**Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)**

**Checklist for Authors**

The [MDAR framework](https://osf.io/xfpn4/) establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting mainly applicable to studies in the life sciences.

*eLife* asks authors to **provide detailed information within their article** to facilitate the interpretation and replication of their work. Authors can also upload supporting materials to comply with relevant reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](http://www.equator-network.org/%20)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](http://biosharing.org/)), or animal research (see the [ARRIVE Guidelines](http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info%3Adoi/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412) and the [STRANGE Framework](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01751-5); for details, see *eLife*’s [Journal Policies](https://reviewer.elifesciences.org/author-guide/journal-policies)). Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards materials in this form.

For all that apply, please note **where in the article** the information is provided. Please note that we also collect information about data availability and ethics in the submission form.

**Materials:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Newly created materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| The manuscript includes a dedicated "materials availability statement" providing transparent disclosure about availability of newly created materials including details on how materials can be accessed and describing any restrictions on accessPeptides\*Pnp (SLITNKVVMEYENLEKANHM), \*Adar (LVPLSQAWTHPPGVVNPDSC), \*Lrrc28 (EPMFTFVYPTIFPLRETPMA), \*Herc6 (SLVKKWRAAKKRKDREGAKR), \*Wiz (TASPPPTARMMFSGLATPSL) and \*Trp2 (PQIANCSVYDFFVWLHYYSV) | Peptides preparation and use are indicated in “method” section |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Antibodies** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and [RRID](https://scicrunch.org/resources), if available.Anti-IFNγ monoclonal antibody (Mabtech; *R4-6A2*)*anti-CD107a–FITC (Biolegend) Cat: 121606**CD3-brilliant violet (BV)-510 (Biolegend) Cat: 100234**CD8 allophycocyanin (APC)-EFluor780 (eBioscience) Cat: 47-0081-82**PERFORIN-APC (Biolegend) Cat: 154304**TNF-α–Pacific Blue (Biolegend) Cat: 506318* | The antibodies used are indicated in the “methods” section |  |
|  |  |  |
| **DNA and RNA sequences** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Short novel DNA or RNA including primers, probes: Sequences should be included or deposited in a public repository. |  | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Cell materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID.B16-F10 melanoma cell line was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). # CRL-6475 | Cell lines used are indicated in the “methods” section |  |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.Primary splenocytes were extracted from C57BL/6J mouse spleens. | Cells used are indicated in the “methods” section |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental animals** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Laboratory animals or Model organisms: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID.Female C57BL/6J (RRID:IMSR\_JAX:000664) from Jackson Laboratory were used | Details on the mice used and animal procedures are provided in the 'Methods' section and throughout the manuscript |  |
| Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex, and age where possible. |  | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Plants and microbes** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Plants: provide species and strain, ecotype and cultivar where relevant, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens). |  | X |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source. |  | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Human research participants** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend) or state if these demographics were not collected** | **N/A** |
| If collected and within the bounds of privacy constraints report on age, sex, gender and ethnicity for all study participants. |  | **X** |

**Design:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Study protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| If the study protocol has been pre-registered, provide DOI. For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI. |  | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Laboratory protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Provide DOI OR other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available. |  | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental study design (statistics details) \*** |
| **For in vivo studies: State whether and how the following have been done** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend. If it could have been done, but was not, write “not done”** | **N/A** |
| Sample size determination. Following FELASA guidelines for good practices in animal research and adhering to the Three Rs principle—minimizing the number of animals used—we decided to include five animals per group. This represents the minimum number required to achieve statistical significance, in our experience in similar experiments. | Information not provided in the ms |  |
| Randomisation. Animals were randomly assigned to experimental groups to ensure unbiased distribution and minimize potential confounding variables | Information not provided in the ms |  |
| Blinding. Measurements were conducted using a double-blind approach, ensuring that researchers were unaware of group assignments to eliminate bias and enhance the reliability of the results | Information not provided in the ms |  |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria. Only healthy Animals od the same age were included in the experimentation | Information not provided in the ms |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Sample definition and in-laboratory replication** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in the laboratory. The in vivo vaccination experiment was performed once with 5 animals/group. | Indicated in the text and figure legend 1 |  |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates. The data describe the results from a vaccination using 5 animals/group | Indicated in the text and figure legend 1 |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Ethics** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | x |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.The studies were approved by the Ethical Committee of Animal Experimentation (CEEA) of CNB-CSIC and by the competent authority of Comunidad de Madrid PROEX 041.4/21). Animal procedures were conformed to international guidelines and to Spanish-European law. | Methods section |  |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern regulations, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval. |  | x |

**Analysis:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attrition** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Report if sample or data points were omitted from analysis. If yes, report if this was due to attrition or intentional exclusion and provide justification.No exclusion criteria were pre-established. No data points were excluded | Information not provided in the ms as no data points were excluded |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Statistics** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.One-way ANOVA with Dunnett correction for multiple testing was used for ELISpot analysis to establish the differences within the different groups. We analyzed the ICS results by building a bootstrap distribution based on the ratio of the percentage of specific cell types between the vaccinatedand unvaccinated groups. Subsequently, we determined the centered 95% confidence interval. For statistical analysis of the overall survival in the anti-tumor experiment, log-rank (Mantel-Cox) statistical test was performed on day 24. The statistical significances are indicated as follows: \*, p<0.05; \*\*, p<0.005; \*\*\*, p<0.001. Statistical analysis were performed using Prism Software.One-way ANOVA is the reference test for comparing means across different groups, while the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test is a widely used statistical method for comparing survival curves in different groups. It is particularly well-suited for survival analysis | Methods section and in each figure |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Data availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| For newly created and reused datasets, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access (or notes restrictions on access).The data from this ms are freely available | This is indicated in the submission form |  |
| When newly created datasets are publicly available, provide accession number in repository OR DOI and licensing details where available.The data from this ms are also available at bioRxiv:DOI: [10.1101/2023.11.22.568042](http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.11.22.568042) | This is indicated in the submission form |  |
| If reused data is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI, OR URL, OR citation.We reused data from previous study, available at:DOI: 10.1101/2020.10.05.327015DOI: [10.1038/s41598-021-89927-5](https://sciwheel.com/fulltext/doi/10.1038/s41598-021-89927-5) | Indicated in Figure 1 |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Code availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For any computer code/software/mathematical algorithms essential for replicating the main findings of the study, whether newly generated or re-used, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access or notes restrictions. |  | x |
| Where newly generated code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, OR DOI OR URL and licensing details where available. State any restrictions on code availability or accessibility. |  | x |
| If reused code is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI OR URL, OR citation. |  | x |

**Reporting:**

The MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adherence to community standards** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State if relevant guidelines (e.g., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE, STRANGE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. |  | x |

\* We provide the following guidance regarding transparent reporting and statistics; we also refer authors to [Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48175).

**Sample-size estimation**

* You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
* You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
* If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

**Replicates**

* You should report how often each experiment was performed
* You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
* The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
* If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
* Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
* High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

**Statistical reporting**

* Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
* Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
* For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
* Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

**Group allocation**

* Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
* Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis