (genotype), a one-way	

ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used. This was chosen as all genotypes were compared with each other.	
For gene expression and BRET assays, datasets were assessed using multiple unpaired t tests. For Figure 3-figure supplement 1, receptor expression was calculated as a percentage of wild-type receptor and statistical analysis was conducted using	

one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. This information is clearly stated in the Figure legends.

Data availability	Indicate where provided: section/submission form	N/A
For newly created and reused datasets, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access (or notes restrictions on access).		Х
When newly created datasets are publicly available, provide accession number in repository OR DOI and licensing details where available.		Х
If reused data is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI, OR URL, OR citation.		Х

Code availability	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
For any computer code/software/mathematical algorithms essential for replicating the main findings of the study, whether newly generated or re-used, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access or notes restrictions.	This is included in the "MATERIAL AVAILABILITY" section.	
Where newly generated code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, OR DOI OR URL and licensing details where available. State any restrictions on code availability or accessibility.		Х

If reused code is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI OR URL, OR citation.	This is included in the "MATERIAL AVAILABILITY" section.	

Reporting:

The MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives.

erence to community standards	Indicate where provided: section/figure legend	N/A
State if relevant guidelines (e.g., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE, STRANGE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.		X

Sample-size estimation

- You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
- You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
- If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

Replicates

- You should report how often each experiment was performed
- You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
- The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
- If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
- Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
- High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

Statistical reporting

- Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
- Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
- For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
- Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

Group allocation

• Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies,

^{*} We provide the following guidance regarding transparent reporting and statistics; we also refer authors to Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript.

please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied

• Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis