**Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)**

**Checklist for Authors**

The [MDAR framework](https://osf.io/xfpn4/) establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting mainly applicable to studies in the life sciences.

*eLife* asks authors to **provide detailed information within their article** to facilitate the interpretation and replication of their work. Authors can also upload supporting materials to comply with relevant reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](http://www.equator-network.org/%20)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](http://biosharing.org/)), or animal research (see the [ARRIVE Guidelines](http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412) and the [STRANGE Framework](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01751-5); for details, see *eLife*’s [Journal Policies](https://reviewer.elifesciences.org/author-guide/journal-policies)). Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards materials in this form.

For all that apply, please note **where in the article** the information is provided. Please note that we also collect information about data availability and ethics in the submission form.

**Materials:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Newly created materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| The manuscript includes a dedicated "materials availability statement" providing transparent disclosure about availability of newly created materials including details on how materials can be accessed and describing any restrictions on access. | We have included a ‘data availability statement’ at the end of the discussion (pg 33) with a link to a publicly accessible GitHub repository containing the raw data and computer code used in this study. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Antibodies** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and [RRID](https://scicrunch.org/resources), if available. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **DNA and RNA sequences** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Short novel DNA or RNA including primers, probes: Sequences should be included or deposited in a public repository. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Cell materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. |  | **X** |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental animals** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Laboratory animals or Model organisms: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. |  | **X** |
| Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex, and age where possible. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Plants and microbes** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Plants: provide species and strain, ecotype and cultivar where relevant, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens). |  | **X** |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Human research participants** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend) or state if these demographics were not collected** | **N/A** |
| If collected and within the bounds of privacy constraints report on age, sex, gender and ethnicity for all study participants. |  | **X** |

**Design:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Study protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| If the study protocol has been pre-registered, provide DOI. For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Laboratory protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Provide DOI OR other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available. | <https://github.com/abuultjens/Possum_scat_survey_predict_human_BU> | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental study design (statistics details) \*** | | |
| **For in vivo studies: State whether and how the following have been done** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend. If it could have been done, but was not, write “not done”** | **N/A** |
| Sample size determination |  | **X** |
| Randomisation |  | **X** |
| Blinding |  | **X** |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Sample definition and in-laboratory replication** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in the laboratory. |  | **X** |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Ethics** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. | Note: Our study doesn’t involve human participants, but it does use de-identified patient location data. These data are aggregated at the level of “mesh block” – an Australian Bureau of Statistics definition of an area encompassing 50-60 residences. Ethical approval to use and report this aggregated and deidentified patient location data was obtained from the Victorian Government Department of Health Human Ethics Committee under HREC/54166/DHHS-2019-179235(v3), “Spatial risk map of Buruli ulcer infection in Victoria” |  |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | **X** |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. | No permits are required in the State of Victoria, Australia to collect and test animal fecal material for microorganisms and/or their DNA. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern regulations, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval. |  | **X** |

**Analysis:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attrition** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Report if sample or data points were omitted from analysis. If yes, report if this was due to attrition or intentional exclusion and provide justification. | A database of Buruli ulcer cases in Victoria was provided by the Victorian Department of Health. Filtering on this database was performed to retrieve only cases that had 1) exposure periods that overlapped with field sampling dates and 2) were spatially within the statistical area 2 (SA2) regions where field sampling occurred. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Statistics** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests. | The Fisher's exact test was used to assess the relationship between fecal IS2404 positivity and sampling season or possum species, as this was a comparison of nominal variables.  The unpaired t-test was used to assess the relationship between mean Ct (IS2404) values between sampling season or possum species, as this was a comparison of means between two independent groups.  SaTScan methodology was used to detect spatial clusters. It was chosen as it is as ideal method to test whether a disease is randomly distributed over space and to evaluate the statistical significance of any identified geographical clusters.  A statistical model with two parameters was developed and used to predict the probability of observing one or more human BU cases as a function of the distance-weighted prevalence of MU positivity in nearby scats. The model works by calculating the local prevalence around a focal location as the weighted mean of the scat positivity in all samples, with those weights decaying with increasing distance from the focal location. This approach is ideal as it does not require re-estimation of the model and its many location-specific random-effect parameters after every scat collection round.  The statistical learning model was trained using spatial block cross validation. Here, the model was fitted on each possible 2/3 of the survey region and then used to predict the probability of observing one or more BU cases in the remaining 1/3 of the survey region. The use of spatial block cross validation is important because it is a spatial model, as if we had rather picked meshblocks at random for cross validation it would inflate the fit statistics for the spatial model. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Data availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| For newly created and reused datasets, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access (or notes restrictions on access). | A link to a github repository is provided where the data can be downloaded. This is referenced in the methods and named in a data availability statement at the end of the discussion. <https://github.com/abuultjens/Possum_scat_survey_predict_human_BU> |  |
| When newly created datasets are publicly available, provide accession number in repository OR DOI and licensing details where available. | See above  <https://github.com/abuultjens/Possum_scat_survey_predict_human_BU> |  |
| If reused data is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI, OR URL, OR citation. |  | **X** |
|  |  |  |
| **Code availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For any computer code/software/mathematical algorithms essential for replicating the main findings of the study, whether newly generated or re-used, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access or notes restrictions. | A link to a github repository is provided where the programs used can be downloaded. This is referenced in the methods and named in a data availability statement at the end of the discussion. <https://github.com/abuultjens/Possum_scat_survey_predict_human_BU> |  |
| Where newly generated code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, OR DOI OR URL and licensing details where available. State any restrictions on code availability or accessibility. | A link to a github repository is provided where the programs used can be downloaded. This is referenced in the methods and named in a data availability statement at the end of the discussion. <https://github.com/abuultjens/Possum_scat_survey_predict_human_BU> |  |
| If reused code is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI OR URL, OR citation. |  | **X** |

**Reporting:**

The MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adherence to community standards** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State if relevant guidelines (e.g., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE, STRANGE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. |  | **X** |

\* We provide the following guidance regarding transparent reporting and statistics; we also refer authors to [Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48175).

**Sample-size estimation**

* You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
* You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
* If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

**Replicates**

* You should report how often each experiment was performed
* You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
* The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
* If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
* Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
* High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

**Statistical reporting**

* Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
* Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
* For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
* Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

**Group allocation**

* Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
* Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis