**Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)**

**Checklist for Authors**

The [MDAR framework](https://osf.io/xfpn4/) establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting mainly applicable to studies in the life sciences.

*eLife* asks authors to **provide detailed information within their article** to facilitate the interpretation and replication of their work. Authors can also upload supporting materials to comply with relevant reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](http://www.equator-network.org/%20)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](http://biosharing.org/)), or animal research (see the [ARRIVE Guidelines](http://www.plosbiology.org/article/info:doi/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000412) and the [STRANGE Framework](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01751-5); for details, see *eLife*’s [Journal Policies](https://reviewer.elifesciences.org/author-guide/journal-policies)). Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards materials in this form.

For all that apply, please note **where in the article** the information is provided. Please note that we also collect information about data availability and ethics in the submission form.

**Materials:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Newly created materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| The manuscript includes a dedicated "materials availability statement" providing transparent disclosure about availability of newly created materials including details on how materials can be accessed and describing any restrictions on access. | Included in materials and methods section | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Antibodies** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and [RRID](https://scicrunch.org/resources), if available. | Described in materials and methods:  Anti-SAP54 antibodies were raised in rabbits (Genscript) injected with purified 66His-tagged SAP54. A 1:2,000 dilution of anti-SAP54 was used in Western blots.  Monoclonal mouse anti-HA, anti-GFP, antibodies (H3663, SAB4200681, Sigma Aldrich) were used at a 1:10,000 dilution.  Peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibody (AP182P, AP124P, Sigma Aldrich) |  |
|  |  |  |
| **DNA and RNA sequences** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Short novel DNA or RNA including primers, probes: Sequences should be included or deposited in a public repository. | RNA-seq raw data (fastq) are uploaded to NCBI under BioProject: PRJNA1090849. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Cell materials** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. |  | x |
| Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental animals** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Laboratory animals or Model organisms: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID. | Healthy and AY-WB–carrier Aster leafhopper (ALH), *Macrosteles quadrilineatus* Forbes (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) maintained at John Innes Centre Entomology unit stock colony; originally described in Sugio A, Kingdom HN, MacLean AM, Grieve VM, Hogenhout SA (2011) Phytoplasma protein effector SAP11 enhances insect vector reproduction by manipulating plant development and defense hormone biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: E1254–E1263. |  |
| Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex, and age where possible. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Plants and microbes** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Plants: provide species and strain, ecotype and cultivar where relevant, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens). | *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col0  Generation of 35S:GFP–SAP54 and 35S:GFP transgenic *Arabidopsis* was performed as described in MacLean AM, Orlovskis Z, Kowitwanich K, Zdziarska AM, Angenent GC, Immink RGH, Hogenhout SA. 2014. Phytoplasma Effector SAP54 Hijacks Plant Reproduction by Degrading MADS-box Proteins and Promotes Insect Colonization in a RAD23-Dependent Manner (D Wagner, Ed.). PLoS Biology 12: e1001835. |  |
| Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source. | ‘*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris’ strain Witches’ Broom (AY-WB); originally describe in Bai X, Correa VR, Toruño TY, Ammar E-D, Kamoun S, Hogenhout SA. 2009. AY-WB Phytoplasma Secretes a Protein That Targets Plant Cell Nuclei. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 22: 18–30. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Human research participants** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend) or state if these demographics were not collected** | **N/A** |
| If collected and within the bounds of privacy constraints report on age, sex, gender and ethnicity for all study participants. |  | **x** |

**Design:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Study protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| If the study protocol has been pre-registered, provide DOI. For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Laboratory protocol** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Provide DOI OR other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Experimental study design (statistics details) \*** | | |
| **For in vivo studies: State whether and how the following have been done** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend. If it could have been done, but was not, write “not done”** | **N/A** |
| Sample size determination |  | x |
| Randomisation |  | **x** |
| Blinding |  | x |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Sample definition and in-laboratory replication** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State number of times the experiment was replicated in the laboratory. | As described in figure legends, experiments have been independently repeated 2-3 times |  |
| Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates. | datapoints describe biological replicates |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Ethics** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | x |
| Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval. |  | x |
| Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| If study is subject to dual use research of concern regulations, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval. |  | x |

**Analysis:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attrition** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Report if sample or data points were omitted from analysis. If yes, report if this was due to attrition or intentional exclusion and provide justification. | Exclusion criteria are clearly outlined in Results section, Supplemental figure 5 and the authors’ response to reviewers. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Statistics** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests. | Statistical tests, test-statistic, p-values are described in Methods as well as supplemental Figure legends and Supplementary figures or tables accompanying each Figure. In short, insect choice data were analyzed with paired t-tests since test and control plants were in the same choice arena. DEG calling was performed with DESeq2, gene enrichment described with Fisher exact or Wilcoxon rank tests and Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-values. RNA-seq raw data are under BioProject: PRJNA1090849. |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Data availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/submission form** | **N/A** |
| For newly created and reused datasets, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access (or notes restrictions on access). | Each figure is linked to a supplemental figure where datapoints of repeated experiments are displayed individually. Full list of genes, fold-changes, enrichment values are provided as supporting tables. |  |
| When newly created datasets are publicly available, provide accession number in repository OR DOI and licensing details where available. |  | x |
| If reused data is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI, OR URL, OR citation. |  | x |
|  |  |  |
| **Code availability** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| For any computer code/software/mathematical algorithms essential for replicating the main findings of the study, whether newly generated or re-used, the manuscript includes a data availability statement that provides details for access or notes restrictions. | All software for data analysis is open-access, stated in Materials and Methods section and referenced within the text for the access to their source codes. |  |
| Where newly generated code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, OR DOI OR URL and licensing details where available. State any restrictions on code availability or accessibility. |  | x |
| If reused code is publicly available provide accession number in repository OR DOI OR URL, OR citation. |  | x |

**Reporting:**

The MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adherence to community standards** | **Indicate where provided: section/figure legend** | **N/A** |
| State if relevant guidelines (e.g., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE, STRANGE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (e.g., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript. |  | x |

\* We provide the following guidance regarding transparent reporting and statistics; we also refer authors to [Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.48175).

**Sample-size estimation**

* You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
* You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
* If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

**Replicates**

* You should report how often each experiment was performed
* You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
* The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
* If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
* Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
* High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

**Statistical reporting**

* Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
* Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
* For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
* Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

**Group allocation**

* Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
* Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis