**Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)**

**Checklist for Authors**

The [MDAR framework](https://osf.io/xfpn4/) establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting mainly applicable to studies in the life sciences.

*eLife* asks authors to **provide detailed information within their article** to facilitate the interpretation and replication of their work. Authors can also upload supporting materials to comply with relevant reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](http://www.equator-network.org/%20)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](http://biosharing.org/)), or animal research (see the [ARRIVE Guidelines](http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412) and the [STRANGE Framework](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01751-5); for details, see *eLife*’s [Journal Policies](https://reviewer.elifesciences.org/author-guide/journal-policies)). Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards materials in this form.

For all that apply, please note **where in the article** the information is provided. Please note that we also collect information about data availability and ethics in the submission form.
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**Death by a Thousand Cuts through Kinase Inhibitor Combinations that Maximize Selectivity and Enable Rational Multitargeting**

Ian R. Outhwaite 1, Sukrit Singh 1,2, Benedict-Tilman Berger 3,4, Stefan Knapp 3,4, John D. Chodera 2, Markus A. Seeliger 1,†

1. Department of Pharmacological Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794

2. Computational and Systems Biology Program, Sloan Kettering Institute, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065

3. Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

4. Structural Genomics Consortium, Buchmann Institute for Life Sciences, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

† To whom correspondence should be sent:

Markus Seeliger

Phone: (631) 444-3558

E-mail: markus.seeliger@stonybrook.edu

**Materials:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Newly created materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| The manuscript includes a dedicated "materials availability statement" providing transparent disclosure about availability of newly created materials including details on how materials can be accessed and describing any restrictions on access. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Antibodies** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and [RRID](https://scicrunch.org/resources), if available. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **DNA and RNA sequences** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Short novel DNA or RNA including primers, probes: Sequences should be included or deposited in a public repository. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Cell materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. | Yes: see Materials and Methods subsection “Cell lines” |  |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental animals** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Laboratory animals or Model organisms: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. |  | N/A |
| Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex, and age where possible. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Plants and microbes** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Plants: provide species and strain, ecotype and cultivar where relevant, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens). |  | N/A |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Human research participants** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend) or state if these demographics were not collected** | **N/A** |
| If collected and within the bounds of privacy constraints report on age, sex, gender and ethnicity for all study participants. |  | N/A |

**Design:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Study protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| If the study protocol has been pre-registered, provide DOI. For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Laboratory protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Provide DOI OR other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental study design (statistics details) \*** | | |
| **For in vivo studies: State whether and how the following have been done** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend. If it could have been done, but was not, write “not done”** | **N/A** |
| Sample size determination |  | N/A |
| Randomisation |  | N/A |
| Blinding |  | N/A |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Sample definition and in-laboratory replication** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in the laboratory. | “We conduct five technical replicates for each experiment in order to ensure statistical significance, and use an additional absolute ΔJSD score cutoff of 0.001 to eliminate statistically significant but functionally insignificant results.” (lines 614-616)  Data for all individual replicates of NanoBRET experiments are reported in Figure 5 – Source Data 1 |  |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates. | Materials and Methods section for MMS, NanoBRET analyses represent technical replicates. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Ethics** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | N/A |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | N/A |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |
| **Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern regulations, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval. |  | N/A |

**Analysis:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attrition** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Report if sample or data points were omitted from analysis. If yes, report if this was due to attrition or intentional exclusion and provide justification. | Yes, we use a conservative upper limit of detection cutoff of 50 uM for the NanoBRET assays since EC50s cannot reliably be determined past this point. This is mentioned in the main text:  Lines 303-308  “About half (5/11) of the kinase off-targets with more than 50% PKIS2-activity at 1 µM with these compounds in the PKIS2-645 screen were only poorly inhibited in the NanoBRET assay (EC50 > 50 µM for all replicates).”  “We also conducted NanoBRET target engagement assays using cell lysates in order to validate compound-target interactions past our conservative 50 µM detection cutoff for the *in cellulo* assay (Figure 5b)”  This cutoff is also illustrated in figure 5 |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Statistics** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests. | Spearman for non-linear relationships. Two tailed, p<0.05, as presented in Figure 2 Figure Supplement 2  T-test for independent samples during MMS analysis to determine whether there was a difference in scores across five technical replicates. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Data availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| For newly created and reused datasets, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access (or notes restrictions on access). | Yes, we convert data from original previously published datasets to a slightly different scale, these modified datasets are available and are noted in our supplementary materials in addition to the original DOIs.  See Materials and Methods sections “Dataset Sources” and “Dataset Preparation”  A. Inhibitors considered for inclusion in PKIS2, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0181585 (Supplemental Table 4)  B. Davis 2011, DOI: 10.1038/nbt.1990 (Supplemental Table 4, human kinases only)  C. Fabian 2005, DOI: 10.1038/nbt1068 (Supplemental Table 4)  D. Karaman 2008, DOI: 10.1038/nbt1358 (Supplemental Table 2)  E. Klaeger 2017, DOI: 10.1126/science.aan4368 (Supplemental Table 3: Kinobeads Drugmatrix by Protein)” |  |
| When newly created datasets are publicly available, provide accession number in repository OR DOI and licensing details where available. |  | N/A |
| If reused data is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI, OR URL, OR citation. | Yes – same as above, DOIs are provided to the original work |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Code availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For any computer code/software/mathematical algorithms essential for replicating the main findings of the study, whether newly generated or re-used, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access or notes restrictions. | Yes: we include a section on code and data availability in Materials and Methods which points to our github repository where scripts and datasets are available. |  |
| Where newly generated code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, OR DOI OR URL and licensing details where available. State any restrictions on code availability or accessibility. |  | N/A |
| If reused code is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI OR URL, OR citation. |  | N/A |

**Reporting:**

The MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adherence to community standards** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State if relevant guidelines (e.g., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE, STRANGE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. |  | N/A |

\* We provide the following guidance regarding transparent reporting and statistics; we also refer authors to [Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48175).

**Sample-size estimation**

* You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
* You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
* If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

**Replicates**

* You should report how often each experiment was performed
* You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
* The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
* If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
* Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
* High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

**Statistical reporting**

* Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
* Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
* For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
* Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

**Group allocation**

* Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
* Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis