

The myocardium utilizes a plateletderived growth factor receptor alpha (Pdgfra)–phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling cascade to steer toward the midline during zebrafish heart tube formation

Rabina Shrestha, Tess McCann, Harini Saravanan, Jaret Lieberth, Prashanna Koirala, Joshua Bloomekatz*

Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, University, United States

Abstract Coordinated cell movement is a fundamental process in organ formation. During heart development, bilateral myocardial precursors collectively move toward the midline (cardiac fusion) to form the primitive heart tube. Extrinsic influences such as the adjacent anterior endoderm are known to be required for cardiac fusion. We previously showed however, that the platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (Pdgfra) is also required for cardiac fusion (Bloomekatz et al., 2017). Nevertheless, an intrinsic mechanism that regulates myocardial movement has not been elucidated. Here, we show that the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) intracellular signaling pathway has an essential intrinsic role in the myocardium directing movement toward the midline. In vivo imaging further reveals midline-oriented dynamic myocardial movements are misdirected and slower. Moreover, we find that PI3K activity is dependent on and interacts with Pdgfra to regulate myocardial movement. Together our findings reveal an intrinsic myocardial steering mechanism that responds to extrinsic cues during the initiation of cardiac development.

*For correspondence: josh@olemiss.edu

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 18

Preprinted: 03 January 2023 Received: 13 January 2023 Accepted: 02 November 2023 Published: 03 November 2023

Reviewing Editor: Richard P Harvey, Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute, Australia

© Copyright Shrestha et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Editor's evaluation

This is a valuable study that shows the involvement of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling downstream of platelet-derived growth factor receptor α in latero-medial migration of cardiomyocytes during the formation of the early heart tube during zebrafish development. The authors provide convincing evidence for the role of PI3K in cardiomyocyte migration using multiple PI3K inhibitory drugs, expression of a dominant negative PI3K subunit, and rescue of the Pdgfaa ligand over-expression phenotype using mild PI3K inhibition, approaches which show strong alignment and which are quantified using live imaging. The demonstration of cardiomyocyte protrusions biased in the direction of migration, and randomised after PI3K inhibition, is a promising area for future exploration.

Introduction

During organogenesis, cell progenitor populations often need to move from their origin of specification to a new location in order to form a functional organ. Deficient or inappropriate movement can

CC

Developmental Biology

underlie congenital defects and disease. Directing these movements can involve extrinsic factors such as chemical and mechanical cues from neighboring tissues and the local environment as well as intrinsic mechanisms such as intracellular signaling and polarized protrusions (*Schumacher, 2019*). Progenitor cell movement occurs during cardiac development, where myocardial cells are specified bilaterally on either side of the embryo (*Stainier et al., 1993*). To form a single heart that is centrally located, these bilateral populations must move to the midline and merge (*Wilens, 1955; Rawles, 1936*). As they move, myocardial cells undergo a mesenchymal-to-epithelial (MET) transition forming intercellular junctions and subsequently moving together as an epithelial collective (*Trinh and Stainier, 2004; Jackson et al., 2017; Dominguez et al., 2023; Linask, 1992; Holtzman et al., 2007*). This process is known as cardiac fusion and occurs in all vertebrates (*Davidson et al., 2005; Evans et al., 2010*).

External influence from the adjacent endoderm is essential for the collective movement of myocardial cells toward the midline. Mutations in zebrafish and mice which inhibit endoderm specification or disrupt endoderm morphogenesis result in cardia bifida – a phenotype in which the bilateral myocardial populations fail to merge (Holtzman et al., 2007; Alexander et al., 1999; Kupperman et al., 2000; Osborne et al., 2008; Kawahara et al., 2009; Kikuchi et al., 2001; Mendelson et al., 2015; Molkentin et al., 1997; Ye and Lin, 2013; Li et al., 2004; Yelon et al., 1999). Similar phenotypes also occur in chicks and rats when the endoderm is mechanically disrupted (Goss, 1935; Rosenquist, 1970; Varner and Taber, 2012). Studies simultaneously observing endoderm and myocardial movement have found a correlation between the movements of these two tissues, suggesting a model in which the endoderm provides the mechanical force that pulls myocardial cells toward the midline (Varner and Taber, 2012; Cui et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2015; Aleksandrova et al., 2015). Yet, these correlations do not occur at all stages of cardiac fusion, indicating that myocardial cells may also use intrinsic mechanisms to actively move toward the midline. Indeed, recent studies revealing a role for the receptor tyrosine kinase, platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (Pdgfra) in the movement of myocardial cells have suggested a paracrine chemotaxis model, in which the myocardium senses chemokine signals from the endoderm and responds to them (Bloomekatz et al., 2017). However, the existence and identity of these intrinsic myocardial mechanisms remain to be fully elucidated.

We have sought to identify the intracellular pathways downstream of Pdgfra that regulate the collective movement of the myocardium. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway is known as an intracellular signaling mediator of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs; e.g. Pdgfra). During PI3K signaling, PI3K phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP2) to create phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3), which recruits pleckstrin homology (PH)-domain containing proteins and regulates many cellular processes including proliferation and cell migration (*Fruman et al., 2017*). Both individualistic cell migration such as in *Dictyostelium* and neutrophils (*lijima and Devreotes, 2002; Yoo et al., 2010*) and collective cell migration such as in the movement of border cells in *Drosophila* and the movement of the anterior visceral endoderm during mouse gastrulation (*Ghiglione et al., 2018; Bloomekatz et al., 2012*) have been shown to be regulated by PI3K signaling.

Using the advantages of external development and ease of live imaging in the zebrafish model system (*Shrestha et al., 2020*), our studies reveal that myocardial PI3K signaling is required for proper directional movement toward the midline during cardiac fusion. In particular, we find that inhibition of the PI3K pathway, throughout the embryo or only in the myocardium, results in bilateral cardiomyocyte populations that fail to reach the midline (cardia bifida) or have only partially merged by the time wild-type myocardial cells are fully merged. High-resolution live imaging in combination with mosaic labeling further reveals that the orientation of myocardial membrane protrusions during cardiac fusion. Altogether our work supports a model by which intrinsic Pdgfra–PI3K signaling regulates the formation of membrane protrusions, facilitating the collective movement of the myocardium toward the midline. Insight into the balance of extrinsic and intrinsic influences for directing collective movement of myocardial cells has implications for understanding a wide set of congenital and environmental cardiac defects as well as the pathogenic mechanisms of diseases broadly associated with collective movement.

Results The PI3K pathway is required for proper cardiac fusion

In a search for intracellular signaling pathways that are important for cardiac fusion, we examined the PI3K signaling pathway by pharmacological inhibition of PI3K activity with LY294002 (LY) (Vlahos et al., 1994). Treatments were started at bud stage (10 hours post-fertilization – hpf), in order to exclude effects on mesodermal cells during gastrulation (Montero et al., 2003). In wild-type or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated embryos, bilateral myocardial populations move toward the midline and merge to form a ring structure between 20 and 21 hpf, which corresponds to the 20–22 somite stage (s) (Figure 1A, A', F, G). However, in embryos treated with 15–25 µM LY myocardial movement is disrupted and the bilateral myocardial populations fail to properly merge by 22s (Figure 1B, B', F, G, Figure 1—figure supplement 1A–C, M). To ensure our analysis of cardiac fusion phenotypes was not complicated by a developmental delay, we used developmentally stage-matched embryos (somite stage) rather than time-matched embryos (hpf) (see Figure 1—figure supplement 2 for an analysis using time-matched embryos).

LY targets class I PI3K complexes, which is useful since class I complexes are known to be functionally redundant (Jean and Kiger, 2014; Juss et al., 2012). However, at higher concentrations than required for inhibiting class I PI3K complexes, LY has also been shown to inhibit non-PI3K complexes (off-targets) (Gharbi et al., 2007). To exclude the possibility that LY-induced cardiac fusion phenotypes result from off-target artifacts, we exposed bud stage embryos to two other PI3K inhibitors, Dactolisib (Dac) or Pictilisib (Pic) (Raynaud et al., 2009; Folkes et al., 2008; Maira et al., 2008). Exposure to these PI3K inhibitors (20–50 µM) causes cardiac fusion defects (Figure 1A–D, A'–D', F, G, Figure 1 figure supplement 1D-I, N, O) and corresponding reductions in PI3K activity, as measured by the ratio of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT) to AKT (Figure 1H, Figure 1-figure supplement 1Q-R). AKT is phosphorylated as a direct consequence of PI3K activity (Alessi et al., 1996). Despite the increased specificity of Dac and Pic, all three inhibitors (Dac, Pic, and LY) have been shown to also inhibit mTOR (Folkes et al., 2008; Maira et al., 2008; Brunn et al., 1996), as well as class I PI3K complexes. Thus, we directly examined a role for mTOR in cardiac fusion. Incubating embryos from bud stage to 22s with rapamycin, an inhibitor of mTOR (Heitman et al., 1991), at multiple concentrations did not affect cardiac fusion (Figure 1—figure supplement 3A-F), even though mTOR activity as measured by S6 phosphorylation (Holz and Blenis, 2005) was dramatically reduced (Figure 1-figure supplement 3G). Furthermore, using a non-pharmacological approach we found that mRNA injection of a truncated form of p85, which acts as a dominant negative inhibitor of PI3K (dnPI3K) activity (Carballada et al., 2001) also caused cardiac fusion defects (Figure 1E, E', F, G, Figure 1—figure supplement 1J-L, P, S). Thus, inhibition of PI3K activity with LY, Dac, Pic, and dominant-negative p85 mRNA, but not mTOR causes cardiac fusion defects.

We next characterized the morphology of the cardiac ring in PI3K-inhibited embryos and the cellular processes known to be regulated by PI3K signaling. During the later stages of cardiac fusion, myocardial cells develop epithelial polarity in which proteins such as ZO1 form intercellular junctions between myocardial cells (Trinh and Stainier, 2004; Jackson et al., 2017). During this time, the myocardium also moves deeper into the tissue eventually residing ventral to the endoderm in a process known as subduction (Ye et al., 2015) and it forms a contiguous second dorsal layer (Figure 1-figure supplement 4A-C, arrows). In PI3K-inhibited embryos, we found that myocardial cells form this second dorsal layer however, the localization of polarity markers and the tissue organization can appear mildly disorganized (Figure 1—figure supplement 4D-F). The PI3K signaling pathway is known to promote cell proliferation and cell survival (Fruman et al., 2017) however, we did not find a difference in the number of cardiomyocytes (Figure 1—figure supplement 4G-I) nor in the number of cardiomyocytes in S-phase as measured by EdU incorporation (Figure 1-figure supplement 4J-L) in PI3K-inhibited embryos at 20s compared to DMSO-treated embryos. Similarly, no apoptotic cardiomyocytes were observed in DMSO- nor in 20 μ M LY-treated embryos (*Figure 1—figure supplement 4M–P*, n = 17, 19 embryos, respectively, from three biological replicates), despite apoptosis being observed in DNAsetreated controls (Figure 1—figure supplement 40, P).

We further investigated whether increasing PI3K signaling affects cardiac fusion, by examining *ptena*, *ptenb* mutants. Pten, a lipid phosphatase, opposes PI3K function by converting PIP3 to PIP2 (*Fruman et al., 2017*). Homozygous *ptena^{-/-}* mutants, along with double homozygous *ptena^{-/-}*, *ptenb^{-/-}* mutants did not show defects in cardiac fusion (*Figure 1—figure supplement 5A–C, J*).



Figure 1. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway is required for cardiac fusion. Dorsal views, anterior to the top, of the myocardium labeled with myl7 (**A**–**E**) at 22 somite stage (s) or Tg(myl7:egfp) (**A'**–**E'**) at 20s. In contrast to a ring of myocardial cells in DMSO-treated embryos (**A**, **A'**), in embryos treated with PI3K inhibitors LY294002 (LY, **B**, **B'**), Dactolisib (Dac, **C**, **C'**), or Pictilisib (Pic, **D**, **D'**) at bud stage or injected with dnPl3K mRNA (750 pg) at the one-cell stage (**E**, **E'**) cardiac fusion fails to occur properly with embryos displaying either cardia bifida (**B**, **C**) or fusion only at the posterior end (**D**, **E**). Graphs depict the percentage (**F**) and range (**G**) of cardiac fusion defects in control and PI3K-inhibited embryos. Dots represent the percent of embryos with cardiac defects per biological replicate. Total embryos analyzed n = 37 (DMSO), 31 (20 µM LY), 39 (40 µM Dac), 38 (50 µM Pic), and 86 (dnPl3K). Blue – cardiac ring/normal; orange – fusion only at posterior end/mild phenotype, red – cardia bifida/severe phenotype. (**H**) Representative immunoblot and ratiometric analysis of phosphorylated Akt (pAkt) to Akt protein levels in DMSO- and LY-treated embryos reveals a dose-dependent decrease in PI3K activation. Bar graphs indicate mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM), dots indicate pAKT/AKT ratio per biological replicate, normalized to DMSO. Three biological replicates per treatment. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests – letter changes indicate differences of p < 0.05 (**F**, **H**). Scale bars, 40 µm (**A'–E'**). Raw data and full p-values included in the source file.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 1F, H.

Source data 2. Original immunoblots used in Figure 1H (raw, uncropped) with and without labeling.

Figure supplement 1. The penetrance and severity of cardiac fusion defects in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos is dose dependent.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 1—figure supplement 1M–O, P–S.

Figure 1 continued on next page

eLife Research advance

Figure 1 continued

Figure supplement 1—source data 2. Original immunoblots used in *Figure 1—figure supplement 1Q–S* (raw, uncropped) with and without labeling.
Figure supplement 2. LY incubation results in trunk extension and somite formation delays.
Figure supplement 2—source data 1. Statistical source data for *Figure 1—figure supplement 2C, F, I*.
Figure supplement 3—source data 1. Statistical source data for *Figure 1—figure supplement 3F, G*.
Figure supplement 3—source data 2. Original immunoblots used in *Figure 1—figure supplement 3G* (raw, uncropped) with and without labeling.
Figure supplement 4. Morphology and proliferation in the myocardium are not compromised in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos.
Figure supplement 5. Loss of Pten, an antagonist of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) activity, causes cardiac fusion defects.
Figure supplement 6. Inhibition of Pten activity with VO-OHpic increases pAkt and causes cardiac fusion defects.
Figure supplement 6. Source data 1. Statistical source data for *Figure 1—figure supplement 5F*.
Figure supplement 6. Source data 2. Original immunoblots used in *Figure 1—figure supplement 5F*.
Figure supplement 5. Loss of Pten, an antagonist of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) activity, causes cardiac fusion defects.
Figure supplement 6. Inhibition of Pten activity with VO-OHpic increases pAkt and causes cardiac fusion defects.
Figure supplement 6—source data 1. Statistical source data for *Figure 1—figure supplement 6F*.
Figure supplement 6—source data 2. Original immunoblots used in *Figure 1—figure supplement 6F*.

However, maternal contribution of *Pten* has been reported to persist till at least 60 hpf (*Choora-poikayil et al., 2013*; *Faucherre et al., 2008*), possibly explaining the lack of phenotype in these mutants. Indeed, cardiac fusion defects have been observed in *pten* mutant mouse embryos (*Bloome-katz et al., 2012*) and we found that inhibiting Pten in zebrafish embryos from bud stage to 22s with the small molecule Pten inhibitor VO-OHpic (*Rosivatz et al., 2006*) does result in cardiac fusion defects, along with increased PI3K activity (*Figure 1—figure supplement 6*). Furthermore, adding a sub-phenotypic dose of VO-OHpic (*Figure 1—figure supplement 5D–F*) to double homozygous *ptena^{-/-}*, *ptenb^{-/-}* mutant embryos to address the role of the remaining maternal contribution also results in cardiac fusion defects (*Figure 1—figure supplement 5G–J*, Fisher's test p-value 8.21E–06). These findings reveal that loss-of-Pten which causes increased PIP3 levels results in cardiac fusion defects and together with our PI3K inhibition experiments indicate that appropriate levels of PI3K signaling are required for proper cardiac fusion.

The extent and duration of PI3K inhibition determine the penetrance and severity of cardiac fusion defects

PI3K-inhibited embryos display cardiac phenotypes at 22s that range from severe, in which the myocardial populations remain entirely separate (cardia bifida) (*Figure 1G* – red; examples – *Figure 1—figure supplement 1C, F, I, L*), to more mildly affected hearts in which the myocardial populations form a U-shaped structure, having merged at the posterior but not anterior end (*Figure 1G* – orange; examples *Figure 1—figure supplement 1B, E, H, K*). A subset of the PI3K-inhibited embryos also appear phenotypically normal (~25% for 20 µM LY, *Figure 1F, G*) indicating incomplete penetrance. However, increasing the concentration of PI3K inhibitor or dnPI3K mRNA increases the severity and penetrance of these phenotypes in a dose-dependent manner (*Figure 1—figure 1H*). Similarly, we confirmed that LY inhibits PI3K activity in a dose-dependent manner (*Figure 1H*). Thus, the severity and penetrance of cardiac fusion defects dependent on the efficacy of PI3K inhibition.

Since differing modes of movement (*Holtzman et al., 2007*) and cellular processes such as MET (*Trinh and Stainier, 2004*; *Jackson et al., 2017*) and subduction (*Ye et al., 2015*) occur at distinct developmental stages during cardiac fusion, we evaluated the developmental stages over which PI3K signaling is required. Short exposures (<3 hr) just prior to 22s or starting at bud stage had no effect on cardiac fusion. However, progressively longer times of exposure ending at 22s or starting at bud stage result in correspondingly more severe phenotypes and higher penetrance (*Figure 2A, B*). These addition and wash-out experiments indicate that both the severity and penetrance of cardiac fusion phenotypes correlate with the duration of LY incubation and not a specific developmental stage inside the 3–20s window. Thus, the translocation of the myocardium toward the midline is responsive to both the levels and duration of PI3K signaling throughout cardiac fusion.



Figure 2. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) is required in the myocardium throughout cardiac fusion. Graphical representation of the PI3K inhibitor addition (**A**) and wash-out (**B**) experiments used to determine the developmental stage over which PI3K is required. In (**A**) LY is added to embryos at different developmental stages and incubated until 22s, when cardiac fusion is assessed. In (**B**), LY is added at bud stage and washed-out at different developmental stages, after which embryos are incubated in normal media till 22s, when cardiac fusion is assessed. Bar graphs indicate the average proportion of embryos displaying different phenotypes. Blue – cardiac ring/normal; orange – fusion only at posterior end/mild phenotype, red – cardia bifida/severe phenotype. n = 45 embryos per treatment condition from three biological replicates. (**C**) Schematic outlines experimental design to test requirement for PI3K in the myocardium. Pink – cells with the *Tg(myl7:dnPI3K*) transgene. F0 animals are mosaic for the transgene, while all cells in F1 embryos either have the transgene (pink) or do not (white). The *myl7* promoter restricts *dnPI3K* expression to the myocardium in *Tg(myl7:dnPI3K*) embryos without the *Tg(myl7:dnPI3K*) transgene (as determined by genotyping) display normal cardiac fusion (D–G, n = 23/24, 16/16, 16/16, 16/16, per founder pair), while F1 siblings with the *Tg(myl7:dnPI3K*) transgene display cardiac fusion defects (D'–G', n = 6/6, 13/13, 11/11, 13/13), indicating that PI3K signaling is required in myocardial cells. (**H**) Graph indicating the average % of wild-type and *Tg(myl7:dnPI3K*)+ embryos with cardiac fusion defects. Letter difference indicates a significant Fisher's exact test, $p = 5.56 \times 10^{-31}$. Scale bar, 40 µm.

The online version of this article includes the following video, source data, and figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 2.

Figure supplement 1. The morphology of endoderm is not compromised in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 2—figure supplement 1D, H.

Figure supplement 2. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) activity in myocardial cells.

Figure 2 continued on next page

Figure 2 continued

Figure supplement 2—source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 2—figure supplement 2C-E.

Figure 2—video 1. PH-mkate2 is localized asymmetrically at the membrane of myocardial cells in DMSO-treated embryos, but is found in the cytoplasm and subcellular organelles in LY-treated embryos.

https://elifesciences.org/articles/85930/figures#fig2video1

PI3K signaling is required in the myocardium for proper cardiac fusion

Mutations affecting the specification or morphology of the anterior endoderm result in myocardial movement defects (*Kupperman et al., 2000*; *Ye and Lin, 2013*; *Osborne et al., 2008*; *Fukui et al., 2014*), revealing a non-autonomous role for the anterior endoderm in cardiac fusion. However, when PI3K signaling is inhibited with 15 or 25 μ M LY starting at bud stage we did not observe differences in the expression of endoderm markers such as axial/foxa2 or Tg(sox17:egfp) compared to DMSO-treated embryos (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A–C, E–G*). A detailed examination of the anterior endoderm morphology in PI3K-inhibited embryos revealed it was intact and contiguous similar to DMSO-treated embryos (*Figure 2—figure supplement 11, J*) as was the average anterior endoderm width (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1D, H*). Thus, cardiac fusion defects in PI3K-inhibited embryos are unlikely to be due to changes in the anterior endoderm.

To determine if PI3K signaling is specifically required within the myocardium, as opposed to the endoderm, we created a myocardial-specific dominant negative transgenic construct, Tg(myl7:d-nPI3K). Our experimental design is outlined in **Figure 2C**. In F1 embryos at 22s, derived from incrosses between Tg(myl7:dnPI3K) mosaic F0 animals, we observed embryos with normal cardiac rings and embryos with cardiac fusion defects (**Figure 2D–G'**). Genotyping revealed that F1 embryos with normal cardiac rings (**Figure 2D–G**) did not have the transgene (n = 71/71), while almost all sibling embryos with cardiac fusion defects (**Figure 2D–G'**) were positive for the Tg(myl7:dnPI3K) transgene (n = 43/44). And all embryos with the Tg(myl7:dnPI3K) transgene have a cardiac fusion defect (**Figure 2H**). (F1 embryos from four independent founder pairs were analyzed. Stable transgenics could not be propagated due to loss of viability, likely due to a requirement for PI3K signaling in cardiac contraction at later stages; **Crackower et al., 2002**.) Statistical analysis reveals that the Tg(myl7:dnPI3K) transgene is significantly associated with a cardiac fusion defect (Fisher's test p = 5.56×10^{-31}).

We further confirmed that PI3K signaling is active in the myocardium during cardiac fusion by using a PH domain reporter (**Balla and Várnai, 2009**). Fluorophores fused to PH-domains from PIP3-binding proteins translocate to the membrane when PIP3 is induced. For example, the PH-domain from BTK fused to mkate2 localizes to the region of the plasma membrane where PI3K is actively creating PIP3 (**Hall et al., 2020**). By expressing this reporter (*myl7:PH-mkate2*) mosaically in the myocardium, we found that PH-mkate2 was enriched asymmetrically at intercellular plasma membrane boundaries in DMSO-treated embryos at 20s (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2A–A''', C–E, Figure 2—video 1A**). However, in PI3K-inhibited embryos PH-mkate2 was localized diffusely throughout the cytoplasm or in subcellular compartments (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2B–E, Figure 2—video 1B**). Combined with our tissue-specific inhibition of PI3K signaling these findings suggest that PI3K signaling acts within the myocardium to regulate its movement during cardiac fusion.

PI3K signaling is responsible for the steering and velocity of myocardial movements during cardiac fusion

Our analysis points to a role for PI3K signaling in the movement of myocardial cells. To identify the properties of myocardial movement regulated by PI3K signaling, we analyzed myocardial movement by performing in vivo time-lapse imaging with the *Tg(myl7:egfp)* transgene, which labels myocardial cells. A time-series using *hand2* expression reveals dramatic differences between DMSO- and LY-treated embryos in the translocation of the myocardium beginning after 12s (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1*). We thus focused our time-lapse imaging on the 14–20s developmental window. In time-lapse movies of DMSO-treated embryos, myocardial cells display coherent medially directed movement (*Figure 3A–B, E, Figure 3—figure supplement 2A–A''', Figure 3—video 1*) with an average velocity of 0.2334 ± 0.007 µm/min, which is consistent with previous studies (*Holtzman et al., 2007; Bloomekatz et al., 2017*). In PI3K-inhibited embryos myocardial cells also display coherent, coordinated movement and do move in the general direction of the midline, however they make



Figure 3. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling regulates the medial movement and speed of the myocardium during cardiac fusion. Time points from a representative video of myocardial cells visualized with the *Tg(myl7:egfp)* transgene in embryos treated with DMSO (**A**, **B**, *Figure 3—video 1*) or 20 μM LY (**C**, **D**, *Figure 3—video 2*) from bud stage to 22s. 3D reconstructions of confocal slices (**A**, **C**) reveal changes in conformation and location of the myocardium at three major stages of cardiac fusion: early medial movement toward the embryonic midline (**A–A'**, **C–C'**), posterior merging of bilateral populations (**A''**, **C''**) and anterior merging to form a ring (**A'''**, **C'''**). Representative tracks (**B**, **D**) show the paths of a subset of myocardial cells over ~2.5 hr. Yellow dots indicate the starting point of each track. Graphs depict average speed (**E**), efficiency index (**F**), and angle of movement (**G**, **H**) of myocardial cells. Angular movement along the anterior–posterior axis does not distinguish anterior from posterior movement (**G**, **H**). Myocardial cells in PI3K-inhibited embryos show an overall direction of movement that is angular (60–90°) and is slower than in DMSO-treated embryos. 96 and 125 cells were analyzed from five DMSO- and six 20 μM LY-treated embryos, respectively. Gray dots – individual cells; color squares – average per embryo. Average of embryos and standard error (shown in **E**, **F**, **H**). Two-sample t-test, letter change indicates p < 0.05. Scale bars, 60 μm. Quantification details in the methods. Raw data and full p-values included in the source file.

The online version of this article includes the following video, source data, and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Source data 1. Statistical source data for quantification of myocardial movement behaviors in Figure 3E-H.

Figure supplement 1. Myocardial movement toward the midline is disrupted in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos throughout cardiac fusion.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Statistical source data for distance between bilateral anterior lateral plate mesoderm (ALPM) populations, Figure 3—figure supplement 11.

Figure supplement 2. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling directs myocardial movement during the early stages of cardiac fusion and regulates velocity along the medial-lateral axis.

Figure supplement 2—source data 1. Statistical source data for quantification of myocardial movement separated by stages of movement and location, *Figure 3—figure supplement 2C–F*.

Figure 3—video 1. Myocardial cells in DMSO-treated embryos collectively move toward the midline and form a ring during cardiac fusion. https://elifesciences.org/articles/85930/figures#fig3video1

Figure 3—video 2. Myocardial cells in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos fail to move properly toward the midline. https://elifesciences.org/articles/85930/figures#fig3video2

Figure 3 continued on next page

Figure 3 continued

Figure 3—video 3. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling promotes the medial directional movement of myocardial cells toward the midline. https://elifesciences.org/articles/85930/figures#fig3video3

> dramatically less progress (*Figure 3C, D, Figure 3—figure supplement 2B–B''', Figure 3—video 2*). Quantitative analysis of these myocardial cell tracks reveals that myocardial cells are slower (0.1879 \pm 0.008 µm/min) and less efficient (*Figure 3E, F, Figure 3—video 3*). A close analysis of the differences in speed found that they occur throughout cardiac fusion (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2C*) and are mostly due to defective movement along the medial–lateral axis (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2E*) rather than defects in angular movement occurring along the anterior–posterior axis (*Figure 3—figure 3—fig*

> The most dramatic difference between PI3K-inhibited and DMSO-treated myocardial cells is in the direction of their movement. Tracks of myocardial cells in DMSO-treated embryos are predominately oriented in a medial direction (average of $31.1 \pm 1.65^{\circ}$), while tracks in LY-treated embryos are mostly oriented in an angular anterior direction ($60.6 \pm 1.73^{\circ}$, p-value = 2.77×10^{-12} , *Figure 3G, H*). These differences occur mainly in the early stages of cardiac fusion when wild-type myocardial movement is mostly medial (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2D*). Altogether, this analysis of myocardial cell tracks suggests that PI3K signaling is responsible for both steering and propelling myocardial cells toward the midline.

Myocardial membrane protrusions are medially polarized by PI3K signaling

The role of PI3K signaling in regulating the polarity of migratory protrusions in the dorsal epithelium of Drosophila embryos and in the prechordal plate of zebrafish embryos (Montero et al., 2003; Garlena et al., 2015) along with previous reports of the existence of myocardial membrane protrusions during heart tube formation (Dominguez et al., 2023; Ye et al., 2015) led us to look for these protrusions during cardiac fusion and to examine if they are disrupted in PI3K-inhibited embryos. To visualize membrane protrusions in the myocardium, we performed in vivo time-lapse imaging during cardiac fusion of embryos injected with myl7:lck-egfp plasmid DNA in order to mosaically label the plasma membrane of myocardial cells. Despite myocardial cells being connected via intercellular junctions (Trinh and Stainier, 2004; Bloomekatz et al., 2017), we observed that the lateral edges of myocardial cells in wild-type/DMSO-treated embryos are highly dynamic; transitioning from appearing smooth and coherent to undulating and extending finger-like membrane protrusions away from the cell (Figure 4A-A'''', Figure 4-video 1). These protrusions actively extend and retract, and are prevalent occurring on average 20.3 ± 6.7 times per hour per cell and lasting for an average of 2.3 ± 0.6 min (*Figure 4A*). We observed different types of protrusion morphologies, including thin finger-like protrusions and wide protrusions which have a wider base (Figure 4figure supplement 1A). In LY-treated embryos we observed similar types of membrane protrusions extending from myocardial cells (Figure 4B-B"", Figure 4-figure supplement 1B, Figure 4video 1). These protrusions occur at a similar rate to those found in DMSO-treated embryos (17 ± 7.4 per hour per cell, p-value = 0.36), but with slightly longer persistence $(3.23 \pm 0.84 \text{ min}, \text{ p-value})$ = 0.008).

We further observed that both types of membrane protrusions in DMSO-treated embryos occur predominantly in the medial direction (77.25 ± 21.76% of protrusions were in the forward/medial direction, *Figure 4A–A^{IIII}, C, D*, and *Figure 4—figure supplement 1C, D*), suggesting an association with the medial movement of the myocardial tissue. In contrast, in LY-treated embryos myocardial membrane protrusions do not display the same medial polarity, instead extending from all sides of a myocardial cell equally (only 46 ± 11.6% of protrusion were in the forward direction, *Figure 4B–B^{IIII}, C, D* and *Figure 4—figure supplement 1C, D*). The finding that myocardial membrane protrusions are medially polarized in wild-type embryos but not in PI3K-inhibited embryos where myocardial cells are misdirected and slower to reach the midline suggests that PI3K signaling helps to steer and propel myocardial cells toward the midline through the polarization of these active protrusions.



Figure 4. Myocardial membrane protrusions are misdirected in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos. (**A–B''''**) timepoints from representative videos (see *Figure 4—video 1*) of myocardial cells whose membrane has been labeled with *myl7*:lck-eGFP (black), medial to the right, in a DMSO- (**A–A''''**) or a 20 μ M LY- (**B–B''''**) treated embryo. Red arrowheads indicate representative protrusions, which are mostly oriented medially, coincident with the direction of movement in DMSO-treated embryos (**A–A''''**) but are oriented in all directions in LY-treated embryos (**B–B''''**). Rose (**C**) and bar (**D**) graphs displaying the orientation of membrane protrusions in DMSO- (left) or LY- (right) treated embryos. The length of each radial bar in (**C**) represents the percentage of protrusions: each bin. Bar graph displays the total percentage of forward or backward protrusions. Forward protrusions: 270–90°, pink. Backward protrusions: 90–270°, black. *n* = 425 protrusions from 11 cells in 5 embryos (DMSO), and 480 protrusions from 11 cells in 4 embryos (20 μ M LY). Fisher's exact test, p-value 1.8 × 10⁻⁵. Mean ± standard error. Scale bar, 30 μ m. Raw data included in the source file.

The online version of this article includes the following video, source data, and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. Statistical source data for quantification of myocardial protrusion properties.

Figure supplement 1. Different types of myocardial protrusion morphologies occur during cardiac fusion.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Statistical and raw source data for Figure 4—figure supplement 1C, D.

Figure 4—video 1. Dynamic medially oriented myocardial membrane protrusions are lacking in phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-inhibited embryos. https://elifesciences.org/articles/85930/figures#fig4video1

PI3K signaling is regulated by Pdgfra during cardiac fusion

We next investigated whether Pdgfra activates PI3K signaling to regulate myocardial movement. We found that PI3K activity as measured by the ratio of phospho-AKT to AKT levels (**Alessi et al., 1996**) is severely diminished in *pdgfra* mutant embryos during cardiac fusion (*Figure 5A*). Conversely, when Pdgfra activity is increased during cardiac fusion through the over-expression of *pdgfaa*, PI3K activity is upregulated (*Figure 5B*).

To determine if Pdgfra's influence on PI3K activity is important for myocardial movement toward the midline, we tested whether they functionally interact to regulate cardiac fusion. When *pdgfra* heterozygous mutant embryos are exposed to DMSO cardiac fusion occurs normally (*Figure 5D, F*), even though there is a small reduction in PI3K activity (*Figure 5A*). Similarly, in wild-type embryos exposed to 10 μ M LY, PI3K activity is modestly reduced (*Figure 1H*) and a small percent of embryos display mild cardiac fusion defects (average of 10.9 ± 7.39% of 10 μ M LY-treated embryos display mild U-shaped cardiac fusion defects, n = 36, 3 replicates, *Figure 5C, F*). However, when *pdgfra* heterozygous mutant embryos are exposed to 10 μ M LY, there is a synergistic increase in both the

Developmental Biology



Figure 5. Pdgfra activates and genetically interacts with phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling to regulate cardiac fusion. (**A**, **B**) Immunoblot and ratiometric analysis of phosphorylated Akt (pAkt) compared to total Akt levels reveals reduced pAkt levels in loss-of-function $pdgfra^{sk16}$ heterozygous (-/+) or homozygous (-/-) mutant embryos at 22s (**A**), and elevated pAkt levels at 22s when PDGF signaling is activated with the Tg(hs:pdgfaa) transgene (**B**). Bar graphs display averages from three separate experiments. (**C–E**, **G–I**) Dorsal views, anterior to the top, of the myocardium labeled with my/7 at 22s. In contrast to a normal ring of myocardial cells found in wild-type embryos treated with 10 µM LY starting at bud stage (**C**) or in *pdgfra* heterozygous embryos (**D**), when *pdgfra* heterozygous mutants are exposed to 10 µM LY, cardiac fusion is defective with embryos displaying severe phenotypes such as cardia bifida (**E**). Furthermore, the percent of cardiac fusion defects observed in Tg(hs:pdgfaa) embryos heat-shocked at bud stage (**H**) is greatly decreased when heat-shocked Tg(hs:pdgfaa) embryos. The total number of embryos examined over three separate replicates are 47 (DMSO, +/+), 25 (DMSO, -/+), 36 (10 µM LY, +/+), 31 (10 µM LY, -/+), 49 (heat-shock, DMSO, +/+), 57 (heat-shock, DMSO, Tg(hs:pdgfaa)). Blue – cardiac ring/normal; orange – fusion only at posterior end/mild, red – cardia bifida/severe. Bar graphs, mean \pm standard error. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (**A**, **F**, **J**) or Student's t-test (**B**), letter change indicates p < 0.05. Scale bar, 60 µm. Raw data and full p-values included in the source file.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 5:

Source data 1. Statistical source data for Figure 5A, B, F, J.

Source data 2. Original immunoblots used in Figure 5A, B (raw, uncropped) with and without labeling.

severity and penetrance of cardiac fusion defects. 100% of *pdgfra* heterozygous embryos exposed to 10 µM LY display cardiac fusion defects, with the majority of embryos displaying severe cardia bifida phenotypes (*Figure 5E, F*). Furthermore, we found that cardiac fusion defects caused by exogenous expression of *pdgfaa* (*Figure 5H, J, Bloomekatz et al., 2017*), which causes increased PI3K activity (*Figure 5B*), could be rescued by a sub-phenotypic reduction in PI3K activity (*Figure 5I, J*). Together these results suggest that PDGF signaling activates PI3K activity to promote myocardial movement toward the midline.

Discussion

Our studies reveal an intrinsic PI3K-dependent mechanism by which the myocardium moves toward the midline during the formation of the primitive heart tube. Together with our previous studies revealing a role for the PDGF pathway in facilitating communication between the endoderm and myocardium (*Bloomekatz et al., 2017*), our current work suggests a model in which Pdgfra in the myocardium senses signals (PDGF ligands) from the endoderm and via the PI3K pathway directs

myocardial movement toward the midline through the production of medially oriented membrane protrusions. While genetic and imaging studies in zebrafish and mice (*Trinh and Stainier, 2004; Kupperman et al., 2000; Molkentin et al., 1997; Ye and Lin, 2013; Cui et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2015; Aleksandrova et al., 2015; Garavito-Aguilar et al., 2010; Arrington and Yost, 2009)* along with embryological studies in chicks and rats (*Goss, 1935; Rosenquist, 1970; Varner and Taber, 2012; Moreno-Rodriguez et al., 2006*) have identified the importance of extrinsic influences – such as the endoderm and extracellular matrix, on myocardial movement to the midline, our studies using tissue-specific techniques identifies an active role for myocardial cells, providing insight into the balance of intrinsic and extrinsic influences that regulate the collective movement of the myocardial tissue during heart tube formation.

Specifically, we found a requirement for PI3K signaling in cardiac fusion. By examining both loss-of-PI3K activity (*Figure 1*) and gain-of-PI3K activity through loss-of-*pten* (*Figure 1—figure supplements* **5** and 6) our studies indicate that appropriate PIP3 levels are required for the proper movement of cardiomyocytes to the midline. This analysis is complemented by previous studies in mice examining *Pten* mutants (*Bloomekatz et al., 2012*). Our spatial and temporal experiments further reveal a requirement for PI3K activity specifically in the myocardium and throughout the duration of cardiac fusion (*Figure 2*). Furthermore, the lack of phenotype caused by inhibition of mTOR (*Figure 1—figure supplement 3*) suggests that mTOR and its downstream signals are unlikely to be important PI3K effectors in regulating cardiomyocyte movement.

When we examined the cellular behaviors affected by loss of PI3K signaling we observed a mild disorganization of myocardial intercellular junctions (Figure 1-figure supplement 4A-F). This finding is consistent with previous studies linking epithelial polarity to PI3K signaling (Krahn, 2020). However, myocardial cells defective in apical-basal polarity still form a cardiac ring (Horne-Badovinac et al., 2001; Rohr et al., 2006), suggesting that an apical-basal defect is unlikely to be the primary reason for myocardial movement defects. Instead, our studies showing that PI3K-inhibited myocardial cells move slower and are misdirected during the early stages of cardiac fusion indicate a role for PI3K signaling in the steering of myocardial movements medially toward the midline. Specifically, we found that DMSO-treated myocardial cells in our study display an average direction of $31.1 \pm$ 1.65° compared to PI3K-inhibited embryos which display an average of $60.6 \pm 1.73^{\circ}$. Our wild-type tracks results are grossly similar to previous published studies (Holtzman et al., 2007; Bloomekatz et al., 2017). Differences in the extent of the medial orientation between these studies are likely due to experimental variations in normalization used to account for drift as well as small differences in the developmental stages over which cells were tracked. Our finding that steering in PI3K-inhibited embryos is perturbed in the early stages of cardiac fusion is consistent with the previous identification of different types of myocardial movement during different stages of cardiac fusion (Holtzman et al., 2007) and suggests that PI3K signaling could be part of a distinct molecular mechanism that drives these early medial phases of myocardial movement. Loss-of-function pdgfra mutants, like PI3Kinhibited embryos, also display defects in the directional movement of myocardial cells (Bloomekatz et al., 2017), although the phenotype in pdgfra mutants can be more severe. These differences in severity could be a result of differences in the extent of loss-of-function and/or differences in the ability of other genes to compensate. Indeed, our interaction studies (Figure 5) suggest that Pdgfra and PI3K signaling work together to regulate cardiac fusion.

Myocardial membrane protrusions during cardiac fusion were postulated by De Haan et al. in 1967 as a mechanism by which myocardial cells move toward the midline (**DeHaan, 1967**). Using mosaic membrane labeling of myocardial cells to visualize membrane protrusions, we have observed myocardial membrane protrusions that are oriented in the medial direction in a PI3K-dependent manner, confirming De Haan's hypothesis. These studies are complemented by previous studies in zebrafish which observed myocardial protrusions prior to and after cardiac fusion (**Ye et al., 2015**; **Rohr et al., 2008**) as well as recent studies in the mice (**Dominguez et al., 2023**) indicating that these cellular processes are likely conserved. Myocardial membrane protrusions have been discovered during trabeculation (**Staudt et al., 2014**), cardiac regeneration (**Morikawa et al., 2015**; **Aharonov et al., 2020**) and during the muscularization of the outflow tract (**van den Hoff et al., 1999**). Indeed, similar observations of PI3K signaling orienting and stimulating protrusion formation in migrating Dictyostelium and neutrophil cells as well as in the collective movement of endothelial tip cells, the prechordal plate, and the dorsal epithelium (*lijima and Devreotes, 2002; Yoo et al., 2010; Montero et al., 2003; Garlena et al., 2015; Dumortier et al., 2012; Graupera et al., 2008*) support a conserved role for PI3K signaling in regulating protrusion formation.

However, the question of how active membrane protrusions facilitate the collective medial movement of the myocardium to the midline remains to be addressed. Our studies indicate that directionality and to a lesser extent velocity and efficiency are compromised, when membrane protrusions are improperly oriented in PI3K-inhibited embryos (*Figure 3*). These observations could suggest that the observed membrane protrusions are force generating, similar to protrusions from leader cells in the lateral line or in endothelial and tracheal tip cells (*Caussinus et al., 2008; Dalle Nogare et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2021*). Alternatively, these protrusions could act more like filopodia sensing extrinsic signals and the extracellular environment (*Heckman and Plummer, 2013*). Future studies examining myocardial protrusions and their role in the biomechanical dynamics of the myocardium will help to elucidate the role of membrane protrusions in the collective movement of the myocardium during cardiac fusion.

Our studies utilize small pharmacological molecules to investigate the role of PI3K signaling in cardiac fusion (*Figure 1*). These inhibitors have been widely used and have several advantages including the ability to target multiple class I PI3K complexes which are known to compensate for each other (*Juss et al., 2012*), and the ability to avoid earlier pleiotropic effects by temporally restricting their usage (*Montero et al., 2003*; *Leslie et al., 2007*). Nevertheless, small molecule inhibitors can also affect non-targeted proteins resulting in off-target phenotypic artifacts. We have tried to mitigate these limitations by utilizing multiple different PI3K inhibitors (*Figure 1B–D*), ensuring a dose–response (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A–I, N, O*), directly testing the role of known off-targets (*Figure 1—figure supplement 3*) and utilizing a dominant-negative construct (*Figure 1E, Figure 1—figure supplement 1J–L, P*). However, these complementary experiments have their own limitations and thus we are unable to completely rule-out the role of a combinatorial inhibitory effect on cardiac fusion. We look forward to the creation of a genetic model that will help verify our findings.

Overall, our studies delineate a role for the PDGF-PI3K pathway in the mechanisms by which myocardial precursors sense and respond to extracellular signals to move into a position to form the heart. These mechanisms are likely relevant to other organ progenitors including endothelial precursors, endodermal progenitors, and neuromasts; all of which must move from their location of specification to a different location for organ formation. Although varying in their morphogenesis, many of these movements are collective in nature. Indeed, a similar Pdgfra-PI3K signaling cassette is important in the collective directional migration of several organ progenitors including the migration of mesoderm and neural crest cells (Montero et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2008; McCarthy et al., 2013; Bahm et al., 2017; Symes and Mercola, 1996; Klinghoffer et al., 2002; He and Soriano, 2013; Nagel and Winklbauer, 2018). RTK-PI3K pathways are also important across several cardiac developmental processes, including epicardial development, cardiac neural crest addition, cardiomyocyte growth, cardiac fibroblast movement, and cardiomyocyte contraction (Crackower et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2010; Sato et al., 2011; Ivey et al., 2019; McMullen et al., 2003; Shioi et al., 2000). Similarly, PDGF-PI3K and more generally RTK-PI3K signaling cassettes are activated in several diseases including glioblastomas, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, and cardiac fibrosis (Wang et al., 1997; Cheng et al., 2009; Fan et al., 2014; Lennartsson et al., 2005). Thus, the role of this RTK-PI3K cassette in sensing and responding to extracellular signals is likely to be broadly relevant to the etiology of a wide array of developmental processes as well as congenital diseases.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

eLife Research advance

Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Typey 7: eGP PP ⁻⁰⁴ Huang et al., 2005 Status CENC OSS80:10 Transgenic Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Types 77.0e GPP ¹⁻⁰⁴ Masogueht et al., 2017 Status 27.0e COSS 2017 Transgenic Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Types 77.0e GPP ¹⁻⁰⁴ Bioomekatz et al., 2017 Status 27.0e COSS 2018 Transgenic Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Types 77.0e GPP ¹⁻⁰⁴ Bioomekatz et al., 2018 Status 27.0e COSS 2018 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) tet al., 2007 Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) tet al., 2008 Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) tet al., 2008 Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Typey 77.0e Amp(St; Cryaz CPP) This paper Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Typey 77.0e Amp(St; Cryaz CPP) This paper Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Typey 77.0e Amp(St; Cryaz CPP) This paper Transgenic, 2008 Mutant Genetic reagent (Damo rend) Typey 77.0e Amp(St; Cryaz CPP) This paper Transgenic, 20	Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (Dunio rend)Taglax 17:eGRPPMMileguedh et al., 2008Mill CEN C.0017/42-0TransgenicGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)ref (pdg1rs ²⁴)Bloomekatz et al., 20172018-ALI-17029-1MutantGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)paralitikBloomekatz et al., 2017LDB-ALI-17029-1MutantGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)paralitikFaucherre et al., 2008DUB-ALI-10029/10-1MutantGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)paralitikFaucherre et al., 2008DUB-ALI-10029/10-1MutantGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)Tg/my/7.ch/PJ3K, C.you.CFP)This paperTransgenic, see Materials and methodsGenetic reagent (Dunio rend)Tg/my/7.ch/PJ3K, C.you.CFP)This paperZBB-GENE-990101-3mRNA probeChemical compound, dunio PuffJg/my/7.ch/PJ3K, C.you.CFP)This paperZBB-GENE-99010-3mRNA probeChemical compound, dunio PuffL294002Millipore-SigmaCatl 910910-457TransgenicChemical compound, dunio PuffeDatoliabiMillipore-Sigm	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	Tg(myl7: eGFP) ^{twu34}	Huang et al., 2003	twu34; RRID: ZFIN_ZDB- GENO-050809-10	Transgenic
Genetic reagent (Danio met/exposure CPPPPH Bloomekate et al. 2017 2018-L1 (10329-1) Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio errol) nef (adgfra ⁴⁴) Sloomekate et al. 2017 2018-L1 (10329-1) Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio errol) jetral ⁴⁴⁴ Sucherre et al. 2008 DuBAsi, 2008-L1 (0329-1) Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio errol) jetral ⁴⁴⁴³ Sucherre et al. 2008 DuBAsi, 2008-L1 (0329-1) Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio errol) jetral ⁴⁴⁴³ Sucherre et al. 2008 DuBAsi, 2008-L1 (0009) Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio errol) jetral ⁴⁴⁷³ Charlen (1997) Transgeric: see Muteriale and methods Genetic reagent (Danio errol) jetral/1244: et al., 1999 ZDB GENE-99019-3 mRNA probe Cher atal Carbollade et al., 2000 ZDB GENE-9019-3 mRNA probe Cher atal Strähfe et al., 2009 ZDB GENE-9019-3 mRNA probe Cher atal Carbollade Carl 14447-36-5 - Cher bacdis Millpore-Sigm Carl 14447-36-5 - Chernical compound, duo Detolab Nobley or Millade	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	Tg(sox17:eGFP) ^{ha01}	Mizoguchi et al., 2008	ha01; RRID: ZFIN_ZDB- GENO-080714-2	Transgenic
Granic regent (Danio eric) ref (pdgfa ^{min}) Bloomskat end 2008 ZDB ALT 10329-1 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Southerme et al. DuB ALT 000010-1 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Southerme et al. DuB ALT 000010-1 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} File space Transgenic, see Materials and Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} This paper Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} This paper Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Transgenic, see Materials and Methods Genetic reagent (Danio eric) ptend ^{1-vani} ptend ^{1-vani}	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	Tg(hsp70l:pdgfaa-2A- mCherry;cryaa:CFP) ^{sd44}	Bloomekatz et al., 2017	sd44; ZDB-ALT-170425-5	Transgenic
Genetic reagent (Danio prend ^{witem} Stackherer et al. b2/BA/LF 080910.1 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio prend ^{witem} Stackherer et al. b2/BA/LF 080910.2 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio prend ^{witem} This paper Viel ALL 080910.2 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio prend ^{witem} This paper Viel ALL 080910.2 Mutant Genetic reagent (Danio prend ^{witem} This paper Viel Net al. Transgenic see Materials and Net al. Genetic reagent (Danio) prend ^{witem} This paper Viel Net al. Transgenic see Materials and Net al. Genetic reagent (Danio) prend ^{witem} Mutant Stable et al. 200 MRNA probe Other mutal 2 Viel Net al. 200 ZB-GENE-90109-3 mRNA probe Other mutal 2 Viel Net al. 200 ZB-GENE-90109-3 mRNA probe Other mutal 2 Viel Net al. 200 ZB-GENE-90109-3 mRNA probe Chemical Compound, rot Viel Net al. Viel Net al. ZB-GENE-90109-3 mRNA probe	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	ref (pdgfra ^{sk16})	Bloomekatz et al., 2017	sk16; ZDB-ALT-170329-1	Mutant
Genetic reagent (Danoi erricio penebetti Soude bitA35: bitA35: BitA35: BitA3	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	ptena ^{hu1864}	Faucherre et al., 2008	hu1864; ZDB-ALT-080910-1	Mutant
Genetic reagent (Danio Feriol Tgmyl7:dnP(3k; Cryasi:CFP) This paper Imagenic, see Materials and methods Genetic reagent (Danio Feriol Tgmyl7:1ck-emg/p) This paper Tamsgenic, see Materials and participate and constructions Transgenic, see Materials and participate and constructions Other maximum Space And participate and	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	ptenb ^{hu1435}	Faucherre et al., 2008	hu1435; ZDB-ALT-080910-2	Mutant
Genetic reagent (Danio rericyT(g(my/L/Lok-emgfp)This paperTransgenic, see Materials and methodsRecombinant DNA reagent PBSRN3-Ap8S $Carballada et al., 2007$ This paperSCB-GENE-971019-3mRNA probeOthermy/7Yelon et al., 1999ZDB-GENE-90109-3mRNA probeOtheraxialSträhle et al., 1999ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeOtherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeOtherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeChemical compound, drugIV294002Millipore-SigmaCat# 154447-35-6-Chemical compound, drugPactolisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 957054-30-7-Chemical compound, drugRapamycinSelleckchemCat# 58174Chemical compound, drugVD-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 4091, RRID: AB_215783WB (1:2000)AntibodyRapamycinCell SignalingCat# 4091, RRID: AB_215783WB (1:2000)AntibodyRanti-rabbit 196 HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)AbcamRRID: AB_2079233WB (1:2000)Antibodyanti-GLEMillipore-SigmaCat# 4091, RRID: AB_2015783WB (1:2000)Antibodyanti-GLEFremo Fisher ScientificCat# 4237317R, RRID: AB_2079233IF (1:100)Antibodyanti-GLEAbcamRRID: AB_205429IF (1:100)Antibodyanti-GLEThermo Fisher ScientificCat# 4327317R, RRID: AB_203227, RRID: AB_203271IF (1:300)Antibody <t< td=""><td>Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)</td><td>Tg(myl7:dnPl3K; Cryaa:CFP)</td><td>This paper</td><td></td><td>Transgenic, see Materials and methods</td></t<>	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	Tg(myl7:dnPl3K; Cryaa:CFP)	This paper		Transgenic, see Materials and methods
Recombinant DNA reagent pBSRN3-4p85 Carballada et al., 2001 Other myl7 Yelon et al., 1999 ZDB-GENE-991019-3 mRNA probe Other axial Strähle et al., 1999 ZDB-GENE-980526-404 mRNA probe Other hand2 Yelon et al., 2000 ZDB-GENE-980526-404 mRNA probe Other hand2 Yelon et al., 2000 ZDB-GENE-980526-404 mRNA probe Other hand2 Yelon et al., 2000 ZDB-GENE-980526-404 mRNA probe Other hand2 Yelon et al., 2000 ZDB-GENE-980526-404 mRNA probe Chemical compound, drug Dactolisib Millipore-Sigma Cat# 915019-65-7 C Chemical compound, drug Vo-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# 51039 C Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# 4000, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000) Antibody fRabbit monoclonal) Call Signaling Cat# 4010, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody fanti-GFP Call Signaling Cat# 1013970 IF (1:1000) An	Genetic reagent (Danio rerio)	Tg(myl7:lck-emgfp)	This paper		Transgenic, see Materials and methods
Othermyl7Yelon et al., 1999ZDB-GENE-991019-3mRNA probeOtheraxialSträhle et al., 1993ZDB-GENE-980526-404mRNA probeOtherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-980526-404mRNA probeOtherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeChemical compound, drugDactolisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 154447-36-6-Chemical compound, drugProtlisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 957054-30-7-Chemical compound, drugRapamycinSelleckchemCat# 51039-Chemical compound, drugWO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 4601, RRID: AB_2315049WB(1:2000)Chemical compound, drugWO-Ohpic trihydrateCell SignalingCat# 4601, RRID: AB_2315049WB(1:2000)Antibody(Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4601, RRID: AB_2079233WB (1:2000)Antibody(Gast polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4691, RRID: AB_2079233WB (1:5000)Antibody(Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 300798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 32-9100, RRID: AB_267181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 322917R, RRID: AB_267181IF (1:300)AntibodyCell Desth detection kit, TIMR redMillipore Sigma (Goat polyclonal)Click Chemistry ScientificCat# 322920, RRID: AB_2803277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kit <t< td=""><td>Recombinant DNA reagent</td><td>pBSRN3-Δp85</td><td>Carballada et al., 2001</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Recombinant DNA reagent	pBSRN3-Δp85	Carballada et al., 2001		
OtheraxialSträhle et al., 1993ZDB-GENE-980526-404mRNA probeOtherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeOtherhand2Millipore-SigmaCat# 154447-36-6Chemical compound, drugDactolisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 915019-65-7Chemical compound, drugPictilisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 957054-30-7Chemical compound, drugRapamycinSelleckchemCat# 51039Chemical compound, drugWO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 58174AntibodyRabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049WB(1:2000)AntibodyPan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4061, RRID: AB_915783WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 7074, RRID: AB_2099233WB (1:5000)Antibodyanti-GPF (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamCat# 30798 AB_807798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-GPF (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 329100, RRID: AB_807798IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-Co-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 329210, RRID: AB_807798IF (1:300)AntibodyCell Death detection kit, TIMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 42228, RRID: AB_263277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TIMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910Commercial assay or kitClick A-Go Cell Proliferation Assay kit CoalColes <t< td=""><td>Other</td><td>myl7</td><td>Yelon et al., 1999</td><td>ZDB-GENE-991019-3</td><td>mRNA probe</td></t<>	Other	myl7	Yelon et al., 1999	ZDB-GENE-991019-3	mRNA probe
Otherhand2Yelon et al., 2000ZDB-GENE-000511-1mRNA probeChemical compound, drugLY294002Millipore-SigmaCat# 154447-36-6CChemical compound, drugDactolisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 915019-65-7CChemical compound, drugPictilisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 957054-30-7CChemical compound, drugRapamycinSelleckchemCat# 51039CChemical compound, drugVO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 51039CChemical compound, drugVO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049WB(1:2000)Antibodygam-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_915783WB (1:2000)Antibodygam-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_915783WB (1:2000)Antibodygam-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_915783WB (1:2000)Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_90798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)Abcam ScientificCat# 33970, RRID: AB_90798IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-Licken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 432931TR, RRID: AB_9213TR, RRID: AB_92046499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-Incken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_92846499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-Incken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher Scientific <td< td=""><td>Other</td><td>axial</td><td>Strähle et al., 1993</td><td>ZDB-GENE-980526-404</td><td>mRNA probe</td></td<>	Other	axial	Strähle et al., 1993	ZDB-GENE-980526-404	mRNA probe
Chemical compound, drugLY294002Millipore-SigmaCat# 154447-36-6Chemical compound, drugDactolisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 915019-65-7Chemical compound, drugPictilisibMillipore-SigmaCat# 957054-30-7Chemical compound, drugRapamyeinSelleckchemCat# 51039Chemical compound, drugVO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 58174AntibodyVO-Ohpic trihydrateSelleckchemCat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000)Antibodyphospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_215783WB (1:2000)Antibodypan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_205783WB (1:2000)Antibodypan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_205783WB (1:2000)Antibodypan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_205783WB (1:2000)Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 3070, RRID: AB_30708IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-GPP (Chicken polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 33-9100, RRID: AB_32876497IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-Chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-Chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-Chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499	Other	hand2	Yelon et al., 2000	ZDB-GENE-000511-1	mRNA probe
Chemical compound, drug Dactolisib Millipore-Sigma Cat# 915019-65-7 Chemical compound, drug Pictilisib Millipore-Sigma Cat# 957054-30-7 Chemical compound, drug Rapamycin Selleckchem Cat# 51039 Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# 51039 Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000) Antibody phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_2915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_2019233 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_2019233 WB (1:2000) Antibody anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) Abcam Cat# 30:700, RRID: AB_300798 IF (1:1000) Antibody anti-Cicken-488 (Goat polyclonal) Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 332-9100, RRID: AB_2466499 IF (1:300) Antibody (Goat polyclonal) Scientific Cat# 432728, RRID: AB_2363277 IF (1:300) Commercial assay or kit Cell Death detection kit, TMR red	Chemical compound, drug	LY294002	Millipore-Sigma	Cat# 154447-36-6	
Chemical compound, drug Pictilisib Millipore-Sigma Cat# 957054-30-7 Chemical compound, drug Rapamycin Selleckchem Cat# 51039 Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# 58174 Antibody Phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000) Antibody pan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-abbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_2099233 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-Abbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_2099233 WB (1:2000) Antibody anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) Abcam Cat# 301970, RRID: AB_300798 IF (1:1000) Antibody anti-Chicken-488 Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 32931TR, RRID: AB_2083277 IF (1:300) Antibody (Goat polyclonal) Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 42728, RRID: AB_2083277 IF (1:300) Commercial assay or kit Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 12156792910 Commercial assay or kit Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 1328 Software, algorithm mTackJ Meijering et al., 2012 <	Chemical compound, drug	Dactolisib	Millipore-Sigma	Cat# 915019-65-7	
Chemical compound, drug Rapamycin Selleckchem Cat# \$1039 Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# \$8174 Antibody phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000) Antibody pan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4061, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 7074, RRID: AB_2099233 WB (1:5000) Antibody anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 313970, RRID: AB_300798 IF (1:1000) Antibody anti-CFP (Mouse monoclonal) Abcam RRID: AB_300798 IF (1:200) Antibody anti-Chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal) Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# 33-9100, RRID: AB_30178, RRID: AB_3046499 IF (1:200) Antibody anti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal) Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32728, RRID: AB_2864499 IF (1:300) Commercial assay or kit Cell Death detection kit, TMR red Millipore Sigma Cat# 12156792910 If (1:300) Commercial assay or kit Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 1328 ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysis Software, algorithm mTackJ Meijering et al., 2012 ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction <td>Chemical compound, drug</td> <td>Pictilisib</td> <td>Millipore-Sigma</td> <td>Cat# 957054-30-7</td> <td></td>	Chemical compound, drug	Pictilisib	Millipore-Sigma	Cat# 957054-30-7	
Chemical compound, drug VO-Ohpic trihydrate Selleckchem Cat# \$8174 Antibody phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000) Antibody pan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000) Antibody anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) Cell Signaling Cat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798 IF (1:1000) Antibody anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) Abcam Cat# 33-9100, RRID: AB_87181 IF (1:200) Antibody anti-chicken-488 Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_870599 IF (1:300) Antibody anti-chicken-488 Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A32728, RRID: AB_2663277 IF (1:300) Commercial assay or kit Cell Death detection kit, TMR red Millipore Sigma Cat# 12156792910 Commercial assay or kit Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 1328 Software, algorithm mTrackJ Weijering et al., 2012 ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysis <td>Chemical compound, drug</td> <td>Rapamycin</td> <td>Selleckchem</td> <td>Cat# \$1039</td> <td></td>	Chemical compound, drug	Rapamycin	Selleckchem	Cat# \$1039	
phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049 WB(1:2000)Antibodypan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 7074, RRID: AB_915783 WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4691, RRID: AB_2099233 WB (1:5000)AntibodyAnti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamRRID: AB_300798 ScientificIF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-GP (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamRRID: AB_301798 ScientificIF (1:200)Antibodyanti-Co-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_87181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2864099IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmmTrackJ2012ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Chemical compound, drug	VO-Ohpic trihydrate	Selleckchem	Cat# \$8174	
Antibodypan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783WB (1:2000)AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 7074, RRID: AB_2099233WB (1:5000)Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamCat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 33-9100, RRID: AB_87181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2863277IF (1:300)Antibodycall Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitClick-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay KitClick Chemistry ToolsCat# 1328Software, algorithmmTrackJ Meijering et al., 2012 ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmCorrect 3D Drift Parslow et al., 2014 ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	phospho-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 4060, RRID: AB_2315049	WB(1:2000)
AntibodyAnti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)Cell SignalingCat# 7074, RRID: AB_2099233WB (1:5000)Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamCat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 33–9100, RRID: AB_87181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 332728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)AntibodyCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection Assay KitClick Chemistry ToolsCat# 1328ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correctionImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	pan-AKT (Rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 4691, RRID: AB_915783	WB (1:2000)
Antibodyanti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)AbcamCat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798IF (1:1000)Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 33–9100, RRID: AB_87181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibody(Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibody(Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910Commercial assay or kitClick-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay KitClick Chemistry ToolsImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated (Goat polyclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 7074, RRID: AB_2099233	WB (1:5000)
Antibodyanti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# 33-9100, RRID: AB_87181IF (1:200)Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodycoat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910Commercial assay or kitClick-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit ToolsCat# 1328Software, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmCorrect 3D DriftParslow et al., 2014ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal)	Abcam	Cat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798	IF (1:1000)
Antibodyanti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499IF (1:300)Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910Commercial assay or kitClick-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay KitClick Chemistry ToolsCat# 1328Software, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmCorrect 3D DriftParslow et al., 2014ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	anti-ZO-1 (Mouse monoclonal)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 33–9100, RRID: AB_87181	IF (1:200)
Antibodyanti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)Thermo Fisher ScientificCat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277IF (1:300)Commercial assay or kitCell Death detection kit, TMR redMillipore SigmaCat# 12156792910Commercial assay or kitClick-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay KitClick Chemistry ToolsCat# 1328Software, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmCorrect 3D DriftParslow et al., 2014ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	anti-chicken-488 (Goat polyclonal)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499	IF (1:300)
Commercial assay or kit Cell Death detection kit, TMR red Millipore Sigma Cat# 12156792910 Commercial assay or kit Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 1328 Software, algorithm mTrackJ Meijering et al., 2012 ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysis Software, algorithm Correct 3D Drift Parslow et al., 2014 ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Antibody	anti-mouse-647 (Goat polyclonal)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A32728, RRID: AB_2633277	IF (1:300)
Commercial assay or kit Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit Click Chemistry Tools Cat# 1328 Software, algorithm mTrackJ Meijering et al., 2012 ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysis Software, algorithm Correct 3D Drift Parslow et al., 2014 ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Commercial assay or kit	Cell Death detection kit, TMR red	Millipore Sigma	Cat# 12156792910	
Software, algorithmmTrackJMeijering et al., 2012ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysisSoftware, algorithmCorrect 3D DriftParslow et al., 2014ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Commercial assay or kit	Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit	Click Chemistry Tools	Cat# 1328	
Software, algorithmCorrect 3D DriftParslow et al., 2014ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction	Software, algorithm	mTrackJ	Meijering et al., 2012		ImageJ Plugin for motion tracking and analysis
	Software, algorithm	Correct 3D Drift	Parslow et al., 2014		ImageJ Plugin for sample drift correction

eLife Research advance

Software, algorithm	Leica	LASX	Leica Application Suite X, RRID: SCR_013673	Microscope image processing software
Software, algorithm	Prism 10.0.2	GraphPad	RRID: SCR_002798	Data visualization and statistics software
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information

Material availability

Materials not available commercially are available upon request to Dr. Joshua Bloomekatz.

Zebrafish husbandry, microinjections, and plasmid construction

All zebrafish work followed protocols approved by the University of Mississippi IACUC (protocol #21-007). Wildtype embryos were obtained from a mixed zebrafish (Danio rerio) AB/TL background. The following transgenic and mutant lines of zebrafish were used: Ta(myl7: eGFP)^{twu34} (RRID: ZFIN ZDB-GENO-050809-10) (Huang et al., 2003), Tg(sox17:eGFP)^{ha01} (ZFIN_ZDB-GENO-080714-2) (Mizoguchi et al., 2008), Tg(hsp70l:pdgfaa-2A-mCherry;cryaa:CFP)^{sd44} abbreviated hs:pdgfaa (ZDB-ALT-170425-5), ref (pdgfra^{sk16}) (ZDB-ALT-170329-1) (Bloomekatz et al., 2017), ptena^{hu1864} (ZDB-ALT-080910-1), and ptenb^{hu1435} (ZDB-ALT-080910-2) (Faucherre et al., 2008). All embryos were incubated at 28.5°C unless otherwise noted. Transgenic Tg(myl7:dnPl3K; Cryaa:CFP) or Tg(myl7:lck-emgfp) F0 founders were established using standard Tol2-mediated transgenesis (Fisher et al., 2006). F0 founder pairs were screened by intercrosses looking for a high percentage of F1 embryos with CFP+ eyes and cardiac edema or emGFP+ hearts, respectively. For Tg(myl7:dnPl3K; Cryaa:CFP), stable transgenic lines could not be propagated due to loss of viability. Based on the germline mosaicism of the F0 parents, only a proportion of the F1 embryos are expected to have the transgene. Embryos from four different F0 pairs were analyzed for cardiac fusion phenotypes. Due to germ-line mosaicism, F1 embryos were genotyped after in situ hybridization for the presence of the transgene using standard PCR genotyping. Primer sequences are provided in Table 1. ref (pdgfra*16), ptena^{hu1864}, and ptenb^{hu1435} were genotyped as outlined in Bloomekatz et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2021, respectively.

Truncated p85 (dnPl3K) capped mRNA was synthesized from the pBSRN3- Δ p85 construct (*Carballada et al., 2001*) and injected at the one-cell stage. To mosaically label cells in the myocardium for protrusion imaging or Pl3K-reporter activity, *myl7:lck-eGFP* (30 ng/µl) or *myl7:PH-mkate2* (50 ng/µl) plasmid DNA was injected along with Tol2 transposase (40 ng/µl) into *Tg(myl7:eGFP*) or *Tg(myl7:lck-emgfp*) heterozygous embryos, respectively, at the one-cell stage and embryos were subsequently allowed to develop at 28.5°C.

Plasmids were constructed by using Hifi assembly (NEB, E2621) to transfer lck-eGFP (**Chertkova et al., 2017**) or a truncated version of p85 (**Carballada et al., 2001**) into the middle-entry vector of the tol2 gateway system (**Kwan et al., 2007**), which were verified by sequencing. Primer sequences are provided in **Table 1**. Then gateway recombination between p5E-myl7 promoter, the constructed middle-entry clones, p3E-polyA and either pDESTTol2pA2 (**Kwan et al., 2007**) or

Table 1. Primers for genotyping and cloning.						
	Name	Sequence (5'–3')				
Primers to screen for the <i>Tg(myl7:dnPl3K)</i> transgene in F1 embryos	dnPI3K_F1	GCGGGAAGAGGACATTGACT				
	dnPI3K_R1	GCGGGAAGAGGACATTGACT				
Primers to clone lck- emGFP into the middle- entry vector of the tol2 gateway system	Hi_lck_1F	CAGTCGACTGGATCCGGTACAGATCCGCTAGCCACCATG				
	Hi_lck_1R	CAGTCGACTGGATCCGGTACAGATCCGCTAGCCACCATG				
	Hi_emgfp_2F	GGTCGCCACCGTGTCCAAGGGCGAGGAG				
	Hi_emgfp_2R	GGTCGCCACCGTGTCCAAGGGCGAGGAG				
Primers to replace actc1b	Hi_CbPHmkate2_F	GGCTGAAAAGCAATCCTGCAGTGACCAAAGCTTAAATCAGTTG				
promoter with myl/ promoter in Addgene plasmid 109501	Hi_CbPHmkate2_R	CTCTCCAGAATCACTGCGGCCATGGCCATGGTGGCTACGGATC				

pDESTTol2pA4-Cryaa:CFP (**Bloomekatz et al., 2017**) was used to produce the plasmids *myl7:lck-eGFP* or *myl7:dnPl3K*; Cryaa:CFP, respectively. The *myl7:PH-mkate2* plasmid was created by using Hifi assembly to replace the *actc1* promoter with the *myl7* promoter in the addgene plasmid #109501 (**Hall et al., 2020**).

Inhibitor treatments

The following inhibitors were used: LY294002 (LY, Millipore-Sigma 154447-36-6), Dactolisib (Dac, Millipore-Sigma 915019-65-7), Pictilisib (Pic, Millipore-Sigma 957054-30-7), Rapamycin (Rap, Selleckchem S1039), and VO-Ohpic trihydrate (VOOH, Selleckchem S8174). For each treatment, inhibitors were freshly diluted serially from stocks such that the same percentage (0.1%) of DMSO was added to 1× E3 in glass vials. 0.1% DMSO was used as a control. 15 dechorionated embryos per vial were incubated in the dark at 28.5°C. In the course of these studies, we noticed that incubation with pharmacological PI3K inhibitors caused a delay in trunk elongation and somite formation along with defects in cardiac fusion (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*). To ensure our analysis was not obfuscated by a developmental delay, we used somite number to stage match embryos. PI3K-inhibited embryos thus develop approximately 2–3 hr longer than DMSO-treated embryos, prior to analysis.

Immunoblot, immunofluorescence, in situ hybridization

Embryos at 22s were prepared for immunoblots by deyolking (**Purushothaman et al., 2019**). Primary and secondary antibodies include anti-phospho-AKT (1:2000, Cell Signaling 4060, RRID: AB_2315049), anti-pan-AKT (1:2000, Cell Signaling 4691, RRID: AB_915783), and anti-rabbit HRP conjugated (1:5000, Cell Signaling 7074, RRID: AB_2099233). To identify *pdgfra/ref* heterozygous and homozygous embryos, embryo trunks were clipped and genotyped as described (**Bloomekatz et al., 2017**). The body of the embryo including the heart was snapped frozen and stored at -80° C. After genotyping, embryos were pooled via their genotype and analyzed via immunoblot. To activate Pdgfra, embryos expressing the *Tg(hsp701: pdgfaa-2A-mCherry)* transgene were heat-shocked at bud stage as described (**Bloomekatz et al., 2017**) and collected at 22s. pAKT and AKT immunoblots were visualized (Azure 600 Imaging system, Azure Biosystems) and quantified using ImageJ (**Stael et al., 2022**). pAkt to Akt ratios were normalized to DMSO.

Immunofluorescence analysis was performed on transverse sections using standard cryoprotection, embedding, and sectioning (*Garavito-Aguilar et al., 2010*). Primary, secondary antibodies and dyes include: anti-GFP (1:1000, Abcam ab13970, RRID: AB_300798), anti-ZO-1 (1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific 33-9100, RRID: AB_87181), donkey anti-chicken-488 (1:300, Thermo Fisher Scientific A32931TR, RRID: AB_2866499), and donkey anti-mouse-647 (1:300, Thermo Fisher Scientific A32728, RRID:AB_2633277). TUNEL was performed using the TMR red Cell Death detection kit (Millipore Sigma 12156792910). Addition of DNasel was used to confirm we could detect apoptotic cells. EdU staining was adapted from *Kimmel and Meyer, 2010; Schindler et al., 2014* using the Click-&-Go Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Click Chemistry Tools 1328), with the following modifications: dechorionated 16 hpf embryos were incubated in 0.5 mM EdU for 1 hr at 4°C, rinsed in E3 media multiple times, and then incubated at 28.5 C till 22s.

In situ hybridization was performed using standard protocols (*Alexander et al., 1999*), with the following probes: *myl7* (ZDB-GENE-991019-3), *axial* (ZDB-GENE-980526-404), and *hand2* (ZDB-GENE-000511-1). Images were captured with Zeiss Axio Zoom V16 microscope (Zeiss) and processed with ImageJ.

Fluorescence imaging

To analyze cardiac fusion (*Figure 1A'-E'*), *Tg(myl7:eGFP*) embryos were fixed, manually deyolked and imaged with a Leica SP8 X microscope. To analyze the anterior endoderm (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1E-G*), *Tg(sox17: eGFP*) embryos were fixed and imaged with an Axio Zoom V16 microscope (Zeiss).

For live imaging, Tg(myl7:eGFP) embryos were exposed to DMSO or 20 µM LY at bud stage and mounted at 12 somite stage as described (*McCann et al., 2022*). Mounted embryos were covered with 0.1% DMSO/20 µM LY in Tricaine-E3 solution and imaged using a Leica SP8 X microscope with a HC PL APO ×20/0.75 CS2 objective in a chamber heated to 28.5°C. GFP and brightfield stacks were collected approximately every 4 min for 3 hr. After imaging, embryos were removed from the mold and incubated for 24 hr in E3 media at 28.5°C. Only embryos that appeared healthy 24 hrs post imaging were used for analysis. The tip of the notochord was used as a reference point to correct embryo drift in the Correct 3D direct ImageJ plugin (*Parslow et al., 2014*). Embryos were handled similarly for imaging protrusions and PH-mkate2 localization, except 15 confocal slices of 1 µm thickness were collected every 1.5 min or 3.5 min, respectively, with a HC PL APO ×40/1.10 CS2 objective.

Image analysis

To analyze developmental delay, embryonic length (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*) was measured from the anterior tip of the head to the posterior tip of the tail of each embryo using the free-hand tool of ImageJ. To analyze the anterior endoderm, endoderm width was measured at a point that was 300 µm anterior to where the two sides of the endoderm intersect. The distance between the *hand2* expressing domains was measured at three equidistant positions (~200 µm apart) along the anterior–posterior axis. Tg(my|7:eGFP)+ cardiomyocytes were counted from blinded and non-blinded 3D confocal images of 20s embryos from four biological replicates using the cell counter addon in ImageJ. No difference between the blinded and non-blinded replicates was detected. Cell proliferation index was calculated as Tg(my|7:eGFP)+; EdU+ cells divided by the total number of Tg(my|7:eGFP)+ cells.

For live imaging of cell movements – the mTrackJ addon in ImageJ (*Meijering et al., 2012*) was used. 20–25 cells per embryo whose position could be determined at each timepoint were chosen from the two most medial columns of myocardial cells on each side of the embryos. From these tracks, cell movement properties including overall displacement, velocity (displacement/time), speed (distance/time), efficiency (displacement/distance), and direction (atan($abs(\Delta y)/\Delta x$) × 57.295) – medial-lateral and angular, were calculated. Rose plots and graphs in *Figure 3G, H* consider angular movement, along the anterior–posterior axis, irrespective of its direction. In these plots, individual cells are grouped into 6 bins based on their net direction of movement; the length of each radial bar represents the percentage of cells in each bin.

To quantitate the fluorescent intensity of lck-eGFP and PH-mkate2 – the straight-line function in ImageJ was used to draw a line extending perpendicular from the middle of the most intense region of mkate2 enrichment to the end of the cell. The fluorescence intensity along this line for both eGFP and mkate2 was measured using the plot profile addon.

For live imaging of myocardial membrane protrusions – stacks were processed in Leica LAS X and/or Imaris Viewer (Bitplane) to position the medial edge to the right of the image. Videos of the myocardium were inspected frame by frame in ImageJ for a protrusion. Only cells that were not neighbored by other labeled cells on their medial and lateral edges were analyzed. Each protrusion was classified as either thin (longer than wide) or wide (wider than long). The direction of a protrusion was measured using the 'straight line' function to draw a line from the center of the bottom of the protrusion to the tip. All protrusions of each cell over the entire recording were measured. Graphs, cartoons, and figures were created with Prism (GraphPad), Excel (Microsoft), and Indesign (Adobe).

Statistics and replication

All statistical analysis was performed in R or Prism (GraphPad). In R the following functions were used T.test(), TukeyHSD(), and fisher.test(). Sample sizes were determined based on prior experience with relevant phenotypes and standards within the zebrafish community. Deviation from the mean is represented as standard error mean or box-whisker plots. In box-whisker plots, the lower and upper ends of the box denote the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively, with a horizontal line denoting the median value and the whiskers indicating the data range. All results were obtained from at least three separate biological replicates, blinded and non-blinded. All replicates are biological. Samples were analyzed before biological sex is determined (*Wang et al., 2007*). Raw data and full p-values included in the source files.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Bloomekatz lab and S Liljegren, B Jones, K Willett, M Jekabsons, Y Qiu for helpful discussions; R Cao and G Roman in the GlyCORE imaging core (NIH-P20GM103460), C Thornton, R Knerr, and P Bolton for animal support as well as C Chang, D Dong, K Kwan, R Parton for providing reagents.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
American Heart Association	18CDA34080195	Joshua Bloomekatz
Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development	R15HD108782	Joshua Bloomekatz
National Institute of General Medical Sciences	P20GM103460	Joshua Bloomekatz

The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Rabina Shrestha, Tess McCann, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Harini Saravanan, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology; Jaret Lieberth, Validation, Methodology; Prashanna Koirala, Investigation; Joshua Bloomekatz, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Joshua Bloomekatz ib http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5816-2756

Ethics

All animals were handled according to protocols approved by the University of Mississippi Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (protocol #21-007), in accordance with the recommendations of the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.85930.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.85930.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• MDAR checklist

Data availability

All data are included in the manuscript and supporting files. Source data files have been provided for all figures.

