## STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

Item No	Recommendation	Page No
1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	4
	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and	4
	what was found	
2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-6
3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	6-6
4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,	6
	exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants.	6
	Describe methods of follow-up	
	(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect	6-7
	modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment	6-7
	(measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one	
	group	
9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	13
10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe	6-7
	which groupings were chosen and why	
12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6-8
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-8
	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	6-8
	(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
	(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	10,12- 13
		15
12*	(a) Deport numbers of individuals at each store of study as numbers not entially slicible	9
15*		
		n/a
		n/a
1.1*	-	9
14*		
		9
		9
	(c) Summanise follow-up time (eg. average and total amount)	1
	No   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8*   9   10   11	No Recommendation   1 (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract   (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found   2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported   3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses   4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper   5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection   6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up   (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed   7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable   8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group   9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias   10 Explain how the study size was arrived at   11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why   1

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,	13
		95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	13
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time	n/a
		period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	10
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	10-
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	13 13- 14
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	13- 14
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13- 14
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the	14
		original study on which the present article is based	

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.