References

- Aharonov A, Shakked A, Umansky KB, Savidor A, Genzelinakh A, Kain D, Lendengolts D, Revach OY, Morikawa Y, Dong J, Levin Y, Geiger B, Martin JF, Tzahor E. 2020. ERBB2 drives YAP activation and EMT-like processes during cardiac regeneration. *Nature Cell Biology* 22:1346–1356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41556-020-00588-4
- Aleksandrova A, Czirok A, Kosa E, Galkin O, Cheuvront TJ, Rongish BJ. 2015. The endoderm and myocardium join forces to drive early heart tube assembly. *Developmental Biology* **404**:40–54. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ydbio.2015.04.016, PMID: 25952622
- Alessi DR, Andjelkovic M, Caudwell B, Cron P, Morrice N, Cohen P, Hemmings BA. 1996. Mechanism of activation of protein kinase B by insulin and IGF-1. *The EMBO Journal* **15**:6541–6551. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb01045.x, PMID: 8978681
- Alexander J, Rothenberg M, Henry GL, Stainier DY. 1999. casanova plays an early and essential role in endoderm formation in zebrafish. *Developmental Biology* **215**:343–357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1999.9441, PMID: 10545242

- Arrington CB, Yost HJ. 2009. Extra-embryonic syndecan 2 regulates organ primordia migration and fibrillogenesis throughout the zebrafish embryo. *Development* **136**:3143–3152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.031492, PMID: 19700618
- Bahm I, Barriga EH, Frolov A, Theveneau E, Frankel P, Mayor R. 2017. PDGF controls contact inhibition of locomotion by regulating N-cadherin during neural crest migration. *Development* 144:2456–2468. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.147926, PMID: 28526750
- Balla T, Várnai P. 2009. Visualization of cellular phosphoinositide pools with GFP-fused protein-domains. *Current Protocols in Cell Biology* Chapter 24:Unit 24.4. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/0471143030.cb2404s42, PMID: 19283730
- Bloomekatz J, Grego-Bessa J, Migeotte I, Anderson KV. 2012. Pten regulates collective cell migration during specification of the anterior–posterior axis of the mouse embryo. *Developmental Biology* **364**:192–201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.02.005
- Bloomekatz J, Singh R, Prall OW, Dunn AC, Vaughan M, Loo CS, Harvey RP, Yelon D. 2017. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) signaling directs cardiomyocyte movement toward the midline during heart tube assembly. *eLife* 6:e21172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21172, PMID: 28098558
- **Brunn GJ**, Williams J, Sabers C, Wiederrecht G, Lawrence JC, Abraham RT. 1996. Direct inhibition of the signaling functions of the mammalian target of rapamycin by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitors, wortmannin and LY294002. *The EMBO Journal* **15**:5256–5267 PMID: 8895571.
- Carballada R, Yasuo H, Lemaire P. 2001. Phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase acts in parallel to the ERK MAP kinase in the FGF pathway during *Xenopus* mesoderm induction. *Development* **128**:35–44. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.128.1.35, PMID: 11092809
- Caussinus E, Colombelli J, Affolter M. 2008. Tip-cell migration controls stalk-cell intercalation during *Drosophila* tracheal tube elongation. *Current Biology* **18**:1727–1734. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.062, PMID: 19026547
- Cheng CK, Fan QW, Weiss WA. 2009. PI3K signaling in glioma--animal models and therapeutic challenges. Brain Pathology **19**:112–120. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3639.2008.00233.x, PMID: 19076776
- **Chertkova AO**, Mastop M, Postma M, van Bommel N, van der Niet S, Batenburg KL, Joosen L, Gadella TJ, Okada Y, Goedhart J. 2017. Robust and Bright Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Markers for Highlighting Structures and Compartments in Mammalian Cells. *bioRxiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/160374
- Choorapoikayil S, Weijts B, Kers R, de Bruin A, den Hertog J. 2013. Loss of Pten promotes angiogenesis and enhanced vegfaa expression in zebrafish. *Disease Models & Mechanisms* 6:1159–1166. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1242/dmm.012377, PMID: 23720233
- Crackower MA, Oudit GY, Kozieradzki I, Sarao R, Sun H, Sasaki T, Hirsch E, Suzuki A, Shioi T, Irie-Sasaki J, Sah R, Cheng H-YM, Rybin VO, Lembo G, Fratta L, Oliveira-dos-Santos AJ, Benovic JL, Kahn CR, Izumo S, Steinberg SF, et al. 2002. Regulation of myocardial contractility and cell size by distinct PI3K-PTEN signaling pathways. Cell 110:737–749. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00969-8
- Cui C, Cheuvront TJ, Lansford RD, Moreno-Rodriguez RA, Schultheiss TM, Rongish BJ. 2009. Dynamic positional fate map of the primary heart-forming region. *Developmental Biology* **332**:212–222. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ydbio.2009.05.570, PMID: 19497319
- Dalle Nogare DE, Natesh N, Vishwasrao HD, Shroff H, Chitnis AB. 2020. Zebrafish Posterior Lateral Line primordium migration requires interactions between a superficial sheath of motile cells and the skin. *eLife* 9:e58251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58251, PMID: 33237853
- Davidson B, Shi W, Levine M. 2005. Uncoupling heart cell specification and migration in the simple chordate Ciona intestinalis. Development 132:4811–4818. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02051, PMID: 16207759
- **DeHaan RL**. 1967. Development of form in the embryonic heart. An experimental approach. *Circulation* **35**:821–833. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.35.5.821, PMID: 6021773
- Dominguez MH, Krup AL, Muncie JM, Bruneau BG. 2023. Graded mesoderm assembly governs cell fate and morphogenesis of the early mammalian heart. *Cell* **186**:479–496. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.01. 001, PMID: 36736300
- Dumortier JG, Martin S, Meyer D, Rosa FM, David NB. 2012. Collective mesendoderm migration relies on an intrinsic directionality signal transmitted through cell contacts. PNAS 109:16945–16950. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1205870109, PMID: 23027928
- Evans SM, Yelon D, Conlon FL, Kirby ML. 2010. Myocardial lineage development. *Circulation Research* **107**:1428–1444. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.110.227405, PMID: 21148449
- Fan H, Ma L, Fan B, Wu J, Yang Z, Wang L. 2014. Role of PDGFR-β/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in PDGF-BB induced myocardial fibrosis in rats. *American Journal of Translational Research* **6**:714–723 PMID: 25628782.
- Faucherre A, Taylor GS, Overvoorde J, Dixon JE, Hertog J. 2008. Zebrafish pten genes have overlapping and non-redundant functions in tumorigenesis and embryonic development. Oncogene 27:1079–1086. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1210730, PMID: 17704803
- Fisher S, Grice EA, Vinton RM, Bessling SL, Urasaki A, Kawakami K, McCallion AS. 2006. Evaluating the biological relevance of putative enhancers using Tol2 transposon-mediated transgenesis in zebrafish. *Nature Protocols* **1**:1297–1305. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.230, PMID: 17406414
- Folkes AJ, Ahmadi K, Alderton WK, Alix S, Baker SJ, Box G, Chuckowree IS, Clarke PA, Depledge P, Eccles SA, Friedman LS, Hayes A, Hancox TC, Kugendradas A, Lensun L, Moore P, Olivero AG, Pang J, Patel S, Pergl-Wilson GH, et al. 2008. The identification of 2-(1H-indazol-4-yl)-6-(4-methanesulfonyl-piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (GDC-0941) as a potent, selective, orally bioavailable

- inhibitor of class I PI3 kinase for the treatment of cancer . Journal of Medicinal Chemistry **51**:5522–5532. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jm800295d, PMID: 18754654
- Fruman DA, Chiu H, Hopkins BD, Bagrodia S, Cantley LC, Abraham RT. 2017. The PI3K pathway in human disease. *Cell* **170**:605–635. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.029, PMID: 28802037
- Fukui H, Terai K, Nakajima H, Chiba A, Fukuhara S, Mochizuki N. 2014. S1P-Yap1 signaling regulates endoderm formation required for cardiac precursor cell migration in zebrafish. *Developmental Cell* **31**:128–136. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.08.014
- Garavito-Aguilar ZV, Riley HE, Yelon D. 2010. Hand2 ensures an appropriate environment for cardiac fusion by limiting Fibronectin function. *Development* **137**:3215–3220. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.052225, PMID: 20724450
- Garlena RA, Lennox AL, Baker LR, Parsons TE, Weinberg SM, Stronach BE. 2015. The receptor tyrosine kinase Pvr promotes tissue closure by coordinating corpse removal and epidermal zippering. *Development* **142**:3403– 3415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.122226, PMID: 26293306
- **Gharbi SI**, Zvelebil MJ, Shuttleworth SJ, Hancox T, Saghir N, Timms JF, Waterfield MD. 2007. Exploring the specificity of the PI3K family inhibitor LY294002. *The Biochemical Journal* **404**:15–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1042/BJ20061489, PMID: 17302559
- Ghiglione C, Jouandin P, Cérézo D, Noselli S. 2018. The Drosophila insulin pathway controls Profilin expression and dynamic actin-rich protrusions during collective cell migration. Development **145**:dev161117. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.161117, PMID: 29980565
- Goss CM. 1935. Double hearts produced experimentally in rat embryos. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **72**:33–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1400720103
- Graupera M, Guillermet-Guibert J, Foukas LC, Phng L-K, Cain RJ, Salpekar A, Pearce W, Meek S, Millan J, Cutillas PR, Smith AJH, Ridley AJ, Ruhrberg C, Gerhardt H, Vanhaesebroeck B. 2008. Angiogenesis selectively requires the p110alpha isoform of PI3K to control endothelial cell migration. *Nature* **453**:662–666. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature06892, PMID: 18449193
- Hall TE, Martel N, Ariotti N, Xiong Z, Lo HP, Ferguson C, Rae J, Lim YW, Parton RG. 2020. In vivo cell biological screening identifies an endocytic capture mechanism for T-tubule formation. *Nature Communications* 11:3711. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17486-w, PMID: 32709891
- He F, Soriano P. 2013. A critical role for PDGFRα signaling in medial nasal process development. *PLOS Genetics* **9**:e1003851. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003851, PMID: 24086166
- Heckman CA, Plummer HK. 2013. Filopodia as sensors. Cellular Signalling 25:2298–2311. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.07.006, PMID: 23876793
- Heitman J, Movva NR, Hall MN. 1991. Targets for cell cycle arrest by the immunosuppressant rapamycin in yeast. Science 253:905–909. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1715094, PMID: 1715094
- Holtzman NG, Schoenebeck JJ, Tsai HJ, Yelon D. 2007. Endocardium is necessary for cardiomyocyte movement during heart tube assembly. *Development* 134:2379–2386. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02857, PMID: 17537802
- Holz MK, Blenis J. 2005. Identification of S6 kinase 1 as a novel mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)phosphorylating kinase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**:26089–26093. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M504045200, PMID: 15905173
- Horne-Badovinac S, Lin D, Waldron S, Schwarz M, Mbamalu G, Pawson T, Jan Y, Stainier DY, Abdelilah-Seyfried S. 2001. Positional cloning of heart and soul reveals multiple roles for PKC lambda in zebrafish organogenesis. *Current Biology* **11**:1492–1502. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00458-4, PMID: 11591316
- Huang CJ, Tu CT, Hsiao CD, Hsieh FJ, Tsai HJ. 2003. Germ-line transmission of a myocardium-specific GFP transgene reveals critical regulatory elements in the cardiac myosin light chain 2 promoter of zebrafish. Developmental Dynamics 228:30–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.10356, PMID: 12950077
- lijima M, Devreotes P. 2002. Tumor suppressor PTEN mediates sensing of chemoattractant gradients. *Cell* **109**:599–610. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00745-6, PMID: 12062103
- Ivey MJ, Kuwabara JT, Riggsbee KL, Tallquist MD. 2019. Platelet-derived growth factor receptor-α is essential for cardiac fibroblast survival. American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology **317**:H330–H344. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00054.2019, PMID: 31125253
- Jackson TR, Kim HY, Balakrishnan UL, Stuckenholz C, Davidson LA. 2017. Spatiotemporally controlled mechanical cues drive progenitor mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition enabling proper heart formation and function. *Current Biology* **27**:1326–1335. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.065, PMID: 28434863
- Jean S, Kiger AA. 2014. Classes of phosphoinositide 3-kinases at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science* **127**:923–928. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.093773, PMID: 24587488
- Jung K, Kim M, So J, Lee SH, Ko S, Shin D. 2021. Farnesoid X receptor activation impairs liver progenitor cell-mediated liver regeneration via the PTEN-PI3K-AKT-mTOR axis in zebrafish. *Hepatology* **74**:397–410. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.31679, PMID: 33314176
- Juss JK, Hayhoe RP, Owen CE, Bruce I, Walmsley SR, Cowburn AS, Kulkarni S, Boyle KB, Stephens L, Hawkins PT, Chilvers ER, Condliffe AM, Benarafa C. 2012. Functional Redundancy of Class I Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase (PI3K) Isoforms in Signaling Growth Factor-Mediated Human Neutrophil Survival. PLOS ONE 7:e45933. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045933
- Kawahara A, Nishi T, Hisano Y, Fukui H, Yamaguchi A, Mochizuki N. 2009. The sphingolipid transporter spns2 functions in migration of zebrafish myocardial precursors. *Science* **323**:524–527. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1167449, PMID: 19074308

- Kikuchi Y, Agathon A, Alexander J, Thisse C, Waldron S, Yelon D, Thisse B, Stainier DY. 2001. casanova encodes a novel Sox-related protein necessary and sufficient for early endoderm formation in zebrafish. *Genes & Development* **15**:1493–1505. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.892301, PMID: 11410530
- Kim J, Wu Q, Zhang Y, Wiens KM, Huang Y, Rubin N, Shimada H, Handin RI, Chao MY, Tuan TL, Starnes VA, Lien CL. 2010. PDGF signaling is required for epicardial function and blood vessel formation in regenerating zebrafish hearts. *PNAS* **107**:17206–17210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0915016107, PMID: 20858732
- Kimmel RA, Meyer D. 2010. Molecular regulation of pancreas development in zebrafish. Methods in Cell Biology 100:261–280. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384892-5.00010-4, PMID: 21111221
- Klinghoffer RA, Hamilton TG, Hoch R, Soriano P. 2002. An allelic series at the PDGFalphaR locus indicates unequal contributions of distinct signaling pathways during development. *Developmental Cell* **2**:103–113. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1534-5807(01)00103-4, PMID: 11782318
- Krahn MP. 2020. Phospholipids of the plasma membrane regulators or consequence of cell polarity? Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 8:277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00277, PMID: 32411703
- Kupperman E, An S, Osborne N, Waldron S, Stainier DY. 2000. A sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor regulates cell migration during vertebrate heart development. *Nature* **406**:192–195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ 35018092, PMID: 10910360
- Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, Parant JM, Yost HJ, Kanki JP, Chien CB. 2007. The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. *Developmental Dynamics* 236:3088–3099. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21343, PMID: 17937395
- Lennartsson J, Jelacic T, Linnekin D, Shivakrupa R. 2005. Normal and oncogenic forms of the receptor tyrosine kinase kit. *Stem cells* **23**:16–43. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2004-0117, PMID: 15625120
- Leslie NR, Yang X, Downes CP, Weijer CJ. 2007. PtdIns(3,4,5)P(3)-dependent and -independent roles for PTEN in the control of cell migration. *Current Biology* **17**:115–125. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.026, PMID: 17240336
- Li S, Zhou D, Lu MM, Morrisey EE. 2004. Advanced cardiac morphogenesis does not require heart tube fusion. Science **305**:1619–1622. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098674
- Linask KK. 1992. N-cadherin localization in early heart development and polar expression of Na+,K(+)-ATPase, and integrin during pericardial coelom formation and epithelialization of the differentiating myocardium. Developmental Biology **151**:213–224. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(92)90228-9, PMID: 1315697
- Maira S-M, Stauffer F, Brueggen J, Furet P, Schnell C, Fritsch C, Brachmann S, Chène P, De Pover A, Schoemaker K, Fabbro D, Gabriel D, Simonen M, Murphy L, Finan P, Sellers W, García-Echeverría C. 2008. Identification and characterization of NVP-BEZ235, a new orally available dual phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor with potent in vivo antitumor activity. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 7:1851–1863. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0017, PMID: 18606717
- McCann T, Shrestha R, Graham A, Bloomekatz J. 2022. Using live imaging to examine early cardiac development in zebrafish. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **2438**:133–145. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-2035-9_9, PMID: 35147940
- McCarthy N, Wetherill L, Lovely CB, Swartz ME, Foroud TM, Eberhart JK. 2013. Pdgfra protects against ethanol-induced craniofacial defects in a zebrafish model of FASD. *Development* **140**:3254–3265. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.094938, PMID: 23861062
- McMullen JR, Shioi T, Zhang L, Tarnavski O, Sherwood MC, Kang PM, Izumo S. 2003. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase(p110alpha) plays a critical role for the induction of physiological, but not pathological, cardiac hypertrophy. PNAS 100:12355–12360. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1934654100, PMID: 14507992
- Meijering E, Dzyubachyk O, Smal I. 2012. Methods for cell and particle tracking. Methods in Enzymology 504:183–200. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-391857-4.00009-4, PMID: 22264535
- Mendelson K, Lan Y, Hla T, Evans T. 2015. Maternal or zygotic sphingosine kinase is required to regulate zebrafish cardiogenesis. *Developmental Dynamics* 244:948–954. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24288, PMID: 25997406
- Mizoguchi T, Verkade H, Heath JK, Kuroiwa A, Kikuchi Y. 2008. Sdf1/Cxcr4 signaling controls the dorsal migration of endodermal cells during zebrafish gastrulation. *Development* **135**:2521–2529. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.020107, PMID: 18579679
- Molkentin JD, Lin Q, Duncan SA, Olson EN. 1997. Requirement of the transcription factor GATA4 for heart tube formation and ventral morphogenesis. *Genes & Development* **11**:1061–1072. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.8.1061, PMID: 9136933
- Montero JA, Kilian B, Chan J, Bayliss PE, Heisenberg CP. 2003. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase is required for process outgrowth and cell polarization of gastrulating mesendodermal cells. *Current Biology* **13**:1279–1289. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(03)00505-0, PMID: 12906787
- Moreno-Rodriguez RA, Krug EL, Reyes L, Villavicencio L, Mjaatvedt CH, Markwald RR. 2006. Bidirectional fusion of the heart-forming fields in the developing chick embryo. *Developmental Dynamics* **235**:191–202. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20601, PMID: 16252277
- Morikawa Y, Zhang M, Heallen T, Leach J, Tao G, Xiao Y, Bai Y, Li W, Willerson JT, Martin JF. 2015. Actin cytoskeletal remodeling with protrusion formation is essential for heart regeneration in Hippo-deficient mice. *Science Signaling* 8:ra41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2005781, PMID: 25943351
- Nagel M, Winklbauer R. 2018. PDGF-A suppresses contact inhibition during directional collective cell migration. Development 145:dev162651. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.162651, PMID: 29884673

- Osborne N, Brand-Arzamendi K, Ober EA, Jin S-W, Verkade H, Holtzman NG, Yelon D, Stainier DYR. 2008. The spinster homolog, two of hearts, is required for sphingosine 1-phosphate signaling in zebrafish. *Current Biology* **18**:1882–1888. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.10.061, PMID: 19062281
- Parslow A, Cardona A, Bryson-Richardson RJ. 2014. Sample drift correction following 4D confocal time-lapse imaging. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* 1:51086. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3791/51086, PMID: 24747942
- Purushothaman K, Das PP, Presslauer C, Lim TK, Johansen SD, Lin Q, Babiak I. 2019. Proteomics analysis of early developmental stages of zebrafish embryos. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20:6359. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms20246359, PMID: 31861170
- Qin L, Yang D, Yi W, Cao H, Xiao G. 2021. Roles of leader and follower cells in collective cell migration. Molecular Biology of the Cell 32:1267–1272. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E20-10-0681, PMID: 34184941
- Rawles ME. 1936. A study in the localization of organ-forming areas in the chick blastoderm of the head-process stage. Journal of Experimental Zoology 72:271–315. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1400720205
- Raynaud FI, Eccles SA, Patel S, Alix S, Box G, Chuckowree I, Folkes A, Gowan S, De Haven Brandon A, Di Stefano F, Hayes A, Henley AT, Lensun L, Pergl-Wilson G, Robson A, Saghir N, Zhyvoloup A, McDonald E, Sheldrake P, Shuttleworth S, et al. 2009. Biological properties of potent inhibitors of class I phosphatidylinositide 3-kinases: from PI-103 through PI-540, PI-620 to the oral agent GDC-0941. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 8:1725–1738. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-1200, PMID: 19584227
- **Rohr S**, Bit-Avragim N, Abdelilah-Seyfried S. 2006. Heart and soul/PRKCi and nagie oko/Mpp5 regulate myocardial coherence and remodeling during cardiac morphogenesis. *Development* **133**:107–115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02182, PMID: 16319113
- **Rohr S**, Otten C, Abdelilah-Seyfried S. 2008. Asymmetric involution of the myocardial field drives heart tube formation in zebrafish. *Circulation Research* **102**:e12–e19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107. 165241, PMID: 18202314
- **Rosenquist GC**. 1970. Cardia bifida in chick embryos: anterior and posterior defects produced by transplanting tritiated thymidine-labeled grafts medial to the heart-forming regions. *Teratology* **3**:135–142. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1002/tera.1420030205, PMID: 5446880
- Rosivatz E, Matthews JG, McDonald NQ, Mulet X, Ho KK, Lossi N, Schmid AC, Mirabelli M, Pomeranz KM, Erneux C, Lam EW-F, Vilar R, Woscholski R. 2006. A small molecule inhibitor for phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN). ACS Chemical Biology 1:780–790. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1021/cb600352f, PMID: 17240976
- Sato A, Scholl AM, Kuhn EB, Stadt HA, Decker JR, Pegram K, Hutson MR, Kirby ML. 2011. FGF8 signaling is chemotactic for cardiac neural crest cells. *Developmental Biology* 354:18–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ydbio.2011.03.010
- Schindler YL, Garske KM, Wang J, Firulli BA, Firulli AB, Poss KD, Yelon D. 2014. Hand2 elevates cardiomyocyte production during zebrafish heart development and regeneration. *Development* 141:3112–3122. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1242/dev.106336, PMID: 25038045
- Schumacher L. 2019. Collective cell migration in development. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1146:105–116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17593-1_7, PMID: 31612456
- Shioi T, Kang PM, Douglas PS, Hampe J, Yballe CM, Lawitts J, Cantley LC, Izumo S. 2000. The conserved phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway determines heart size in mice. *The EMBO Journal* 19:2537–2548. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.11.2537, PMID: 10835352
- Shrestha R, Lieberth J, Tillman S, Natalizio J, Bloomekatz J. 2020. Using zebrafish to analyze the genetic and environmental etiologies of congenital heart defects. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1236:189–223. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2389-2_8, PMID: 32304074
- Stael S, Miller LP, Fernández-Fernández ÁD, Van Breusegem F. 2022. Detection of damage-activated metacaspase activity by western blot in plants. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 2447:127–137. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-0716-2079-3_11, PMID: 35583778
- Stainier DY, Lee RK, Fishman MC. 1993. Cardiovascular development in the zebrafish. I. Myocardial fate map and heart tube formation. *Development* **119**:31–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.119.1.31, PMID: 8275863
- Staudt DW, Liu J, Thorn KS, Stuurman N, Liebling M, Stainier DYR. 2014. High-resolution imaging of cardiomyocyte behavior reveals two distinct steps in ventricular trabeculation. *Development* 141:585–593. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.098632, PMID: 24401373
- Strähle U, Blader P, Henrique D, Ingham PW. 1993. Axial, a zebrafish gene expressed along the developing body axis, shows altered expression in cyclops mutant embryos. Genes & Development 7:1436–1446. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1101/gad.7.7b.1436, PMID: 7687227
- Symes K, Mercola M. 1996. Embryonic mesoderm cells spread in response to platelet-derived growth factor and signaling by phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. *PNAS* **93**:9641–9644. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.18. 9641, PMID: 8790383
- Trinh LA, Stainier DYR. 2004. Fibronectin regulates epithelial organization during myocardial migration in zebrafish. *Developmental Cell* **6**:371–382. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1534-5807(04)00063-2, PMID: 15030760
- van den Hoff MJB, Moorman AFM, Ruijter JM, Lamers WH, Bennington RW, Markwald RR, Wessels A. 1999. Myocardialization of the cardiac outflow tract. *Developmental Biology* **212**:477–490. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1006/dbio.1999.9366
- Varner VD, Taber LA. 2012. Not just inductive: a crucial mechanical role for the endoderm during heart tube assembly. Development 139:1680–1690. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.073486, PMID: 22492358

- Vlahos CJ, Matter WF, Hui KY, Brown RF. 1994. A specific inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, 2-(4morpholinyl)-8-phenyl-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one (LY294002). *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **269**:5241–5248 PMID: 8106507.
- Wang SI, Puc J, Li J, Bruce JN, Cairns P, Sidransky D, Parsons R. 1997. Somatic mutations of PTEN in glioblastoma multiforme. *Cancer Research* **57**:4183–4186 PMID: 9331071.
- Wang XG, Bartfai R, Sleptsova-Freidrich I, Orban L. 2007. The timing and extent of 'juvenile ovary' phase are highly variable during zebrafish testis differentiation. *Journal of Fish Biology* **70**:33–44. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01363.x
- Wilens S. 1955. The migration of heart mesoderm and associated areas in Amblystoma punctatum. Journal of Experimental Zoology **129**:579–605. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1401290308
- Yang X, Chrisman H, Weijer CJ. 2008. PDGF signalling controls the migration of mesoderm cells during chick gastrulation by regulating N-cadherin expression. *Development* **135**:3521–3530. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.023416, PMID: 18832396
- Ye D, Lin F. 2013. S1pr2/Gα13 signaling controls myocardial migration by regulating endoderm convergence. Development **140**:789–799. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.085340, PMID: 23318642
- Ye D, Xie H, Hu B, Lin F. 2015. Endoderm convergence controls subduction of the myocardial precursors during heart-tube formation. *Development* **142**:2928–2940. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.113944, PMID: 26329600
- Yelon D, Horne SA, Stainier DY. 1999. Restricted expression of cardiac myosin genes reveals regulated aspects of heart tube assembly in zebrafish. *Developmental Biology* 214:23–37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1999. 9406, PMID: 10491254
- Yelon D, Ticho B, Halpern ME, Ruvinsky I, Ho RK, Silver LM, Stainier DYR. 2000. The bHLH transcription factor Hand2 plays parallel roles in zebrafish heart and pectoral fin development. *Development* **127**:2573–2582. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.12.2573
- Yoo SK, Deng Q, Cavnar PJ, Wu YI, Hahn KM, Huttenlocher A. 2010. Differential regulation of protrusion and polarity by PI3K during neutrophil motility in live zebrafish. *Developmental Cell* **18**:226–236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.11.015, PMID: 20159593